# **Relative Isodiametric Inequalities**

Dedicated to the memory of Bernulf Weißbach

A. Cerdán C. Miori S. Segura Gomis

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Alicante Campus de San Vicente del Raspeig, E-03080-Alicante, Spain e-mail: aacs@alu.ua.es cm4@alu.ua.es Salvador.Sequra@ua.es

Abstract. We consider subdivisions of bounded convex sets G in two subsets E and  $G \setminus E$ . We obtain several inequalities comparing the relative volume 1) with the minimum relative diameter and 2) with the maximum relative diameter. In the second case we obtain the best upper estimate only for subdivisions determined by straight lines in planar sets.

MSC 2000: 52A40, 52A10

Keywords: Relative geometric inequalities, relative isodiametric inequalities, area, volume, diameter

## 1. Introduction

Relative geometric inequalities are inequalities in which we compare relative geometric measures, i.e., functionals that give geometric information on the subsets (E and  $G \setminus E$ ) determined by the subdivision of an original set G.

The first relative geometric inequalities that appeared in the literature were the so called relative isoperimetric inequalities. These inequalities compare the relative area with the relative perimeter:

If G is an open convex set in the Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and E is a subset of G with non-empty interior and rectificable boundary such that both E and its complement  $G \setminus E$  are connected, we define:

- the relative boundary of E as  $\partial E \cap G$ ,

0138-4821/93 2.50 © 2004 Heldermann Verlag

- the relative perimeter of E, P(E,G), as the length of the relative boundary, and
- the *relative area* of E as:

$$A(E,G) = \min\{A(E), A(G \setminus E)\}.$$

With the above assumptions we say that a relative isoperimetric inequality is an inequality of the type:

$$\frac{A(E,G)}{P(E,G)^{\alpha}} \le C,$$

where C and  $\alpha$  are positive numbers.

This problem can be extended to higher dimensions in the following way: Let G be an open and convex set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A relative isoperimetric inequality holds if there exist two positive constants  $\alpha$  and C such that

$$\frac{\min\{V(E), V(G \setminus E)\}}{P(E, G)^{\alpha}} \le C,$$
(1)

where V(E) is the volume of E and P(E, G) is in this case an appropriate (n-1)-dimensional measure of the relative boundary of E.

Many results have been obtained about relative isoperimetric inequalities (see for instance [2],[9]).

There are also results comparing the relative perimeter with other geometric magnitudes different from the relative area. For results comparing the relative perimeter with the relative diameter and the relative inradius see [4].

In this paper we want to study *relative isodiametric inequalities*, in which we compare the relative volume with the relative diameters of a subset of a bounded convex set. First we need to define these notions:

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open convex set and  $E \subset G$  a subset of G such that E as well as  $G \setminus E$  are connected and have non-empty interior. Let D(.) be the diameter functional.

(i) The *relative volume* is the minimum of the volume of E and the volume of its complement,

$$V(E,G) = \min\{V(E), V(G \setminus E)\},\$$

(ii) the minimum relative diameter is the minimum of the diameter of E and the diameter of its complement,

$$d_m(E,G) = \min\{D(E), D(G \setminus E)\},\$$

and

(iii) the maximum relative diameter is the maximum of the diameter of E and the diameter of its complement,

 $d_M(E,G) = \max\{D(E), D(G \setminus E)\}.$ 

Relative isodiametric inequalities are those that give either an upper or a lower estimate of the ratios:

$$\frac{V(E,G)}{d_m(E,G)^n} \text{ or } \frac{V(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^n}.$$

We compare the relative volume with the *n*th-power of the relative diameters (n is the dimension of the ambient space) because as this ratio is invariant under dilatations, we obtain geometric information about the subdivision: The estimates do not depend on the size of the sets but only on their shapes.

We are interested not only in obtaining relative isodiametric inequalities, but also in determining those sets (called maximizers or minimizers) for which the equality sign is attained.

For some of the cases that we are going to consider, we need the classical (absolute) isodiametric inequality:

Among all convex bodies in the n-dimensional Euclidean space with fixed volume, the ball has the smallest diameter:

$$\frac{V}{\omega_n} \le \left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^n,$$

where  $\omega_n = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(1+n/2)}$  is the volume of the *n*-dimensional unit ball and  $\Gamma$  is the Euler Gamma function ([1]).

In the plane we can write this inequality as follows:

Let C be a planar closed convex domain with area A and diameter D. Then,

$$A \leq \frac{1}{4}\pi D^2$$

where the inequality holds if and only if C is a circle ([1]).

# 2. Relative isodiametric inequalities concerning the relative volume and the minimum relative diameter of a subset of a bounded convex set

The aim of this section is to maximize and minimize the ratio of the relative volume and the nth-power of the minimum relative diameter of a subset E of G.

We begin with minimizing the given ratio, and in this case we have to consider two different cases. First, we are going to study general subdivisions of G and later we are going to consider the special case in which the subdivision is obtained by a hyperplane cut.

**Proposition 1.** Let G be an open bounded convex set and E a subset of G such that E and  $G \setminus E$  are connected. Then,

$$\frac{V(E,G)}{d_m(E,G)^n} \ge 0$$

is the optimal lower estimate.



*Proof.* For any bounded convex set G we can consider a sequence of hypersurfaces  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  as close as we want to the boundary of G, such that both ends of a diameter of G belong to  $S_i \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the regions  $E_i$  bounded by  $S_i$  have volume decreasing to zero.

Then, we conclude that:

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{V(E_i, G)}{d_m(E_i, G)^n} = \frac{0}{D(G)^n} = 0.$$

**Proposition 2.** Let G be an open bounded convex set and E a subset of G obtained by a hyperplane cut. Then,

$$\frac{V(E,G)}{d_m(E,G)^n} \ge 0 \tag{2}$$

is the optimal lower estimate.

*Proof.* We can distinguish two cases:

Case 1: G is strictly convex:

Let x be a regular point in the boundary of G,  $\partial G$ . Let  $\nu(x)$  be the outward unit normal vector of  $\partial G$  at x. Let  $T_x \partial G$  be the tangent space of  $\partial G$  at x.

Let  $\Pi_0$  be a hyperplane parallel to  $T_x \partial G$  intersecting G and let  $E_0$  be the intersection of G with the upper half-space determined by  $\Pi_0$  and containing  $\nu(x)$ . We can choose  $\Pi_0$  and  $E_0$  so that  $V(E_0) \leq \frac{V(G)}{2}$ .

Applying the Schwarz symmetrization with respect to the line determined by  $\nu(x)$  to  $E_0$ we obtain a new set  $E'_0$  of revolution with the same volume as  $E_0$ ; the image of the relative boundary of  $E_0$  under Schwarz symmetrization is an (n-1)-dimensional ball with radius  $r_0$ . This symmetrization does not increase the diameter, so  $D(E_0) \ge D(E'_0)$ .



Figure 2

Then,

$$\frac{V(E_0,G)}{d_m(E_0,G)^n} = \frac{V(E_0)}{D(E_0)^n} \le \frac{V(E'_0)}{(2r_0)^n}.$$
(3)

We can choose a sequence of hyperplanes  $\{\Pi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  parallel to  $\Pi_0$  and such that the intersection of  $E'_0$  with the half-spaces determined by  $\Pi_i$  and containing  $\nu(x)$  be a contractive sequence of convex sets  $\{E'_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  so that  $\lim_{i\to\infty} V(E'_i) = 0$  and such that the supporting hyperplanes at the (n-2)-spheres determined by the intersection of  $\Pi_i$  with the boundary of  $E'_0$  provide a sequence of cones  $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  whose angles at their vertices are  $2\alpha_i$ . We can choose this sequence so that  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \alpha_i = \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Let  $r_i$  be the radius of the (n-1)-ball which is the basis of the cone  $C_i$ . For each of these cones  $C_i$ 

$$\frac{V(E_i')}{(2r_i)^n} \le \frac{V(C_i)}{(2r_i)^n} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}V(B(r_i)^{n-1})r \cot \alpha_i}{(2r_i)^n} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{(n-1)}{2}}\cot \alpha_i}{n2^n\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}+1)}.$$
(4)

Taking the limit when  $i \to \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\pi^{\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \cot \alpha_i}{n 2^n \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}+1)} = 0.$$
 (5)

Then, by (3),(4) and (5) we obtain that

$$0 \le \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{V(E_i, G)}{d_m(E_i, G)^n} \le 0,$$

and so the inequality (2) is best possible.

Case 2: G is not strictly convex:

If G is not strictly convex there exists a straight line segment t in the boundary of G (Figure 3). If we consider a sequence of hyperplanes  $\Pi_i$  parallel to t so that the volume of the subsets  $E_i$  determined by the intersections of G with  $\Pi_i$  decreases to zero, then,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{V(E_i, G)}{d_m(E_i, G)^n} \le \frac{0}{(\text{length}(t))^n} = 0.$$



The following proposition provides an upper bound for the ratio of the relative volume and the nth-power of the minimum relative diameter.

599

**Proposition 3.** Let G be an open bounded convex set and E a subset of G such that E and  $G \setminus E$  are connected. Then,

$$\frac{V(E,G)}{d_m(E,G)^n} \le \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})2^n} \,.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B^n(r)$  be a ball with radius r contained in G (Figure 4) such that:

$$V(B^n(r)) \le \frac{V(G)}{2} \iff r \le \left(\frac{V(G)}{2\omega_n}\right)^{1/n}$$



Figure 4

As a consequence of the isodiametric inequality ([1]), for any subset E of G:

$$\frac{V(E)}{D(E)^n} \le \frac{V(B^n(r))}{(2r)^n},$$

where equality holds if and only if  $E = B^n(r)$ . Then,

$$\frac{V(E,G)}{d_m(E,G)^n} \le \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})2^n}.$$

## 3. Relative isodiametric inequalities concerning the relative volume and the maximum relative diameter of a subset of a bounded convex set

The aim of this section is to maximize and minimize the ratio of the relative volume and the maximum relative diameter of a subset E of G.

**Proposition 4.** Let G be an open bounded convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and E a subset of G such that E and  $G \setminus E$  are connected. Then,

$$\frac{V(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^n} \ge 0$$

is the best possible lower estimate.

*Proof.* Let G be an open bounded convex set in the Euclidean space. We can suppose without loss of generality that  $0 \in G$ . Let us consider the sequence  $\{E_i\}_{i=2}^{\infty}$  where each  $E_i = \frac{1}{i}G$ .



If we compute the ratio of the relative volume and the *n*th-power of the maximum relative diameter, the limit of this ratio is 0 when  $i \to \infty$ . In fact, the relative volume decreases to 0 and  $d_M(E_i, G) = D(G \setminus E_i)$  is the diameter of G for all *i*:

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{V(E_i, G)}{d_M(E_i, G)^n} = \frac{0}{D(G)^n} = 0.$$

**Proposition 5.** Let G be an open bounded convex set and E a subset of G obtained by a hyperplane cut. Then,

$$\frac{V(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^n} \ge 0$$

is the best possible lower estimate.

*Proof.* We consider a sequence of hyperplanes  $\{\Pi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  parallel to a diameter of G, and such that the volume of the subsets  $E_i$  determined by the intersections of G with  $\Pi_i$  decreases to zero (Figure 6). Then we can see that:

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{V(E_i, G)}{d_M(E_i, G)^n} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{V(E_i, G)}{D(G)^n} = 0.$$

Figure 6

The problem of maximizing the ratio of the relative area and the maximum relative diameter is attached to the so-called "fencing problems". Such problems consider dividing a region into two parts of equal volume (area) by a hypersurface (continuous curve); such hypersurfaces are called fences.

We are going to state two results about fencing problems that we shall use in the isodiametric inequality which we are going to prove.

**Theorem A.** ([7]) Let K be a planar, bounded, and centrally symmetric convex set with area A. For every subdivision of K into two parts  $(E, K \setminus E)$  of equal area by a continuous curve, the maximum relative diameter satisfies the following inequality:

$$d_M(E,K) \ge C\sqrt{A},$$

where  $C \cong 0.8815$ .

The equality is attained for the subdivision of the shaded optimal body described in the Figure 7.



Figure 7

**Theorem B.** ([8]) In the class of planar convex sets with area A the minimum of  $d_M$ , with respect to straight line cuts, is attained on a centrally symmetric convex set.

**Proposition 6.** Let G be a planar bounded convex set and E a subset of G obtained by a straight line cut, then:

$$\frac{A(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^2} \le 1.2869... \ .$$

*Proof.* Let l be the straight line dividing G into two regions E and  $G \setminus E$ , and suppose that  $A(E) \leq A(G \setminus E)$ . Let us consider two different cases: 1)  $d_M(E,G) = D(G \setminus E)$  and 2)  $d_M(E,G) = D(E)$ .

1) If  $d_M(E,G) = D(G \setminus E)$  and  $A(E) < A(G \setminus E)$ , we translate *l* till another line *l'* determining a new division of *G* into two other regions E' and  $G \setminus E'$  in such a way that one of the two following situations occurs:

1.1) 
$$A(E') = A(G \setminus E')$$
 and  $d_M(E', G) = D(G \setminus E')$ .

Then A(E',G) = A(E') > A(E) = A(E,G) and  $d_M(E',G) < d_M(E,G)$  (Figure 8) and E' determines a fencing problem. Hence,

$$\frac{A(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^2} \le \frac{A(E')}{d_M(E',G)^2} \le 1.2869...,$$

where the last inequality follows from theorems A and B.



1.2) 
$$A(E') < A(G \setminus E')$$
 and  $d_M(E', G) = D(E') = D(G \setminus E')$ .  
In this case we have  $A(E, G) = A(E) \le A(E') = A(E', G)$  and  $d_M(E, G) \ge d_M(E', G)$ , so

$$\frac{A(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^2} = \frac{A(E)}{D(G\setminus E)^2} \le \frac{A(E')}{D(E')^2},$$
(6)

but  $D(E') = D(G \setminus E')$ , so we have also

$$\frac{A(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^2} \le \frac{A(G \setminus E')}{D(G \setminus E')^2}.$$
(7)

We consider now the intersection points P and Q of l' with  $\partial G$ .



Figure 10

Let E'' be either E' or  $G \setminus E'$ , where the supporting lines at P and Q make internal angles whose sum is smaller or equal than  $\pi$ . Let us consider the symmetric set of E'' with respect to the middle point O of the segment PQ. Let this set be E'''.



 $E'' \cup E'''$  is a centrally symmetric convex set where the area is 2A(E'') (Figure 11). It is easy to see that

$$D(E''') = D(E'') = d_M(E', G) = D(E') = D(G \setminus E').$$

Then, from inequalities (6) and (7),

$$\frac{A(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^2} \le \frac{A(E'')}{D(E'')^2} \le 1.2869...,$$

where the last inequality holds as a consequence of Theorem A. 2) Suppose that  $d_M(E,G) = D(E)$ .



Figure 12

Then,

$$\frac{A(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^2} = \frac{A(E)}{D(E)^2},$$

and also

$$\frac{A(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^2} \le \frac{A(G \setminus E)}{D(G \setminus E)^2}$$

Let E' be either E or  $G \setminus E$ , where the supporting lines at P and Q realize internal angles whose sum is smaller or equal than  $\pi$ . By a similar argument to that used in the case 1.2 we conclude that

$$\frac{A(E,G)}{d_M(E,G)^2} \le \frac{A(E')}{D(E')^2} \le 1.2869...$$

The n-dimensional version of this proposition seems to be very challenging.

#### References

- Bieberbach, A.: Über eine Extremaleigenschaft des Kreises. Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Vereinig. 24 (1915), 247–250.
   JFM 45.0623.01
- [2] Cianchi, A.: On relative isoperimetric inequalities in the plane. Boll. Unione Mat. Italiana
   7 3-B (1989), 289–325.
- [3] Croft, H. T.; Falconer, K. J.; Guy, R. K.: Unsolved problems in Geometry. Springer, Berlin 1991.
   Zbl 0748.52001
- [4] Cerdán, A.; Schnell, U.; Segura Gomis, S.: On relative geometric inequalities. Math. Ineq. and Appl. 7(1) (2004), 135–148.
- [5] Cerdán, A.; Miori, C.; Segura Gomis, S.: On relative isodiametric inequalities. Preprint.
- [6] Cerdán, A.: Comparing the relative volume with the relative inradius and the relative width. Preprint.
- [7] Miori, C.; Peri, C.; Segura Gomis, S.: On fencing problems. Quaderno 23, Universitá Cattolica del Sacro Cuore di Brescia, 2003.
- [8] Miori, C.; Peri, C.; Segura Gomis, S.: On fencing problems. Preprint.
- [9] Peri, C.: On relative isoperimetric inequalities. Conferenze del Seminario di Matematica dell'Università di Bari, 279 (2001), 1–14.
- [10] Schneider, R.: Convex bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory. Cambridge University Press, 1993. Zbl 0798.52001

Received December 3, 2003