

MILD SOLUTIONS FOR SEMILINEAR FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

GISÈLE M. MOPHOU, GASTON M. N'GUÉRÉKATA

ABSTRACT. This paper concerns the existence of mild solutions for fractional semilinear differential equation with non local conditions in the α -norm. We prove existence and uniqueness, assuming that the linear part generates an analytic compact bounded semigroup, and the nonlinear part is a Lipschitz continuous function with respect to the fractional power norm of the linear part.

1. INTRODUCTION

During the past decades, fractional differential equations have attracted many authors (see for instance [16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27] and references therein). This, mostly because it efficiently describes many phenomena arising in Engineering, Physics, Economy, and Science.

Our aim in this paper is to discuss the existence and the uniqueness of the mild solution for fractional semilinear differential equation with nonlocal conditions :

$$\begin{aligned} D^q x(t) &= -Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), Bx(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ x(0) + g(x) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $T > 0$, $0 < q < 1$, $-A$ generates an analytic compact semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of uniformly bounded linear operators on a Banach space \mathbb{X} . The term $Bx(t)$ which may be interpreted as a control on the system is defined by:

$$Bx(t) := \int_0^t K(t, s)x(s)ds,$$

where $K \in C(D, \mathbb{R}^+)$, the set of all positive function continuous on $D := \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and

$$B^* = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t K(t, s)ds < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34K05, 34A12, 34A40.

Key words and phrases. Fractional differential equation.

©2009 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted October 28, 2008. Published January 23, 2009.

f and g are continuous. The derivative D^q is understood here in the Riemann-Liouville sense. The non local condition

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^p c_k x(t_k),$$

where c_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$, are given constants and $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_p \leq T$. Let us recall that such local conditions were first used by Deng in [4]. In his paper, Deng indicated that using the nonlocal condition $x(0) + g(x) = x_0$ to describe for instance, the diffusion phenomenon of a small amount of gas in a transparent tube can give better result than using the usual local Cauchy Problem $x(0) = x_0$. Let's observe also that since Deng's paper, such problem has also attracted several authors including Aizicovici, Byszewski, Ezzinbi, Fan, Liu, Liang, Lin, Xiao, Hernández, Lee, etc..(see for instance [1, 2, 3, 4, 13, 11, 6, 12, 22, 19] and the references therein).

However, among the previous research on nonlocal cauchy problems, few are concerned with mild solutions of fractional semilinear differential equations. Recently, in [14], the authors prove the existence and uniqueness of a mild solution for the semilinear initial value problem of non-integer order when the linear part generates a strongly continuous semigroup. In [20], we considered the fractional semilinear differential equation with nonlocal conditions

$$\begin{aligned} D^q x(t) &= Ax(t) + t^n f(t, x(t), Bx(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ x(0) &= x_0 + g(x) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where T is a positive real, $0 < q < 1$, A is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space \mathbb{X} , $Bx(t) := \int_0^t K(t, s)x(s)ds$, $K \in C(D, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $D := \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and $B^* = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t K(t, s)ds < \infty$, $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a nonlinear function, $g : C([0, T], \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow D(A)$ is continuous and $0 < q < 1$. The derivative D^q is understood here in the Riemann-Liouville sense.

We used the Krasnoselkii and the contraction mapping principle to show the existence and uniqueness of a mild solution for a fractional semilinear differential equation with non local conditions.

In this paper, motivated by [8, 13], we investigate the existence and the uniqueness of a mild solution for the fractional semilinear differential equation (1.1), assuming that f is defined on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ where $\mathbb{X}_\alpha = D(A^\alpha)$, for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, the domain of the fractional power of A .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give some known preliminary results on the fractional powers of the generator of an analytic compact semigroup. In Section 3, we study the existence and the uniqueness of the mild solution for the fractional semilinear differential equation (1.1).

2. PRELIMINARIES

For the rest of this article, we set $I = [0, T]$. We denote by \mathbb{X} a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $-A : D(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a compact analytic semigroup of uniformly bounded linear operators $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$. This means that there exists $M > 1$ such that

$$\|S(t)\| \leq M \quad (2.1)$$

We assume without loss of generality that $0 \in \rho(A)$. This allows us to define the fractional power A^α for $0 < \alpha < 1$, as a closed linear operator on its domain $D(A^\alpha)$ with inverse $A^{-\alpha}$ (see [8]). We have the following basic properties A^α .

Theorem 2.1 ([23, pp. 69-75]). (1) $\mathbb{X}_\alpha = D(A^\alpha)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\|_\alpha := \|A^\alpha x\|$ for $x \in D(A^\alpha)$.

(2) $S(t) : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ for each $t > 0$.

(3) $A^\alpha S(t)x = S(t)A^\alpha x$ for each $x \in D(A^\alpha)$ and $t \geq 0$.

(4) For every $t > 0$, $A^\alpha S(t)$ is bounded on \mathbb{X} and there exist $M_\alpha > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|A^\alpha S(t)\| \leq \frac{M_\alpha}{t^\alpha} e^{-\delta t} \quad (2.2)$$

(5) $A^{-\alpha}$ is a bounded linear operator in \mathbb{X} with $D(A^\alpha) = \text{Im}(A^{-\alpha})$.

(6) If $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$, then $D(A^\beta) \hookrightarrow D(A^\alpha)$.

Remark 2.2. Observe as in [13] that by Theorem 2.1 (ii) and (iii), the restriction $S_\alpha(t)$ of $S(t)$ to \mathbb{X}_α is exactly the part of $S(t)$ in \mathbb{X}_α . Let $x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$. Since

$$\|S(t)x\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha S(t)x\| = \|S(t)A^\alpha x\| \leq \|S(t)\| \|A^\alpha x\| = \|S(t)\| \|x\|_\alpha,$$

and as t decreases to 0

$$\|S(t)x - x\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha S(t)x - A^\alpha x\| = \|S(t)A^\alpha x - A^\alpha x\| \rightarrow 0,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$, it follows that $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a family of strongly continuous semigroup on \mathbb{X}_α and $\|S_\alpha(t)\| \leq \|S(t)\|$ for all $t \geq 0$.

We have the the following result from [13].

Lemma 2.3. $(S_\alpha(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an immediately compact semigroup in \mathbb{X}_α , and hence it is immediately norm-continuous.

Definition 2.4 ([14]). A continuous function $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ satisfying the equation

$$x(t) = S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} S(t-s)(f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds \quad (2.3)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ is called a mild solution of the equation (1.1)

In the sequel, we will also use $\|f\|_p$ to denote the L^p norm of f whenever $f \in L^p(0, T)$ for some p with $1 \leq p < \infty$. We will set $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and we will denote by \mathcal{C}_α , the Banach space $C([0, T], \mathbb{X}_\alpha)$ endowed with the supnorm given by

$$\|x\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in I} \|x\|_\alpha, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{C}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

We assume the following conditions:

(H1) The function $f : I \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is continuous, and there exists a positive function $\mu \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|f(t, x, y)\| \leq \mu(t), \quad (3.1)$$

(H2) $g \in C(\mathcal{C}_\alpha, \mathbb{X}_\alpha)$ is completely continuous and there exist $\lambda, \gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|g(x)\|_\alpha \leq \lambda \|x\|_\infty + \gamma.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that assumptions (H1), (H2) hold. If $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ and*

$$M\lambda < \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.2)$$

then (1.1) has a mild solution on $[0, T]$.

Proof. We define the function $F : \mathcal{C}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ by

$$(Fx)(t) = S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} S(t-s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds,$$

and we choose r such that

$$r \geq 2 \left(\frac{M_\alpha T^{q-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(q)} \|\mu\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} + M(\|x_0\|_\alpha + \gamma) \right).$$

Let $B_r = \{x \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha : \|x\|_\infty \leq r\}$. Then we proceed in three steps.

Step 1. We show that $FB_r \subset B_r$. Let $x \in B_r$. Then for $t \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (Fx)(t) \|_\alpha \\ & \leq \| S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) \|_\alpha + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} S(t-s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds \right\|_\alpha \\ & \leq \| S(t) \| \| x_0 - g(x) \|_\alpha + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \| (t-s)^{q-1} A^\alpha S(t-s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) \| ds \\ & \leq \| S(t) \| (\| x_0 \|_\alpha + \lambda \| x \|_\infty + \gamma) + \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \| A^\alpha S(t-s) \| \| f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) \| ds, \end{aligned}$$

which according to (2.1), (2.2), (3.1) and (3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (Fx)(t) \|_\alpha \\ & \leq \| S(t) \| (\| x_0 \|_\alpha + \lambda \| x \|_\infty + \gamma) + \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t M_\alpha (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \mu(s) ds \\ & \leq \| S(t) \| (\| x_0 \|_\alpha + \lambda \| x \|_\infty + \gamma) + \frac{M_\alpha T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \mu(s) ds \\ & \leq M (\| x_0 \|_\alpha + \lambda \| x \|_\infty + \gamma) + \frac{M_\alpha T^{q-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(q)} \|\mu\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq r \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in I$. Hence, we deduce $\|Fx\|_\infty \leq r$.

Step 2. We prove that F is continuous. Let (x_n) be a sequence of B_r such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ in B_r . Then

$$f(s, x_n(s), Bx_n(s)) \rightarrow f(s, x(s), Bx(s)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

because the function f is continuous on $I \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha$. Now, for $t \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| Fx_n - Fx \|_\alpha \\ & \leq \| S(t)(g(x_n) - g(x)) \|_\alpha \\ & \quad + \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} S(t-s) (f(s, x_n(s), Bx_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), Bx(s))) ds \right\|_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which in view of (2.1) and (2.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \| Fx_n - Fx \|_\alpha \\ & \leq \| S(t) \| \| g(x_n) - g(x) \|_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \|A^\alpha S(t-s)\| \|f(s, x_n(s), Bx_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\| ds \\
& \leq M \|g(x_n) - g(x)\|_\alpha \\
& + \frac{M_\alpha T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|f(s, x_n(s), Bx_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\| ds \\
& \leq M \|g(x_n) - g(x)\|_\alpha \\
& + \frac{M_\alpha T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|f(s, x_n(s), Bx_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\| ds
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in I$. Therefore, using on the one hand the fact that

$$\|f(s, x_n(s), Bx_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\| \leq 2\mu(s) \quad \text{for } s \in I,$$

and for each $t \in I$ since f satisfies (H1) and on the other hand the fact that the function $s \mapsto 2\mu(s)(t-s)^{-\alpha}$ is integrable on I , by means of the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem one proves that

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|f(s, x_n(s), Bx_n(s)) - f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\| ds \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, since $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ because g is completely continuous on \mathcal{C}_α , it can easily be shown that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Fx_n - Fx\|_\infty = 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In other words F is continuous.

Step 3. We show that F is compact. To this end, we use the Ascoli-Arzelà's theorem. We first prove that $\{(Fx)(t) : x \in B_r\}$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{X}_α , for all $t \in I$. Obviously, $\{(Fx)(0) : x \in B_r\}$ is compact. Let $t \in (0, T]$. For each $h \in (0, t)$ and $x \in B_r$, we define the operator F_h by

$$\begin{aligned}
(F_h x)(t) &= S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t-h} (t-s)^{q-1} S(t-s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds \\
&= S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) + \frac{S(h)}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t-h} (t-s)^{q-1} S(t-h-s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the sets $\{(F_h x)(t) : x \in B_r\}$ are relatively compact in \mathbb{X}_α since by Lemma 2.3, the operators $S_\alpha(t)$, $t \geq 0$ are compact on X_α . Moreover, using (H1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fx)(t) - (F_h x)(t)\|_\alpha &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t-h}^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|S(t-s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\|_\alpha ds \\
&\leq \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t-h}^t \|A^\alpha S(t-s)\| \|f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\| ds \\
&\leq \frac{T^{q-1} M_\alpha}{\Gamma(q)} \|\mu\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} \int_{t-h}^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} ds \\
&\leq \frac{T^{q-1} M_\alpha \|\mu\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)}}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(q)} h^{1-\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce that $\{(Fx)(t) : x \in B_r\}$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{X}_α for all $t \in (0, T]$ and since it is compact at $t = 0$ we have the relatively compactness in \mathbb{X}_α for all $t \in I$. Now, let us prove that $F(B_r)$ is equicontinuous. By the compactness

of the set $g(B_r)$, we can prove that the functions $Fx, x \in B_r$ are equicontinuous at $t = 0$. For $0 < t_2 < t_1 \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (Fx)(t_1) - (Fx)(t_2) \|_\alpha \\ & \leq \| (S(t_1) - S(t_2))(x_0 - g(x)) \|_\alpha \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left\| \int_0^{t_2} (t_1 - s)^{q-1} (S(t_1 - s) - S(t_2 - s)) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds \right\|_\alpha \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left\| \int_0^{t_2} ((t_1 - s)^{q-1} - (t_2 - s)^{q-1}) S(t_2 - s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds \right\|_\alpha \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left\| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{q-1} S(t_1 - s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds \right\|_\alpha \\ & \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \| (S(t_1) - S(t_2))(x_0 - g(x)) \|_\alpha \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left\| \int_0^{t_2} (t_1 - s)^{q-1} (S(t_1 - s) - S(t_2 - s)) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds \right\|_\alpha \\ I_3 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left\| \int_0^{t_2} ((t_1 - s)^{q-1} - (t_2 - s)^{q-1}) S(t_2 - s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds \right\|_\alpha \\ I_4 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left\| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{q-1} S(t_1 - s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds \right\|_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Actually, I_1, I_2, I_3 and I_4 tend to 0 independently of $x \in B_r$ when $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$. Indeed, let $x \in B_r$ and $G = \sup_{x \in C_\alpha} \|g(x)\|_\alpha$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \| (S(t_1) - S(t_2))(x_0 - g(x)) \|_\alpha \\ & \leq \| S_\alpha(t_1) - S_\alpha(t_2) \|_\alpha \|x_0 - g(x)\|_\alpha \\ & \leq \| S_\alpha(t_1) - S_\alpha(t_2) \|_\alpha (\|x_0\|_\alpha + G) \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} I_1 = 0$ since by Lemma 2.3 the function $t \mapsto \|S_\alpha(t)\|_\alpha$ is continuous for $t \in (0, T]$.

I_2

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \|(t_1 - s)^{q-1} (S(t_1 - s) - S(t_2 - s)) f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\|_\alpha ds \\ & \leq \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \left\| \left[S\left(\frac{t_1 - t_2}{2} + \frac{t_1 - s}{2}\right) - S\left(\frac{t_2 - s}{2}\right) \right] A^\alpha S\left(\frac{t_2 - s}{2}\right) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) \right\| ds \\ & \leq \frac{T^{q-1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(q)} \|\mu\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} \int_0^{t_2} \left\| S\left(\frac{t_1 - t_2}{2} + \frac{t_1 - s}{2}\right) - S\left(\frac{t_2 - s}{2}\right) \right\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the continuity of the function $t \mapsto \|S(t)\|$ for $t \in (0, T)$ allows us to conclude that $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} I_2 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} \|((t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}) S(t_2 - s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\|_\alpha ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} |(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}| \|A^\alpha S(t_2 - s)\| \|f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\| ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} |(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}| (t_2 - s)^{-\alpha} \mu(s) ds \\
&\leq \frac{T^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(q)} \|\mu\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} \int_0^{t_2} |(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}| ds \\
&\leq \frac{T^{-\alpha}}{q\Gamma(q)} \|\mu\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} |t_1 - t_2|^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} I_3 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \|(t_1 - s)^{q-1} S(t_1 - s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\|_{\alpha} ds \\
&\leq \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \|A^{\alpha} S(t_1 - s)\| \|f(s, x(s), Bx(s))\| ds \\
&\leq \frac{M_{\alpha} T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{-\alpha} \mu(s) ds \\
&\leq \frac{M_{\alpha} T^{q-1}}{(1 - \alpha)\Gamma(q)} \|\mu\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} |t_1 - t_2|^{1-\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \alpha > 0$, we deduce that $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} I_4 = 0$.

In summary, we have proven that $F(B_r)$ is relatively compact, for $t \in I$, $\{Fx : x \in B_r\}$ is a family of equicontinuous functions. Hence by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, F is compact. By Schauder fixed point theorem F has a fixed point $x \in B_r$. Consequently, (1.1) has a mild solution. \square

Now we make the following assumptions.

(H1') $f : I \times \mathbb{X}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{X}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is continuous and there exist functions $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|f(t, x, u) - f(t, y, v)\| \leq \mu_1(t) \|x - y\|_{\alpha} + \mu_2(t) \|u - v\|_{\alpha},$$

for all $t \in I$, $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{X}_{\alpha}$.

(H2') $g : \mathcal{C}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\alpha}$ is continuous and there exists a constant b such that

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\|_{\alpha} \leq b \|x - y\|_{\infty}, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}.$$

(H3) The function $\Omega_{\alpha, q} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $0 < \alpha, q < 1$ defined by

$$\Omega_{\alpha, q} = Mb + \frac{T^{q-1} M_{\alpha} t^{1-\alpha}}{(1 - \alpha)\Gamma(q)} \left(\|\mu_1\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} + B^* \|\mu_2\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} \right)$$

satisfies $0 < \Omega_{\alpha, q} \leq \tau < 1$, for all $t \in I$.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that (H1'), (H2'), (H3) hold. If $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_{\alpha}$ then (1.1) has a unique mild solution $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$.

Proof. Define the function $F : \mathcal{C}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ by

$$(Fx)(t) = S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} S(t - s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds.$$

Note that F is well defined on \mathcal{C}_{α} . Now take $t \in I$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(Fx)(t) - F(y)(t)\|_{\alpha} \\
&\leq \|S(t)(g(x) - g(y))\|_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|S(t-s)(f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) - f(s, y(s), By(s)))\|_\alpha ds \\
& \leq \|S(t)\| \|g(x) - g(y)\|_\alpha \\
& + \frac{T^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \|A^\alpha S(t-s)\| \|f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) - f(s, y(s), By(s))\| ds
\end{aligned}$$

which according to (2.1), (2.2), (H1'), (H2') and (1.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(Fx)(t) - F(y)(t)\|_\alpha \\
& \leq Mb \|x - y\|_\infty + \frac{T^{q-1} M_\alpha}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \mu_1(s) \|x(s) - y(s)\|_\alpha ds \\
& + \frac{T^{q-1} M_\alpha}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \mu_2(s) \|Bx(s) - By(s)\|_\alpha ds \\
& \leq Mb \|x - y\|_\infty \\
& + \frac{T^{q-1} M_\alpha}{\Gamma(q)} \|\mu_1\|_{L^1_{loc}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} ds \right) \|x - y\|_\infty \\
& + \frac{T^{q-1} M_\alpha}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \mu_2(s) \left[\int_0^s K(s, \sigma) \|A^\alpha(x(\sigma) - y(\sigma))\| d\sigma \right] ds \\
& \leq \left(Mb + \frac{T^{q-1} M_\alpha t^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(q)} \|\mu_1\|_{L^1_{loc}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} \right) \|x - y\|_\infty \\
& + \frac{T^{q-1} M_\alpha B^* t^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(q)} \|\mu_2\|_{L^1_{loc}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} \|x - y\|_\infty \\
& \leq \left[Mb + \frac{T^{q-1} M_\alpha t^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(q)} \left(\|\mu_1\|_{L^1_{loc}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} + B^* \|\mu_2\|_{L^1_{loc}(I, \mathbb{R}_+)} \right) \right] \|x - y\|_\infty \\
& \leq \Omega_{\alpha, q}(t) \|x - y\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\|(Fx)(t) - F(y)(t)\|_\infty \leq \Omega_{\alpha, q}(t) \|x - y\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, assumption (H3) allows us to conclude in view of the contraction mapping principle that, F has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{C}_α , and

$$x(t) = S(t)(x_0 - g(x)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} S(t-s) f(s, x(s), Bx(s)) ds$$

which is the mild solution of (1.1). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Aizicovici and M. McKibben; *Existence results for a class of abstract nonlocal Cauchy problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA **39** (2000), 649-668.
- [2] A. Anguraj, P. Karthikeyan and G. M. N'Guérékata; *Nonlocal Cauchy problem for some fractional abstract differential equations in Banach spaces*, Comm. Math. Analysis, **6**,1(2009), 31-35.
- [3] L. Byszewski; *Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **162**, (1991), 494-505.
- [4] K. Deng; *Exponential decay of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations with nonlocal initial conditions*, J. Math. Analysis Appl., **179** (1993), 630-637.
- [5] J. V. Devi, V. Lakshmikantham; *Nonsmooth analysis and fractional differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, (in press).

- [6] K. Ezzinbi and J. Liu; *Nondensely defined evolution equations with nonlocal conditions*, Math. Computer Modelling, **36** (2002), 1027-1038.
- [7] K. Ezzinbi, X. Fu; *Existence and regularity of solutions for some neutral partial differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. 57 (2004) 10291.
- [8] K. Ezzinbi, X. Fu, K. Hilal; *Existence and regularity in the α -norm for some neutral partial differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. 67 (2007) 16132.
- [9] K. Ezzinbi and X. Fu; *Existence of solutions for neutral functional differential evolution equations with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. 54 (2003) 215.
- [10] X. Fu; *On solutions of neutral nonlocal evolution equations with nondense domain*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 299 (2004) 392.
- [11] Z. Fan; *Existence of nondensely defined evolution equations with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Analysis, (in press).
- [12] E. Hernández; *Existence of solutions to a second order partial differential equation with nonlocal condition*, Electronic J. Diff. Eq., Vol. 2003 (2003), No. 51, 1-10.
- [13] Hsiang Liu, Jung-Chan Chang; *Existence for a class of partial differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, (in press).
- [14] O. K. Jaradat, A. Al-Omari and S. Momani; *Existence of the mild solution for fractional semilinear initial value problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, **69**, (2008), 3153-3159.
- [15] M. A. Krasnoselskii; *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1964.
- [16] V. Lakshmikantham; *Theory of fractional differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, **60** No.10 (2008), 3337-3343.
- [17] V. Lakshmikantham and A. S. Vatsala; *Basic theory of fractional differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, **69** No.8 (2008), 2677-2682.
- [18] V. Lakshmikantham and A. S. Vatsala; *Theory of fractional differential inequalities and applications*, Communications in Applied Analysis, to appear.
- [19] G. M. Mophou, O. Nakoulima and G. M. N'Guérékata; *Existence results for some fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, (submitted).
- [20] G. M. Mophou and G. M. N'Guérékata; *Existence of mild solution for some fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, Semigroup Forum (to appear).
- [21] G. M. N'Guérékata; *Existence and uniqueness of an integral solution to some Cauchy problem with nonlocal conditions*, Differential and Difference Equations and Applications, 843-849, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, 2006.
- [22] G. M. N'Guérékata; *A Cauchy Problem for some fractional abstract differential equation with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A., **70** Issue 5, (2009), 1873-1876.
- [23] A. Pazy; *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [24] I. Podlubny; *Fractional Differential Equations*, San Diego Academic Press, 1999.
- [25] C.C. Travis, G.F. Webb; *Existence, stability and compactness with α -norm for partial functional differential equations*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 240 (1978) 129.
- [26] Wei Lin; *Global existence and chaos control of fractional differential equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332(2007), pp 709-726.
- [27] S. Zhang; *Positive solutions for boundary-value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Electron. J. Diff. Equ., vol. 2006(2006), No. 36, 1-12.

GISÈLE M. MOPHOU

UNIVERSITÉ DES ANTILLES ET DE LA GUADELOUPE, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DES ANTILLES ET DE LA GUYANE, CAMPUS FOUILLOLE 97159 POINTE-À-PITRE GUADELOUPE (FWI)

E-mail address: gmophou@univ-ag.fr

GASTON M. N'GUÉRÉKATA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, 1700 E. COLD SPRING LANE, BALTIMORE, MD 21251, USA

E-mail address: Gaston.N'Guerekata@morgan.edu, nguerekata@aol.com