Electron. Commun. Probab. **17** (2012), no. 62, 1–13. DOI: 10.1214/ECP.v17-2310 ISSN: 1083-589X

ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS in PROBABILITY

Filtered Azéma martingales

Umut Çetin*

Abstract

We study the optional projection of a standard Brownian motion on the natural filtration of certain kinds of observation processes. The observation process, Y, is defined as a solution of a stochastic differential equation such that it reveals some (possibly noisy) information about the signs of the Brownian motion when Y hits 0. As such, the associated optional projections are related to Azéma's martingales which are obtained by projecting the Brownian motion onto the filtration generated by observing its signs.

Keywords: Azéma's martingale; excursions of Brownian motion; skew Brownian motion; optional projection; local times.
AMS MSC 2010: 60G35; 60J55; 60H10.
Submitted to ECP on September 14, 2012, final version accepted on December 16, 2012.
Supersedes arXiv:1209.3180.

1 Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions and W be a standard Brownian motion with $W_0 = 0$ and adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}$. Define $\mathcal{G}_t^0 := \sigma(\operatorname{sgn}(W_s); s \le t)$, where

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0; \\ -1, & \text{if } x \le 0, \end{cases}$$

and let $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the augmentation of \mathcal{G}_t^0 with the \mathbb{P} -null sets. Azéma's martingale is obtained by projecting W onto \mathcal{G} . We will denote the $(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ -optional projection of W with μ . This martingale first appeared in [1] and was further studied in a series of papers such as [2], [6] and [12]. Our presentation follows [13].

By construction Azéma's martingale is closely related to the excursions of Brownian motion away from 0. In fact, if we set

$$\gamma_t := \sup\{s \le t : W_s = 0\},$$
(1.1)

then (see, e.g. [13])

$$\mu_t = \mathbb{E}[W_t | \mathcal{G}_t] = \operatorname{sgn}(W_t) \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{t - \gamma_t}.$$
(1.2)

Thus, Azéma's martingale is the best estimate, in a mean-square sense, for the value of a Brownian motion when one only observes its zeroes and the signs of its excursions.

E-mail: u.cetin@lse.ac.uk

^{*}Department of Statistics, London School of Economics and Political Science, UK.

The above interpretation of μ was used by [4] to model the default probabilities of a firm under incomplete information. Assuming cash balances follow a Brownian motion, [4] defines the default time for the firm as the first time that its cash balances have remained negative for a certain amount of time and doubled in absolute value. On the other hand, the market's only information regarding the cash balances is whether the firm is in financial distress, i.e. the cash balance is negative, or not. This information set thus corresponds to \mathcal{G} in above notation. Using certain properties of Azéma's martingale and some results from excursion theory the authors explicitly compute the \mathcal{G} -predictable compensator of the default indicator process. The use of Azéma's martingale in Mathematical Finance Theory is not limited to default risk. It is also the key process in models for Parisian barrier options (see [5]).

Motivation of this paper comes from the following question: What happens to the optional projection of Brownian motion when we observe its signs, possibly with some noise, at the zeroes of another process which we can observe continuously? Clearly, the answer to this question depends on how one defines the observation process. The most common approach in applications is to model the observation process as a solution of a stochastic differential equation. In this paper we will look at two different types of stochastic differential equations for the observation process.

The first formulation that we will consider corresponds to the case when one imperfectly observes the signs of Brownian motion at the zeroes of an observation process. Here imperfection corresponds to the case when the true signal is contaminated with some noise. In view of the standard nonlinear filtering theory one can model the observation process as a (weak) solution to the following stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$Y_t = B_t + \alpha \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_s(Y)}) \, ds \tag{1.3}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, *B* is a standard Brownian motion independent of *W*, and

$$g_t(Y) := \sup\{s \le t : Y_s = 0\}.$$
(1.4)

In Section 2 we study the existence and uniqueness of (weak) solutions of (1.3) and the projection of W onto the natural filtration of the solution. The methods employed are standard techniques from nonlinear filtering theory. On the other hand, the existence of a strong solution to (1.3) remains as an interesting open problem.

Another possibility for modeling the observation process is to introduce the knowledge on the sign of W through the local times of Y whose support is contained in the zero set of Y. In this case the corresponding SDE is the following:

$$Y_t = B_t + \alpha \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_s) dL_s, \tag{1.5}$$

where L is the *symmetric* local time (see Exercise VI.1.25 in [14] for a definition) of Y at 0. We will see in Section 3 that the solution to the above equation is closely related to the *skew Brownian motion* which we recall next.

Theorem 1.1. (Harrison and Shepp [8]) *There is a unique strong solution, called* skew Brownian motion, *to*

$$X_t = B_t + \alpha L_t(X), \tag{1.6}$$

where L(X) is the symmetric local time of X at the level 0 if and only if $|\alpha| \leq 1$.

First appearances of skew Brownian motion in the literature goes back to as early as [9] and [15]. Formally it is obtained by changing the sign of a Brownian motion in

ECP 17 (2012), paper 62.

every excursion depending on the value of an independent Bernoulli random variable. A related SDE introduced by Sophie Weinryb is

$$X_t = B_t + \int_0^t \alpha(s) dL_s(X),$$

whose pathwise uniqueness is established in [16] when α is a deterministic function taking values in [-1,1] (see [7] for a recent work on the existence of solutions and related issues).

The reader is referred to the recent survey in [10] where one can find a discussion of different constructions of skew Brownian motion and its properties. In Section 3 we will prove that there exists a unique *strong* solution to (1.5) and see how it is connected to the solutions of (1.6). This connection will be helpful in the characterisaton of the natural filtration of the solution of (1.5) and the associated projection of W, which is our main concern. We will see that this projection changes only by jumps which may only occur at the end of an excursion interval of a skew Brownian motion.

2 Filtered Azéma martingale of the first kind

Observe that the drift coefficient of the SDE in (1.3) is path dependent and, thus, the classical results on the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions of SDEs do not apply. However, since sgn function is bounded, one can easily construct a weak solution to this equation on any interval [0, T]. Indeed, if β and W are two independent Brownian motions in some probability space, one can define a change of measure via the martingale

$$\exp\left(\alpha\int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_s(\beta)})d\beta_s - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 t\right)$$

and under the new measure β solves (1.3) while W stays a Brownian motion. The same Girsanov transform also implies that the law of any weak solution (W, Y) of (1.3) is the same. Let \mathcal{F}^Y be the smallest filtration satisfying the usual conditions and containing the filtration generated by Y. In the remainder of this section we will fix a weak solution to (1.3) and compute the corresponding conditional probabilities for this pair. However, the weak uniqueness of the solutions imply that the conditional laws of W on \mathcal{F}^Y computed in this section¹ do not depend on the choice of the weak solution.

In the computations performed in this and the subsequent section we will often make use of the *balayage formula* as given in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.1. (Theorem VI.4.2 in [14]) If *K* is a locally bounded \mathcal{F} -predictable process, $(K_{a_t(Y)}Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a continuous semimartingale and satisfies

$$K_{g_t(Y)}Y_t = \int_0^t K_{g_s(Y)}dY_s.$$

As a first application of the balayage formula, we will now see that $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g(B^{(\alpha)})})B^{(\alpha)}$ is a weak solution of (1.3) where $B^{(\alpha)}$ is defined by $B_t^{(\alpha)} = B_t + \alpha t$. Indeed, if we set $Y_t = \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(B^{(\alpha)})})B_t^{(\alpha)}$, then balayage formula implies

$$dY_t = \operatorname{sgn}(W_{q_t(B^{(\alpha)})})dB_t + \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_{q_t(B^{(\alpha)})})dt.$$

Moreover, $\int_0^{\cdot} \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(B^{(\alpha)})}) dB_t$ is a standard Brownian motion independent of W. The claim follows since by construction $g(Y) = g(B^{(\alpha)})$. Thus, by the uniqueness of weak

¹One should be careful in computing the conditional laws of random variables measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_{∞} since the martingale used for the change of measure is not uniformly integrable.

solutions, we obtain

$$Y \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{sgn}(W_{q(B^{(\alpha)})})B^{(\alpha)}.$$
(2.1)

In other words, Y is obtained by changing the sign of a Brownian motion with drift via the sign of an independent Brownian motion sampled at the beginning of the current excursion (away from 0) of the drifting Brownian motion. As such, the resulting process in a sense is in the same spirit of a skew Brownian motion described in (1.6), which will be relevant to the filtered Azéma martingale of the second kind discussed in the next section.

An immediate consequence of the aforementioned equality in law is the following

Proposition 2.2. Let (Y, W) be the unique weak solution of (1.3). Then,

i) $\lim_{t\to\infty} |Y_t| = \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}(Y_\infty = \infty) = \mathbb{P}(Y_\infty = -\infty) = \frac{1}{2}$. *ii*) $\mathbb{P}(\sup\{t : Y_t = 0\} < \infty) = 1$.

Proof. i) follows from the fact that $|B_t^{(\alpha)}| \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and that W is independent of $B^{(\alpha)}$. Similarly, since $B^{(\alpha)}$ transient, there is a last time that it hits 0. Since the zeroes of Y are the same as those of $B^{(\alpha)}$, the result follows.

The above result is another manifestation of that the law of Y is equivalent to the law of a Brownian motion *only if* they are stopped at a finite stopping time. Indeed, if the law of Y were equivalent to the Wiener measure, the zero set of Y would be unbounded with probability 1. This discrepancy also confirms that the martingale used to obtain the measure change is not uniformly integrable.

Remark 2.3. If we set $Z_t = sgn(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t$ and thereby note that g(Z) = g(Y), we obtain via balayage formula

$$Z_t = \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_s(Z)}) dB_s + \alpha t.$$
(2.2)

Let's consider the analogous SDE without drift, i.e.

$$Z_t = \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_s(Z)}) dB_s.$$
(2.3)

Then, there is a unique strong solution to this equation. Indeed, in view of the balayage formula, $sgn(W_{g_t(Z)})Z_t = B_t$. Thus, the zeroes of Z are the zeroes of B and we have $Z_t = sgn(W_{g_t(B)})B_t$.

On the other hand, similar arguments do not seem to work for (2.2). It is an open question whether this equation admits a strong solution.

We next obtain the semimartingale decomposition of Y with respect to its own filtration.

Proposition 2.4. Let (Y, W) be the unique weak solution of (1.3). Then,

i) $\mathbb{E}[sgn(W_{q_t(Y)})|\mathcal{F}_t^Y] = \tanh(\alpha Y_t);$

ii) Y has the following decomposition in its own filtration:

$$Y_t = B_t^Y + \alpha \int_0^t \tanh(\alpha Y_s) \, ds,$$

where B^{Y} is an \mathcal{F}^{Y} -Brownian motion.

ECP 17 (2012), paper 62.

Page 4/13

Proof. Note that ii) follows immediately from i) in view of the standard results on filtering, see, e.g. Theorem 8.1 in [11]. To see why i) holds take a constant T > t and consider the measure $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}_T$ under which $(Y_s)_{s \in [0,T]}$ is a Brownian motion independent of $(W_s)_{s \in [0,T]}$ where \mathbb{P}_T is the restriction of \mathbb{P} to \mathcal{F}_T . Then, it follows from Girsanov's theorem that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})|\mathcal{F}_t^Y] &= & \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\mathrm{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})\exp\left(\alpha\int_0^t \mathrm{sgn}(W_{g_s(Y)})dY_s - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 t\right) \left|\mathcal{F}_t^Y\right]\right]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\exp\left(\alpha\int_0^t \mathrm{sgn}(W_{g_s(Y)})dY_s - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 t\right) \left|\mathcal{F}_t^Y\right]\right]} \\ &= & \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\mathrm{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})\exp\left(\alpha\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t\right) \left|\mathcal{F}_t^Y\right]\right]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\exp\left(\alpha\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t\right) \left|\mathcal{F}_t^Y\right]\right]} \\ &= & \frac{\sinh(\alpha Y_t)}{\cosh(\alpha Y_t)}, \end{split}$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 2.1 and the last equality is due to the independence of W and Y (up to time T) under \mathbb{Q} along with the facts that $g_t(Y)$ is \mathcal{F}_t^Y -measurable and the probability that $W_s > 0$ is 1/2 for any s.

Using the same technique as in the proof of the above proposition, we can obtain the conditional law of W.

Theorem 2.5. Let p(t, y - x) be the transition density of a standard Brownian motion and set

$$\Phi(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{x} p(1, y) \, dy.$$
(2.4)

i) The \mathcal{F}_t^Y -conditional law of W_t has a density, which is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(W_t \in dx | \mathcal{F}_t^Y] = p(t, x) \frac{\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{g_t(Y)}{t(t-g_t(Y))}}x\right) e^{\alpha Y_t} + \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\frac{g_t(Y)}{t(t-g_t(Y))}}x\right) e^{-\alpha Y_t}}{\cosh(\alpha Y_t)} dx.$$

ii) The conditional moments of W are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[W_t^n | \mathcal{F}_t^Y] = \begin{cases} \frac{(2k)!}{\sqrt{\pi}k!} \left(\frac{g_t(Y)}{2}\right)^k, & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ \frac{k!}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(2g_t(Y)\right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \tanh(\alpha Y_t), & \text{if } n = 2k+1. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}[W_t | \mathcal{F}_t^Y] = \sqrt{\frac{2g_t(Y)}{\pi}} \tanh(\alpha Y_t).$$

Proof. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(W_t)|\mathcal{F}_t^Y] = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(W_t)\exp\left(\alpha\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t\right)\big|\mathcal{F}_t^Y\right]}{\cosh(\alpha Y_t)}$$

where \mathbb{Q} is the measure defined in the proof of Proposition 2.4. Moreover, the numerator in the above fraction equals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x) p(t, x) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)}) Y_t \right) \left| \mathcal{F}_t^Y, W_t = x \right]$$
(2.5)

due to the independence of W and Y under \mathbb{Q} . On the other hand, for any $s \leq t$ the distribution of W_s conditional on $W_t = x$ is Gaussian with mean $\frac{s}{t}x$ and variance $\frac{s(t-s)}{t}$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}(W_s > 0 | W_t = x) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{\frac{s(t-s)}{t}}W_1 + \frac{s}{t}x \ge 0\right) = \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{t(t-s)}}x\right).$$

ECP 17 (2012), paper 62.

ecp.ejpecp.org

Utilising once more the independence of Y and W, we see that (2.5) equals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x) p(t,x) \left\{ \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{g_t(Y)}{t(t-g_t(Y))}} x\right) e^{\alpha Y_t} + \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\frac{g_t(Y)}{t(t-g_t(Y))}} x\right) e^{-\alpha Y_t} \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of the density.

The conditional moments can be calculated by integrating this density, which is a lengthy task. However, since for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \exp(\lambda W_t - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t)$ is a martingale independent of Y, and in particular of $g_t(Y)$, one has

$$\begin{split} u(\lambda) &:= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp(\lambda W_t) \exp\left(\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t\right) \left| \mathcal{F}_t^Y \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp\left(\lambda W_{g_t(Y)} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(t - g_t(Y))\right) \exp\left(\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t\right) \left| \mathcal{F}_t^Y \right] \right] \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(t - g_t(Y))\right) \left\{ e^{\alpha Y_t} \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda x} p(g_t(Y), x) \, dx + e^{-\alpha Y_t} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda x} p(g_t(Y), x) \, dx \right\}. \end{split}$$

Since we can differentiate with respect to λ under the integral sign, we have

$$\left. \frac{d^n u}{d\lambda^n} \right|_{\lambda=0} = e^{\alpha Y_t} \int_0^\infty x^n p(g_t(Y), x) \, dx + e^{-\alpha Y_t} \int_{-\infty}^0 x^n p(g_t(Y), x) \, dx.$$

Moreover, one has

$$\int_0^\infty x^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2a}} \, dx = \frac{(2a)^{n/2}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(2k)!}{\sqrt{\pi k! 2^{k+1}}} (a)^k, & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ \frac{k!}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2^k a^{k+\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } n = 2k+1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, due to the symmetry of p, we obtain

$$\frac{d^{n}u}{d\lambda^{n}}\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \begin{cases} 2\cosh(\alpha Y_{t})\frac{(2k)!}{\sqrt{\pi}k!2^{k+1}}(g_{t}(Y))^{k}, & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ 2\sinh(\alpha Y_{t})\frac{k!}{\sqrt{2\pi}}2^{k}g_{t}(Y)^{k+\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } n = 2k+1. \end{cases}$$

In view of the above theorem we may define the filtered Azéma martingale of the first kind by $\hat{\mu}_t = \sqrt{\frac{2g_t(Y)}{\pi}} \tanh(\alpha Y_t)$. Observe that, since $\tanh(0) = 0$ and $g_t(Y)$ changes value only when Y hits 0, $\hat{\mu}$ is a continuous martingale in contrast to the discontinuous Azéma martingale, μ .

Although the Brownian motion W is clearly not independent of Y, observing Y does not tell us anything new regarding the process (γ_t) . We will only prove γ_1 is independent of Y. The analogous statement can be proven for any γ_t along the same lines.

Proposition 2.6. γ_1 is independent of \mathcal{F}^Y .

Proof. Let $t \leq 1$ and consider

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(\gamma_1)\exp\left(\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^Y\right]$$

for some bounded measurable real function f, where \mathbb{Q} is as constructed in the proof of Proposition 2.4 for some T > 1. Observe that

$$\mathbf{1}_{[g_t(Y)<\gamma_1]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)}) Y_t \right) \left| \mathcal{F}_t^Y, \gamma_1 \right] = \mathbf{1}_{[g_t(Y)<\gamma_1]} \cosh(\alpha Y_t) \right]$$

since conditional on γ_1 , $(W_t)_{t \in [0,\gamma_1]}$ is a Brownian bridge (see Exercise XII.3.8 in [14]) and therefore $\mathbb{Q}(W_{g_t(Y)} > 0|g_t(Y), \gamma_1) = \frac{1}{2}$ on the set $[g_t(Y) < \gamma_1]$. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{1}_{[g_t(Y)>\gamma_1]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp\left(\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^Y, \gamma_1 \right] = \mathbf{1}_{[g_t(Y)>\gamma_1]} \cosh(\alpha Y_t),$$

ECP 17 (2012), paper 62.

ecp.ejpecp.org

as well since $g_t(Y) \leq 1$ and therefore $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})$ is independent of γ_1 (see, e.g. Lemme 1 in [2]). Since $[g_t(Y) = \gamma_1]$ is a Q-null set due to their independence and the continuity of the distribution of γ_1 , we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(\gamma_1)\exp\left(\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^Y\right] = \cosh(\alpha Y_t)\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[f(\gamma_1)] = \cosh(\alpha Y_t)\mathbb{E}[f(\gamma_1)],$$

which in turn implies $\mathbb{E}[f(\gamma_1)|\mathcal{F}_t^Y] = \mathbb{E}[f(\gamma_1)]$ for any f.

To show the independence for t > 1, note that it suffices to consider

 $\mathbf{1}_{[g_t(Y)>1]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(\gamma_1) \exp\left(\alpha \text{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})Y_t\right) \left|\mathcal{F}_t^Y\right]\right.$

since when $\mathbf{1}_{[g_t(Y)<1]}$ the problem is reduced to the previous case. Notice by the Markov property of W that, given W_1 , γ_1 and $\operatorname{sgn}(W_u)$ are independent for any u > 1. Thus, on $[g_t(Y) > 1]$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(\gamma_{1})\exp\left(\alpha \text{sgn}(W_{g_{t}(Y)})Y_{t}\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{Y},W_{1}\right] &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[f(\gamma_{1})|W_{1}]\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\exp\left(\alpha \text{sgn}(W_{g_{t}(Y)})Y_{t}\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{Y},W_{1}\right]\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[f(\gamma_{1})|W_{1}]\exp(\alpha Y_{t})\Phi\left(\frac{W_{1}}{\sqrt{g_{t}(Y)-1}}\right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[f(\gamma_{1})|W_{1}]\exp(-\alpha Y_{t})\Phi\left(-\frac{W_{1}}{\sqrt{g_{t}(Y)-1}}\right), \end{split}$$

where Φ is the function defined in (2.4). Therefore, on $[g_t(Y) > 1]$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(\gamma_{1})\exp\left(\alpha \text{sgn}(W_{g_{t}(Y)})Y_{t}\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{Y}\right] &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(\gamma_{1})\exp(\alpha Y_{t})\Phi\left(\frac{W_{1}}{\sqrt{g_{t}(Y)-1}}\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{Y}\right]\right. \\ &+ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(\gamma_{1})\exp(-\alpha Y_{t})\Phi\left(-\frac{W_{1}}{\sqrt{g_{t}(Y)-1}}\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{Y}\right]\right] \end{split}$$

On the other hand, the conditional law of W_1 given $\gamma_1 = s$ is (see Exercise XII.3.8 in [14])

$$\frac{|x|}{2(1-s)}\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(1-s)}\right)\,dx.$$

Using this density, one can directly show that

6

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\Phi\left(\frac{W_1}{\sqrt{g_t(Y)-1}}\right)\Big|\gamma_1, g_t(Y)\right] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\Phi\left(-\frac{W_1}{\sqrt{g_t(Y)-1}}\right)\Big|\gamma_1, g_t(Y)\right] = \frac{1}{2}$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$\mathbf{1}_{[g_t(Y)>1]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[f(\gamma_1) \exp\left(\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)}) Y_t\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^Y \right] = \mathbf{1}_{[g_t(Y)>1]} \cosh(\alpha Y_t) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [f(\gamma_1)],$$

which yields the claimed independence.

Since $\hat{\mu}$ is adapted to \mathcal{F}^{Y} by definition, we deduce that the filtered Azéma martingale of the first kind is independent of γ . This is in stark contrast to Azéma's martingale, μ , which is a function of the process γ .

3 Filtered Azéma martingale of the second kind

We now return to study the solutions of equation (1.5) and the associated projection of W. Recall that the equation (1.5) is the following SDE:

$$Y_t = B_t + \alpha \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_s) dL_s(Y), \qquad (3.1)$$

ECP 17 (2012), paper 62.

Page 7/13

ecp.ejpecp.org

where L(Y) is the symmetric local time of Y at 0. The right local time of Y at 0 will be denoted with $\ell(Y)$. We will write L and ℓ instead of L(Y) and $\ell(Y)$, respectively, when no confusion arises.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose that $|\alpha| \leq 1$.

- i) There is a unique weak solution to (3.1). Moreover, $Y \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g(Y)}) \stackrel{d}{=} X$, where X is a skew Brownian motion which solves (1.6).
- ii) |Y| is a reflecting Brownian motion. The symmetric and nonsymmetric local times, ℓ and L, respectively, of Y at 0 are related by

$$\ell_t = \int_0^t \left(1 + \alpha sgn(W_s)\right) dL_s$$

Proof. Suppose X is the skew Brownian motion that solves (1.6). As observed in Introduction, this SDE has a unique solution. Next let $Y_t = \text{sgn}(W_{g_t(X)})X_t$. Observe that Y is a continuous semimartingale in view of Lemma 2.1 and $[X, X]_t = [Y, Y]_t = t$. Moreover, L(X) = L(Y). Indeed, (see Exercise VI.1.25 in [14])

$$L_t(X) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[|X_t| < \varepsilon]}(s) ds = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[|Y_t| < \varepsilon]}(s) ds = L_t(Y).$$

Thus, Y satisfies

$$\begin{split} Y_t &= \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_s(X)}) dB_s + \alpha \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_s(X)}) dL_s(X) \\ &= \beta_t + \alpha \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_s) dL_s(X) \\ &= \beta_t + \alpha \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_s) dL_s(Y), \end{split}$$

where $\beta := \int_0^{\cdot} \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_s(X)}) dB_s$, the first equality is due to Lemma 2.1 and the second is due to the fact that support of the measure dL(X) is contained in the zero set of X. This shows that $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(X)})X_t$ is a weak solution to (3.1). By working backwards one can also see that $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g(Y)})Y$ is a weak solution to (1.6). Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between Y and $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g(Y)})Y$, we obtain the uniqueness in law of the solutions to (3.1) from the analogous property of the solutions to (1.6). Again, since the solutions to (1.6) are unique in law, we also have $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g(Y)})Y \stackrel{d}{=} X$. Therefore, |Y| = |X|. Since |X| is a reflecting Brownian motion (see, e.g., Lemma 2.1 in [3]), so is |Y|.

To find the relationship between ℓ and L, first observe that

$$\ell_t - \ell_t^{0-} = 2\alpha \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_s) dL_s$$

by Theorem VI.1.7 in [14]. Moreover, Exercise VI.1.25 in [14] yields

$$L_t = \frac{\ell_t + \ell_t^{0-}}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\ell_t = \int_0^t \left(1 + \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_s)\right) dL_s.$$

		1
r		
L		
L		

ECP 17 (2012), paper 62.

Page 8/13

The equation (3.1) in fact has a unique strong solution. We need the following lemma for the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $X^i = M + A^i$ for i = 1, 2 where $X_0^i = 0$, M is a continuous local martingale and A^i is continuous and of finite variation for each *i*.

- i) If $X^i \ge 0$, then $L(X^i) = \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[X^i_*=0]} dX^i_s$ and $L(X^i) = \frac{1}{2}\ell(X^i)$.
- ii) $2L(X^{i^+}) = L(X^i) + \int_0^{\cdot} \mathbf{1}_{[X^i_s=0]} dX^i_s.$
- iii) $L(X^1 \vee X^2) = \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[X^2_s < 0]} dL_s(X^1) + \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{[X^1_s < 0]} dL_s(X^2).$
- Proof. i) By Tanaka's formula for the symmetric local times (see Exercise VI.1.25 in [14]), we obtain

$$dX_t^{i^+} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 2\mathbf{1}_{[X_t^i>0]} + \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^i=0]} \right\} dX_t^i + \frac{1}{2} dL_t(X^i).$$
(3.2)

However, since $X^{i^+} = X^i$, we immediately deduce from the above that

$$L(X^i)_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[X^i_s=0]} dX^i_s.$$

The second assertion follows from Exercise VI.1.16 in [14].

ii) In view of the results from part i) and (3.2)

$$dL(X^{i^+}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{[X^{i^+}_s = 0]} \left(\left\{ 2\mathbf{1}_{[X^{i^+}_t > 0]} + \mathbf{1}_{[X^{i^-}_t = 0]} \right\} dX^{i^+}_t + \frac{1}{2} dL_t(X^i) \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{[X^{i^-}_t = 0]} dX^{i^+}_t + \frac{1}{2} dL(X^i)_t$$

since $\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[X_s^i \neq 0]} dL_s(X^i) = 0$. iii) Let $S = X^1 \vee X^2$ and observe that since $S = X^1 + (X^2 - X^1)^+$, by Tanaka formula

$$dS_t = dM_t + \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^2 > X_t^1]} dA_t^2 + \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^2 \le X_t^1]} dA_t^1,$$

since $\ell(X^2 - X^1) \equiv 0$ due to the fact that $X^2 - X^1$ is continuous and of finite variation. Thus, S = M + C where C is continuous and of finite variation. By part ii)

$$L_t(S) = 2L_t(S^+) - \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[S_s=0]} dS_s$$

Then, by part i) and Exercise VI.1.21 in [14], we obtain

$$\begin{split} dL_t(S) &= \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^2 \le 0]} d\ell_t(X^1) + \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^1 < 0]} d\ell_t(X^2) - \left(\mathbf{1}_{[X_t^1 = 0, X_t^2 \le 0]} + \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^2 = 0, X_t^1 < 0]}\right) dS_t \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^2 \le 0]} d\ell_t(X^1) + \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^1 < 0]} d\ell_t(X^2) - \left(\mathbf{1}_{[X_t^1 = 0, X_t^2 \le 0]} + \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^2 = 0, X_t^1 < 0]}\right) dC_t \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^2 \le 0]} \left\{ d\ell_t(X^1) - \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^1 = 0]} dA_t^1 \right\} + \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^1 < 0]} \left\{ d\ell_t(X^2) - \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^2 = 0]} dA_t^2 \right\} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^2 \le 0]} dL_t(X_1) + \mathbf{1}_{[X_t^1 < 0]} dL_t(X^2), \end{split}$$

where the second line is due to Theorem VI.1.7 from [14] and the last line follows from the same theorem and Exercise VI.1.25 in [14].

Theorem 3.3. Pathwise uniqueness holds for (3.1). Consequently, there is a unique strong solution. Moreover, $sgn(W_{g(Y)})Y$ is a skew Brownian motion independent of W.

ECP 17 (2012), paper 62.

Proof. Suppose there are two solutions, Y^1 and Y^2 . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Y^{1} \vee Y^{2})_{t} &= dB_{t} + \alpha \text{sgn}(W_{t}) dL_{t}(Y^{1}) + \mathbf{1}_{[Y_{t}^{2} > Y_{t}^{1}]} d(Y^{2} - Y^{1})_{t} \\ &= dB_{t} + \alpha \text{sgn}(W_{t}) dL_{t}(Y^{1}) + \alpha \mathbf{1}_{[Y_{t}^{2} > Y_{t}^{1}]} \text{sgn}(W_{t}) \left\{ dL_{t}(Y^{2}) - dL_{t}(Y^{1}) \right\} \\ &+ dB_{t} + \alpha \text{sgn}(W_{t}) dL_{t}(Y^{1}) + \alpha \mathbf{1}_{[Y_{t}^{1} < 0]} \text{sgn}(W_{t}) dL_{t}(Y^{2}) - \alpha \mathbf{1}_{[Y_{t}^{2} > 0]} \text{sgn}(W_{t}) dL_{t}(Y^{1}) \\ &= dB_{t} + \alpha \mathbf{1}_{[Y_{t}^{2} \le 0]} \text{sgn}(W_{t}) dL_{t}(Y^{1}) + \alpha \mathbf{1}_{[Y_{t}^{1} < 0]} \text{sgn}(W_{t}) dL_{t}(Y^{2}) \\ &= dB_{t} + \alpha \text{sgn}(W_{t}) dL_{t}(Y^{1} \vee Y^{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Y^1 \vee Y^2$ is also a solution to (3.1). However, since weak uniqueness holds for (3.1), we conclude that $Y^1 = Y^2$. Since weak existence and pathwise uniqueness implies the existence and uniqueness of the strong solutions by the celebrated Yamada-Watanabe theorem, the second claim follows.

In order to see the claimed independence, let $X = sgn(W_{g(Y)})Y$. As observed earlier, due to the balayage formula,

$$X_t = \beta_t + \alpha L_t(X)$$

where β is a Brownian motion defined by $\int_0^{\cdot} \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_s(Y)}) dB_s$. By Theorem 1.1, X is adapted to the natural filtration of β . However, β is independent of W since $[W, \beta] = 0$.

The theorem above tells us in particular that the zero set of Y is that of a skew Brownian motion which is independent of W. This will greatly simplify our computations when we consider the \mathcal{F}^Y -optional projection of W, where \mathcal{F}^Y is the usual augmentation of the natural filtration of Y and Y is the unique strong solution of (3.1).

For any $t \ge 0$ define the stopping time

$$d_t(Y) = \inf\{u > t : Y_u = 0\}.$$

Then, we have the following

Proposition 3.4. For any $t \ge 0$, $sgn(W_{g_t(Y)})$ is \mathcal{F}_t^Y -measurable. Similarly, $sgn(W_{d_t(Y)})$ is $\mathcal{F}_{d_t}^Y$ -measurable.

Proof. We will first show that $sgn(W_{g_t(Y)})$ is \mathcal{F}_t^Y -measurable. Since ℓ is \mathcal{F}^Y -adapted, we have that

$$\int_0^t \left(1 + \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(W_s)\right) dL_s \in \mathcal{F}_t^Y$$

by Proposition 3.1. Moreover, since ℓ is \mathcal{F}^Y -optional and L is \mathcal{F}^Y -adapted and increasing, the \mathcal{F}^Y -optional projection of $\int_0^{\cdot} \eta_s d\ell_s$, for any bounded \mathcal{F}^Y -optional η , is given by

$$\int_0^{\cdot} \eta_s \left(1 + \alpha^o \operatorname{sgn}(W)_s\right) dL_s,$$

where ${}^{o}\text{sgn}(W)$ stands for the \mathcal{F}^{Y} -optional projection of sgn(W). Thus, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \eta_s({}^o \mathrm{sgn}(W)_s - \mathrm{sgn}(W_s)) dL_s = 0$$

for any bounded \mathcal{F}^{Y} -optional η . Thus, ${}^{o}\operatorname{sgn}(W)_{s} = \operatorname{sgn}(W_{s})$ if s belongs to the support of dL. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.1, |Y| is a reflecting Brownian motion. Therefore, the support of dL is 'exactly' the zero set of Y (see Proposition VI.2.5 in [14]). Since $Y_{g_{t}(Y)} = 0$ we deduce that $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_{t}(Y)}) \in \mathcal{F}_{g_{t}(Y)}$ since ${}^{o}\operatorname{sgn}(W)_{g_{t}(Y)} \in \mathcal{F}_{g_{t}(Y)}$. This also implies that

$$\mathbf{1}_{[Y_t \neq 0]} \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)}) = \mathbf{1}_{[Y_t \neq 0]} \frac{Y_t}{X_t}$$
(3.3)

ECP 17 (2012), paper 62.

Page 10/13

ecp.ejpecp.org

where X is a skew Brownian motion adapted to \mathcal{F}^{Y} in view of Theorem 3.3.

Next, consider the sequence of following stopping times:

$$T_t^n = \inf\{u \ge d_t : |Y_u| = \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

Clearly, T_t^n is decreasing in n and $\lim_{n\to\infty} T_t^n = d_t$. Then, by (3.3)

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_{T_t^n}(Y)}) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_{T_t^n}}{X_{T_t^n}}.$$

Next, we will show that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_{T_t^n}(Y)}) = \operatorname{sgn}(W_{d_t})$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.. To this end, first observe that if $u_n \downarrow u$ then $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{u_n}) \to \operatorname{sgn}(W_u)$ unless $W_u = 0$ by the continuity of u and the shape of the sgn function. Also note that since the mapping $t \mapsto g_t(Y)$ is right continuous, $\lim_{t\to\infty} g_{T_t^n}(Y) = g_{d_t}(Y) = d_t$. However, d_t is independent of W since it is an \mathcal{F}^X -stopping time in view of Theorem 3.3. Thus, $\mathbb{P}(W_{d_t} = 0) = 0$, which in turn yields that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(W_{d_t}) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_{T_t^n}(Y)}) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Y_{T_t^n}}{X_{T_t^n}} \in \mathcal{F}_{d_t}^Y$$

by the right-continuity of the filtration \mathcal{F}^Y and the fact that X is \mathcal{F}^Y -adapted. Since the filtration is completed by the \mathbb{P} -null sets, we therefore conclude $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{d_t}) \in \mathcal{F}_{d_t}^Y$. \Box

The above result shows that by observing Y we learn the sign of W at the end of every excursion interval of Y (or alternatively of X). Let's denote the \mathcal{F}^{Y} -optional projection of W by $\hat{\nu}$. We call this martingale the filtered Azéma martingale of the second kind.

Corollary 3.5. $\hat{\nu}_t = sgn(W_{g_t(Y)})\sqrt{g_t(Y)}.$

Proof. Let $X = \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g(Y)})Y$ and recall that \mathcal{G} is the usual augmentation of the natural filtration of $\operatorname{sgn}(W)$. Then, in view of Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 3.3, we obtain $\mathcal{F}_t^Y \subset \mathcal{F}_t^X \vee \mathcal{G}_{g_t(Y)}$. To ease the exposition let's denote $g_t(Y)$ with g_t . Since X is independent of the filtration \mathcal{G} and $g_t(Y) = g_t(X)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[W_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Y] = \mathbb{E}[\mu_{g_t}|\mathcal{F}_t^Y] = \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t})\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{g_t - \gamma_{g_t}} \left|\mathcal{F}_t^Y\right]\right],$$
(3.4)

where γ is as in (1.1). On the other hand, Exercise XII.3.8 in [14] and the scaling properties of standard Brownian motions together imply that, for any u, the process $\left(\frac{W_{s\gamma_u}}{\sqrt{\gamma_u}}\right)_{s\in[0,1]}$ is a Brownian bridge independent of γ_u . Since $\operatorname{sgn}(W_{s\gamma_u}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\frac{W_{s\gamma_u}}{\sqrt{\gamma_u}})$, this yields that γ_u is independent of $\operatorname{sgn}(W_r)$ whenever $r \leq \gamma_u$. Moreover, Lemme 1 in [2] further implies that $\operatorname{sgn}(W_u)$ is independent of γ_u . Combining these two observations allows us to deduce that γ_{g_t} is independent of $\sigma(\operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_s}), g_s; s \leq t)$ since $(g_s)_{s\geq 0}$ is independent of W by Theorem 3.3. (Recall once again that that $\mathbb{P}(\gamma_{g_t} = g_t) = 0$ in view of the independence of W and g.) Therefore, (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{E}[W_t | \mathcal{F}_t^Y] = \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t}) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{g_t - \gamma_{g_t}}] = \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t}) \sqrt{g_t}$$

since g_t has the arcsine law.

The result above means that $\hat{\nu}$ is a pure jump martingale which is constant on $[g_t, t]$. Therefore, it is a martingale which can jump only at the end of the excursion interval $(g_t(Y), d_t(Y)]!$ Also observe that it is equally likely that this martingale will jump or stay constant when the excursion of Y away from 0 comes to an end. The presence

of a martingale with jumps in particular implies that the optional and predictable σ algebras associated to \mathcal{F}^{Y} are different. Recall, however, that the martingales adapted to the filtration of the filtered Azéma martingale of the first kind is continuous implying the equivalence of the associated predictable and optional σ -algebras (see Corollary IV.5.7 in [14]).

We can also find the \mathcal{F}_t^Y -conditional law of W_t as a straightforward corollary to Proposition 4 in [2] and the independence of $\gamma_{g_t(Y)}$ from \mathcal{F}_t^Y as observed in the proof above.

Corollary 3.6. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function. Fix a t > 0 and define $f : [0,t] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(s,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(y)p(t-s,y-x) dy$ where p is the transition density of standard Brownian motion. Let

$$h(s,x) = \int_0^s f(s, x\sqrt{s-r}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s-r}\sqrt{r}} \, dr.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(W_t)|\mathcal{F}_t^Y] = \int_0^\infty h\left(g_t(Y), \operatorname{sgn}(W_{g_t(Y)})\frac{\pi}{2}y\right) y e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy.$$

References

- Azéma, J. (1985): Sur les fermés aléatoires. Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, volume 1123 of Lecture Notes in Math., pp. 397–495, Springer, Berlin. MR-0889496
- [2] Azéma, J. and Yor, M. (1989): Étude d'une martingale remarquable. Séminaire de probabilités, XXIII, volume 1372 of Lecture Notes in Math., pp. 88–130, Springer, Berlin. MR-1022893
- [3] Barlow, M., Burdzy, K., Kaspi, H. and Mandelbaum, A. (2000): Variably skew Brownian motion. *Elec. Comm. in Probab.*, 5, pp. 57–66. MR-1752008
- [4] Çetin, U., Jarrow, R., Protter, P. and Yıldırım, Y. (2004): Modeling credit risk with partial information. Annals of Applied Probability, 14(3), pp. 1167–1178. MR-2071419
- [5] Chesney, M., Jeanblanc-Picqué, M. and Yor, M. (1997): Brownian excursions and Parisian barrier options. Adv. in Appl. Probab., 29(1), pp. 165–184. MR-1432935
- [6] Émery, M. (1989): On the Azéma martingales. Séminaire de probabilités, XXIII, volume 1372 of Lecture Notes in Math., pp. 66–87, Springer, Berlin. MR-1022899
- [7] Étoré, P. and Martinez, M. (2012): On the existence of a time inhomogeneous skew Brownian motion and some related laws. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 17, no. 19, pp. 1–27. MR-2900460
- [8] Harrison, J. M. and Shepp, L. A. (1981): On skew Brownian motion. Ann. Probab., 9(2), pp. 309–313. MR-0606993
- [9] Ito, K. and McKean, H. (1974): Diffusion processes and their sample paths. Second printing, corrected. *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 125. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York. MR-0345224
- [10] Lejay, A. (2006): On the constructions of the skew Brownian motion. Probab. Surv., 3, pp. 413–466. MR-2280299
- [11] Liptser, R. S. and Shiryaev, A. N. (2001): Statistics of random processes, Vol. I. Expanded second edition. Springer, Berlin.
- [12] Meyer, P. A. (1989) Construction de solutions d'équations de structure. Séminaire de probabilités, XXIII, volume 1372 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pp. 142–145, Springer, Berlin. MR-1022903
- [13] Protter, P. (2005): Stochastic integration and differential equations. Second edition, Version 2.1. Springer, Berlin. MR-2273672
- [14] Revuz, D. and Yor, M. (1999): Continuous martingales and Brownian motion. Third edition. Springer, Berlin. MR-1725357

- [15] Walsh, J. (1978): A diffusion with a discontinuous local time. In *Temps locaux*. Astérisque. Société Mathématique de France, pp. 37–45. MR-0509476
- [16] Weinryb, S. (1983): Etude d'une equation différentielle stochastique avec temps local. Séminaire de Probabilités XVII, volume 986 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pp. 72–77, Springer, Berlin. MR-0770397