

Corrigendum to "Topological entropy for impulsive differential equations" [*Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2020, No. 68, 1–15]

Jan Andres[⊠]

Department of Mathematical Analysis and Applications of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, 17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic

> Received 2 March 2021, appeared 25 March 2021 Communicated by Gennaro Infante

Abstract. The aim of this corrigendum is two-fold: (i) to indicate the incorrect parts in two propositions of our recent paper with the same title, (ii) to state the correct statements.

Keywords: topological entropy, impulsive differential equations, entropy of composition, Ivanov's inequality.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 34B37, 34C28, 37B40; Secondary 34C40, 37D45.

1 Incorrect propositions, their consequences and corrections

The vector impulsive differential equation under our consideration in [1] takes the form

$$\begin{cases} x' = F(t, x), \ t \neq t_j := j\omega, \text{ for some given } \omega > 0, \\ x(t_j^+) = I(x(t_j^-)), \ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $F \colon \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Carathéodory mapping such that $F(t, x) \equiv F(t + \omega, x)$, equation x' = F(t, x) satisfies a uniqueness condition and a global existence of all its solutions on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Let, furthermore, $I \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact continuous impulsive mapping such that $K_0 := \overline{I(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $I(K_0) = K_0$.

Unfortunately, there is a gap in the second part of the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1 (cf. [1, Proposition 3.1]). Let $T_{\omega} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the associated Poincaré translation operator along the trajectories of x' = F(t, x), such that $K_1 := T_{\omega}(K_0)$ and $K_0 \subset K_1$. Then the equality

$$h\left(I\big|_{K_{1}} \circ T_{\omega}\big|_{K_{0}}\right) = h\left(I\big|_{K_{0}}\right)$$

$$(1.2)$$

holds for the topological entropies h of the maps $I|_{K_1} \circ T_{\omega}|_{K_0} \colon K_0 \to K_0$ and $I|_{K_0} \colon K_0 \to K_0$.

[⊠]Email: jan.andres@upol.cz

J. Andres

Since the equality (1.2) was used in the proof of the first main theorem (see [1, Theorem 3.5]), this theorem can be corrected in the simplest way, when assuming (1.2) or, more generally the inequality

$$h\left(T_{\omega}\big|_{K_{0}} \circ I\big|_{K_{1}}\right) \ge h\left(I\big|_{K_{0}}\right), \qquad (1.3)$$

explicitly. Then the following correction has rather a character of a proposition.

Theorem 1.2. The vector impulsive differential equation (1.1) exhibits under (1.3) chaos in the sense of a positive topological entropy of the composition $I|_{K_1} \circ T_{\omega}|_{K_0}$, i.e. $h(I|_{K_1} \circ T_{\omega}|_{K_0}) > 0$, provided $I(K_0) = K_0$ and $K_0 \subset K_1$, where $K_0 := \overline{I(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $K_1 := T_{\omega}(K_0)$, jointly with $h(I|_{K_0}) > 0$.

Despite this gap, all the related illustrative examples (see [1, Examples 3.7–3.9]) can be shown to be correct, when verifying (1.3), by means of e.g. a slightly generalized version of [2, Proposition 3.2].

The same type of a gap is in the proposition for the problem (1.1) considered, under the natural additional assumptions

$$F(t,\ldots,x_j,\ldots) \equiv F(t,\ldots,x_{j+1},\ldots), \quad j=1,\ldots,n,$$
(1.4)

and

$$I(\ldots, x_j, \ldots) \equiv I(\ldots, x_{j+1}, \ldots) \pmod{1}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{1.5}$$

on the torus $\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ (see [1, Proposition 4.1]). Quite analogously, the second main theorem (see [1, Theorem 4.3]) can be corrected by the additional technical assumption

$$h\left((\tau \circ T_{\omega}) \circ (\tau \circ I)\right) \ge h(\tau \circ I),\tag{1.6}$$

where $\tau \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ denotes the natural projection.

Since on tori, we have to our disposal the Ivanov inequality for the lower estimate of topological entropy in terms of the asymptotic Nielsen numbers (see [4] and cf. [1, Proposition 2.7]), the third main theorem in [1, Theorem 4.6] remains valid, even without verifying (1.6), in the following way.

Theorem 1.3. Consider, under the above assumptions and (1.4), (1.5), the vector impulsive differential equation (1.1) on $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. Assume that the impulsive mapping $(\tau \circ I) \colon \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ is homotopic to a continuous map $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $N^{\infty}(f) > 1$, i.e.

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}|\lambda(f^m)|^{\frac{1}{m}}>1,$$

where $\lambda(f^m)$ stands for the Lefschetz number of the *m*-th iterate of *f*.

Then

$$\begin{split} h\left((\tau \circ I) \circ (\tau \circ T_{\omega})\right) &\geq \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log N\left(\left((\tau \circ I) \circ (\tau \circ T_{\omega})\right)^{m}\right) \\ &= \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log N\left((\tau \circ I)^{m}\right) = \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log N\left(f^{m}\right) > 0 \end{split}$$

holds, where $N(f^m)$ denotes the Nielsen number of the *m*-th iterate of *f*, and subsequently equation (1.1) exhibits on $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ chaos in the sense of a positive topological entropy of the composition $(\tau \circ I) \circ (\tau \circ T_{\omega})$.

That is also why that all the related illustrative examples (see [1, Examples 4.5, 4.7, 4.9]) remain on this basis correct.

2 Concluding remarks

To verify the inequalities (1.3) and (1.6) is not an easy task (see e.g. [3]). We will try to affirm them at least in some particular cases elsewhere. In \mathbb{R} , the most promising way seems to be via the statements along the lines of [2, Proposition 3.2].

Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the Grant IGA_PrF_2020_015 "Mathematical Models" of the Internal Grant Agency of Palacký University in Olomouc.

References

- J. ANDRES, Topological entropy for impulsive differential equations, *Electron. J. Qual. The*ory Differ. Equ. 2020, No. 68, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.14232/ejqtde.2020.1.68
- [2] J. S. CÁNOVAS, A. LINERO, On topological entropy of commuting interval maps, Nonlin. Anal. 51(2002), 1159–1165. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(01)00885-9
- [3] L. W. GOODWYN, Some counter-examples in topological entropy, *Topology* 11(1972), 377–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(72)90033-X
- [4] N. V. IVANOV, Entropy and Nielsen numbers, Soviet. Math. Dokl. 26(1982), 63–66; translation from Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 265(1982), 284–287. Zbl 0515.54016