# On Extrinsic Symmetric CR-Structures on the Manifolds of Complete Flags

Alicia N. García Cristián U. Sánchez<sup>\*</sup>

Fa. M. A. F., Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, 5000, Córdoba, Argentina e-mail: agarcia@mate.uncor.edu csanchez@mate.uncor.edu

Abstract. In the present paper we study extrinsic symmetric CR-structures on the manifolds of complete flags  $G_u/T$  relating some of them with the inner symmetric spaces  $G_u/K$ . We show that the maximum possible CR-dimension of any extrinsic symmetric CR-manifold  $G_u/T$  cannot be larger than half the maximal dimension of the inner symmetric spaces  $G_u/K$ . The CR-dimension can be this number and in this case, the holomorphic tangent space is the tangent space of  $G_u/K$  (at some point).

MSC 2000: 32V30, 53C30, 53C35, 53C55

# 1. Introduction

The study of the diverse forms in which the classical definition of a symmetric space can be generalized, is of interest in Differential Geometry. In [10] the authors introduced the definition of symmetric Cauchy-Riemann manifolds as a very natural way to generalize the notion of symmetry to the category of CR-spaces. They notice that in this case is not adequate to maintain the usual requirement that the points of the manifold are isolated fixed points of the symmetries associated to them. This has the effect of producing a large collection of spaces with many new and interesting features that deserve to be studied.

In the paper [11] it was observed that it is very natural to extend to symmetric CR-spaces the geometric notion of extrinsic symmetric submanifold given by D. Ferus in [7] and many examples from [10] were shown to have this property.

0138-4821/93  $2.50 \odot 2004$  Heldermann Verlag

<sup>\*</sup>This research was partially supported by CONICET and SECYT-UNCba, Argentina

In the present paper we intent to initiate a more systematic study of extrinsic symmetric CR-manifolds which could provide new examples and at the same time describe some interesting subfamilies.

Our previous study of normal sections on the natural embedding of the flag manifolds  $G_u/T$  ( $G_u$  a connected compact simple Lie group and T a maximal torus in  $G_u$ ) led us naturally to consider the possible extrinsic symmetric CR-structures admitted by these manifolds in their natural embeddings. This turns out to be an interesting problem which seems to have important connections to other geometric features of these manifolds.

Our initial motivation for considering these simple spaces was to describe an elementary family of examples, but it turns out that the existence or not of extrinsic symmetric CR-structures on these spaces is a non-trivial question. This question is related to the existence of real projective subspaces in the variety of planar normal section associated to the natural embeddings of these submanifolds. This is a central point of the present paper giving rise to the proof of the main results (Theorem 8 and Corollary 9).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the basic facts required in our work. In Section 3 we study the almost CR-structures on the manifold of complete flags  $M = G_u/T$  for which the natural action of  $G_u$  on M is via CR-diffeomorphisms. This seems to be the most natural way to relate quotient and CR-structures on M. In Theorems 1 and 5 we characterize these structures. This leads naturally to consider the tangent spaces to symmetric spaces  $G_u/K$  as candidates to holomorphic tangent spaces of CR-structures on M. The main result of this section, Theorem 6, shows that only interior symmetric spaces are fit to do that.

In Section 4, for a natural embedding j of  $G_u/T$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_u$ , we study conditions related to the existence of certain CR-structures on M. We may consider j as the inclusion and as in [11] we say that an almost Hermitian symmetric CR-structure on M is *extrinsic symmetric* if for each  $y \in M$  the symmetry  $\sigma_y$  of M extends to an isometry  $\varphi_y$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  such that  $(\varphi_y)_*|_y$ is the identity on  $T_yM^{\perp}$ . We relate some extrinsic symmetric CR-structures on  $G_u/T$  with the inner symmetric spaces  $G_u/K$ . Finally, Theorem 8 shows that the maximum possible CR-dimension of any extrinsic symmetric CR-manifold  $G_u/T$  cannot be larger than half the maximal dimension of the inner symmetric spaces  $G_u/K$ . It also shows that in those of maximal CR-dimension, the holomorphic tangent space is in fact the tangent space (at some point) of the inner  $G_u/K$  of maximal dimension.

# 2. Necessary facts

This section contains the definitions and facts from [10], [8] and [12] which are needed in the rest of the paper.

# 2.1. SCR-spaces

Let M be a connected finite dimensional real manifold ( $C^{\infty}$  or analytic) and denote by  $T_x(M)$  the tangent space at the point  $x \in M$ . An almost Cauchy-Riemann structure or an almost CR-structure is the assignation, to every  $x \in M$ , of a linear subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_x \subset T_x(M)$  and a complex structure  $J_x$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_x$  in such a way that the subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_x$  and the complex

structure  $J_x$  depend differentially on x. This dependence means that every point  $x \in M$ has a neighborhood  $U \subset M$  and for each  $y \in U$  a linear endomorphism  $J_y$  of  $T_y(M)$  such that  $(-J_y^2)$  is a projection from  $T_y(M)$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}_y$  with  $J_y^2 X = -X$  for every  $X \in \mathfrak{H}_y$  and  $J_y$  depending smoothly on  $y \in U$ . Thus all the subspaces  $\mathfrak{H}_x$  have the same dimension. A connected differentiable manifold with an almost CR-structure is called an *almost CRmanifold*.

A smooth map  $\varphi : M \to N$  between two almost CR-manifolds is called a *CR-map* if for every  $y \in M$  the derivative  $\varphi_*|_y : T_y(M) \to T_{\varphi(y)}(N)$  maps the complex subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_y(M)$  complex linearly into  $\mathfrak{H}_{\varphi(y)}(N)$ . Then it makes sense to consider *CR-diffeomorphisms* between almost CR-manifolds.

Let us assume now that we have on M a Riemannian metric and let  $\langle, \rangle_y$  denote the corresponding scalar product in  $T_y(M)$ . We shall say that M is an *almost Hermitian CR-manifold* if for every  $y \in M$  and every  $X, Z \in \mathfrak{H}_y$ 

$$\langle J_y X, J_y Z \rangle_y = \langle X, Z \rangle_y.$$

Let M be an almost Hermitian CR-manifold and let  $\sigma : M \to M$  be an isometric CRdiffeomorphism. In [10], the map  $\sigma$  is called a symmetry at the point  $y \in M$  if y is a (not necessarily isolated) fixed point of  $\sigma$  and its derivative  $\sigma_*|_y$  restricted to the subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_y^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_y \subset T_y(M)$  coincides with (-Id). For the definition of  $\mathfrak{H}_y^{-1}$  see [10, p. 151]. The almost CR-manifold M is called minimal if  $\mathfrak{H}_y^{-1} = 0$  for all  $y \in M$ .

A connected almost Hermitian CR-manifold M is called a symmetric almost Hermitian CR-manifold if there is a symmetry at each point  $y \in M$ . It can be proved that there is at most one symmetry at each point of M and also that the group I(M), of all isometric CR-diffeomorphisms of M, is a Lie group ([10, p. 152]).

## 2.2. The manifold of complete flags

Let G be a simply connected, complex, simple Lie group and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be its Lie algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We may write

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} (\mathfrak{g}_\gamma \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma})$$

where  $\Delta^+$  indicates the set of positive roots with respect to some order. Let us consider in  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Borel subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma}.$$

Let B be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}$ . B is closed and its own normalizer in G. The quotient space M = G/B is a complex homogeneous space called the manifold of complete flags of G.

Let  $\pi = \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n\} \subset \Delta^+$  be the system of simple roots. We may take in  $\mathfrak{g}$  a Weyl basis [8, III, 5]  $\{X_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Delta\}$  and  $\{H_{\beta} : \beta \in \pi\}$ . The following set of vectors provides a basis of a

compact real form  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$$\begin{cases} U_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( X_{\gamma} - X_{-\gamma} \right) & \gamma \in \Delta^{+} \\ U_{-\gamma} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left( X_{\gamma} + X_{-\gamma} \right) & \gamma \in \Delta^{+} \\ iH_{\beta} & \beta \in \pi. \end{cases}$$
(1)

We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{h}_u$  the real vector space generated by  $\{iH_\beta : \beta \in \pi\}$  and by  $\mathfrak{m}_\gamma$  that of  $\{U_\gamma, U_{-\gamma}\}$ . Then we may write

$$\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{h}_u \oplus \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{m}_\gamma = \mathfrak{h}_u \oplus \mathfrak{m}.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Let  $G_u$  be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}_u$ .  $G_u$  is compact simply connected and acts transitively on M which can be written as  $M = G_u/(G_u \cap B)$ . The subgroup  $T = G_u \cap B = \exp \mathfrak{h}_u$  is a maximal torus in  $G_u$ . The manifold M is then a compact simply connected complex manifold.

Let  $E \in \mathfrak{g}_u$  be a regular element [8]. We want to consider the orbit of E by the adjoint action of  $G_u$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_u$ , i.e.  $Ad(G_u) E = \{Ad(g) E : g \in G_u\}$ . It is clear that the isotropy subgroup of the point E is precisely T and we have a natural embedding of M in  $\mathfrak{g}_u$ . We may take in  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  the inner product given by the opposite of the Killing form and therefore, the induced Riemannian metric on M by the embedding  $j : M \to \mathfrak{g}_u$  is invariant by the action of  $G_u$ . This is the manifold of complete flags for the given Lie group  $G_u$ . Then

$$M = G_u/T$$

is the orbit of the regular element  $E \in \mathfrak{g}_u$  whose tangent and normal spaces at E are

$$T_E(M) = [\mathfrak{g}_u, E] = [\mathfrak{m}, E] = \mathfrak{m} \text{ and } T_E(M)^{\perp} = \mathfrak{h}_u.$$

### 3. $G_u$ -invariant CR-structures

We shall say that an almost CR-structure on the manifold of complete flags  $M = G_u/T$  is  $G_u$ -invariant if the natural action of  $G_u$  on M is via isometric CR-diffeomorphisms of M, i.e.  $G_u \subset I(M)$ .

Our purpose now is to study  $G_u$ -invariant almost CR-structures on M. With similar methods to those used in [10] one can obtain the following characterization of those subspaces of the tangent space  $T_E(M) = \mathfrak{m}$  which are holomorphic tangent spaces at E of some  $G_u$ -invariant almost CR-structures on M.

**Theorem 1.** Set  $M = G_u/T = Ad(G_u)E$ .

- (i) If M has a  $G_u$ -invariant almost CR-structure then the holomorphic tangent space  $\mathfrak{H}_E \subset T_E(M)$  and the almost complex structure  $J_E$  in  $\mathfrak{H}_E$ , are Ad(T)-invariant.
- (ii) If  $\mathfrak{H}$  is an Ad(T)-invariant subspace of  $T_E(M)$  and J is an endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that  $J^2 = -Id$  and Ad(g) JZ = JAd(g) Z for every  $Z \in \mathfrak{H}$  and  $g \in T$ , then M has a  $G_u$ -invariant almost CR-structure where  $\mathfrak{H}_E(M) = \mathfrak{H}$  and  $J_E = J$ .

This fact motivates the study of the subspaces of  $\mathfrak{m} = T_E(M)$  which are Ad(T)-invariant.

If  $A \in \mathfrak{h}_u$  it is easy to see that, for each  $\gamma \in \Delta^+$ ,

$$[A, U_{\gamma}] = -\gamma(iA)U_{-\gamma} ; \quad [A, U_{-\gamma}] = \gamma(iA)U_{\gamma}$$
(3)

and therefore  $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$  is an  $ad(\mathfrak{h}_u)$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$  is also Ad(T)invariant and so, if

$$\mathfrak{H} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$$
, with  $\Delta^* \subset \Delta^+$ 

then  $\mathfrak{H}$  is Ad(T)-invariant.

Our next objective is to show that every Ad(T)-invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is precisely of this form. This important fact may be known but we do not know any adequate reference. Our proof will be divided in the following steps.

**Lemma 2.** There exists  $E^* \in \mathfrak{h}_u$  such that  $\gamma(iE^*) > 0$  for each  $\gamma \in \Delta^+$  and  $\gamma(iE^*) \neq \beta(iE^*)$  for every pair of different roots  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$  in  $\Delta^+$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = \sum n_j \alpha_j$  be the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$  where  $\pi = \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n\} \subset \Delta^+$  is the set of simple roots. Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  be the Wolf basis of  $\mathfrak{h}_u$  [14], defined by  $\alpha_j(v_k) = \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{n_k}$ ,  $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ .

Each  $\gamma \in \Delta^+$  can be written as  $\gamma = \sum h_{\alpha_j}(\gamma)\alpha_j$  where  $0 \leq h_{\alpha_j}(\gamma) \leq n_j$ . Let s > 6 be a fixed natural number and set

$$iE^* = \sum_{j=1}^n s^j n_j v_j.$$

Then, if  $\gamma \in \Delta^+$ ,

$$\gamma(iE^*) = \sum_{j=1}^n s^j h_{\alpha_j}(\gamma) > 0.$$

Therefore  $\gamma(iE^*) = \beta(iE^*)$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} s^{j} h_{\alpha_{j}}(\gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} s^{j} h_{\alpha_{j}}(\beta)$$

By our choice of the number s, both sides of this equality represent the s-adic expression of the same integer. Consequently  $h_{\alpha_j}(\gamma) = h_{\alpha_j}(\beta), \ 1 \le j \le n$ , and therefore  $\gamma = \beta$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\mathfrak{V}$  be a real subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$  supporting an irreducible representation of the abelian Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_u$  via the adjoint representation. For each  $A \in \mathfrak{h}_u$ , set  $\varphi_A = ad(A)|_{\mathfrak{V}}$ .

- (i) If 0 is an eigenvalue of  $\varphi_A$  then  $\varphi_A = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\dim_R(\mathfrak{V}) = 2.$

*Proof.* (i): Since  $\mathfrak{h}_u$  is abelian,  $\varphi_A \varphi_B = \varphi_B \varphi_A$  for every  $B \in \mathfrak{h}_u$ . Then  $\{0\} \neq Ker \varphi_A$  is  $ad(\mathfrak{h}_u)$ -invariant and so  $Ker \varphi_A = \mathfrak{V}$ .

(ii): To simplify our notation we denote by  $r = |\Delta^+|, \Delta^+ = \{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r\}, \mathfrak{m}_j = \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma_j}, \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_j = \{U_{\gamma_j}, U_{-\gamma_j}\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{j=1}^r \mathfrak{m}_j$ .

Let  $E^*$  be an element given by Lemma 2. The real numbers  $b_j = \gamma_j(iE^*)$  are non-zero and pairwise different and if

$$R_j = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & b_j \\ -b_j & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

then, by (3), the matrix of the transformation  $ad(E^*)$  on the basis  $\bigcup_{j=1}^r \mathcal{B}_j$  is given by  $diag\{R_1, ..., R_r\}$  and so its characteristic polynomial, which is a product of *different* irreducible factors, is

$$p(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{'} (x^2 + b_j^2)$$

Since  $\mathfrak{V}$  is  $ad(E^*)$ -invariant so is  $\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}$  (orthogonal complement with respect to the Killing form) and then, the characteristic polynomial  $p^*(x)$  of  $\varphi_{E^*}$  divides p(x). By reordering the factors, if necessary, we may write for some  $1 \leq s \leq r$ ,

$$p^*(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s (x^2 + b_j^2)$$

Since  $\varphi_{E^*}$  is a skew-symmetric transformation of  $\mathfrak{V}$  (with respect to de Killing form), there exists some basis of  $\mathfrak{V}$ , say  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{s} \mathcal{Q}_j$ , where  $\mathcal{Q}_j = \{v_{1,j}, v_{2,j}\}$  generates a two dimensional  $\varphi_{E^*}$ -invariant subspace  $\mathfrak{V}_j$  such that the matrix of the restriction of  $\varphi_{E^*}$  to  $\mathfrak{V}_j$  in the basis  $\mathcal{Q}_j$  is  $R_j$ .

Let us consider in particular the subspace  $\mathfrak{V}_1$ . For each  $A \in \mathfrak{h}_u$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{E^*}\varphi_A v_{1,1} &= \varphi_A \varphi_{E^*} v_{1,1} = -b_1 \varphi_A v_{2,1}, \\ \varphi_{E^*}\varphi_A v_{2,1} &= \varphi_A \varphi_{E^*} v_{2,1} = b_1 \varphi_A v_{1,1} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the subspace

$$\mathfrak{W}_A = Span_R \left\{ \varphi_A v_{1,1}, \varphi_A v_{2,1} \right\} = \varphi_A(\mathfrak{V}_1)$$

is  $\varphi_{E^*}$ -invariant. We have then two possibilities either dim  $\mathfrak{W}_A < 2$  or dim  $\mathfrak{W}_A = 2$ .

In the first case, it is easy to see that there exist real numbers  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  such that  $v = a_1v_{1,1} + a_2v_{2,1} \neq 0$  and  $\varphi_A v = 0$ . From (i),  $\varphi_A = 0$  and in this case  $\mathfrak{V}_1$  is  $\varphi_A$ -invariant.

On the other hand, when dim  $\mathfrak{W}_A = 2$ , we consider the  $\varphi_{E^*}$ -invariant subspace  $\mathfrak{U}_A = \mathfrak{V}_1 \cap \mathfrak{W}_A$  whose dimension is  $0 \leq u \leq 2$ .

If u = 0 there is a subspace  $\mathfrak{Z}$  and a basis in  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{V}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{W}_A \oplus \mathfrak{Z}$  and  $\varphi_{E^*}$  is represented in this basis by the matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{rrrr} R_1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & R_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & * \end{array}\right].$$

Therefore  $(x^2 + b_1^2)^2$  divides p(x) which is impossible.

If u = 1 there exists  $v \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{U}_A$  such that  $\varphi_{E^*}(v) = cv$  which is also impossible since  $\varphi_{E^*}$  has no eigenvalues in  $\mathfrak{V}_1$ . Then u = 2 yielding  $\mathfrak{V}_1 = \mathfrak{W}_A$  and so  $\mathfrak{V}_1$  is also in this case  $\varphi_A$ -invariant.

We conclude that  $\mathfrak{V}_1$  is  $ad(\mathfrak{h}_u)$ -invariant and therefore  $\mathfrak{V}_1 = \mathfrak{V}$ .

**Lemma 4.** If  $\mathfrak{V}$  is a real bidimensional  $ad(\mathfrak{h}_u)$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$  then there exists  $\gamma_o \in \Delta^+$  such that  $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma_o}$ .

*Proof.* Let us take  $X = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_X^+} X_{\gamma} \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{V}$  where  $X_{\gamma} = a_{\gamma}U_{\gamma} + b_{\gamma}U_{-\gamma} \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$  for each  $\gamma \in \Delta_X^+$ . Let  $\gamma_o$  be a fixed element in  $\Delta_X^+$  and we consider  $E \in \mathfrak{h}_u$  such that M = Ad(G)E.

Since  $\mathfrak{V}$  is an  $ad(\mathfrak{h}_u)$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $Ker(ad(E)) = T_E(M)^{\perp} = \mathfrak{h}_u$ , it follows that  $Y = [E, X] \in \mathfrak{V}$  is different from zero. Due to the skew-symmetry of the transformation ad(E), the vectors Y and X are orthogonal with respect to the Killing form and therefore

$$\mathfrak{V} = Span\{X, Y\}.$$

By (3), for each  $A \in \mathfrak{h}_u$  we have the following vectors of  $\mathfrak{V}$ ,  $Y_A = [A, X] = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_X^+} \gamma(iA)(b_{\gamma}U_{\gamma} - a_{\gamma}U_{-\gamma})$  and

$$Z_A = [A, Y_A] = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_X^+} (\gamma(iA))^2 (-a_\gamma U_\gamma - b_\gamma U_{-\gamma}).$$

It is easy to see that  $Z_A$  and Y are orthogonal, then  $Z_A = c_A X$  with  $c_A \in R$  and therefore  $(\gamma(iA))^2 = -c_A$  for every  $\gamma \in \Delta_X^+$ . Then

$$(\gamma(iA))^2 = (\gamma_o(iA))^2, \quad \forall \ \gamma \in \Delta_X^+.$$

The last identity holds for every  $A \in \mathfrak{h}_u$ , in particular for the element  $E^*$  given by Lemma 2 and consequently  $\Delta_X^+ = \{\gamma_o\}$  and  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma_o}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma_o}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.** If  $\mathfrak{V}$  is an Ad(T)-invariant real subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$  then there exists  $\Delta^* \subset \Delta^+$  such that  $\mathfrak{V} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$ .

Proof. Let us consider  $\mathfrak{V} = \oplus \mathfrak{V}_j$  where each  $\mathfrak{V}_j$  is supporting an irreducible representation of T via the adjoint one. So, each  $\mathfrak{V}_j$  is supporting an irreducible representation of the abelian Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_u$  via the adjoint one. By Lemma 3 each  $\mathfrak{V}_j$  has real-dimension 2 and Lemma 4 guaranties the existence of  $\gamma_j \in \Delta^+$  such that  $\mathfrak{V}_j = \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma_j}$ .

This theorem gives a complete characterization of those subspaces of  $\mathfrak{m} = T_E(G_u/T)$  which are Ad(T)-invariant. Each one of these subspaces admits Ad(T)-invariant complex structures. Since here  $\mathfrak{V} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$  we may take on each  $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$  ( $\gamma \in \Delta^*$ ) the *canonical complex structure* given by  $J(U_{\gamma}) = U_{-\gamma}$  and  $J(U_{-\gamma}) = -U_{\gamma}$  which is Ad(T)-invariant by the identities in (3).

Our next objective is to relate the subspaces of  $\mathfrak{m}$  which may give rise to  $G_u$ -invariant almost CR-structures on  $M = G_u/T$  with those subspaces that are tangent spaces of symmetric spaces of type  $G_u/K$ .

Associated to our simple group  $G_u$  we have its family of symmetric spaces of type I [8, p. 518] and among them, those which are *inner*, i.e. the spaces in which the symmetry at each point belongs to the group  $G_u$ . These are, among all symmetric spaces, the only ones that are related (in a way to be described bellow) with our purpose. It is well-known that each one of the simple groups gives rise to at least one of these symmetric spaces. They are those of the form  $G_u/K$ , where K is a subgroup of maximal rank in  $G_u$ . The ones which are not inner in the list in [8, p. 518] are AI, AII, BDI (p + q = 2n, p odd,  $1 \le p \le n$ ), EI and EIV.

By conjugating K, if necessary, we may assume that K contains T.

It is known, (see [5, p.226]) that if  $G_u/K$  is an inner symmetric space, then  $\mathfrak{V} = T_{[K]}G_u/K$  is the form  $\mathfrak{V} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$  for some  $\Delta^* \subset \Delta^+$ . Moreover, there exists a root  $\gamma^* \in \pi$  such that

$$\mathfrak{V} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}, \quad where \quad \Delta^* = \{\gamma \in \Delta^+ : h_{\gamma^*}(\gamma) = 1\}.$$
(4)

In fact, when  $G_u/K$  is an Hermitian symmetric space  $\gamma^*$  is one of the simple roots such that  $h_{\gamma^*}(\mu) = 1$ , where  $\mu$  is the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For each one of the non-Hermitian inner symmetric spaces  $G_u/K$  of type I, the root  $\gamma^*$  is indicated in the following table according to the notation in [8, p. 477 and 518].

BDI(*)	p, q even	$\alpha_{\frac{p}{2}}$ ;	EII	$\alpha_2$ ;	EIX	$\alpha_8$
	p  odd	$\alpha_{\frac{q}{2}}^{2}$ ;	EV	$\alpha_2$ ;	FI	$\alpha_1$
	q odd	$\alpha_{\frac{p}{2}}$ ;	EVI	$\alpha_1$ ;	FII	$\alpha_4$
CII		$\alpha_p$ ;	EVIII	$\alpha_1$ ;	G	$\alpha_2$

(\*) The groups SO(p+q) and  $SO(p) \times SO(q)$  have the same rank only when pq is even.

Therefore, all tangent spaces at [K] of an inner symmetric space of type  $G_u/K$  are Ad(T)-invariant.

On the other hand, the tangent spaces at [K] of the *outer* symmetric spaces cannot give rise to  $G_u$ -invariant CR-structures on  $M = G_u/T$  because they are not Ad(T)-invariant as we show now.

Maintaining the notation in Subsection 2.2 we add the following. Let  $\theta$  be the involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  giving rise to the symmetric space  $G_u/K$ . This produces a decomposition  $\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  where  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the Lie algebra of K,  $\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_u : \theta X = X\}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_u : \theta X = -X\} = T_{[K]}G_u/K$ .

**AI**.  $\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{su}(n), \ \theta(X) = \overline{X}.$ 

In this case  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the space of symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices of purely imaginary entries and zero trace. So

$$T_{[K]}(G_u/K) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} RU_{-\gamma}$$

and then this tangent space is not Ad(T)-invariant.

**AII.** 
$$\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{su}(2n), \ \theta(X) = J_n \overline{X} J_n^{-1} \text{ where } J_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
  
Then  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \overline{B} & -\overline{A} \end{bmatrix} : A \in \mathfrak{su}(n), \ B \in \mathfrak{so}(n, C) \right\}.$   
From this follows that  $U_{\alpha_1} - U_{\alpha_{n+1}} \in \mathfrak{p}$  but  $U_{\alpha_1} \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $U_{\alpha_{n+1}} \notin \mathfrak{p}.$ 

**BDI**. In these spaces,  $T_{[K]}G_u/K$  is not Ad(T)-invariant because its dimension is the odd number pq.

In the next two spaces, the simple roots used, correspond to the Dynkin diagram for the algebra  $\mathfrak{e}_6$  indicated in [8, p. 477].

**EIV.** The space  $(\mathfrak{e}_{6(-78)}, \mathfrak{f}_{4(-52)})$  is obtained from an automorphism  $\theta$  of  $\mathfrak{e}_6$  induced by the automorphism of the Dynkin diagram which interchanges the roots  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_6$ ,  $\alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_5$  and fixes the roots  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_4$ .

In terms of the basis of the algebra  $\mathfrak{e}_6$  defined in [8, p. 482, Prop. 4.1] the following vectors generate the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{f}_4$  in  $\mathfrak{e}_6$  such that  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(-52)} = \mathfrak{k}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{lll} H_{\beta_1} = H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_6} & H_{\beta_2} = H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_5} & H_{\beta_3} = H_{\alpha_4} & H_{\beta_4} = H_{\alpha_2} \\ X_{\beta_1} = X_{\alpha_1} + X_{\alpha_6} & X_{\beta_2} = X_{\alpha_3} + X_{\alpha_5} & X_{\beta_3} = X_{\alpha_4} & X_{\beta_4} = X_{\alpha_2} \\ X_{-\beta_1} = X_{-\alpha_1} + X_{-\alpha_6} & X_{-\beta_2} = X_{-\alpha_3} + X_{-\alpha_5} & X_{-\beta_3} = X_{-\alpha_4} & X_{-\beta_4} = X_{-\alpha_2} \end{array}$$

denoting by  $\{\beta_j\}$  a system of simple roots of  $\mathfrak{f}_4$  such that  $2\beta_1 + 3\beta_2 + 4\beta_3 + 2\beta_4$  is the highest one. (More details of this construction can be found in [8, p. 507, Ex.2, case 4]).

Since  $iH_{\alpha_1}$  is not an element in  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{f}_{4(-52)} \subset \mathfrak{e}_{6(-78)}$  and  $[U_{\alpha_1}, U_{-\alpha_1}] = iH_{\alpha_1}$ , then the subspace  $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_1}$  is not contained in  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Similarly  $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_6} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{k}$ . On the other hand, one can see that  $U_{\alpha_1} + U_{\alpha_6} \in \mathfrak{k}$ . Then there is not a subset of positive roots of  $\mathfrak{e}_6$ ,  $\Delta^*$ , such that  $\mathfrak{k} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not Ad(T)-invariant either.

**EI**. This space is  $(\mathfrak{e}_{6(-78)}, \mathfrak{sp}(4))$ .

Let us call  $\theta_o$  the automorphism that defines the symmetric space *EIV*. It is known that (compare [13, p. 287]):

(i) There exists an element H in a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(-52)} \subset \mathfrak{e}_{6(-78)}$  such that

$$\theta = \theta_o Ad(\exp H)$$

is the automorphism that defines the symmetric space EI.

(ii) In the compact symmetric space FI which is  $(\mathfrak{f}_{4(-52)},\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2))$  the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$  is the fixed point set of  $\nu = Ad(\exp H)$  in  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(-52)}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$  is the fixed point set of  $\theta$  in  $\mathfrak{f}_{4(-52)}$ .

It is easy to see that, in terms of the simple roots  $\{\beta_j\}$  mentioned above, the roots of  $\mathfrak{f}_4$  that are roots of the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{c}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1$  are those that are written only in terms of  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$  and  $\beta_4$  and the highest one. Therefore  $U_{\beta_2} = U_{\alpha_3} + U_{\alpha_5}$  and  $U_{-\beta_2} = U_{-\alpha_3} + U_{-\alpha_5}$  belong to  $\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ .

Let us assume now that  $\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_u : \theta X = X\} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$  for some  $\Delta^* \subset \Delta^+(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ . By definition of  $\nu$  and (3),  $\nu(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}) = \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Delta^*$  and then  $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma} = \theta(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}) = \theta_o(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma})$  for all  $\gamma \in \Delta^*$ . Therefore

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$$
 is  $\theta_o$ -invariant for all  $\gamma \in \Delta^*$ . (5)

Since the space  $Span_{R} \{ U_{\beta_{2}}, U_{-\beta_{2}} \} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ , we have  $U_{\pm \alpha_{3}}, U_{\pm \alpha_{5}} \in \mathfrak{k}$ . Consequently the roots  $\alpha_{3}$  and  $\alpha_{5}$  are in  $\Delta^{*}$ .

By the case of the space EIV we see that  $\theta_o(U_{\pm\alpha_3}) = U_{\pm\alpha_5}$  and from (5) we obtain a contradiction that proves that **p** is not invariant.

The previous development could be summarized in the following result.

**Theorem 6.** The tangent space at [K] of the symmetric space  $G_u/K$  is the holomorphic tangent space at the basic point E of some  $G_u$ -invariant almost CR-structures on  $G_u/T$  if and only if  $G_u/K$  is an inner symmetric space.

## 4. Extrinsic CR-structures

In this section  $M = G_u/T = Ad(G_u)E$  will be always considered as a submanifold of  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  because we want to study extrinsic structures.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $G_u/K$  be an inner symmetric space such that  $K \supset T$  and let us consider the space  $\mathfrak{H} = T_{[K]}(G_u/K)$  as a subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$  (see (2)). Then M is a  $G_u$ -invariant minimal almost Hermitian extrinsic symmetric CR-manifold whose holomorphic tangent space at the basic point E is  $\mathfrak{H}$  and canonical complex structure  $J_E$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$  given by  $ad(A_o)$  with an adequate element  $A_o$  in  $\mathfrak{h}_u$ .

Proof. The correspondence  $gT \longmapsto Ad(g)E$  defines an isometric embedding of  $G_u/T$  onto  $M \subset \mathfrak{g}_u$  and so, by Theorem 6, M has a  $G_u$ -invariant almost CR-structure whose holomorphic tangent space at the basic point E is  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

To the end of describing the endomorphism that defines the canonical complex structure  $J_E$ , from (4) we notice that there exists a root  $\gamma^* \in \pi$  such that  $\mathfrak{H} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$  where  $\Delta^* = \{\gamma \in \Delta^+ : h_{\gamma^*}(\gamma) = 1\}$ . Using the notation in Lemma 2 and calling  $\alpha_{j_o}$  to  $\gamma^*$  we define an element  $A_o$  in  $\mathfrak{h}_u$  by

$$iA_o = -n_{j_o}v_{j_o}$$

which satisfies  $\gamma(iA_o) = -1 \ \forall \ \gamma \in \Delta^*$ . From this and (3) we conclude that  $[A_o, U_{\gamma}] = U_{-\gamma}$ and  $[A_o, U_{-\gamma}] = -U_{\gamma}$ . Therefore

$$J_E = ad(A_o)|_{\mathfrak{H}}$$

Naturally, at the point x = Ad(g)E we have  $\mathfrak{H}_x = Ad(g)\mathfrak{H}$  and  $J_x = ad(Ad(g)A_o)$ .

This CR-structure on M is easily seen to be Hermitian.

Since  $G_u/K$  is an inner symmetric space, the symmetry at the point [K] is given by an element  $s \in K$  [13, p. 255, Th. 8.6.7]. Hence s belongs to the center of  $K_e$ , the identity component of K (because  $K_e$  is the identity component of the fixed point set in  $G_u$  of the automorphism  $g \mapsto sgs$ ) and so s is contained also in our chosen torus  $T \subset K$ . Hence

$$\sigma_E = Ad(s)$$

defines a function from M to itself which is an involutive isometric CR-diffeomorphism fixing the point E.

Since  $\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{k} = Lie(K) = F(\sigma_E, \mathfrak{g}_u)$  and  $\mathfrak{H} = F(-\sigma_E, \mathfrak{g}_u)$  we see that this situation is a particular case of the construction given in [10, p. 164] and  $\sigma_E$  is the symmetry of M at E if and only if  $\mathfrak{H}_E^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  generated by  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Then by [10, p. 165, Prop. 6.2],  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}]$  (notice that in our situation the subalgebras  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  in [10, p. 165, Prop. 6.2] coincide) and also M is a minimal almost Hermitian symmetric CR-manifold and the inclusion  $\mathfrak{H}_E^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{H}$  holds if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{h}_u + \mathfrak{b}$ . So in order to prove this equality it is enough to show that  $\mathfrak{A} = \sum_{\gamma \in (\Delta^+ - \Delta^*)} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$ , the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{h}_u$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$ , is contained in  $[\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}]$ .

Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $\rho$  be elements of  $\Delta^+$  such that  $\varepsilon - \rho \in \Delta$ . We denote by

$$sg_{\varepsilon-\rho} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ \varepsilon - \rho \in \Delta^+ \\ -1 & if \ \rho - \varepsilon \in \Delta^+ \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\varepsilon - \rho| = \begin{cases} \varepsilon - \rho & \text{if } \varepsilon - \rho \in \Delta^+\\ \rho - \varepsilon & \text{if } \rho - \varepsilon \in \Delta^+. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\varepsilon, \rho \in \Delta^+, \varepsilon \neq \rho$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{\varepsilon}, U_{\rho} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ N_{\varepsilon,\rho} U_{\varepsilon+\rho} + sg_{\rho-\varepsilon} N_{\varepsilon,-\rho} U_{|\varepsilon-\rho|} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} U_{\varepsilon}, U_{-\rho} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ N_{\varepsilon,\rho} U_{-(\varepsilon+\rho)} + N_{\varepsilon,-\rho} U_{-|\varepsilon-\rho|} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} U_{-\varepsilon}, U_{-\rho} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ N_{-\varepsilon,-\rho} U_{\varepsilon+\rho} + sg_{\varepsilon-\rho} N_{-\varepsilon,\rho} U_{|\varepsilon-\rho|} \right\}.$$

$$(6)$$

(Here we understand that if  $\varepsilon \pm \rho$  are not roots then the terms  $N_{\varepsilon,-\rho}U_{|\varepsilon-\rho|}$ ,  $N_{\varepsilon,\rho}U_{\varepsilon+\rho}$ , etc., vanish).

From [5, p. 227, 228, Remark 4.2, 4.3] we know that if  $\gamma \in \Delta^+ - \Delta^*$  then there exist  $\varepsilon$  and  $\rho$  in  $\Delta^*$  such that  $\gamma = \varepsilon + \rho$  or  $\gamma = \varepsilon - \rho$ .

(i) If  $\gamma = \varepsilon + \rho$  and  $\varepsilon - \rho \notin \Delta$ , by (6), we obtain that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} U_{\gamma} & = & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N_{\varepsilon,\rho}} \left[ U_{\varepsilon}, U_{\rho} \right] \in \left[ \mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H} \right] \\ U_{-\gamma} & = & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N_{\varepsilon,\rho}} \left[ U_{\varepsilon}, U_{-\rho} \right] \in \left[ \mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H} \right]. \end{array}$$

When  $\gamma = \varepsilon - \rho$  and  $\varepsilon + \rho \notin \Delta$  we reach a similar conclusion.

(ii) If  $\varepsilon + \rho \in \Delta$  and  $\varepsilon - \rho \in \Delta$ , by using again (6), we can see that there exist nonzero real constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{\varepsilon}, U_{\rho} \end{bmatrix} = c_1 U_{\varepsilon+\rho} + c_2 U_{|\varepsilon-\rho|} \\ \begin{bmatrix} U_{-\varepsilon}, U_{-\rho} \end{bmatrix} = -c_1 U_{\varepsilon+\rho} + c_2 U_{|\varepsilon-\rho|}$$

and so, if  $\gamma = \varepsilon + \rho$  (respectively  $\gamma = \varepsilon - \rho$ ) we have that  $U_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2c_1} \{ [U_{\varepsilon}, U_{\rho}] - [U_{-\varepsilon}, U_{-\rho}] \} \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}]$  (respectively  $U_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2c_2} \{ [U_{\varepsilon}, U_{\rho}] + [U_{-\varepsilon}, U_{-\rho}] \} \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}]$ ).

In analogous way, we can prove that  $U_{-\gamma} \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}]$  and therefore we conclude that  $\mathfrak{A} \subset [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}]$ . Then this structure is minimal and  $M = Ad(G_u)E$  is a  $G_u$ -invariant minimal almost Hermitian symmetric CR-manifold whose holomorphic tangent space and symmetry at E are  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\sigma_E$  respectively. Since the structure is  $G_u$ -invariant, the symmetries, the holomorphic tangent spaces and the almost complex operators at any other point are given by translation.

By definition the symmetry at any point is an *extrinsic symmetry* and then the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 1.** The extrinsic symmetries of the previous theorem are automorphisms of the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_u$ .

Let us suppose now that  $M = Ad(G_u)E \subset \mathfrak{g}_u$  is a  $G_u$ -invariant minimal almost Hermitian extrinsic symmetric CR-manifold such that the extrinsic symmetries  $\sigma_x$  are automorphisms of the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_u$ . Set  $\mathfrak{k} = F(\sigma_E, \mathfrak{g}_u)$ . If  $\mathfrak{H}$  denotes, as above, the holomorphic tangent space at the basic point E then, since M is minimal,  $\mathfrak{H} = F(-\sigma_E, \mathfrak{g}_u)$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{H}$ is a reductive decomposition ( $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{H}] \subset \mathfrak{H}$ ) that satisfies  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$  and  $[\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ . If K is the analytic subgroup of  $G_u$  whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{k}$  then K has maximal rank. Since  $G_u$ is simply connected, from [8, p. 209, Prop. 3.4] and [13, p. 255, Th. 8.6.7],  $G_u/K$  is an inner symmetric space with  $\mathfrak{H} = T_{[K]}(G_u/K)$  where the  $G_u$ -invariant metric is induced by translation of the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

**Remark 2.** The previous comment indicates that if in Theorem 7 we also ask that the extrinsic symmetries are automorphisms of the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_u$ , then the sufficient condition given in that theorem, is also necessary.

This fact is not very surprising but it suggests an interesting problem which is to decide whether or not all extrinsic symmetries come from automorphisms of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{u}$ . This seems to be a difficult problem for which we do not have yet a complete solution, however we have obtained Theorem 8 that we feel is very interesting since, besides giving a partial answer to this problem (Corollary 9), contains an inequality giving a bound of the possible CR-dimensions of M.

To reach Theorem 8 we introduce new notation and some results needed in the proof.

The following table contains the list of the irreducible inner symmetric spaces of maximal dimension for each simple Lie group  $G_u$ .

$\mathfrak{g}$	name	$G_u/K$		$\dim G_u/K$
$\mathfrak{a}_l$	AIII	$SU(l+1)/S(U(k+1) \times U(k))$	l = 2k	$\frac{1}{2}l(l+2)$
		$SU(l+1)/S(U(k+1) \times U(k+1))$	l = 2k + 1	$\frac{1}{2}(l+1)^2$
$\mathfrak{b}_l$	BDI	$SO(2l+1)/SO(l+1) \times SO(l)$		l(l + 1)
$\mathfrak{c}_l$	CI	Sp(l)/U(l)		l(l+1)
$\mathfrak{d}_l$	BDI	$SO(2l)/SO(l) \times SO(l)$	$l \ even$	$l^2$
		$SO(2l)/SO(l+1) \times SO(l-1)$	$l \ odd$	$l^2 - 1$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	EII	$E_6/SU(6)Sp(1)$		40
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\mathrm{EV}$	$E_7/(SU(8)/Z_2)$		70
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	EVIII	$E_8/(Spin(16)/Z_{2})$		128
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\mathbf{FI}$	$F_4/Sp(3)Sp(1)$		28
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	G	$G_2/SO(4)$		8

We shall denote by  $d(G_u)$  the dimension dim  $(G_u/K)$  indicated in this table.

Let  $j: M \to \mathbb{R}^N$  be an isometric embedding and p a point in M. Let us consider, in the tangent space  $T_p(M)$ , a unit vector X and define an affine subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  by

$$S(p,X) = p + Span\left\{X, T_p(M)^{\perp}\right\}.$$

If U is a small enough neighborhood of p in M, then the intersection  $U \cap S(p, X)$  can be considered as the image of a  $C^{\infty}$  regular curve  $\gamma(s)$ , parametrized by arc-length, such that  $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma'(0) = X$ . This curve is called a normal section of M at p in the direction of X and it is *pointwise planar* at p if its first three derivatives  $\gamma'(0), \gamma''(0)$  and  $\gamma'''(0)$  are linearly dependent.

In this paper j is a natural embedding of the flag manifold  $M = G_u/T$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the second fundamental form of this embedding and D the torsion tensor of the *canonical connection* on M([4]).

It is known that the normal section  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma'(0) = X$  is pointwise planar at p if and only if the unit tangent vector X at p satisfies the equation

$$\alpha \left( D\left( X,X\right) ,X\right) =0.$$

It is also known that the set of those unitary vectors X defining pointwise planar normal sections at p gives rise to a real projective algebraic variety X[M] of  $RP^{n-1}(\dim_R M = n)$  called the variety of directions of pointwise planar normal sections of M. For details of these facts see [4].

**Theorem 8.** Let  $M = Ad(G_u)E \subset \mathfrak{g}_u$  be a  $G_u$ -invariant minimal almost Hermitian extrinsic symmetric CR-manifold whose holomorphic tangent space at the basic point E is  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Then

- (i)  $\dim_R \mathfrak{H} \leq d(G_u)$ .
- (ii) If  $\dim_R \mathfrak{H} = d(G_u)$  then there exists an inner symmetric space  $G_u/K$  such that  $\mathfrak{H} = T_{[K]}(G_u/K)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_E$  be the extrinsic symmetry of M at E. Since it is an isometry of the ambient space it must satisfy, for every  $X \in T_E(M)$ ,

$$\varphi_{E}\alpha_{E}\left(D\left(X,X\right),X\right) = \alpha_{E}\left(D\left(\varphi_{E}X,\varphi_{E}X\right),\varphi_{E}X\right).$$

If we take now  $X \in \mathfrak{H}$  then  $\varphi_E X = -X$  and so

$$\alpha_{E}\left(D\left(\varphi_{E}X,\varphi_{E}X\right),\varphi_{E}X\right) = \alpha_{E}\left(D\left(-X,-X\right),-X\right) = -\alpha_{E}\left(D\left(X,X\right),X\right)$$

which yields

$$\varphi_{E}\alpha_{E}\left(D\left(X,X\right),X\right)=-\alpha_{E}\left(D\left(X,X\right),X\right).$$

But since  $\alpha_E(D(X,X),X)$  is normal and  $\varphi_E$  on  $T_E(M)^{\perp}$  is the identity we conclude that  $\alpha_E(D(X,X),X) = 0$  and therefore  $RP(\mathfrak{H}) \subset X[M]$ .

From Theorems 1 and 5 there exists  $\Delta^* \subset \Delta^+$  such that  $\mathfrak{H} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}$ . By applying [6, p. 416,Th. 1.1] we obtain  $|\Delta^*| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(G_u)$  and so part (i) follows.

Now by [6, p. 416,Th. 1.2], the subspace  $\mathfrak{H}$  is tangent to the inner symmetric space  $G_u/K$  at a fixed point of the action of the torus T where  $G_u/K$  is given in the previous table for each  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  and this proves (ii).

From this and Theorem 7 we have the following characterization.

**Corollary 9.**  $M = Ad(G_u)E \subset \mathfrak{g}_u$  has a  $G_u$ -invariant minimal almost Hermitian extrinsic symmetric CR-structure satisfying  $\dim_R \mathfrak{H} = d(G_u)$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the holomorphic tangent space at the basic point E, if and only if there exists an inner symmetric space  $G_u/K$  such that  $\mathfrak{H} = T_{[K]}(G_u/K)$ .

## References

- Bredon, G.: Introduction to compact transformation groups. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Vol. 46, Academic Press, New York and London 1972. cf. Transl. from the English (Russian), Moskva "Nauka" 1980.
- Burstall, F. E.; Rawnsley, J. H.: Twistor theory for Riemannian Symmetric Spaces. Lecture Notes in Math. 1424, Springer Verlag 1990.
   Zbl 0699.53059
- Chen, B. Y.: Differential geometry of submanifolds with planar normal sections. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 130 (1982), 59–66.
   Zbl 0486.53004
- [4] Dal Lago, W.; García, A.; Sánchez, C.: Planar normal sections on the natural imbedding of a flag manifold. Geom. Dedicata 53 (1994), 223–235.
   Zbl 0839.53034
- [5] Dal Lago, W.; García, A.; Sánchez, C.: Maximal projective subspaces in the variety of planar normal sections of a flag manifold. Geom. Dedicata 75 (1999), 219–233.

<u>Zbl 0964.53033</u>

- [6] Dal Lago, W.; García, A.; Sánchez, C.: Projective subspaces in the variety of normal sections and tangent spaces to a symmetric space. Journal of Lie Theory 8 (1998), 415–428.
   Zbl 0908.53029
- [7] Ferus, D.: Symmetric submanifolds of Euclidean spaces. Math. Ann. 247 (1980), 81–93.
   Zbl 0446.53041
- [8] Helgason, S.: Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces. Academic Press 1978. Zbl 0451.53038
- [9] Humphreys, J. E.: Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory. Sringer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1972.
   Zbl 0254.17004
- Kaup, W.; Zaitsev, D.: On symmetric Cauchy-Riemann manifolds. Advances in Mathematics 149 (2000), 145–181.
- [11] Sánchez, C.; Dal Lago, W.; Calí, A.; Tala, J.: On extrinsic symmetric Cauchy-Riemann manifolds. Beitr. Algebra Geom. 44(2) (2003), 335–357.
   Zbl pre01973829
- [12] Wolf, J.: The action of a real semisimple group on a compact flag manifold I: Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1969), 1121–1137.
- [13] Wolf, J.: Spaces of constant curvature. Publish or Perish Inc. 1977. cf. 3rd ed. 1974. Zbl 0281.53034
- [14] Wolf, J.; Gray, A.: Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms. I. J. Differential Geometry 2 (1968), 77–114.

Received May 30, 2003

### 414