

BLOW-UP OF SOLUTIONS FOR A SYSTEM OF NONLINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS WITH NONLINEAR DAMPING

SHUN-TANG WU

ABSTRACT. We study the initial-boundary value problem for a system of nonlinear wave equations, involving nonlinear damping terms, in a bounded domain Ω with the initial and Dirichlet boundary conditions. The nonexistence of global solutions is discussed under some conditions on the given parameters. Estimates on the lifespan of solutions are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we shall consider the following initial-boundary value problem for a system of nonlinear wave equations:

$$\square u + |u_t|^{p-1}u_t + m_1^2 u = 4\lambda(u + \alpha v)^3 + 2\beta uv^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

$$\square v + |v_t|^{q-1}v_t + m_2^2 v = 4\alpha\lambda(u + \alpha v)^3 + 2\beta vu^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T], \quad (1.2)$$

with initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad v_t(x, 0) = v_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

and boundary conditions

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

$$v(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\square = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta$, $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ so that Divergence theorem can be applied and λ, β and α are real numbers, and $p, q \geq 1$, $T > 0$.

The initial-boundary value problem for a single wave equation:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u(t) + a|u_t(t)|^{p-1}u_t(t) = f(u), \quad (1.7)$$

where $a > 0$, $p \geq 1$, was considered by many authors. For $f(u) = |u|^{m-1}u$, $m > 1$, this model was first studied by Levine [7, 8] in the linear case ($p = 1$). He showed that solutions with negative initial energy blow up in finite time. When $p = 1$, Ikehata [5] proved that for sufficiently small initial data, the trajectory $(u(t), v(t))$ goes to $(0, 0)$ in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Georgiev and Todorova [2] extended

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L70.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear damping; wave equation; blow-up; lifespan.

©2009 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted February 17, 2009. Published September 1, 2009.

Levine's result to nonlinear case ($p > 1$). They showed that solutions continue to exist globally if $p \geq m$ and blow up in finite time if $p < m$ with sufficiently negative initial energy, that is, in the L^∞ -norm for suitable large initial data. Later, Ikehata [4] showed that (1.7) admits a global solution for sufficiently small initial data for $p > 1$. In unbounded domain, for $f(u) = -\lambda(x)^2u + |u|^{m-1}u$, $m > 1$, here $\lambda(x)$ satisfies some decay conditions, there are some results about global existence and asymptotic behavior in [14]. Aassila [1] treated (1.7) for $f(u) = -u + |u|^{m-1}u$, $m > 1$, and gave the global existence and energy decay property. Reed [15] proposed this interesting problem of (1.1)-(1.6) without damping terms in (1.1) and (1.2). As a model it describes the interaction of scalar fields u, v of mass m_1, m_2 respectively. This system defines the motion of charged mesons in an electromagnetic field which was first introduced by Segal [16]. Later, Jörgens [6], Makhankov [11], and Medeiros and Menzala [12] studied such systems to find the existence of weak solutions of the mixed problem in a bounded domain. Further generalizations are also given in [12,13] by Galerkin method. Recently, the existence of global and nonglobal solutions of a system of semilinear wave equations without dissipative terms were discussed in [9, 10].

In this paper we are interested in the blow-up behavior of solutions for a system (1.1)-(1.6) in a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^3 . This work improves an earlier work [10], in which similar results have been established for (1.1)-(1.6) in the absence of the damping terms. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some lemmas which will be used later, and we mention the local existence Theorem 2.4. In section 3, we first define an energy function $E(t)$ by (3.1) and show that it is a nonincreasing function of t . Then, we discuss the blow-up properties of (1.1)-(1.6) in two cases. In first case, $p = q = 1$, the main result is given in Theorem 3.4, which contains the estimates of upper bound of the blow-up time. In second case, $1 < p, q < 3$, the nonexistence of global solutions is given in Theorem 3.6. Moreover, estimates for the blow-up time T are also given.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section, we will give some lemmas and the local existence result in Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.1 (Sobolev-Poincaré inequality). *If $2 \leq p \leq 6$, then*

$$\|u\|_p \leq C(\Omega, p) \|\nabla u\|_2,$$

for $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, where

$$C(\Omega, p) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|u\|_p}{\|\nabla u\|_2} : u \in H_0^1(\Omega), u \neq 0 \right\},$$

and $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the norm of $L^p(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([9]). *Let $\delta > 0$ and $B(t) \in C^2(0, \infty)$ be a nonnegative function satisfying*

$$B''(t) - 4(\delta + 1)B'(t) + 4(\delta + 1)B(t) \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

If

$$B'(0) > r_2 B(0) + K_0, \quad (2.2)$$

with $r_2 = 2(\delta + 1) - 2\sqrt{(\delta + 1)\delta}$, then $B'(t) > K_0$ for $t > 0$, where K_0 is a constant.

Lemma 2.3 ([9]). *If $J(t)$ is a nonincreasing function on $[t_0, \infty)$ and satisfies the differential inequality*

$$J'(t)^2 \geq a + bJ(t)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}}, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0, \quad (2.3)$$

where $a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists a finite time T^* such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^{*-}} J(t) = 0.$$

Upper bounds for T^* are estimated as follows:

(i) *If $b < 0$, then*

$$T^* \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-b}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{-a/b}}{\sqrt{-a/b} - J(t_0)}.$$

(ii) *If $b = 0$, then*

$$T^* \leq t_0 + \frac{J(t_0)}{J'(t_0)}.$$

(iii) *If $b > 0$, then*

$$T^* \leq \frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{a}} \quad \text{or} \quad T^* \leq t_0 + 2^{(3\delta+1)/(2\delta)} \frac{\delta c}{\sqrt{a}} \{1 - [1 + cJ(t_0)]^{-1/(2\delta)}\},$$

$$\text{where } c = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

Now, we state the local existence result which is proved in [19].

Theorem 2.4 (Local solution). *Let $p, q \geq 1$, and $u_0, v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $u_1, v_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, then there exists a unique local solution (u, v) of (1.1)-(1.6) satisfying $(u, v) \in Y_T$, where*

$$Y_T = \left\{ w = (u, v) : w \in C([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)), w_t \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)), \right. \\ \left. u_t \in L^{p+1}(\Omega \times (0, T)), v_t \in L^{q+1}(\Omega \times (0, T)) \right\}.$$

3. BLOW-UP PROPERTY

In this section, we will discuss the blow up phenomena of two problems, where $p = q = 1$ in subsection 3.1 and $1 < p, q < 3$ in subsection 3.2. Let (u, v) be a solution of (1.1)-(1.6), we define the energy functional

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + u_t^2 + v_t^2 + m_1^2 u^2 + m_2^2 v^2 - 2\lambda(u + \alpha v)^4 - 2\beta u^2 v^2] dx, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. *$E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function for $t \geq 0$ and*

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = -\|u_t\|_{p+1}^{p+1} - \|v_t\|_{q+1}^{q+1}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.1) by u_t and (1.2) by v_t , and integrating them over Ω . Then, adding them together, and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$E(t) - E(0) = - \int_0^t (\|u_t\|_{p+1}^{p+1} + \|v_t\|_{q+1}^{q+1}) dt \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Being the primitive of an integrable function, $E(t)$ is absolutely continuous and equality (3.2) is satisfied. \square

3.1. **Case $p = q = 1$.** In this subsection we consider (1.1),(1.2) with $p = q = 1$:

$$\square u + u_t + m_1^2 u = 4\lambda(u + \alpha v)^3 + 2\beta uv^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T], \quad (3.3)$$

$$\square v + v_t + m_2^2 v = 4\alpha\lambda(u + \alpha v)^3 + 2\beta v u^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (3.4)$$

Assumption:

(A1) $m_1^2 \xi^2 + m_2^2 \eta^2 - 2\lambda(\xi + \alpha\eta)^4 - 2\beta\xi^2\eta^2 < 0$, for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition: A solution $w(t) = (u(t), v(t))$ of (3.3), (3.4), and (1.3)-(1.6) is called blow-up if there exists a finite time T^* such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^{*-}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v^2) dx + \int_0^t (\|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2) dt \right\} = \infty.$$

Let

$$a(t) = \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v^2) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v^2) dx ds, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Lemma 3.2. Assume (A1), and that $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a''(t) - 4(\delta + 1) \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + v_t^2) dx \\ \geq (-4 - 8\delta)E(0) + (4 + 8\delta) \int_0^t (\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Form (3.5), we have

$$a'(t) = 2 \int_{\Omega} (uu_t + vv_t) dx + \|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2. \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.3), (3.4) and Divergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a''(t) = 2 \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + v_t^2) dx - 2(\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \|m_1 u\|_2^2 + \|m_2 v\|_2^2) \\ + 8\lambda\|u + \alpha v\|_4^4 + 8\beta\|uv\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.2), we have from (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned} a''(t) - 4(\delta + 1) \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + v_t^2) dx \\ = (-4 - 8\delta)E(0) + (4 + 8\delta) \int_0^t (\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2) ds \\ + [4\delta(\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2) + 2(\|m_1 u\|_2^2 + \|m_2 v\|_2^2)] \\ + (4\delta - 2)[\|m_1 u\|_2^2 + \|m_2 v\|_2^2 - 2\lambda\|u + \alpha v\|_4^4 - 2\beta\|uv\|_2^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (A1), we obtain (3.6). \square

We remark that (A1) is automatically true if $E(0) \leq 0$. Now, we consider three different cases on the sign of the initial energy $E(0)$.

(1) If $E(0) < 0$, then from (3.6), we have

$$a'(t) \geq a'(0) - 4(1 + 2\delta)E(0)t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Thus we get $a'(t) > \|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2$ for $t > t^*$, where

$$t^* = \max \left\{ \frac{a'(0) - (\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)}{4(1 + 2\delta)E(0)}, 0 \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

(2) If $E(0) = 0$, then $a''(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$. If $a'(0) > \|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2$, then we have $a'(t) > \|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2$, $t \geq 0$.

(3) For the case that $E(0) > 0$, we first note that

$$2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} uu_t \, dx \, dt = \|u\|_2^2 - \|u_0\|_2^2. \quad (3.10)$$

By Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we have from (3.10),

$$\|u\|_2^2 \leq \|u_0\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|u\|_2^2 dt + \int_0^t \|u_t\|_2^2 dt. \quad (3.11)$$

Similarly,

$$\|v\|_2^2 \leq \|v_0\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|v\|_2^2 dt + \int_0^t \|v_t\|_2^2 dt. \quad (3.12)$$

By Hölder inequality, Young's inequality and then using (3.11) and (3.12), we have from (3.7),

$$a'(t) \leq a(t) + \|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + v_t^2) \, dx + \int_0^t (\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2) dt. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence by (3.6) and (3.12), we obtain

$$a''(t) - 4(\delta + 1)a'(t) + 4(\delta + 1)a(t) + K_1 \geq 0,$$

where

$$K_1 = (4 + 8\delta)E(0) + 4(\delta + 1)(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2).$$

Let

$$b(t) = a(t) + \frac{K_1}{4(1 + \delta)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Then $b(t)$ satisfies (2.1). By Lemma 2.3 we see that if

$$a'(0) > r_2 \left[a(0) + \frac{K_1}{4(1 + \delta)} \right] + (\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2), \quad (3.14)$$

then $a'(t) > (\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)$, $t > 0$, where r_2 is given in Lemma 2.2. Consequently, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume (A1) and that either one of the following statements is satisfied:*

- (i) $E(0) < 0$,
- (ii) $E(0) = 0$ and $a'(0) > \|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2$,
- (iii) $E(0) > 0$ and (3.14) holds.

Then, $a'(t) > \|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2$ for $t > t_0$, where $t_0 = t^*$ is given by (3.9) in case (i) and $t_0 = 0$ in cases (ii) and (iii).

Now, we find an estimate for the life span of $a(t)$. Let

$$J(t) = [a(t) + (T_1 - t)(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)]^{-\delta}, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_1], \quad (3.15)$$

where $T_1 > 0$ is a certain constant which will be specified later. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} J'(t) &= -\delta J(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\delta}} (a'(t) - \|u_0\|_2^2 - \|v_0\|_2^2), \\ J''(t) &= -\delta J(t)^{1+\frac{2}{\delta}} V(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$V(t) = a''(t) [a(t) + (T_1 - t)(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)] - (1 + \delta)(a'(t) - \|u_0\|_2^2 - \|v_0\|_2^2)^2. \quad (3.17)$$

For simplicity of calculation, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} P_u &= \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx, & P_v &= \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx, \\ Q_u &= \int_0^t \|u\|_2^2 dt, & Q_v &= \int_0^t \|v\|_2^2 dt, \\ R_u &= \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx, & R_v &= \int_{\Omega} v_t^2 dx, \\ S_u &= \int_0^t \|u_t\|_2^2 dt, & S_v &= \int_0^t \|v_t\|_2^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7), (3.10), and Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a'(t) &= 2 \int_{\Omega} (uu_t + vv_t) dx + \|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (uu_t + vv_t) dx dt \\ &\leq 2(\sqrt{R_u P_u} + \sqrt{Q_u S_u} + \sqrt{R_v P_v} + \sqrt{Q_v S_v}) + \|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

By (3.6), we have

$$a''(t) \geq (-4 - 8\delta)E(0) + 4(1 + \delta)(R_u + S_u + R_v + S_v). \quad (3.19)$$

Thus, from (3.18), (3.19), (3.17) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &\geq [(-4 - 8\delta)E(0) + 4(1 + \delta)(R_u + S_u + R_v + S_v)] J(t)^{-1/\delta} \\ &\quad - 4(1 + \delta)(\sqrt{R_u P_u} + \sqrt{Q_u S_u} + \sqrt{R_v P_v} + \sqrt{Q_v S_v})^2. \end{aligned}$$

And by (3.15) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &\geq (-4 - 8\delta)E(0)J(t)^{-1/\delta} \\ &\quad + 4(1 + \delta)[(R_u + S_u + R_v + S_v)(T_1 - t)(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2) + \Theta(t)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(t) &= (R_u + S_u + R_v + S_v)(P_u + Q_u + P_v + Q_v) \\ &\quad - (\sqrt{R_u P_u} + \sqrt{Q_u S_u} + \sqrt{R_v P_v} + \sqrt{Q_v S_v})^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Schwarz inequality, $\Theta(t)$ is nonnegative. Hence, we have

$$V(t) \geq (-4 - 8\delta)E(0)J(t)^{-1/\delta}, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (3.20)$$

Therefore, by (3.16) and (3.20), we get

$$J''^{1+\frac{1}{\delta}}, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (3.21)$$

Note that by Lemma 3.3, $J'(t) < 0$ for $t > t_0$. Multiplying (3.21) by $J'(t)$ and integrating it from t_0 to t , we get

$$J'^2 \geq \alpha + \beta J(t)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0,$$

where

$$\alpha = \delta^2 J(t_0)^{2+\frac{2}{\delta}} [(a'(t_0) - \|u_0\|_2^2 - \|v_0\|_2^2)^2 - 8E(0)J(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{\delta}}], \quad (3.22)$$

$$\beta = 8\delta^2 E(0). \quad (3.23)$$

We observe that

$$\alpha > 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad E(0) < \frac{(a'(t_0) - \|u_0\|_2^2 - \|v_0\|_2^2)^2}{8[a(t_0) + (T_1 - t_0)(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)]}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.3, there exists a finite time T^* such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*-} J(t) = 0$ and the upper bound of T^* is estimated respectively according to the sign of $E(0)$. This means that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*-} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v^2) dx + \int_0^t (\|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2) dt \right\} = \infty. \tag{3.24}$$

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that (A1) and that either one of the following statements is satisfied:*

- (1) $E(0) < 0$,
- (ii) $E(0) = 0$ and $a'(0) > (\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)$
- (iii) $0 < E(0) < \frac{(a'(t_0) - \|u_0\|_2^2 - \|v_0\|_2^2)^2}{8[a(t_0) + (T_1 - t_0)(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)]}$ and (3.14) holds.

Then the solution $(u(t), v(t))$ blows up at finite time T^* in the sense of (3.24). In case (i),

$$T^* \leq t_0 - \frac{J(t_0)}{J'(t_0)}.$$

Furthermore, if $J(t_0) < \min\{1, \sqrt{-\alpha/\beta}\}$, we have

$$T^* \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\beta}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{-\alpha/\beta}}{\sqrt{-\alpha/\beta} - J(t_0)}.$$

In case (ii),

$$T^* \leq t_0 - \frac{J(t_0)}{J'(t_0)} \quad \text{or} \quad T^* \leq t_0 + \frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.$$

In case (iii),

$$T^* \leq \frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \quad \text{or} \quad T^* \leq t_0 + 2^{\frac{3\delta+1}{2\delta}} \frac{\delta c}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left\{ 1 - [1 + cJ(t_0)]^{\frac{-1}{2\delta}} \right\},$$

where $c = (\alpha/\beta)^{2+\frac{1}{\delta}}$, here α and β are given in (3.22), (3.23). Note that in case (i), $t_0 = t^*$ is given in (3.9) and $t_0 = 0$ in case (ii) and (iii).

We remark that the choice of T_1 in (3.15) is possible under some conditions as in [17, 18].

3.2. Case $1 < p, q < 3$. In this subsection we consider (1.1), (1.2) with $1 < p, q < 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \square u + |u_t|^{p-1}u_t + m_1^2u &= 4\lambda(u + \alpha v)^3 + 2\beta uv^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T), \\ \square v + |v_t|^{q-1}v_t + m_2^2v &= 4\alpha\lambda(u + \alpha v)^3 + 2\beta vu^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T). \end{aligned}$$

Definition: A solution (u, v) of (1.1)-(1.6) is called blow-up if there exists a finite time $T > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \left[\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx \right] = \infty.$$

Lemma 3.5. *For all $\lambda > 1, \alpha \neq 0$, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that*

$$\xi^4 + \alpha^4\eta^4 \leq \lambda(\xi + \alpha\eta)^4 + \beta\xi^2\eta^2, \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.25}$$

Proof. If $\eta = 0$, then (3.25) is true for $\lambda > 1$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, let $x = \frac{\xi}{\eta}$, where $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\eta \neq 0$. Then to show (3.25) is equivalent to claim that for all $\lambda > 1, \alpha \neq 0$, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $h(x) \leq \beta x^2$, here $h(x) = x^4 + \alpha^4 - \lambda(x + \alpha)^4$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $h(x)$ is a continuous function, $h(0) = \alpha^4 - \lambda\alpha^4 < 0$, and $h(\pm\infty) = -\infty$, there exists a finite number M such that $M = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h(x)$. If $M \leq 0$, we could choose any $\beta > 0$. If $M > 0$, since $h(0) < 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $h(x) < 0$ for $|x| < \delta$. Thus, we could choose $\beta = M$ in this interval. For $|x| \geq \delta$, $h(x) \leq M = \frac{M}{\delta^2} \delta^2 \leq \frac{M}{\delta^2} x^2$. Therefore, from above discussion, we can take $\beta = \max\{\frac{M}{\delta^2}, M\}$, and we have $h(x) \leq \beta x^2$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Theorem 3.6 (Nonexistence of global solutions). *If $1 < p, q < 3$, $E(0) < 0$ and (3.25) holds, then the solutions of (1.1)-(1.6) blow up at a finite time T , $0 < T \leq \frac{z(0)^{1-r}}{c_7(1-r)}$, where $z(0) = k_1(-E(0))^{1-\alpha_1} + \int_{\Omega}(u_1 u_0 + v_1 v_0) dx$, here k_1, α_1 , and r are certain positive constants given in the proof, and c_7 is given in (3.43).*

Proof. Let

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v^2) dx, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (3.26)$$

By differentiating, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} (u_t u + v_t v) dx, \\ a''(t) &= \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + u_{tt} u + v_t^2 + v_{tt} v) dx, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using (1.1), (1.2) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a''(t) &= 2 \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + v_t^2) dx - 2E(t) + 2B(t) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{p-1} u_t u dx - \int_{\Omega} |v_t|^{q-1} v_t v dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$B(t) = \lambda \|u + \alpha v\|_4^4 + \beta \|uv\|_2^2. \quad (3.28)$$

By Hölder inequality, we observe that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{p-1} u_t u dx \right| \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{3-p}{4(p+1)}} \|u_t\|_{p+1}^p \|u\|_4.$$

Then from (3.25),

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{p-1} u_t u dx \right| \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{3-p}{4(p+1)}} \|u_t\|_{p+1}^p B(t)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (3.29)$$

Noting that from (3.2) and (3.1), we have

$$B(t) \geq -E(t) \geq -E(0) > 0. \quad (3.30)$$

Thus, from (3.29), (3.30), and $1 < p < 3$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{p-1} u_t u dx \right| \leq \|u_t\|_{p+1}^p |\Omega|^{\frac{3-p}{4(p+1)}} B(t)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} (-E(t))^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p+1}}. \quad (3.31)$$

Then, by Young's inequality,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{p-1} u_t u dx \right| \leq \left[\varepsilon_1^{p+1} B(t) + c(\varepsilon_1)^{-\frac{p+1}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{3-p}{4p}} \|u_t\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \right] (-E(t))^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p+1}}, \quad (3.32)$$

here ε_1 is a positive constant to be specified later. Letting $0 < \alpha_1 < \min\{\frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{q+1} - \frac{1}{4}\}$, and by (3.32) and (3.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{p-1} u_t u \, dx \right| &\leq c(\varepsilon_1)^{-\frac{p+1}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{3-p}{4p}} (-E(0))^{\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p+1}} (-E(t))^{-\alpha_1} (-E'(t)) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_1^{p+1} B(t) (-E(0))^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

In the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} |v_t|^{q-1} v_t v \, dx \right| &\leq c(\varepsilon_2)^{-\frac{q+1}{q}} |\Omega|^{\frac{3-q}{4q}} (-E(0))^{\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q+1}} (-E(t))^{-\alpha_1} (-E'(t)) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_2^{q+1} B(t) (-E(0))^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

here ε_2 is a positive constant. Now, we define

$$Z(t) = k_1 (-E(t))^{1-\alpha_1} + a'(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.35)$$

where $k_1 > -a''^{1-\alpha_1}$ is a positive number to be chosen later. From (3.35), we see

$$Z'(t) = k_6(1 - \alpha_1) (-E(t))^{-\alpha_1} (-E'(t)) + a''(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

By (3.27), (3.33) and (3.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z'(t) &\geq \mu (-E(t))^{-\alpha_1} (-E'(t)) + (-2E(t)) + 2 \int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + v_t^2) \, dx \\ &\quad + [2 - \varepsilon_1^{p+1} (-E(0))^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p+1}} - \varepsilon_2^{q+1} (-E(0))^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q+1}}] B(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= k_1(1 - \alpha_1) - c(\varepsilon_1)^{-\frac{p+1}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{3-p}{4p}} (-E(0))^{\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p+1}} \\ &\quad - c(\varepsilon_2)^{-\frac{q+1}{q}} |\Omega|^{\frac{3-q}{4q}} (-E(0))^{\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose

$$\varepsilon_1^{p+1} = \frac{1}{2} (-E(0))^{\frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{4}}, \quad \varepsilon_2^{q+1} = \frac{1}{2} (-E(0))^{\frac{1}{q+1} - \frac{1}{4}},$$

and k_1 is sufficiently large such that $\mu > 0$ and $Z(0) > 0$. Then (3.36) becomes

$$Z'(t) \geq [-2E(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2 + B(t)]. \quad (3.37)$$

Hence $Z(t) > 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Note that $r = 1/(1 - \alpha_1) > 1$, from (3.35), and by Young's inequality and Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t)^r &\leq 2^{2(r-1)} \left[k_1^r (-E(t)) + \left| \int_{\Omega} u_t u \, dx \right|^r + \left| \int_{\Omega} v_t v \, dx \right|^r \right] \\ &\leq 2^{2(r-1)} [k_1^r (-E(t)) + \|u_t\|_2^r \|u\|_2^r + \|v_t\|_2^r \|v\|_2^r]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

On the other hand, using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\|u_t\|_2^r \|u\|_2^r \leq c_1 \|u_t\|_2^r \|u\|_4^r,$$

here $c_1 = |\Omega|^{r/4}$. And by Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\|u_t\|_2^r \|u\|_2^r \leq c_2 (\|u_t\|_2^{r\beta_1} + \|u\|_4^{r\beta_2}), \quad (3.39)$$

where $\frac{1}{\beta_1} + \frac{1}{\beta_2} = 1$, $c_2 = c_2(c_1, \beta_1, \beta_2) > 0$. In particular, we take $r\beta_1 = 2$; that is, $\beta_1 = 2(1 - \alpha_1)$. Therefore, for α_1 small enough, the numbers β_1 and β_2 are close to 2. For $0 < \alpha_1 < \min\{\frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{q+1} - \frac{1}{4}\}$, by (3.25) and (3.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_4^{r\beta_2} (\|u\|_4^4)^{r\beta_2/4} &\leq B(t)^{r\beta_2/4} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{-E(0)} B(t)\right)^{r\beta_2/4} (-E(0))^{r\beta_2/4} \\ &\leq c_3 B(t) \end{aligned}$$

because

$$r\beta_2 = \frac{2}{1 - 2\alpha_1} < 4,$$

where $c_3 = (-E(0))^{\frac{r\beta_2}{4}-1}$. Then, by (3.25), we obtain

$$\|u_t\|_2^r \|u\|_2^r \leq c_4 (\|u_t\|_2^2 + B(t)). \quad (3.40)$$

Similarly, we also get

$$\|v_t\|_2^r \|v\|_2^r \leq c_5 (\|v_t\|_2^2 + B(t)), \quad (3.41)$$

here $c_4 = c_2 \max(1, c_3)$, and c_5 is some positive constant. Then, from (3.38), (3.40) and (3.41), we deduce that

$$Z(t)^r \leq 2^{2(r-1)} c_6 [-2E(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2 + B(t)], \quad (3.42)$$

where $c_6 = \max\{\frac{k_1^r}{2}, c_4 + c_5\}$. Therefore, by (3.37) and (3.42), we have

$$Z'(t) \geq c_7 Z(t)^r, \quad (3.43)$$

$c_7 = \frac{1}{2^{2(r-1)} c_6}$. A simple integration of (3.43) over $(0, t)$ yields

$$Z(t) \geq (Z(0)^{1-r} - c_7(r-1)t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_1-1}}. \quad (3.44)$$

Since $Z(0) > 0$, (3.44) shows that Z becomes infinite in a finite time $T \leq \frac{Z(0)^{1-r}}{c_7(r-1)}$. From (3.1), we have

$$-2E(t) + \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|v_t\|_2^2 \leq 2B(t). \quad (3.45)$$

Thus, by (3.37) and (3.45), we get

$$Z(t)^r \leq 3B(t). \quad (3.46)$$

By Poincaré inequality and Hölder inequality, we have

$$B(t) \leq c_8 (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|\nabla v\|_2)^4, \quad (3.47)$$

$c_8 = c_8(\alpha, \beta, \Omega) > 0$. Hence, from (3.46) and (3.47), we obtain

$$Z(t)^r \leq 3c_8 (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|\nabla v\|_2)^4.$$

Therefore, the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aassila; Global existence and global nonexistence of solutions to a wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms, *Asymptotic Analysis*, 30(2002), 301-311.
- [2] V. Georgiev and D. Todorova; Existence of solutions of the wave equations with nonlinear damping and source terms, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 109(1994), 295-308.
- [3] M. Hosoya and Y. Yamada; On some nonlinear wave equations II : global existence and energy decay of solutions, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math.*, 38(1991), 239-250.
- [4] R. Ikehata; Some remarks on the wave equations with nonlinear damping and source terms, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory, Methods and Applications*, 10(1996), 1165-1175.
- [5] R. Ikehata and T. Suzuki; Stable and unstable sets for evolution equations of parabolic and hyperbolic type, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 26(1996), 475-491.
- [6] K. Jörgens; *Nonlinear Wave Equations*, University of Colorado, Department of Mathematics, 1970.
- [7] H. A. Levine; Instability and nonexistence of global solutions of nonlinear wave equation of the form $Du_{tt} = Au + F(u)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 192(1974), 1-21.
- [8] H. A. Levine; Some additional remarks on the nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 5(1974), 138-146.
- [9] M. r. Li and L. Y. Tsai; Existence and nonexistence of global solutions of some systems of semilinear wave equations, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory, Methods & Applications*, 54(2003), 1397-1415.
- [10] M. R. Li and L. Y. Tsai; On a system of nonlinear wave equations, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 7(2003), 557-573.
- [11] V. G. Makhankov; Dynamics of classical solutions in integrable systems, *Physics Reports (Section C of Physics Letters)*, 35(1978), 1-128.
- [12] L. A. Medeiros, G. P. and Menzala; On a mixed problem for a class of nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 52(1988), 61-69.
- [13] M. M. Miranda and L. A. Medeiros; On the existence of global solutions of a coupled nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, 30(1987), 147-161.
- [14] M. Nakao and K. Ono; Global existence to the Cauchy problem of the semilinear wave equation with a nonlinear dissipation, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, 38(1995), 417-431.
- [15] M. Reed; *Abstract Nonlinear Wave Equations*, Springer-verlag, 1976.
- [16] I. Segal; *Nonlinear partial differential equations in quantum field theory*, *Proc. Symp. Appl. Math. A. M. S.*, 17(1965), 210-226.
- [17] Shun-Tang Wu and Long-Yi Tsai; Blow-up of solutions for some nonlinear wave equations of Kirchhoff type with some dissipation, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods and Applications*, 65(2006), 243-264.
- [18] Shun-Tang Wu and Long-Yi Tsai; On global existence and blow-up of solutions for an integro-differential equation with strong damping, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 10(2006), 979-1014.
- [19] Shun-Tang Wu and Long-Yi Tsai; Global existence and asymptotic behavior for a coupled nonlinear wave equations, To appear in *Taiwanese J. Math.*

SHUN-TANG WU, GENERAL EDUCATION CENTER, NATIONAL TAIPEI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TAIPEI, 106, TAIWAN

E-mail address: stwu@ntut.edu.tw