

Argument Estimates of certain Multivalent Functions involving Dziok–Srivastava Operator¹

T.N.Shanmugam, S.Sivasubramanian, Shigeyoshi Owa

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to derive some argument properties using multivalent functions in the open unit disc involving Dziok–Srivastava operator. We also investigate their integral preserving property.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45

Key words:Strongly starlike functions, subordination, univalent functions

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A}_p be the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\})$$

which are *analytic* in the open unit disc $\mathcal{U} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be *p-valently starlike* of order α in \mathcal{U} , if it satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

¹Received 13 August, 2007

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 4 December, 2007

The class of all p -valently starlike functions of order α is denoted by $S_p^*(\alpha)$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be p -valently convex of order α in \mathcal{U} , if it satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

The class of all p -valently convex functions of order α is denoted by $\mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$. It follows from (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$(1.4) \quad f \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha) \text{ is equivalent with } zf' \in S_p^*(\alpha).$$

Further, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be a p -valently close to convex function of order β and type α , if there exists a function $g \in S_p^*(\alpha)$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \beta < p; z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

It is well known (see [13]) that every p - valently close-to-convex function is p -valent in \mathcal{U} . For arbitrary fixed real numbers A and B ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), let $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.6) \quad \phi(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$$

which are analytic in \mathcal{U} and satisfies the condition

$$(1.7) \quad \phi(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

where the symbol \prec stands for subordination. The class $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$ was introduced and studied by Janowski [11].

We note that a function $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(A, B)$, if and only if

$$(1.8) \quad \left| \phi(z) - \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2} \quad (B \neq -1, z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

For any $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(A, B)$,

$$(1.9) \quad Re \{ \phi(z) \} > \frac{1 - A}{2} \quad (B \neq -1, z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

For a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, given by (1.1), the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator F [1] is defined by

$$(1.10) \quad F(z) = \frac{\gamma + p}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} f(t) dt \quad (\gamma > -p; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

A simple computation shows that

$$(1.11) \quad F(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma + p}{\gamma + p + n} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \quad (\gamma > -p; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

It readily follows from (1.11) that

$$(1.12) \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_p \text{ implies } F \in \mathcal{A}_p.$$

For any two analytic functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, the Hadamard product or convolution of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, written as $(f * g)(z)$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

For complex parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s$ with $(\beta_j \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; j = 1, 2, \dots, s)$, we define the *generalized hypergeometric function* ${}_qF_s(z)$ by

$$(1.13) \quad {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n (\alpha_2)_n \dots (\alpha_q)_n}{(\beta_1)_n (\beta_2)_n \dots (\beta_s)_n (1)_n} z^n$$

$$(q \leq s+1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where $(\lambda)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(1.14) \quad (\lambda)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n = 0 \\ \lambda (\lambda + 1) \dots (\lambda + n - 1) & \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}.$$

Corresponding to a function $h_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ defined by

$$h_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) = z^p {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z),$$

we consider the Dziok–Srivastava operator [7]

$$H_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) : \mathcal{A}_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_p,$$

defined by the convolution

$$H_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) = h_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) * f(z).$$

We observe that, for a function f of the form (1.1), we have

$$(1.15) \quad H_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s) f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \Gamma_n a_n z^n$$

where

$$(1.16) \quad \Gamma_n = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-p} (\alpha_2)_{n-p}, \dots, (\alpha_q)_{n-p}}{(\beta_1)_{n-p} (\beta_2)_{n-p}, \dots, (\beta_s)_{n-p} (1)_{n-p}}.$$

For convenience, we write

$$(1.17) \quad H_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s) := H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)$$

Thus, through a simple calculations, we obtain

$$(1.18) \quad z(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' = \alpha_1 H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z) - (\alpha_1 - p)H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z).$$

The Dziok–Srivastava operator $H_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s)$ includes various other linear operators which were considered in earlier works in the literature. In particular, for $p = 2, q = 1$, the Dziok–Srivastava operator reduces to the operator $\mathcal{L}_p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1)f(z)$, studied by Saitoh and Nunokawa [19]. For $p = s = 1$ and $q = 2$, we obtain the linear operator:

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1)f(z) = H_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1)f(z),$$

which was introduced by Hohlov [9]. Moreover, putting $\alpha_2 = 1$, we obtain the Carlson-Shaffer operator [3]:

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) = H_1(\alpha_1, 1; \beta_1)f(z).$$

Ruscheweyh [18] introduced an operator

$$(1.19) \quad \mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^\lambda} * f(z) \quad (\lambda \geq -1; f \in \mathcal{A}_p).$$

From the equation (1.18), we have

$$(1.20) \quad \mathcal{D}^\lambda f(z) = H_1(\lambda + 1, 1; 1)f(z).$$

A detailed investigation on argument estimates using $L_p(a, c)$ was discussed by Cho et al. [6]. In this paper, motivated by the work of Cho et al. [6], we give some argument properties of function in certain subclasses of \mathcal{A}_p involving the Dziok–Srivastava operator $H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)$. An application of certain integral operator is also considered . The results obtained here, besides extending the works of Bulboacă [2], Nunokawa [16], Chichra [4], Libera [12] and Sakaguchi [20], also yields a number of new results.

2 Main Results

To establish the main results we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [14]. *Let $h(z)$ be convex univalent in \mathcal{U} and let $\psi(z)$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} with $\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(z)\} \geq 0$. If $\phi(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} and $\psi(0) = \phi(0)$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(z) + \psi(z)z\phi'(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

implies

$$(2.2) \quad \phi(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Lemma 2.2. [17]. *Let $p(z)$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} with $p(0) = 1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$. If there exists two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad -\frac{\eta_1\pi}{2} = \arg p(z_1) < \arg p(z) < \arg p(z_2) = \frac{\eta_2\pi}{2}$$

for $\eta_1 > 0$, $\eta_2 > 0$, and for $|z| < |z_1| = |z_2|$, then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{z_1 p'(z_1)}{p(z_1)} = -i \frac{\eta_1 + \eta_2}{2} m$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{z_2 p'(z_2)}{p(z_2)} = i \frac{\eta_1 + \eta_2}{2} m$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad m \geq \frac{1 - |a|}{1 + |a|} \quad \text{and} \quad a := i \tan \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\eta_1 - \eta_2}{\eta_1 + \eta_2} \right).$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $\alpha_1 > 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, and suppose that $g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)g(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

If

$$(2.8) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2$$

$$(\lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad 0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$(2.9) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 < \arg \left\{ \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta_2 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where η_1 ($0 < \eta_1 \leq 1$) and η_2 ($0 < \eta_2 \leq 1$) are the solutions of the equations

$$(2.10) \quad \delta_1 = \begin{cases} \eta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - t_1)}{2\alpha_1(1+A)/(1+B)(1+|a|) + \lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - t_1)} \right], & \text{for } B \neq -1 \\ \eta_1, & \text{for } B = -1 \end{cases}$$

$$(2.11) \quad \delta_2 = \begin{cases} \eta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - t_1)}{2\alpha_1(1+A)/(1+B)(1+|a|) + \lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - t_1)} \right], & \text{for } B \neq -1 \\ \eta_2, & \text{for } B = -1 \end{cases}$$

and t_1 is given by

$$(2.12) \quad t_1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left\{ \frac{A - B}{1 - AB} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} = \beta + (1 - \beta)\phi(z).$$

Then the function is analytic $\phi(z)$ analytic in \mathcal{U} with $\phi(0) = 1$. On differentiating with respect to z both sides of (2.13) and using the identity (1.18), we get

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} = \\ & = (1 - \beta) \left\{ \phi(z) + \frac{\lambda z \phi'(z)}{\alpha_1 r(z)} \right\} \\ & \text{where,} \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.15) \quad r(z) = \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)g(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)}$$

Let

$$(2.16) \quad r(z) = \rho e^{(\pi\theta/2)i}$$

then from (2.7) followed by (1.8) and (1.9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - A}{1 - B} & < \rho < \frac{1 + A}{1 + B}, \\ -t_1 & < \theta < t_1 \quad \text{for} \quad B \neq -1, \end{aligned}$$

where t_1 is given by (2.12), and

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1 - A}{2} & < \rho < \infty, \\ -1 & < \theta < 1 \quad \text{for} \quad B \neq -1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h(z)$ be the function which maps the open unit disc \mathcal{U} onto the angular domain $\{\omega : -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg(\omega) < -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2\}$ with $h(0) = 1$. Applying Lemma 2.1 for this $h(z)$ with $\psi(z) = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha r(z)}$, we see that $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)) > 0$ in \mathcal{U} and hence $\phi(z) \neq 0$ in \mathcal{U} . Suppose there exists points z_1 and z_2 such that (2.3) is satisfied. Then by Lemma 2.2, we obtain (2.4) and (2.5) with the restrictions (2.6). For the case $B \neq -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \arg \left\{ (1-\lambda) \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z_1)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z_1)} + \lambda \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f(z_1)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)g(z_1)} - \beta \right\} \\
&= \arg \phi(z_1) + \arg \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_1 r(z_1)} \frac{z_1 \phi'(z_1)}{\phi(z_1)} \right\} \\
&= \frac{-\pi}{2} \eta_1 + \arg \left[1 - i \frac{\lambda e^{i(-\frac{\pi\theta}{2})}}{\rho \alpha_1} \frac{\eta_1 + \eta_2}{2} m \right] \\
&\leq \frac{-\pi}{2} \eta_1 - \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)m \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-\theta)}{2\alpha_1 \rho + \lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)m \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-\theta)} \right] \leq \frac{-\pi}{2} \eta_1 - \\
&- \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1-|a|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)}{2\alpha_1(1+A)/(1+B)(1+|a|) + \lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1-|a|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)} \right] \\
&= -\frac{\pi}{2} \delta_1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \arg \left\{ (1-\lambda) \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z_2)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z_2)} + \lambda \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f(z_2)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)g(z_2)} - \beta \right\} \\
&= \arg \phi(z_2) + \arg \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_1 r(z_2)} \frac{z_2 \phi'(z_2)}{\phi(z_2)} \right\} \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \eta_2 + \\
&+ \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1-|a|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)}{2\alpha_1(1+A)/(1+B)(1+|a|) + \lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1-|a|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)} \right] \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \delta_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the case $B = -1$, we have

$$\arg \left\{ (1-\lambda) \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} \leq -\frac{\pi}{2} \delta_1$$

and

$$\arg \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z_2)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z_2)} + \lambda \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z_2)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)g(z_2)} - \beta \right\} \geq \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2$$

where we have used the inequality (2.6) with δ_1 , δ_2 and t_1 are as given in (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) respectively. These obviously contradict the assumption of Theorem 2.3. The proof of Theorem 2.3 is thus completed. If we let $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta$ in Theorem 2.3, we readily obtain the following.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\alpha_1 > 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, and suppose that $g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies*

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)g(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

If

$$(2.19) \quad \left| \arg \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

$$(\lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$(2.20) \quad \left| \arg \left\{ \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation

$$(2.21) \quad \delta = \begin{cases} \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda\eta \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)}{\alpha_1(1+A)/(1+B) + \lambda\eta \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)} \right] & \text{for } B \neq -1 \\ \eta & \text{for } B = -1 \end{cases}$$

and t_1 is given by (2.12).

For $s = q = 1$ in Theorem 2.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. Let $\alpha_1 > 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, and suppose that $g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies

$$(2.22) \quad \frac{L_p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

If

$$(2.23) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{L_p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2$$

$$(\lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad 0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$(2.24) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 < \arg \left\{ \frac{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta_2 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where η_1 ($0 \leq \eta_1 \leq 1$) and η_2 ($0 \leq \eta_2 \leq 1$) are the solutions of the equations

$$(2.25) \quad \delta_1 = \begin{cases} \eta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - t_1)}{2\alpha_1(1+A)/(1+B)(1+|a|) + \lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - t_1)} \right], & \text{for } B \neq -1 \\ \eta_1, & \text{for } B = -1 \end{cases}$$

$$(2.26) \quad \delta_2 = \begin{cases} \eta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - t_1)}{2\alpha_1(1+A)/(1+B)(1+|a|) + \lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - t_1)} \right], & \text{for } B \neq -1 \\ \eta_2, & \text{for } B = -1 \end{cases}$$

and t_1 is given by (2.12).

For $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta$, $s = q = 1$ in Theorem 2.3, we get the following result.

Corollary 2.6. Let $\alpha_1 > 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, and suppose that $g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies

$$(2.27) \quad \frac{L_p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

If

$$(2.28) \quad \left| \arg \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{L_p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

$$(\lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$(2.29) \quad \left| \arg \left\{ \frac{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation

$$(2.30) \quad \delta = \begin{cases} \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda\eta \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)}{\alpha_1(1+A)/(1+B)(1+|a|) + \lambda\eta \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)} \right] & \text{for } B \neq -1 \\ \eta & \text{for } B = -1 \end{cases}$$

and t_1 is given by (2.12).

Remark 2.7. For $\delta_1 = \delta_2$, $s = q = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = p$, $A = 1$, $B = -1$ and $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.3, we get the result obtained by Nunokawa [16].

Taking $s = q = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \mu + p$, $\beta_1 = 1$, $A = 1$, and $B = 0$ in Theorem 2.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, and suppose that $g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies

$$(2.31) \quad \frac{D^{\mu+p}g(z)}{D^{\mu+p-1}g(z)} \prec 1+z \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

If

$$(2.32) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg \left\{ (1-\lambda) \frac{D^{\mu+p-1}f(z)}{D^{\mu+p-1}g(z)} + \lambda \frac{D^{\mu+p}f(z)}{D^{\mu+p}g(z)} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2$$

$$(\lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad 0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$(2.33) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 < \arg \left\{ \frac{D^{\mu+p-1}f(z)}{D^{\mu+p-1}g(z)} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta_2 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where η_1 ($0 < \eta_1 \leq 1$) and η_2 ($0 < \eta_2 \leq 1$) are the solutions of the equations

$$(2.34) \quad \delta_1 = \begin{cases} \eta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1+\eta_2)(1-|a|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)}{2(\mu+p)(1+|a|) + \lambda(\eta_1+\eta_2)(1-|a|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)} \right] & \text{for } B \neq -1 \\ \eta_1 & \text{for } B = -1 \end{cases}$$

$$(2.35) \quad \delta_2 = \begin{cases} \eta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1+\eta_2)(1-|a|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)}{2(\mu+p)(1+|a|) + \lambda(\eta_1+\eta_2)(1-|a|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t_1)} \right] & \text{for } B \neq -1 \\ \eta_2 & \text{for } B = -1 \end{cases}$$

and t_1 is given by

$$(2.36) \quad t_1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left\{ \frac{A-B}{1-AB} \right\}.$$

Letting $B \rightarrow A$ and $g(z) = z^p$ in Theorem 2.3, we get the following corollary

Corollary 2.9. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$. If

$$(2.37) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg \left\{ (1-\lambda) \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1+1)f(z)}{z^p} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2$$

$$(2.38) \quad (\alpha_1 > 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad 0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then,

$$(2.39) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 < \arg \left\{ \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta_2 (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where η_1 ($0 < \eta_1 \leq 1$) and η_2 ($0 < \eta_2 \leq 1$) are the solutions of the equations

$$(2.40) \quad \delta_1 = \eta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1+\eta_2)(1-|a|)}{2\alpha_1(1+|a|)} \right]$$

and

$$(2.41) \quad \delta_2 = \eta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1+\eta_2)(1-|a|)}{2\alpha_1(1+|a|)} \right].$$

Corollary 2.10. *Under the hypothesis of Corollary 2.9, we have*

$$(2.42) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \eta_1 < \arg \{G'(z) - \beta\} < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta_2 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where the function $G(z)$ is defined in \mathcal{U} by

$$(2.43) \quad G(z) = \int_0^z \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(t)}{t^p} dt$$

and η_1 ($0 < \eta_1 \leq 1$) and η_2 ($0 < \eta_2 \leq 1$) are the solutions of the equations (2.40) and (2.41).

For $q = s = 1$, $B \rightarrow A$ and $g(z) = z^p$, in Corollary 2.9, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.11. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, satisfies*

$$(2.44) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \delta_1 < \arg \left\{ (1-\lambda) \frac{L_p(\alpha_1+1, \beta_1)f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{L_p(\alpha_1+1, \beta_1)f(z)}{z^p} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta_2$$

$$(2.45) \quad (\alpha_1 > 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad 0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$(2.46) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \eta_1 < \arg \left\{ \frac{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)}{z^p} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta_2 (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where η_1 ($0 < \eta_1 \leq 1$) and η_2 ($0 < \eta_2 \leq 1$) are the solutions of the equations

$$(2.47) \quad \delta_1 = \eta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|)}{2\alpha_1(1 + |a|)} \right]$$

$$(2.48) \quad \delta_2 = \eta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|)}{2\alpha_1(1 + |a|)} \right].$$

Remark 2.12. *Taking $q = s$, $p = \alpha_1 = \beta_1$, $\lambda = 1$, and $\beta = 0$ in Corollary 2.9 and $q = s = p = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, and $\beta = 0$ in Corollary 2.10, we get the results obtained by Cho et al. [5]*

Setting $A = 1 - \frac{2\alpha}{p}$ ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), $B = -1$ and $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1$ in Theorem 2.3, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.13. *Let $\alpha_1 > 0$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, and suppose that $g \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$. If*

$$(2.49) \quad Re \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)g(z)} \right\} > \beta$$

$$(\lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$(2.50) \quad Re \left\{ \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Corollary 2.14. *Let $\alpha_1 > 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, and suppose that $g \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$. If*

$$(2.51) \quad Re \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{L_p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z)} - \beta \right\} > \beta$$

$$(\lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then,

$$(2.52) \quad Re \left\{ \frac{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)}{L_p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Remark 2.15. *For $q = s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = p = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, Corollary 2.13, is the result obtained by Bulboacă [2]. If we put $q = s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = p = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, and $g(z) = z$ in Corollary 2.13, then we have the result due to Chichra [4]. Further, taking $q = s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = p$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in 2.13, we get the corresponding results obtained by Libera [12] and Sakaguchi [20].*

Theorem 2.16. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, satisfies*

$$(2.53) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg \left\{ \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{z^p} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2$$

$$(\alpha_1 > 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0; \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1; \quad 0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U})$$

then

$$(2.54) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 < \arg \left\{ \frac{(\gamma + p) \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1) f(t) dt}{z^{\gamma+p}} - \beta \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta_2 \quad (\gamma > -p; z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where η_1 ($0 \leq \eta_1 \leq 1$) and η_2 ($0 \leq \eta_2 \leq 1$) are the solutions of the equations

$$(2.55) \quad \delta_1 = \eta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|)}{2(\gamma + p)(1 + |a|)} \right]$$

$$(2.56) \quad \delta_2 = \eta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|)}{2(\gamma + p)(1 + |a|)} \right].$$

Proof Consider the function $\phi(z)$ defined in \mathcal{U} by

$$(2.57) \quad \frac{(\gamma + p) \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1) f(t) dt}{z^{\gamma+p}} = \beta + (1 - \beta)\phi(z).$$

Then $\phi(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{U} with $\phi(0) = 1$. Differentiating both sides of (2.57) and simplifying, we get

$$(2.58) \quad \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1) f(z)}{z^p} - \beta = (1 - \beta) \left\{ \phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\gamma + p} \right\}.$$

Now, by using Lemma 2.1 and a similar method in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we get (2.54).

Theorem 2.17. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$. If*

$$(2.59) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg \left\{ \frac{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1 + 1)f(z)}{H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)} - \frac{\alpha_1 - p - \gamma}{\alpha_1} \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2$$

$$(\alpha_1 > 0; \quad p + \gamma > 0; \quad 0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathcal{U})$$

then

$$(2.60) \quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta_1 < \arg \left\{ \frac{z^\gamma H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z)}{\int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(t)dt} \right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta_2 \quad (\gamma > -p; \quad z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where η_1 ($0 < \eta_1 \leq 1$) and η_2 ($0 < \eta_2 \leq 1$) are the solutions of the equations

$$(2.61) \quad \delta_1 = \eta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|)}{2(\gamma + p)(1 + |a|)} \right]$$

$$(2.62) \quad \delta_2 = \eta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{(\eta_1 + \eta_2)(1 - |a|)}{2(\gamma + p)(1 + |a|)} \right].$$

Proof. The proof of the theorem is much akin to the proof of Theorem 2.16 and hence we omit the details involved.

References

- [1] S. D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (1969), 429–446.
- [2] T. Bulboacă, *Subordinations by close-to-convex functions*, Mathematica (Cluj) 35(58) (1993), no. 2, 117–122.
- [3] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 15(1984), 737–745.
- [4] P.N.Chichra, *New subclasses of the class of close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1976), no. 1, 37–43.
- [5] N.E.Cho, J.A.Kim, I.H.Kim, and S.H.Lee, *Angular estimations of certain multivalent functions*, Math. Japon. 50 (1999),no. 2, 269–275 .
- [6] N. E. Cho, J.Patel,G.P. Mohapatra, *Argument estimates of certain multivalent functions involving a linear operator*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 31 (2002), no. 11, 659–673.

- [7] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput. 103 (1999), no. 1, 1–13.
- [8] S. Fukui, J. A. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, *On certain subclass of univalent functions by some integral operators*, Math. Japon. 50 (1999), no. 3, 359–370.
- [9] Ju. E. Hohlov, *Operators and operations on the class of univalent functions*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., no. 10(197), 83–89, (1978).
- [10] I. S. Jack, *Functions starlike and convex of order α* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) 3 (1971), 469–474.
- [11] W. Janowski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions*. I, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 21 (1973), 17–25.
- [12] R. J. Libera, *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 755–758.
- [13] A. E. Livingston, *p -valent close-to-convex functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965), 161–179.
- [14] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations and inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Differential Equations 67 (1987), no. 2, 199–211.
- [15] M. Nunokawa, *On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions*, Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A Math. Sci. 69 (1993), 234–237.
- [16] M. Nunokawa, *On some angular estimates of analytic functions*, Math. Japon. 41 (1995), no. 2, 447–452.
- [17] M. Nunokawa, S. Owa, H. Saitoh, N. E. Cho and N. Takahashi, *Some properties of analytic functions at extremal points for arguments*, preprint.
- [18] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1975), 109–115.

- [19] H. Saitoh and M. Nunokawa, *On certain subclasses of analytic functions involving a linear operator*, Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 963 (1996), 97–109.
- [20] K. Sakaguchi, *On a certain univalent mapping*, J.Math.Soc. Japan, 11 (1959), 72–75.
- [21] N. Takahashi and M. Nunokawa, *A certain connection between starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 16 (2003), 653–655.

T.N.Shanmugam

Department of Mathematics

College of Engineering, Anna university

Chennai 600 025, India

E-mail: shan@annauniv.edu

Shigeyoshi Owa

Department of Mathematics

Kinki University

Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577, Japan

E-mail: owas@kindai.ac.jp

S.Sivasubramanian

Department of Mathematics

Easwari Engineering College

Ramapuram, Chennai-600 089, India