General Mathematics Vol. 9, No. 3–4 (2001)

Fourier transform of the probability measures

Romeo Vomişescu

Abstract

In this paper we make the connection between Fourier transform of a probability measure and the characteristic function in the $\mathbb{R}^2$ space; also we establish some the properties.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification:

1. Let Ω and Ω' be any sets, let $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}'$ to be two σ-algebras on Ω and Ω' respectively and the measurable spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{K})$, $(\Omega', \mathcal{K}')$.

A function $f : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is said to be $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$ - measurable if the borelian filed $f^{-1}(\mathcal{K}') \subset \mathcal{K}$. Let $f : (\Omega, \mathcal{K}) \to (\Omega', \mathcal{K}')$ be a measurable function and let $\mu : \mathcal{K} \to [0, \infty)$ be a measure on $\mathcal{K}$. Then the function of set $\mu \circ f^{-1}$ defined on $\mathcal{K}'$ by the rule $\mu \circ f^{-1}$ is called, the image of the measure μ by f.

The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{K}, \mu)$ where $(\Omega, \mathcal{K})$ is a measurable space, and μ is a measure on $\mathcal{K}$, is called the space with measure. If $\mu(\Omega) = 1$, then μ is called the probability measure.

Let $\varphi : (\Omega, \mathcal{K}) \to (\Omega', \mathcal{K}')$ be a measurable function $f : (\Omega, \mathcal{K}) \to X(\mathbb{R} \vee \mathbb{C})$ is $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ - integrable, if and only if $f \circ \varphi$ is μ-integrable. In this case the following relation holds

$$\int (f \circ \varphi) d\mu = \int f \cdot d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \tag{1}$$

and is called the transport formula.

2. We note with $(\Omega, \mathcal{K}, P)$ a probability field and let $(X, \mathcal{X})$ be a measurable space where $\mathcal{X}$ is a borelian field on X. A measurable functions $f : (\Omega, \mathcal{K}, P) \to (X, \mathcal{X})$ is called a random variable. If the function f is a random variable, then the image of P by f we will note with $P \circ f^{-1}$ and will be called, distribution of f. In this case the distribution of f is the probability on $\mathcal{X}$ defined by $P \circ f^{-1}(A) = P(f^{-1}(A)),\ A \in \mathcal{X}$. This events $f^{-1}(A)$ we also denote by $\{f \in A\}$. If F is a probability on $\mathbb{R}^k$, then we will say that F has the density ρ if $F < m_k$ (m_k is the Lebesque measure on $\mathbb{R}^k$) and ρ is a version of the Radonikodym derivative dF/dm.

(For λ, μ-measures, $\lambda < \mu$ denote that λ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ, i.e. $\mu(A) = 0$ implies that $\lambda(A) = 0$)

If the function $f : (\Omega, \mathcal{K}, P) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is a random variable, we will say that f has the density ρ if the distribution $P \circ f^{-1}$ has the density ρ. Hence a function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^k \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is the density of the random variable f if:

i) ρ is measurable and $\rho \geq 0$

ii) $P(f \in A) = \int\limits_A \rho(x) dm_k(x),\ A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^k}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a borelian field.

For a random variable $f : (\Omega, \mathcal{K}, P) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ and for a measurable function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k$ and for a measurable function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{C}$, the transport formula can be written as

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} \varphi \circ f dP = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^k} \varphi(x) dP \circ f^{-1}(x) \tag{2}$$

In particular, if f has the density ρ, then

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} \varphi \circ f dP = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^k} \varphi(x) \rho(x) dx \tag{3}$$

Let $\zeta = (\xi, \eta)$ be a random vector whose components are the random variables ξ and η. If so, the function F define by the relation

$$F(z) = F(x, y) = P(\xi \leq x, \eta \leq y),\ \forall (z) = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \tag{4}$$

is called the distribution function of the random vector ζ, where $P(\xi \leq x, \eta \leq y)$ is the probability that an aleatory point $\xi \in (-\infty, x]$, $\eta \in (-\infty, y]$.

The function F has analogous properties with the distribution function from the unudimensional case:

$$0 \leq F(x,y) \leq 1, \lim_{x,y\to-\infty} F(x,y) = 0, \lim_{x,y\to\infty} F(x,y) = 1.$$

The monotony condition of the function F will be characterized by the following inequalities:

$$F(x+h,y) - F(x,y) \geq 0, \ F(x,y+h) - F(x,y) \geq 0$$

$$F(x+h,y+h) - F(x+h,y) \geq F(x,y+h) - F(x,y)$$

where h and k represent two positive increases. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be boolean algebra of all B-intervals of the form

$$\Delta = [a,b] \times [c,d], \ a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R}$$

and let $\mu : \mathcal{V} \to [0,\infty]$ be a measure on $\mathcal{V}$ so that $\mu(\Delta) < \infty$.

We know (see [3]) that there exists a monotone nondecreasing and left-continuous function F on $\mathbb{R}^2$, so that $\forall a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

(5) $\mu([a,b) \times [c,d)) = F(b,d) - F(a,d) - F(b,c) + F(a,c) = P(\zeta \in \Delta)$

The reciprocal being also valid.

If F_1 and F_2 are monotone non-decreasing and left-continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$, so that

$$\mu([a,b] \times [c,d]) = F_1(b,d) - F_1(a,d) - F_1(b,c) + F_1(a,c) =$$

$$= F_2(b,d) - F_2(a,d) - F_2(b,c) + F_2(a,c), \ \forall a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R},$$

then there exists a hyperbolic constant.

$\psi(x,y) = \varphi(x) + \psi(y)$ so that $F_2(x,y) = F_1(x,y) + \psi$. If μ is a measure on $\mathcal{V}$ with $\mu(\mathbb{R}^2) = \alpha < \infty$, then a monotone non-decreasing and left-continuous function F on $\mathbb{R}^2$, can be found, having the properties $\lim_{x,y\to-\infty} F(x,y) = 0, \ \lim_{x,y\to\infty} F(x,y) = \alpha$ and (4) holds.

The function F so defined, is unique. If $\alpha = 1$, then the function F is called distribution (probability).

3. Let $\zeta = (\xi, \eta)$ be a random vector. Then, one defines for each measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, Fourier transform or otherwise characteristically function of the probability measure

$$\widehat{\mu}(t) = \int e^{i<t,z>} dF(z), \; t \in \mathbb{R}^2 \tag{6}$$

where $t = (u, v), \; z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. This function is called the distribution of μ. We have

$$\widehat{\mu}(t) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{<t,z>} dF(z) \tag{7}$$

where $F(z)$ has the expression (4).

If the random vraiable $f : (\Omega, \mathcal{K}, P) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mu = P \circ f^{-1}$ is distribution of f, then the characteristically function $\widehat{\mu}$ is

$$\widehat{\mu}(t) = \int e^{i<t,z>} d\mu(z) = \int\limits_{\Omega} e^{i<t,f>} dP = M \cdot e^{i<t,f>} \tag{8}$$

where M is the mean value. In this case we say that $\widehat{\mu}$ is the characteristically function of the random variable f. If ρ is the density in the point (x, y) of a mass equal with the unit ditributed in plane x, y, then

$$\widehat{\mu}(u, v) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(ux+vy)} d\mu(x, y) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(ux+vy)} P(x, y) dx dy \tag{9}$$

Theorem 1 *For each measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ we have:*

i) $\widehat{\mu}(0) = 1$

ii) $\widehat{\mu}(-t) = \overline{\widehat{\mu}(t)}$

iii) $\forall a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $t_1, t_2, ..., t_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$ *we have*

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a_j \cdot \overline{a_k} \cdot \widehat{\mu}(t_j - t_k) \geq 0$$

iv) $\widehat{\mu}$ *is a uniformly continuous function.*

Proof. i) This follows from (8)

ii) $\widehat{\mu}(-t) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{e^{i<t,z>}} d\mu(z) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{e^{i<t,z>} d\mu(z)} = \overline{\widehat{\mu} t}$

iii) $\sum\limits_{j,k} a_j \overline{a_k} \widehat{\mu}(t_j - t_k) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum\limits_{j,k} a_j \cdot \overline{a_k} e^{i<t_j - t_k, z>} d\mu(z) =$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\sum_j a_j \cdot e^{i<t,z>}|^2 \cdot d\mu(z) \geq 0$$

iv) $\forall \nu = (h,k) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+, |\widehat{\mu}(u+h, v+k) - \widehat{\mu}(u,v)| \leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} |e^{i<\nu,z>} - 1| d\mu(z),$
where $|\nu| = (h^2 + k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The integrand is bounded and it tends to zero for $\nu \to 0$. Then according to Lebesque's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{|\nu| \to 0} \sup_{(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(u+h, v+k) - \widehat{\mu}(u,v)| = 0$$

and so $\widehat{\mu}$ is uniformly continuous.

Theorem 2 *Let μ be a measure ON $\mathbb{R}^2$ and*

$$\int |x_j| d\mu(x) < \infty, \ j = \overline{1,k}, x = (x_1, ..., x_k), t = (t_1, ..., t_k).$$

Then $\widehat{\mu}$ is partial derivative with respect to t_j and we have

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\mu}}{\partial t_j}(t) = i \int x_j \cdot e^{i<t,z>} d\mu(x) \tag{10}$$

The partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \widehat{\mu}}{\partial t_j}(t)$ are uniformly continuous.

Proof. Let e_j be the vectors of an orthonormal base. Then we have

$$\frac{\widehat{\mu}(t + he_j) - \widehat{\mu}(t)}{h} = \int e^{i<t,x>} \cdot \frac{e^{ihx_j} - 1}{h} d\mu(x)$$

and $\left| e^{i<t,x>} \cdot \frac{e^{ihx_j} - 1}{h} \right| \leq |x_j|$.

For $h \to 0$ and using the Lebesque's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain (10). The second part of the theorem follows from Theorem (1).

Observation 1 *It is easy to show that, if μ is a measure on $\mathbb{R}^k$ and*

$$\int |x^n| d\mu(x) < \infty, x^n = x_1^n, ..., x_k^{n_k}, n_i \geq 0, |n| = n_1 + ... + n_k,$$

then the $\frac{\partial^{|n|}}{\partial t_1^{n_1} ... \partial t_k^{n_k}}(\widehat{\mu}(t))$ *exists and*

$$\frac{\partial^{|n|}}{\partial t_1^{n_1} ... \partial t_k^{n_k}} \widehat{\mu}(t) = i^{|n|} \cdot \int x_1^{n_1} ... x_k^{n_k} \cdot e^{i<t,x>} \cdot d\mu(x) \tag{11}$$

where i-imaginary unit.

Theorem 3 *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^2$, so that $\int |x| d\mu(x,y) < \infty$, $\int |y| d\mu(x,y) < \infty$. Then*

a) If $\int x d\mu(x,y) = 0$, $\int y d\mu(x,y) = 0$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\widehat{\mu} \left(\frac{u}{n}, \frac{v}{n} \right) \right]^n = 1 \tag{12}$$

b) If in addition,

$$\int x^2 d\mu(x,y) = 1, \int y^2 d\mu(x,y) = 1 \text{ and } \int x dy \mu(x,y) = 0$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\widehat{\mu} \left(\frac{u}{n}, \frac{v}{n} \right) \right]^n = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)}$$

Proof. a) From hypothesis and from the theorem (2) follows that $\widehat{\mu}(u,v)$ is differentiable and it's partial derivatives are continuous. Since

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\mu}(u,v)}{\partial u} = i \int x \cdot e^{i(ux+vy)} d\mu(x,y), \ \frac{\partial \widehat{\mu}(u,v)}{\partial v} = i \int y e^{i(ux+vy)} d\mu(x,y)$$

follows that

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\mu}}{\partial u}(0,0) = i \int x d\mu(x,y) = 0, \ \frac{\partial \widehat{\mu}}{\partial v}(0,0) = i \int y d\mu(x,y) = 0$$

Applying the formula Mac-Laurin, we obtain

$$\widehat{\mu}(t) = \widehat{\mu}(u,v) = \widehat{\mu}(0,0) + u \frac{\partial \widehat{\mu}}{\partial u}(\theta u, \theta v) + v \frac{\partial \widehat{\mu}}{\partial v}(\theta u, \theta v) =$$

$$= 1 + u \cdot \alpha(t) + v \cdot \beta(t)$$

for $|u| \leq 1,\ |v| \leq 1$ where $\alpha(t), \beta(t)$ are continuous functions in (0,0), and $\alpha(0) = 0$, $\beta(0) = 0$, $0 < \theta < 1$. Then,

$$\widehat{\mu}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) = \widehat{\mu}\left(\frac{u}{n}, \frac{v}{n}\right) = 1 + \frac{u}{n}\alpha\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) + \frac{v}{n}\beta\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) = 1 + \gamma_n(t)$$

where $\gamma_n(t) = \frac{u}{n}\alpha\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) + \frac{v}{n}\beta\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)$ with $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} n\gamma_n(t) = 0$, $\forall (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $\forall (u, v)$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left[\widehat{\mu}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right]^n = \lim_{n\to\infty}[1 + \gamma_n(t)]^n = \lim_{n\to\infty}\left[(1 + \gamma_n(t))^{\frac{1}{\gamma_n(t)}}\right]^{n\cdot\gamma_n(t)} = 1$$

b) From the theorem (2) follows that $\widehat{\mu}$ is twice differentiable with the partial derivatives of the second continuous order and

$$\frac{\partial\widehat{\mu}}{\partial u}(0,0) = 0,\ \frac{\partial\widehat{\mu}}{\partial v}(0,0) = 0,\ \frac{\partial^2\widehat{\mu}}{\partial u^2}(0,0) = -1,\ \frac{\partial^2\widehat{\mu}}{\partial v^2}(0,0) = -1,\ \frac{\partial^2\widehat{\mu}}{\partial u\partial v}(0,0) = 0.$$

Applying again the formula Mac-Laurin for $|u| \leq 1$, $|v| \leq 1$, $0 < \theta < 1$ we have

$$\widehat{\mu}(t) = \widehat{\mu}(u, v) = \widehat{\mu}(0,0) + u\frac{\partial\widehat{\mu}}{\partial u}(0,0) + v\frac{\partial\widehat{\mu}}{\partial v}(0,0) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\left[u^2\frac{\partial^2\widehat{\mu}}{\partial u^2}(\theta u, \theta v) + 2uv\frac{\partial^2\widehat{\mu}}{\partial u, \partial v} + v^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2\widehat{\mu}}{\partial v^2}(\theta u, \theta v)\right] \text{ or}$$

$$\widehat{\mu}(t) = \widehat{\mu}(u, v) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}u^2\theta_1(t) + uv\theta_2(t) + \frac{1}{2}v^2\theta_3(t) \text{ where}$$

$$\theta_1(0,0) = -1, \theta_3(0,0) = -1, \theta_2(0,0) = 0. \text{ Then,}$$

$$\widehat{\mu}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \widehat{\mu}\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{v}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{u^2}{n}\theta_1\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \frac{uv}{n}\theta_2\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{v^2}{n}\theta_3\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 1 + \sigma_n(t), \text{ where}$$

$$\sigma_n(t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{u^2}{n}\theta_1\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \frac{uv}{n}\theta_2\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{v^2}{n}\theta_3\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\sigma_n(t) = -\frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2),\ \forall (u, v)$$

.

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left[\widehat{\mu}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^n = e^{-\dfrac{1}{2}(u^2+v^2)}.$$

References

[1] E. Kamke, *Integrala Lebega-Stieltesa*, Fizmatgiz - Moscova

[2] A.N. Şiriaev, *Veroiatnosti*, Moscova, 1989.

[3] R. Vomişescu, *Stieltjes integrals with probability interpretations*, Acta Technica Napocensis, 1994.

Department of Mathematics
University "Lucian Blaga" of Sibiu,
Str. Dr. I. Ratiu Nr.7
2400 Sibiu, Romania