

EXISTENCE OF A POSITIVE SOLUTION FOR AN NTH ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR NONLINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

JOHNNY HENDERSON AND SUSAN D. LAUER

ABSTRACT. The n th order eigenvalue problem:

$$\Delta^n x(t) = (-1)^{n-k} \lambda f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$x(0) = x(1) = \cdots = x(k-1) = x(T+k+1) = \cdots = x(T+n) = 0,$$

is considered, where $n \geq 2$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ are given. Eigenvalues λ are determined for f continuous and the case where the limits $f_0(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t,u)}{u}$ and $f_\infty(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t,u)}{u}$ exist for all $t \in [0, T]$. Guo's fixed point theorem is applied to operators defined on annular regions in a cone.

1. INTRODUCTION

Define the operator Δ to be the forward difference

$$\Delta u(t) = u(t+1) - u(t),$$

and then define

$$\Delta^i u(t) = \Delta(\Delta^{i-1} u(t)), \quad i \geq 1.$$

For $a < b$ integers define the discrete interval $[a, b] = \{a, a+1, \dots, b\}$. Let the integers $n, T \geq 2$ be given, and choose $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Consider the n th order nonlinear difference equation

$$(1) \quad \Delta^n x(t) = (-1)^{n-k} \lambda f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad x(0) = x(1) = \cdots = x(k-1) = x(T+k+1) = \cdots = x(T+n) = 0.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39A10, 34B15.

Key words and phrases. Nth order difference equation, eigenvalue, boundary value problem, fixed point theorem, discrete, nonlinear, Green's function.

Received: October 26, 1997.

We determine eigenvalues λ that yield a solution to (1) and (2), where

$$(A) f : [0, T] \times \mathfrak{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$$

is continuous, where \mathfrak{R}^+ denotes the nonnegative reals,

$$(B) \text{ For all } t \in [0, T], f_0(t) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} \text{ and } f_\infty(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}$$

both exist.

We apply Guo’s fixed point theorem using cone methods, Guo and Lakshmikantham [14], and Krasnosel’skiĭ [19], to accomplish this. This method was first applied to differential equations in the landmark paper by Erbe and Wang [12]. Our proof will follow along the lines of those in Henderson [16], Lauer [17], and Merdivenci [20], additionally utilizing techniques from Peterson [21], Hartman [15], Elloe and Kaufmann [11], Agarwal and Wong [6,7], Agarwal and Henderson [1], and Agarwal, Henderson and Wong [2]. A key to applying this fixed point theorem involves discrete concavity of solutions of the boundary value problem in conjunction with a lower bound on an appropriate Green’s function. Extensive use of the results by Elloe [8] concerning a lower bound for the Green’s function will be made. Related results for n th order differential equation may be found in Agarwal and Wong [3,4], Elloe and Henderson [9,10], and Fang [13].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $G(t, s)$ be the Green’s function for the disconjugate boundary value problem

$$(3) \quad Lx(t) \equiv \Delta^n x(t) = 0, t \in [0, T],$$

and satisfying (2), where, as shown in Kelly and Peterson [18], $G(t, s)$ is the unique function satisfying:

- (a) $G(t, s)$ is defined for all $t \in [0, T + n], s \in [0, T]$
- (b) $LG(t, s) = \delta_{ts}$ for all $t \in [0, T], s \in [0, T]$ where $\delta_{ts} = 1$ if $t = s, \delta_{ts} = 0$ if $t \neq s,$
- (c) For all $s \in [0, T], G(t, s)$ satisfies the boundary conditions (2) in $t.$

We will use $G(t, s)$ as the kernel of an integral operator preserving a cone in a Banach space. This is the setting for our fixed point theorem.

Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space and let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be such that \mathcal{P} is closed and non-empty. Then \mathcal{P} is a cone provided (i) $au + bv \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{P}$ and for all $a, b \geq 0,$ and (ii) $u, -u \in \mathcal{P}$ implies $u = 0.$

Applying the following fixed point theorem from Guo, Guo and Lakshmikantham [14], will yield solutions of (1), (2) for certain $\lambda.$

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space, and let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a cone. Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two bounded open sets in \mathcal{B} such that $0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2,$ and let*

$$H : \mathcal{P} \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

be a completely continuous operator such that, either

(i) $\|Hx\| \leq \|x\|, x \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $\|Hx\| \geq \|x\|, x \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$,
 or

(ii) $\|Hx\| \geq \|x\|, x \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $\|Hx\| \leq \|x\|, x \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$.
 Then H has a fixed point in $\mathcal{P} \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$.

We now apply Theorem 1 to the eigenvalue problem (1), (2), following along the lines of methods incorporated by Henderson [16]. Note that $x(t)$ is a solution of (1), (2) if, and only if,

$$x(t) = (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(t, s) f(s, x(s)), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Hartman [15] extensively studied the boundary value problem (1), (2), with $(-1)^{n-k} \lambda f(t, u) \geq 0$. We begin by stating three Lemmas from Hartman.

Lemma 1. *Let $G(t, s)$ denote the Green's function of (3), (2). Then*

$$(-1)^{n-k} G(t, s) \geq 0, \quad (t, s) \in [k, T + k] \times [0, T].$$

Lemma 2. *Assume that u satisfies the difference inequality $(-1)^{n-k} \Delta^n u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, T]$, and the homogeneous boundary conditions, (2). Then $u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, T + k]$.*

Lemma 3. *Suppose that the finite sequence $u(0), \dots, u(j)$ has N_j nodes and the sequence $\Delta u(0), \dots, \Delta u(j - 1)$ has M_j nodes. Then $M_j \geq N_j - 1$.*

Eloe [8] employed these three lemmas to arrive at the following theorem that gives a lower bound for the solution to the class of boundary value problems studied by Hartman.

Theorem 2. *Assume that u satisfies the difference inequality $(-1)^{n-k} \Delta^n u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, T]$, and the homogeneous boundary conditions, (2). Then for $t \in [k, T + k]$,*

$$(-1)^{n-k} u(t) \geq \frac{\nu!}{[(T + 1) \cdots (T + \nu)]} \|u\|,$$

where $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [k, T+k]} |u(t)|$ and $\nu = \max\{k, n - k\}$.

We remark that Agarwal and Wong [5] have recently sharpened the inequality of Theorem 2. However, this sharper inequality is of little consequence for this work.

Eloe also contributed the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $G(t, s)$ denote the Green's function for the boundary value problem, (3), (2). Then for all $s \in [0, T], t \in [k, T + k]$,*

$$(-1)^{n-k} G(t, s) \geq \frac{\nu!}{[(T + 1) \cdots (T + \nu)]} \|G(\cdot, s)\|,$$

where $\|G(\cdot, s)\| = \max_{t \in [k, T+k]} |G(t, s)|$ and $\nu = \max\{k, n - k\}$.

To fulfill the hypotheses of Theorem 1, let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{u : [0, T + n] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \mid u(0) = u(1) = \dots = u(k - 1) = u(T + k + 1) = \dots = u(T + n) = 0\},$$

with $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [t, T+k]} |u(t)|$. Now, $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space.

Let

$$(4) \quad \sigma = \frac{\nu!}{[(T + 1) \cdots (T + \nu)]},$$

and define a cone

$$\mathcal{P} = \{u \in \mathcal{B} \mid u(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, T + n] \text{ and } \min_{t \in [k, T+k]} u(t) \geq \sigma \|u\|\}.$$

Also choose $\tau, \eta \in [k, T + k]$ such that

$$(5) \quad (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{s=k}^T G(\tau, s) f_\infty(s) = \max_{t \in [k, T+k]} \sum_{s=k}^T G(t, s) f_\infty(s),$$

$$(6) \quad (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{s=k}^T G(\eta, s) f_0(s) = \max_{t \in [k, T+k]} (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{s=k}^T G(t, s) f_0(s),$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3. *Assume conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied. Then, for each λ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{\sigma (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{s=0}^T G(\tau, s) f_\infty(s)} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sum_{s=k}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| f_0(s)}.$$

there exists at least one solution of (1), (2) in \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Let λ be given as in Theorem 3. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{s=k}^T G(\tau, s) (f_\infty(s) - \epsilon)} \geq \lambda \geq \frac{1}{\sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| (f_0(s) + \epsilon)}.$$

Define a summation operator $H : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$(7) \quad Hx(t) = (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(t, s) f(s, x(s)), \quad x \in \mathcal{P}.$$

We seek a fixed point of H in the cone \mathcal{P} . By the nonnegativity of f and $(-1)^{n-k} G$, $Hx(t) \geq 0$ on $[0, T + n]$, and from the properties of G , Hx

satisfies the boundary conditions. Now if we choose $x \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Hx(t) &= (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) \\ &\leq \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| f(s, x(s)), t \in [k, T + k]. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|Hx\| = \max_{t \in [k, T+k]} |Hx(t)| \leq \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| f(s, x(s)).$$

Hence, if $x \in \mathcal{P}$, $(-1)^{n-k} G(t, s) \geq \sigma \|G(\cdot, s)\|$, for $t \in [k, T + k]$ and $s \in [0, T]$, and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{t \in [k, T+k]} Hx(t) &= \min_{t \in [k, T+k]} (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) \\ &\geq \sigma \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| f(s, x(s)) \\ &\geq \sigma \|Hx\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $H : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. Additionally, H is completely continuous.

Now consider $f_0(t)$. For each $t \in [0, T]$, there exists $k_t > 0$ such that $f(t, u) \leq (f_0(t) + \epsilon)u$ for $0 < u \leq k_t$. Let $K_1 = \min_{t \in [0, T]} k_t$. So, for $x \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|x\| = K_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Hx(t) &= (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) \\ &\leq \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| (f_0(s) + \epsilon) x(s) \\ &\leq \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| (f_0(s) + \epsilon) \|x\| \\ &\leq \|x\|, \quad t \in [k, T + k]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|H(x)\| \leq \|x\|$. Hence, if we set

$$\Omega_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|u\| < K_1\}$$

then

$$(8) \quad \|Hx\| \leq \|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$

Next consider $f_\infty(t)$. For each $t \in [0, T]$, there exists $\tilde{k}_t > 0$ such that $f(t, u) \geq (f_\infty(t) - \epsilon)u$ for all $u \geq \tilde{k}_t$. Let $\tilde{K}_2 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \tilde{k}_t$ and $K_2 =$

$\max \{2K_1, \frac{1}{\sigma} \tilde{K}_2\}$. Define

$$\Omega_2 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|u\| < K_2\}$$

If $x \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|x\| = K_2$, then $\min_{t \in [k, T+k]} x(t) \geq \sigma \|x\| \geq \tilde{K}_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} Hx(\tau) &= (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(\tau, s) f(s, x(s)) \\ &\leq (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(\tau, s) f(s, x(s)) \\ &\geq (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(\tau, s) (f_\infty(s) - \epsilon) x(s) \\ &\geq \sigma (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=k}^T G(\tau, s) (f_\infty(s) - \epsilon) \|x\| \\ &\geq \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|Hx\| \geq \|x\|$, and so

$$(9) \quad \|Hx\| \geq \|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_2$$

So with (8) and (9) we have shown that H satisfies the first condition of Theorem 1. Thus we can conclude that H has a fixed point $u(t) \in \mathcal{P} \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. This fixed point, $u(t)$, is a solution of (1), (2) corresponding to the given value of λ . ■

Theorem 4. *Assume conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied. Then, for each λ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{\sigma (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{s=k}^T G(\eta, s) f_0(s)} < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| f_\infty(s)},$$

there exists at least solution of (1), (2) in \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Let λ be given as stated above. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{s=k}^T G(\eta, s) (f_0(s) - \epsilon)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| (f_\infty(s) + \epsilon)}$$

Let H be the cone preserving, completely continuous operator defined in (7).

Consider $f_0(t)$. For each $t \in [0, T]$ there exists $k_t > 0$ such that $f(t, u) \geq (f_0(t) - \epsilon)u$ for $0 < u \leq k_t$. Let $K_1 = \min_{t \in [0, T]} k_t$. So, for $x \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|x\| = K_1$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 Hx(\eta) &= (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(\eta, s) f(s, x(s)) \\
 &\geq (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=k}^T G(n, x) f(x, x(s)) \\
 &\geq (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(\eta, s) (f_0(s) - \epsilon) x(s) \\
 &\geq \sigma (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=k}^T G(\eta, s) (f_0(s) - \epsilon) \|x\| \\
 &\geq \|x\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|Hx\| \geq \|x\|$. Hence, if we set

$$\Omega_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|u\| < K_1\},$$

(10) $\|Hx\| \geq \|x\|$, for all $x \in \mathcal{P} \cap \partial\Omega_1$.

Next consider $f_\infty(t)$. For each $t \in [0, T]$ there exists $\tilde{k}_t > 2K_1$ such that $f(t, u) \leq (f_\infty(t) + \epsilon)u$ for all $u \geq \tilde{k}_t$. There exists sets $I, J \subset [0, T]$, with $I \cup J = [0, T]$, such that for all $t \in I$, $f(t, u)$ is bounded as a function of u , and for all $t \in J$, $f(t, u)$ is unbounded as a function of u .

Choose $M > 0$ such that for all positive u and for all $t \in I$, $f(t, u) \leq M$. Let

$$\kappa_t = \max \left\{ \tilde{k}_t, \frac{M}{f_\infty(t) + \epsilon} \right\}$$

For each $t \in J$ choose $\kappa_t \geq \tilde{k}_t$ such that $f(t, u) \leq f(t, \kappa_t)$, for $0 < u \leq \kappa_t$. Let $K_2 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \kappa_t$. By the continuity of f , for all $t \in J$ there exists μ_t , where $\kappa_t \leq \mu_t \leq K_2$, such that $f(t, u) \leq f(t, \mu_t)$ for all $0 < u \leq K_2$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 Hx(t) &= (-1)^{n-k} \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) \\
 &\leq \lambda \sum_{s \in J} \|G(\cdot, s)\| M + \lambda \sum_{s \in I} \|G(\cdot, s)\| f(s, \mu_s) \\
 &\leq \lambda \sum_{s \in I} \|G(\cdot, s)\| (f_\infty(s) + \epsilon) \kappa_s + \lambda \sum_{s \in J} \|G(\cdot, s)\| (f_\infty(s) + \epsilon) \mu_s \\
 &\leq \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| (f_\infty(s) + \epsilon) K_2 \\
 &= \lambda \sum_{s=0}^T \|G(\cdot, s)\| (f_\infty(s) + \epsilon) \|x\| \\
 &\leq \|x\| \quad t \in [k, T + k],
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\|x\| = K_2$. Now if we take

$$\Omega_2 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} \mid \|u\| < K_2\},$$

then

$$(11) \quad \|Hx\| \leq \|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{P} \cup \partial\Omega_2.$$

Thus, with (10) and (11), we have shown that H satisfies the hypotheses to Theorem 1(ii), which yields a fixed point of H belonging to $\mathcal{P} \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. this fixed point is a solution of (1), (2) corresponding to the given λ . ■

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and J. L. Henderson, Positive solutions and nonlinear eigenvalue problems for third order difference equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* (to appear).
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, J. L. Henderson, and P. J. Y. Wong, On superlinear and sublinear (n, p) boundary value problems, *Nonlinear World* (in press).
- [3] R. P. Agarwal and P. J. Y. Wong, Eigenvalue characterization for (n, p) boundary value problems, *Journal Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, (to appear).
- [4] R. P. Agarwal and P. J. Y. Wong, Eigenvalues of boundary value problems for higher order differential equations, *Math. Probl. Engineering*, (to appear).
- [5] R. P. Agarwal and P. J. Y. Wong, Extension of continuous and discrete inequalities due to Eloe and Henderson, *Nonlinear Anal.* (to appear).
- [6] R. P. Agarwal and P. J. Y. Wong, On the existence of positive solutions of higher order difference equations, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* (to appear).
- [7] R. P. Agarwal and P. J. Y. Wong, On the existence of solutions of singular boundary value problems for higher order difference equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **28** (1997), 277-287.
- [8] P. W. Eloe, A generalization of concavity for finite differences, *Comput. Math. Appl.* (to appear).
- [9] P. W. Eloe and J. L. Henderson, Positive solutions and nonlinear multipoint conjugate eigenvalue problems, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **3** (1997), 1-11.
- [10] P. W. Eloe and J. L. Henderson, Positive solutions for higher order ordinary differential equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **3** (1995) 1-8.
- [11] P. W. Eloe and E. R. Kaufmann, A unique limiting Green's function for a class of singular boundary value problems, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **28** (1994), 93-99.
- [12] L. H. Erbe and H. Wang, On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994), 743-748.
- [13] F. Fang, *Positive Solutions of a Class of Boundary Value Problems*, Ph.D. Dissert., Auburn University, 1997.
- [14] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1988.
- [15] P. Hartman, Difference equations: Disconjugacy, principal solutions, Green's functions, complete monotonicity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2465** (1978), 1-30.
- [16] J. L. Henderson, Positive solutions for nonlinear difference equations, *Nonlinear Stud.* (to appear).
- [17] S. D. Lauer, Positive Solutions for nonlinear difference equations, etc. *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **4**, (1997), Number 3.
- [18] W. G. Kelley and A. C. Peterson, *Difference Equations, An Introduction with Applications*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1991.
- [19] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1964.
- [20] F. Merdivenci, Two positive solutions of a boundary value problem for difference equations, *J. Differ. Equations Appl.* **1** (1995), 263-270.

- [21] A. C. Peterson, Boundary value problems for an nth order linear difference equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **15** (1984), 124–132.

JOHNNY HENDERSON
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
AUBURN UNIVERSITY
AUBURN, ALABAMA 38649, USA
E-mail address: hendej2@mail.auburn.edu

SUSAN D. LAUER
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TUSKEGEE UNIVERSITY
TUSKEGEE, ALABAMA 36088, USA
E-mail address: lauersd@auburn.campus.mci.net