# Research Article **ZPC Matrices and Zero Cycles**

# Marina Arav,<sup>1</sup> Frank Hall,<sup>1</sup> Zhongshan Li,<sup>1</sup> and Bhaskara Rao<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303-3083, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Indiana State University, Terre Haute, IN 47809, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhongshan Li, zli@gsu.edu

Received 11 December 2008; Accepted 19 March 2009

Recommended by Christos Koukouvinos

Let *H* be an  $m \times n$  real matrix and let  $Z_i$  be the set of column indices of the zero entries of row *i* of *H*. Then the conditions  $|Z_k \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1}Z_i)| \leq 1$  for all k  $(2 \leq k \leq m)$  are called the (row) Zero Position Conditions (ZPCs). If *H* satisfies the ZPC, then *H* is said to be a (row) ZPC matrix. If  $H^T$  satisfies the ZPC, then *H* is said to be a column ZPC matrix. The real matrix *H* is said to have a zero cycle if *H* has a sequence of at least four zero entries of the form  $h_{i_1j_1}, h_{i_1j_2}, h_{i_2j_2}, h_{i_2j_3}, \ldots, h_{i_kj_k}, h_{i_kj_1}$  in which the consecutive entries alternatively share the same row or column index (but not both), and the last entry has one common index with the first entry. Several connections between the ZPC and the nonexistence of zero cycles are established. In particular, it is proved that a matrix *H* has no zero cycle if and only if there are permutation matrices *P* and *Q* such that *PHQ* is a row ZPC matrix and a column ZPC matrix.

Copyright © 2009 Marina Arav et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## **1. Introduction**

A matrix whose entries are from the set  $\{+, -, 0\}$  is called a *sign pattern matrix* (or sign pattern). For a real matrix *B*, sgn(*B*) is the sign pattern obtained by replacing each positive (respectively, negative, zero) entry of *B* by + (respectively, -, 0). For a sign pattern *A*, the *sign pattern class of A* is defined by

$$Q(A) = \{B : \operatorname{sgn}(B) = A\}.$$
 (1.1)

Further information on sign patterns can be found in [1, 2].

For a real matrix  $C = [c_{ij}]$  of size  $m \times n$ , the *bipartite graph* of C is the graph with vertex set  $\{1, 2, ..., m\} \cup \{1', 2', ..., n'\}$  such that there is an edge between i and j' if and only if  $c_{ij} \neq 0$ . The *zero bipartite graph* of C is the complement of the bipartite graph of C.

In [3], the following result is proved.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let A, B, and C be real matrices such that AB = C. Suppose that the zero bipartite graph of C (this is the same as the complement of the bipartite graph of C) is a forest. Then there exist rational perturbations  $\tilde{A}$ ,  $\tilde{B}$ , and  $\tilde{C}$  of A, B, and C, respectively, in the same corresponding sign pattern classes, such that  $\tilde{AB} = \tilde{C}$ .

The purpose of this note is to investigate when the zero bipartite graph of a matrix is a forest from a combinatorial point of view. This will be done in terms of ZPC matrices and zero cycles.

# 2. ZPC Matrices

*Definition* 2.1. Let  $Z_i$  be the set of column indices of the zero entries of row i  $(1 \le i \le m)$  of a real matrix  $H_{m \times n}$ . Then the conditions

$$\left| Z_k \cap \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} Z_i \right) \right| \le 1 \quad \text{for all } k \ (2 \le k \le m)$$

$$(2.1)$$

are called the (*row*) Zero Position Conditions (ZPCs). If H satisfies the ZPC, then we say that H is a (row) ZPC matrix. If  $H^T$  satisfies the ZPC, then we say that H is a column ZPC matrix.

*Definition 2.2.* A zero entry in a matrix is called a *covered zero*, if there is another zero entry above this entry in the same column. A zero entry that is not a covered zero is called an *uncovered zero*.

The proposition below follows directly from the definition of the ZPC.

**Proposition 2.3.** A matrix  $H_{m \times n}$  satisfies the ZPC if and only if each row of H has at most one covered zero.

Remark 2.4. Permutation of columns preserves the ZPC property.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let *H* be a ZPC matrix. Then there is a permutation matrix *P* such that each covered zero of *HP* is the leading zero in its row.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the number of rows.

The result is trivially true for every matrix with only one row.

Assume that this result holds for ZPC matrices with *m* rows. Now consider any ZPC matrix *H* with *m* + 1 rows. Write  $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $H_1$  has *m* rows. By induction hypothesis there is a permutation matrix  $P_1$  such that each covered zero of  $H_1P_1$  is the leading zero in its row.

Since  $HP_1$  satisfies the ZPC, the last row of  $HP_1$  has at most one covered zero. If the last row of H has no covered zero, then the result is already true based on the induction hypothesis.

Assume that the last row of H (or equivalently  $HP_1$ ) has a covered zero entry. Then all the other zero entries in the last row are uncovered and hence all the entries directly above these zeros in the last row are all nonzero. Therefore, we may permute the columns International Journal of Combinatorics

of  $HP_1$  to put the columns containing the uncovered zeros of the last row of  $HP_1$  to the far right positions, resulting in  $HP_1P_2$ . As none of these columns moved to the far right contains covered zeros of the first *m* rows of  $HP_1$ , we see that  $HP_1P_2$  has the property that each covered zero of  $HP_1P_2$  is the leading zero in its row.

The ZPC places severe restrictions on the location and number of zeros in the matrix. In particular, we have the following result on the number of zeros.

**Proposition 2.6.** If  $H_{m \times n}$  is a ZPC matrix, then H has at most m + n - 1 zeros.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the number of rows.

If m = 1, then clearly *H* has at most n = 1 + n - 1 zeros.

Assume that the result holds for ZPC matrices with m - 1 rows. Now consider a ZPC matrix H with m rows.

Suppose that the last row of *H* has *p* zeros. If  $p \le 1$ , then the result follows immediately by applying the induction hypothesis on the submatrix of *H* consisting of the first m-1 rows.

Assume that p > 1. Since the last row of H has at most one covered zero, the last p - 1 zeros are not covered, and permuting the columns of H if necessary (to put the uncovered zeros of the last row to the far right), we may assume that H has the block form

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & H_2 \\ H_3 & H_4 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (2.2)

where  $H_1$  is  $(m-1) \times (n - (p-1))$ ,  $H_2$  is  $(m-1) \times (p-1)$  and has no zero entry,  $H_3$  has one (possibly covered) zero, and  $H_4$  consists of p - 1 uncovered zeros.

The induction hypothesis applied on  $H_1$  says that  $H_1$  has at most (m - 1) + (n - (p - 1)) - 1 = m + n - p - 1 zeros. Hence, H has at most (m + n - p - 1) + p = m + n - 1 zeros.  $\Box$ 

#### 3. ZPC and Nonexistence of Zero Cycles

In this section we explore interesting connections between the Zero Position Conditions and the nonexistence of zero cycles.

Let *H* be a real matrix. We say that *H* has a *zero cycle* if *H* has a sequence of at least four zero entries of the form

$$h_{i_1j_1}, h_{i_1j_2}, h_{i_2j_2}, h_{i_2j_3}, \dots, h_{i_kj_k}, h_{i_kj_1},$$
(3.1)

in which the consecutive entries alternatively share the same row or column index (but not both), and the last entry has one common index with the first entry. For example,

$$h_{11}, h_{13}, h_{33}, h_{34}, h_{24}, h_{21}$$
 (3.2)

would form a zero cycle of H if all these entries are zeros. The idea of a zero cycle (called a loop) was introduced in [4, 5].

The zero bipartite graph of a matrix  $H_{m \times n}$ , denoted Z(H), has vertex sets  $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$  and  $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that there is an edge between  $u_i$  and  $v_j$  iff  $h_{ij} = 0$ . It can be seen that H has a zero cycle iff the zero bipartite graph Z(H) has a cycle.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If a matrix H has a zero cycle, then no (row or column) permutation of H is a ZPC matrix or a column ZPC matrix.* 

*Proof.* Suppose that *H* has a zero cycle. For any two permutations *P* and *Q* of suitable orders, *PHQ* also has a zero cycle. Consider a cycle of *PHQ*. Let *k* be the largest row index involved in the cycle. Then all the zero entries (there are at least two such entries) in the cycle with row index *k* are covered zeros. Hence, *PHQ* is not a ZPC. A similar argument on the columns shows that *PHQ* is not a column ZPC matrix.

We need the following basic fact from graph theory.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If the degree of every vertex of a graph G is at least 2, then G has a cycle.* 

We are now ready to establish a main result in this section.

**Theorem 3.3.** *If a matrix H has no zero cycle, then there are permutation matrices P and Q such that PHQ is both a row ZPC matrix and a column ZPC matrix.* 

*Proof.* We proceed by double induction on the number of rows (m) and the number of columns (n) of a matrix.

Since every  $1 \times n$  or  $m \times 1$  matrix is a row ZPC matrix and a column ZPC matrix, the result is trivially true for m = 1 or n = 1.

Assume that the result holds for matrices with m - 1 rows or n - 1 columns. Consider an  $m \times n$  matrix H. Since the zero bipartite graph Z(H) of H has no cycle, by Lemma 3.2, Z(H) has a vertex of degree at most 1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u_m$ has degree at most 1. This can be achieved by a row permutation on H and possibly taking the transpose (in the case when a vertex of degree at most 1 is in V). Since the submatrix  $H_{m-1}$  of H obtained from H by deleting the last row clearly has no zero cycle, by induction hypothesis, the rows and columns of  $H_{m-1}$  may be permuted to produce a matrix that is both a row ZPC matrix and a column ZPC matrix. Of course, the row permutation of  $H_{m-1}$  does not affect the last row of H, while the column permutation of  $H_{m-1}$  should also be applied to the last column of H. For convenience, we may assume that  $H_{m-1}$  is a row ZPC matrix and a column ZPC matrix.

We now show that with the above mentioned permutations and a possible transposition, the resulting matrix (also denoted by H for convenience) is a row ZPC matrix and a column ZPC matrix. Since the last row of H contains at most one zero entry, and hence at most one covered zero, while  $H_{m-1}$  is a row ZPC matrix, it follows immediately that H is a row ZPC matrix.

Observe that the last column of  $H^T$  (the transpose of the last row of H) contains at most one zero entry, and hence, there is no covered zero in the last column of  $H^T$ . Combined with the assumption that  $H_{m-1}^T$  is a row ZPC matrix, we see that each row of  $H^T$  has at most one covered zero and so  $H^T$  is a row ZPC matrix, namely, H is a column ZPC matrix.

By Theorem 3.1, the converse of Theorem 3.3 is true. Thus, we also have the following theorem.

International Journal of Combinatorics

**Theorem 3.4.** A matrix H has no zero cycle iff there are permutation matrices P and Q such that PHQ is a row ZPC matrix and a column ZPC matrix.

We now come to the culminating result.

**Theorem 3.5.** The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) H has no zero cycle.
- (ii) The zero bipartite graph of H has no cycle.
- (iii) The zero bipartite graph of H is a forest.
- (iv) There is a permutation matrix P such that PH is a row ZPC matrix.
- (v) There is a permutation matrix Q such that HQ is a column ZPC matrix.
- (vi) There are permutation matrices P and Q such that PHQ is both a row ZPC matrix and a column ZPC matrix.

*Proof.* It can be seen that the first three statements are equivalent. From Theorem 3.4, statements (i) and (vi) are equivalent. Since permutation of columns (rows) preserves the row ZPC (column ZPC) property, it is clear that statement (vi) implies each of the statements (iv) and (v). Next, suppose that *H* has a zero cycle. Then *PH* has a zero cycle for any permutation matrix *P*. Hence, the last row of *PH* that has at least two zero entries of the above zero cycle of *PH* contains at least two covered zeros, so that *PH* is not row ZPC. Thus, statement (iv) implies statement (i). Similarly, statement (v) implies statement (i). The proof is now complete.

We point out that Proposition 2.5 also follows from Theorem 3.5, since the number of edges of a forest with m + n vertices is at most m + n - 1.

The results of this paper may be stated in terms of the positions of the nonzero entries of a matrix and the bipartite graph of the matrix. However, we chose to use the zero bipartite graph because the motivation [3] for this study naturally requires concentrating on the zero entries.

### References

- R. A. Brualdi and B. L. Shader, *Matrices of Sign-Solvable Linear Systems*, vol. 116 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995.
- [2] F. Hall and Z. Li, "Sign pattern matrices," in *Handbook of Linear Algebra*, chapter 33, Simon and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2007.
- [3] M. Arav, F. Hall, Z. Li, and B. Rao, "Rational solutions of certain matrix equations," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 430, no. 2-3, pp. 660–663, 2009.
- [4] K. P. S. B. Rao, S. B. Rao, and M. B. Rao, "A generalization of Birkhoff's theorem on matrices," Matrix and Tensor Quarterly, vol. 23, pp. 106–108, 1973.
- [5] K. P. S. B. Rao, S. B. Rao, and M. B. Rao, "A generalization of Birkhoff's theorem on matrices," *Matrix and Tensor Quarterly*, vol. 23, pp. 118–120, 1973.