## Correction to Connecting invariant manifolds and the solution of the $C^1$ stability and $\Omega$ -stability conjectures for flows

By Shuhei Hayashi

There is a gap in the proof of Lemma VII.4 in [1]. We present an alternative proof of Theorem B ( $C^1$   $\Omega$ -stable vector fields satisfy Axiom A) in [1]. The novel and essential part in the proof of the stability and  $\Omega$ -stability conjectures for flows is the connecting lemma introduced in [1]. A mistake in the proof of the last conjecture was pointed out to me by Toyoshiba [5], who later also provided an independent proof of it, again based on the connecting lemma and previous arguments by Mañé and Palis.

The crucial step to the proof of Theorem B is the separation of singularities from periodic orbits ([1, Corollary III]) by the  $C^1$  connecting lemma ([1, Theorem A]). After the separation, the proof proceeds based on Mañé's theorems used in [3] and we still rely on Palis's argument in [4], proving first the density of Axiom A diffeomorphisms in the set of  $C^1$   $\Omega$ -stable ones to then show that every  $C^1$   $\Omega$ -stable diffeomorphism satisfies Axiom A.

Let  $\mathcal{G}^1_{\Omega}(M)$  be the set of  $C^1$   $\Omega$ -stable vector fields on a compact smooth boundaryless manifold M with the  $C^1$  topology and  $X \in \mathcal{G}^1_{\Omega}(M)$ . As in [1], we prove the hyperbolicity of  $\overline{\operatorname{Per}(X)}$  (=  $\Omega(X) - \operatorname{Sing}(X)$ ) by induction. In fact, we prove that  $\overline{P}_j(X)$  is hyperbolic assuming that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{j-1} \overline{P}_i(X)$  is hyperbolic for some  $1 \leq j \leq \dim M - 1$ , where  $\overline{P}_i(X)$  is the closure of the set of periodic points with index i (dimension of the stable subspace), which is enough to conclude that X satisfies Axiom A. For a dense subset of  $\mathcal{G}^1_{\Omega}(M)$ , we can use the statement of [1, Lemma VII.4] by an already classic argument on set-valued functions of  $C^1$  vector fields. In fact, there is a residual subset of the set of  $C^1$  vector fields (therefore of  $\mathcal{G}^1_{\Omega}(M)$ ) in which the closure of the set of hyperbolic periodic points of saddle type moves continuously with respect to vector fields (see for instance the proof of [1, Corollary II] for this kind of argument). Therefore, as proved in [1], we get the density of Axiom A vector fields in  $\mathcal{G}^1_{\Omega}(M)$ . Then, by  $\Omega$ -conjugacy, we see that  $\Omega(X)$  can be decomposed into a finite union of disjoint compact invariant sets which are isolated and transitive. Moreover, Palis's argument ([4]) for flows shows that each component is homogeneous in the sense that the index of every periodic

point in it is the same. Thus, the proof of Theorem B is reduced to proving the following claim:

Claim. Every homogeneous component of  $\overline{P}_j(X)$  is hyperbolic.

Let  $\mathcal{G}^1(M)$  be the interior of the set of  $C^1$  vector fields on M, with the  $C^1$  topology, such that all periodic orbits and singularities are hyperbolic. Then  $\mathcal{G}^1_\Omega(M) \subset \mathcal{G}^1(M)$ . Denote by  $L^X_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  the linear Poincaré flow of  $X \in \mathcal{G}^1(M)$  on  $N^*$  (see [1, p. 126] for the definition). As in [1, p. 131], let  $N^*|\overline{P}_j(X) = E_j \oplus F_j$  be the dominated splitting such that

(1) 
$$||L_m^X|E_j(y)|| \cdot ||L_{-m}^X|F_j(X_m(y))|| \le \lambda$$

for all  $y \in \overline{P}_j(X)$  with  $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  given by [1, Lemma VII.1], which is the continuous extension of hyperbolic splittings of periodic orbits of index j with respect to  $L_t^X$ . To prove the Claim, it is enough to show that  $E_j$  is contracting by the following lemma proved in [3, Theorem II.1], which is Lemma VII.5 in [1] and written for this setting:

LEMMA 1 (Mañé). Let  $\Lambda$  be a compact invariant set of  $X \in \mathcal{G}^1(M)$  such that  $\Lambda \cap \operatorname{Sing}(X) = \emptyset$  and  $\Omega(X|\Lambda) = \Lambda$ . Suppose that  $N^*|\Lambda = E \oplus F$  is a dominated splitting such that the dimension of the subspaces E(y),  $y \in \Lambda$  is constant,

$$||L_m^X|E(y)|| \cdot ||L_{-m}^X|F(X_m(y))|| \le \lambda$$

for all  $y \in \Lambda$ , and

$$\lim \inf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \log \|L_{-m}^{X}| F(X_{m\ell}(x))\| \le \log \lambda$$

holds for a dense set of points  $x \in \Lambda$ , where  $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  are given in (1). Then, if E is contracting, F is expanding (and therefore  $\Lambda$  is hyperbolic).

Let  $\sum(X)$  be the set of "strongly closable points" given in [1, Lemma VII.6 (Ergodic Closing Lemma for time-one maps)] and originally introduced by Mañé in [2]. We shall need the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let  $X \in \mathcal{G}^1(M)$ . If  $x \in \Sigma(X)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(x)} \cap \operatorname{Sing}(X) = \emptyset$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(x)}$  contains a hyperbolic set, where  $\mathcal{O}_X(x) = \{X_t(x) : t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ .

*Proof.* We can suppose that  $x \in \sum(X) - \operatorname{Per}(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , be a basis of neighborhoods of X. Then, by the definition of  $\sum(X)$  ([1, p. 132]; see also [2, p. 506]), there exists  $\{t_n > 0 : n \geq 0\}$  with  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} t_n = +\infty$ ,  $X^n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  and  $y_n \in \operatorname{Per}(X^n)$  having period  $T_n$  such that  $\{X_t(x) : 0 \leq t \leq t_n\}$  can be approximated by  $\{X_t^n(y_n) : 0 \leq t \leq T_n\}$  for large n. Without loss of

generality we may assume that the index of the  $X^n$ -periodic point  $y_n$  is the same for all  $n \ge 0$  (by taking a subsequence if necessary). Then, by [1, Lemma VII.1], the following properties hold for all  $n \ge 0$  with  $T_n \ge m$ :

$$||L_m^{X^n}|E_n^s(y)|| \cdot ||L_{-m}^{X^n}|E_n^u(X_m^n(y))|| \le \lambda$$

for all  $y \in \{X_t^n(y_n) : 0 \le t \le T_n\}$ , and

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{[T_n/m]} \|L_{-m}^{X^n}|E_n^u(X_{m\ell}^n(y_n))\| \le K\lambda^{[T_n/m]},$$

where  $E_n^s \oplus E_n^u$  is the hyperbolic splitting with respect to  $L_t^{X^n}$  over the  $X^n$ -periodic orbit with  $y_n$ . Note that the dimension of  $E_n(y)$  is constant, and the angle between  $E_n^s(y)$  and  $E_n^u(y)$  is uniformly bounded away from 0 by [2, Lemma II.9]. Then, defining the splitting  $E \oplus F$  over  $\mathcal{O}_X(x)$  by accumulation of  $\{E_n^s \oplus E_n^u : n \geq 0\}$  (see the definition of  $\sum (X)$  again), we have, by continuity, the following properties:

$$||L_m^X|E(y)|| \cdot ||L_{-m}^X|F(X_m(y))|| \le \lambda$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{O}_X(x)$ , and

$$\lim \inf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \log ||L_{-m}^{X}| F(X_{m\ell}(x))|| \le \log \lambda.$$

It is well known that the dominated splitting  $E \oplus F$  over  $\mathcal{O}_X(x)$  can be continuously extended to  $\widetilde{E} \oplus \widetilde{F}$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(x)}$  with the same  $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Hence, we can apply Lemma 1 to  $\Lambda = \overline{\mathcal{O}_X(x)}$ . If  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(x)}$  is not hyperbolic; that is,  $\widetilde{E}$  is not contracting, then, as in [1, p. 132], there exists  $p \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_X(x)} \cap \Sigma(X)$  such that

(2) 
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \log ||L_m^X| \widetilde{E}(X_{m\ell}(p))|| \ge 0.$$

When  $p \in \operatorname{Per}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_X(p)$  is a hyperbolic set, we may assume that  $p \notin \operatorname{Per}(X)$ . Then, we can continue this argument for  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(p)}$  instead of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(x)}$ . As observed in [1, pp. 132–133], the index  $i_0$  of periodic point created by the Ergodic Closing Lemma from  $\mathcal{O}_X(p)$  is less than dim  $\widetilde{E}$ . If  $i_0 = 0$ , then, by the same argument as in the proof of the finiteness of periodic orbits in  $\overline{P}_0(M)$ , p cannot be reccurrent. Therefore, we may suppose that  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(p)}$  has a contracting subbundle (by continuing this further if necessary) and therefore, by Lemma 1,  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(p)}$  is hyperbolic, proving Lemma 2.

Now let us prove the Claim. Assume that a homogeneous component  $\widetilde{\Lambda}$  of  $\overline{P}_j(X)$  is not hyperbolic. Then, we can find  $p \in \sum (X) \cap \widetilde{\Lambda}$  satisfying property

(2) with  $\widetilde{E}$  replaced by  $E_j$  in (1). Lemma 2 implies that  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_X(p)}$  contains a hyperbolic set  $\Lambda$ , and, as in the proof of Lemma 2, the index of any periodic point in  $\Lambda$  is less than j. This contradicts the homogeneity of  $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ .

SCHOOL OF COMMERCE, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, SHINJUKU, TOKYO, JAPAN  $E ext{-}mail\ address: shuhei@mn.waseda.ac.jp}$ 

## References

- [1] S. HAYASHI, Connecting invariant manifolds and the solution of the  $C^1$  stability and  $\Omega$ -stability conjectures for flows, Ann. of Math. 145 (1997), 81–137.
- [2] R. Mañé, An ergodic closing lemma, Ann. of Math.  $\mathbf{116}$  (1982), 503–540.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_\_, A proof of the  $C^1$  stability conjecture, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **66** (1988), 161–210. [4] J. Palis, On the  $C^1$   $\Omega$ -stability conjecture, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **66** (1988), 211–215.
- [5] H. Toyoshiba, private communication.

(Received November 16, 1998)