SOLVING NONLINEAR INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BY USING NUMERICAL TECHNIQUES

A.A. SHARIF, A.A. HAMOUD AND K.P. GHADLE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, nonlinear initial value problems for Volterra integrodifferential equations are solved by Modified Decomposition Method (MDM) and Modified Homotopy Perturbation Method (MHPM). The solutions of the problems are derived by infinite convergent series which are easily computable and then graphical representation shows that both methods are most effective and convenient. In order to show the efficiency of the presented techniques, we compare our results obtained with the exact results. Finally, some examples are included to demonstrate the validity and applicability of the proposed techniques.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65H20, 45J05, 65M55.

Keywords: Modified decomposition method, modified homotopy perturbation method, Volterra integro-differential equation, approximate solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in the linear and nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations which are a combination of differential and integral equations. The nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations play an important role in many branches of nonlinear functional analysis and their applications in the theory of engineering, mechanics, physics, electrostatics, biology, chemistry and economics [4]. In this paper, we consider the Volterra integro-differential equations of the type:

$$Z^{(j)}(x) = f(x) + \gamma \int_a^x K(x,t)G(Z(t))dt$$
(1)

with the initial conditions

$$Z^{(r)}(a) = b_r, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, (j-1),$$
(2)

where $Z^{(j)}(x)$ is the j^{th} derivative of the unknown function Z(x) that will be determined, K(x,t) is the kernel of the equation, f(x) is an analytic function, G is nonlinear function of Z and a, b, γ , and b_r are real finite constants. Recently, many authors focus on the development of numerical and analytical techniques for integro-differential equations. For instance, we can remember the following works. Abbasbandy and Elvas [1] studied some applications on variational iteration method for solving system of nonlinear volterra integro-differential equations, Hamoud and Ghadle [5] applied the hybrid methods for solving nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, Alao et al. [2] used Adomian decomposition and variational iteration methods for solving integro-differential equations, Yang and Hou [21] applied the Laplace decomposition method to solve the fractional integro-differential equations, Mittal and Nigam [19] applied the Adomian decomposition method to approximate solutions for fractional integro-differential equations, and Behzadi et al. [4] solved some class of nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations by homotopy analysis method. Moreover, several authors have applied the Adomian decomposition method and the variational iteration method to find the approximate solutions of various types of integro-differential equations [5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 17, 19, 21].

The main objective of the present paper is to study the behavior of the solution that can be formally determined by semi-analytical approximated methods as the modified decomposition method and modified homotopy perturbation method.

2. Description of the Methods

Some powerful methods have been focusing on the development of more advanced and efficient methods for integro-differential equations such as the MDM [6, 8] and MHPM [1, 2, 3, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18]. We will describe all these methods in this section:

2.1. Description of the MDM

Assuming f(x) has a series expansion, finds its series expansion and then applies the Laplace transformation \mathcal{L} on both sides of Eq.(1)

$$\mathcal{L}[Z^{(n)}(x)] = \mathcal{L}\Big[f(x) + \gamma \int_a^x K(x,t)G(Z(t))dt\Big].$$

Using the differentiation property of the Laplace transform, we have

$$s^{n} \mathcal{L}[Z(x)] - D^{(n-1)} Z(0) - s D^{(n-2)} Z(0) - \dots - s^{(n-1)} Z(0)$$

= $\mathcal{L} \Big[f(x) + \gamma \int_{a}^{x} K(x,t) G(Z(t)) dt \Big].$ (3)

Further simplification of Eq.(3) resulted into

$$\mathcal{L}[Z(x)] = \frac{1}{s^n} \Big[\mathcal{L}[f(x)] + D^{(n-1)}Z(0) + sD^{(n-2)}Z(0) + \dots + s^{(n-1)}Z(0) \Big] \\ + \frac{1}{s^n} \mathcal{L}\Big[\gamma \int_a^x K(x,t)G(Z(t))dt \Big].$$
(4)

Now we apply MDM

$$G(Z(x)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n,$$
(5)

where A_n ; $n \ge 0$ are the Adomian polynomials determined formally as follows:

$$A_{n} = \frac{1}{n!} \left[\frac{d^{n}}{d\mu^{n}} G(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu^{i} Z_{i}) \right] \Big|_{\mu=0}.$$
 (6)

The Adomian polynomials were introduced in [?, 20, 21] as:

$$A_{0} = G(Z_{0});$$

$$A_{1} = Z_{1}G'(Z_{0});$$

$$A_{2} = Z_{2}G'(Z_{0}) + \frac{1}{2!}Z_{1}^{2}G''(Z_{0});$$

$$A_{3} = Z_{3}G'(Z_{0}) + Z_{1}Z_{2}G''(Z_{0}) + \frac{1}{3!}Z_{1}^{3}G'''(Z_{0}),.$$

The standard decomposition technique represents the solution of Z as the following series:

$$Z = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Z_i.$$
 (7)

•

By substituting (5) and (7) in Eq.(4) we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Z_i(x) = \frac{1}{s^n} \Big[\mathcal{L}[f(x)] + D^{(n-1)}Z(0) + sD^{(n-2)}Z(0) + \dots + s^{(n-1)}Z(0) \Big] \\ + \frac{1}{s^n} \mathcal{L}\Big[\gamma \int_a^x K(x,t) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i dt \Big].$$
(8)

The components Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, \cdots are usually determined by using the condition in (2).

2.2. Description of the MHPM

This method is applied to solve a large class of linear and nonlinear problems with approximations converging rapidly to exact solutions. This section is devoted to reviewing MHPM for solving nonlinear integro-differential equation. To explain MHPM, we consider the above integro-differential equation as

$$L[u] = Z^{(j)}(x) - f(x) - \gamma \int_{a}^{x} K(x,t)G(Z(t))dt$$
(9)

with solution Z(x). As a possible remedy, we can define homotopy H(u, p) by

$$H(u, 0) = F(u), \qquad H(u, 1) = L(u),$$

where F(u) is a functional operator with known solution v_0 , which can be obtained easily. In MHPM, we define

$$v_0(x) = a + bx + cx^2 + dx^3,$$

which is dependent on the order of differentiation. Typically, we may choose a convex homotopy by

$$H(u,p) = (1-p)F(u) + pL(u) = 0.$$
(10)

and continuously trace an implicitly defined curve from a starting point $H(v_0, 0)$ to a solution function H(Z, 1). The embedding parameter p monotonously increases from zero to unit as trivial problem F(u) = 0 is continuously deformed to the original problem L(u) = 0. The embedding parameter $p \in (0, 1]$ can be considered as an expanding parameter [20].

The HPM uses the homotopy parameter p as an expanding parameter to obtain

$$u = v_0 + pv_1 + p^2 v_2 + \cdots$$
 (11)

When $p \longrightarrow 1$, Eq.(11) corresponds to Eq.(10) and becomes the approximate solution of Eq.(9), i.e.,

$$Z = \lim_{p \to 1} u = v_0 + v_1 + v_2 + \cdots$$
 (12)

Series Eq.(12) is convergent for most cases, and the rate of convergence depends on L(u).

3. Numerical Results

In this section, we present the numerical techniques based on MDM and MHPM to solve Volterra integro-differential equations.

Example 1. Consider the following nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equation:

$$Z^{(4)}(x) = e^{-3x} + e^{-x} - 1 + 3\int_0^x Z^3(s)ds,$$

with the conditions

$$Z(0) = Z''(0) = 1, \quad Z'(0) = Z'''(0) = -1,$$

and the exact solution is

 $Z(x) = e^{-x}.$

| х | Exact solution | MDM | MHPM |
|------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.04 | 0.9607894392 | 0.960789545 | 0.9608106692 |
| 0.08 | 0.9231163464 | 0.923118053 | 0.9232854120 |
| 0.12 | 0.8869204367 | 0.886929077 | 0.8874885866 |
| 0.16 | 0.8521437890 | 0.852171094 | 0.8534850921 |
| 0.20 | 0.8187307531 | 0.818797419 | 0.8213405980 |
| 0.24 | 0.7866278611 | 0.786766100 | 0.7911217470 |
| 0.28 | 0.7557837415 | 0.756039847 | 0.7628963355 |
| 0.32 | 0.7261490371 | 0.726585945 | 0.7367334755 |
| 0.36 | 0.6976763261 | 0.698376168 | 0.7127037390 |

Table 1: Numerical Results of the Example 1.

Example 2. Consider the following Volterra integro-differential equation:

$$Z^{(4)}(x) = e^x - \frac{1}{2}e^{2x} + \frac{1}{2} - \int_0^x Z(s)Z''(s)ds,$$

with the conditions

$$Z(0) = Z'(0) = Z''(0) = 1, \quad Z'''(0) = k,$$

and the exact solution is

 $Z(x) = e^x.$



Figure 1: Numerical Results of the Example 1.

| | | | 1 |
|-----|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| х | Exact solution | MDM | MHPM |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.1 | 1.105170918 | 1.105170968 | 1.105230748 |
| 0.2 | 1.221402758 | 1.221403165 | 1.221843785 |
| 0.3 | 1.349858808 | 1.349860175 | 1.351209687 |
| 0.4 | 1.491824698 | 1.491827922 | 1.494673169 |
| 0.5 | 1.648721271 | 1.648727480 | 1.653535684 |
| 0.6 | 1.822118800 | 1.822129142 | 1.829034189 |
| 0.7 | 2.013752707 | 2.013767812 | 2.022315475 |
| 0.8 | 2.225540928 | 2.225559662 | 2.234405354 |
| 0.9 | 2.459603111 | 2.459619956 | 2.466171899 |
| 1.0 | 2.718281828 | 2.718281828 | 2.718281826 |

Table 2: Numerical Results of the Example 2.

4. Comparison Among the Methods

The comparison among of the methods, it can be seen from the results of the above examples:

• The methods are powerful, efficient and give approximations of higher accuracy. Also, they can produce closed-form solutions if they exist.





Figure 2: Numerical Results of the Example 2.

- Although the results obtained by these methods when applied to nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations are the same approximately. MDM is seen to be much easier and more convenient than the MHPM.
- Tables 1 and 2 displayed the comparison of MDM, MHPM with the exact solutions. The error of the results obtained from the tables show that MDM gives a better result than MHPM. It was also discovered from the figures that the MDM converged to the exact more rapidly than the MHPM.

5. Conclusion

We present a comparative study between the MDM and MHPM for solving nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations. From the computational viewpoint, the MDM is more efficient, convenient and easy to use. The methods are very powerful and efficient in finding analytical as well as numerical solutions for wide classes of linear and nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations. The numerical results establish the precision and efficiency of the proposed techniques.

References

[1] S. Abbasbandy, S. Elyas, Application of variational iteration method for system of nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations, Mathematics and Computational Applications, 2, 14 (2009), 147–158.

[2] S. Alao, F. Akinboro1, F. Akinpelu, R. Oderinu, Numerical solution of integrodifferential equation using Adomian decomposition and variational iteration methods, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, 10, 4 (2014), 18–22.

[3] S. Atshan, A. Hamoud, Approximate solutions of fourth-order fractional integrodifferential equations, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 55 (2018), 49-61.

[4] S. Behzadi, S. Abbasbandy, T. Allahviranloo, A. Yildirim, Application of homotopy analysis method for solving a class of nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integrodifferential equations, J. Appl. Anal. Comput. 2, 2 (2012), 127–136.

[5] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, The approximate solutions of fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations by using analytical techniques, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal., 7, 25 (2018), 41–58.

[6] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, *The reliable modified of Laplace Adomian decomposition method to solve nonlinear interval Volterra-Fredholm integral equations*, Korean J. Math. 25, 3 (2017), 323–334.

[7] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, Existence and uniqueness theorems for fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, Int. J. Appl. Math. 31, 3 (2018), 333–348.

[8] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, Modified Adomian decomposition method for solving fuzzy Volterra-Fredholm integral equations, J. Indian Math. Soc. 85(1-2) (2018), 52–69.

[9] K. Hussain, A. Hamoud, N. Mohammed, Some new uniqueness results for fractional integro-differential equations, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, 24, 4 (2019), 827–836.

[10] A. Hamoud, N. Mohammed, K. Ghadle, A study of some effective techniques for solving Volterra-Fredholm integral equations, Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems Series A: Mathematical Analysis, 26 (2019), 389–406.

[11] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, P. Pathade, An existence and convergence results for Caputo fractional Volterra integro-differential equations, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 12, 3 (2019), 307–327.

[12] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, Some new existence, uniqueness and convergence results for fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, J. Appl. Comput. Mech. 5, 1 (2019), 58–69.

[13] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, *Existence and uniqueness of the solution for Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations*, Journal of Siberian Federal University. Mathematics & Physics, 11, 6 (2018), 692–701.

[14] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, S. Atshan, *The approximate solutions of fractional integro-differential equations by using modified Adomian decomposition method*, Khayyam J. Math. 5, 1 (2019), 21–39.

[15] A. Hamoud, A. Azeez, K. Ghadle, A study of some iterative methods for solving fuzzy Volterra-Fredholm integral equations, Indonesian J. Elec. Eng. & Comp. Sci. 11, 3 (2018), 1228–1235.

[16] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, *Homotopy analysis method for the first order fuzzy Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations*, Indonesian J. Elec. Eng. & Comp. Sci. 11, 3 (2018), 857–867.

[17] A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, Usage of the homotopy analysis method for solving fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation of the second kind, Tamkang J. Math. 49, 4 (2018), 301–315.

[18] A. Mohammed, A. Hamoud, K. Ghadle, *The effective modification of some analytical techniques for Fredholm integro-differential equations*, Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute, 9 (2019), 345-353.

[19] R. Mittal, R. Nigam, Solution of fractional integro-differential equations by Adomian decomposition method, Int. J. Appl. Math. Mech., 4, 2 (2008), 87–94.

[20] A. Wazwaz, *Linear and Nonlinear Integral Equations Methods and Applications*, Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York, 2011.

[21] C. Yang, J. Hou, Numerical solution of integro-differential equations of fractional order by Laplace decomposition method, Wseas Trans. Math., 12 (2013), 1173– 1183.

Abdulrahman A. Sharif Department of Mathematics Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University Aurangabad, (M.S), India. Email: abdul.sharef1985@gmail.com

Ahmed A. Hamoud Department of Mathematics Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University Aurangabad, (M.S), India. Email: drahmedselwi985@gmail.com

Kirtiwant P. Ghadle Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, 431004, India. Email: drkp.ghadle@gmail.com