ON THE NONEXISTENCE OF A LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR WEIGHTED SUMS OF IDENTICALLY DISTRIBUTED RANDOM VARIABLES¹

André Adler

Illinois Institute of Technology Chicago, IL 60616

ABSTRACT

For weighted sums of independent and identically distributed random variables, conditions are placed under which a generalized law of the iterated logarithm cannot hold, thereby extending the usual nonweighted situation.

AMS Subject Classification: 60F15.

Key Words: law of the iterated logarithm, strong law of large numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Heyde [1] established the fact that partial sums of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables $\{X, X_n, n \ge 1\}$ whose common distribution is of the form $P\{|X| > x\} = L(x)x^{-\alpha}$ ($0 \le \alpha < 2, \alpha \ne 1$), where L(x) is slowly varying at infinity and where EX = 0 if $E|X| < \infty$, cannot be normalized in the sense that there exist constants $0 < b_n \uparrow$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k/b_n \rightarrow 1$ a.s. The purpose of this paper is to present similar results in the weighted case.

Herein, we define $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k X_k$ where $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ are constants and the random variables $\{X, X_n, n \ge 1\}$ are identically distributed with common distribution

$$P\{|X| > x\} = \begin{cases} L(x)x^{-\alpha} & x \ge 1, \\ 1 & x < 1, \end{cases}$$

where $L(cx)/L(x) \to 1$ as $x \to \infty$ for all c > 0, and $\alpha \ge 0$.

A remark about notation is needed. Throughout, the symbol C will denote a generic finite nonzero constant which is not necessarily the same in each appearance. Also, we let $c_n = b_n/|a_n|, n \ge 1$, where $\{b_n, n \ge 1\}$ is our norming sequence.

It should be noted that the techniques involved with the main results (Theorems 2 and 3) follow a similar pattern to those that can be found in Heyde [1]. As usual, via the Borel-Cantelli lemma, one need only consider a truncated version of the random variables $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$. Instead of truncating X_n at b_n the trick, in the weighted case, is to cut off X_n at c_n . Then by classical arguments the remaining terms are shown to be almost surely negligible. Also of particular interest is the discussion (Section 3) of the $\alpha = 1$ situation.

¹Received: January 1989; Revised: December 1989

2. RESULTS.

Our first theorem examines what happens when $P\{|X_n| > c_n \ i.o.(n)\} = 1$.

Theorem 1. Let $\{X, X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be i.i.d. random variables. If $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \ge 1\}$ are constants satisfying $b_n = O(b_{n+1})$, $b_n \to \infty$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > c_n\} = \infty$, then $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |S_n|/b_n = \infty$ a.s.

Proof. If $c_n \to \infty$, then for all large M

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|a_n X_n| > Mb_n\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L(Mc_n)(Mc_n)^{-\alpha}$$

$$\geq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L(c_n)c_n^{-\alpha}$$

$$\geq C \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} P\{|X_n| > c_n\} \text{ (for a suitably chosen } n_0)$$

$$= \infty.$$

Otherwise, if $\lim \inf_{n\to\infty} c_n < \infty$, then there exists a subsequence $\{n_k, k \ge 1\}$ and a finite constant B such that $c_{n_k} \le B$. Hence for all $0 < M < \infty$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > Mc_n\} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{|X_n| > Mc_{n_k}\}$$
$$\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > MB\}$$
$$= \infty.$$

So in either case we conclude, via the Borel-Cantelli lemma, that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_n X_n}{b_n} \right| = \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since

$$\left|\frac{a_n X_n}{b_n}\right| \le \left|\frac{S_n}{b_n}\right| + \left|\frac{b_{n-1}}{b_n}\right| \cdot \left|\frac{S_{n-1}}{b_{n-1}}\right|$$

the conclusion follows. \Box

Note that in the next result independence is not necessary.

Theorem 2. Let $\{X, X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be identically distributed random variables. Let $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \ge 1\}$ be constants satisfying $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > c_n\} < \infty$. If $0 \le \alpha < 1$, then $S_n/b_n \to 0$ a.s.

Proof. Notice, via the Borel-Cantelli lemma, that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k X_k I(|X_k| > c_k) = o(b_n) \text{ a.s.}$$

Hence it remains to show that

(1)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k X_k I(|X_k| \le c_k) = o(b_n) \text{ a.s.}$$

Since, for all large k

$$E|X|I(|X| \le c_k) \le \int_0^{c_k} P\{|X| > t\} dt$$

=
$$\int_0^1 dt + \int_1^{c_k} L(t)t^{-\alpha} dt$$

$$\le CL(c_k)c_k^{-\alpha+1}$$

(by Theorem 1b of Feller [2, p. 281]), it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{-1} E|X|I(|X| \le c_k) \le C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L(c_k) c_k^{-\alpha}$$
$$\le C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > c_k\}$$
$$< \infty,$$

whence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{-1} |X_k| I(|X_k| \le c_k) < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

This, via Kronecker's lemma, implies (1). \Box

Next, we examine the mean zero situation.

Theorem 3. Let $\{X, X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be i.i.d. mean zero random variables. Let $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \ge 1\}$ be constants satisfying $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > c_n\} < \infty$. If $1 < \alpha < 2$, then $S_n/b_n \to 0$ a.s.

Proof. Again, note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k X_k I(|X_k| > c_k) = o(b_n) \text{ a.s.}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k X_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k [X_k I(|X_k| \le c_k) - EXI(|X| \le c_k)] + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k EXI(|X| \le c_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k X_k I(|X_k| > c_k)$$

we need only show that the first two terms are $o(b_n)$. In view of the Khintchine-Kolmogorov convergence theorem and Kronecker's lemma, all that one needs to show, in order to prove that the first term is $o(b_n)$ a.s., is that

(2)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{-2} E X^2 I(|X| \le c_k) < \infty.$$

By integration by parts and Theorem 1b of Feller [2, p. 281] we observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{-2} E X^2 I(|X| \le c_k) \le 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{-2} \int_0^{c_k} t P\{|X| > t\} dt$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L(c_k) c_k^{-\alpha}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > c_k\}$$

$$< \infty.$$

Hence (2) holds. Finally, we need to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k EXI(|X| \le c_k) = o(b_n).$$

Due to the fact that $|EXI(|X| \le c_k)| \le E|X|I(|X| > c_k)$ it is sufficient to show that

(3)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k| E|X| I(|X| > c_k) = o(b_n).$$

However, since

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{-1} E|X| I(|X| > c_k) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > c_k\} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{-1} \int_{c_k}^{\infty} P\{|X| > t\} dt \\ &\leq O(1) + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{-1} \int_{c_k}^{\infty} L(t) t^{-\alpha} dt \\ &\leq O(1) + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L(c_k) c_k^{-\alpha} \text{ (see Feller, [2, p.281])} \\ &\leq O(1) + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > c_k\} \\ &= O(1), \end{split}$$

it is clear that (3) obtains. \Box

3. DISCUSSION.

In this section we combine the previous theorems. The conclusion is that for all $\alpha \in [0,1) \cup (1,2)$ a law of the iterated logarithm cannot hold.

Theorem 4. Let $\{X, X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be i.i.d. random variables with

$$P\{|X| > x\} = \begin{cases} L(x)x^{-\alpha} & x \ge 1, \\ 1 & x < 1, \end{cases}$$

with EX = 0 if $\alpha > 1$. If $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \ge 1\}$ are constants with $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$, then for all $\alpha \in [0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$

$$\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k X_k}{b_n} \right| = 0 \text{ or } \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

depending on whether $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > c_n\}$ converges or diverges.

Proof. In view of Theorems 1, 2, and 3 the conclusion is immediate. \Box

Now, clearly if a law of the iterated logarithm does not exist, then a strong law of large numbers (with limit one) is also not feasible.

Corollary. If the hypotheses of Theorem 4 hold, then

$$P\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k X_k / b_n = 1\} = 0.$$

It is well known that if $\alpha > 2$, then a classical law of the iterated logarithm can be obtained provided suitable conditions are imposed on the constants $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$. An interesting question is what happens when $\alpha = 1$. If we allow $\alpha = 1$, then not only can a law of the iterated logarithm obtain, but a strong law of large numbers can also occur where the limit is one. The following example is of the flavor of those that can be found in Adler [3].

Example. If $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ are i.i.d. random variables with common density $f(x) = x^{-2}I_{(1,\infty)}(x), -\infty < x < \infty$, then

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2}{k} X_k}{(\log n)^2} \to 1 \quad a.s.$$

Proof. Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X| > \frac{n(\log n)^2}{2}\} = 2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n(\log n)^2} < \infty$$

and

$$\left[\frac{n(\log n)^2}{2}\right]^2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2}{j(\log j)^2}\right]^2 = O(n)$$

we have, by Theorem 1 of Adler and Rosalsky [4],

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2}{k} (X_k - \mu_k)}{(\log n)^2} \to 0 \ a.s.$$

where

$$\mu_n = EXI(|X| \le \frac{n(\log n)^2}{2}) \\ = \int_1^{n(\log n)^2/2} x^{-1} dx \\ \sim \log n.$$

Noting that

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2}{k} \log k}{(\log n)^2} \to 1$$

the proof is complete. \Box

Here we exhibited a strong law in the nonintegrable case. One can obtain similar strong laws for mean zero random variables when $P\{|X| > x\} = L(x)/x$ (see, e.g., Adler and Rosalsky [5]).

Acknowledgement: The author wishes to thank the Referee for his/her valuable comments and helpful suggestions which led to an improved presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES.

- 1. Heyde, C.C., 1969, "A note concerning behaviour of iterated logarithm type," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 23:85-90.
- 2. Feller, W., An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. II, 2nd Ed., John Wiley, New York, 1971.
- Adler, A., "Exact sequences for sums of independent random variables," in Almost Everywhere Convergence, Editors: G.A. Edgar and L. Sucheston, Academic Press, Boston (pp. 11-29), 1989.
- 4. Adler, A. and Rosalsky, A., 1987, "Some general strong laws for weighted sums of stochastically dominated random variables," *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* 5:1-16.
- 5. Adler, A. and Rosalsky, A., 1987, "On the strong law of large numbers for normed weighted sums of i.i.d. random variables," *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* 5:467-483.