

DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION RESULTS USING A GENERALIZED SĂLĂGEAN OPERATOR AND RUSCHEWEYH OPERATOR

L. ANDREI

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we study the operator using the generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh operator, denote by DR_λ^n the Hadamard product of the generalized Sălăgean operator D_λ^n and Ruscheweyh operator R^n , given by $DR_\lambda^n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $DR_\lambda^n f(z) = (D_\lambda^n * R^n) f(z)$ and $\mathcal{A}_n = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U\}$ is the class of normalized analytic functions with $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$. We obtain several differential subordinations regarding the operator DR_λ^n .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45, 30A20, 34A40.

Keywords: differential subordination, convex function, best dominant, differential operator, generalized Sălăgean operator, Ruscheweyh operator, convolution product.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by U the unit disc of the complex plane, $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}(U)$ the space of holomorphic functions in U .

Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U\}$ with $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote by $K = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 > 0, z \in U \right\}$, the class of normalized convex functions in U .

If f and g are analytic functions in U , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$, if there is a function w analytic in U , with $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$, for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ for all $z \in U$. If g is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subseteq g(U)$.

Let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and h an univalent function in U . If p is analytic in U and satisfies the (second-order) differential subordination

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (1)$$

then p is called a solution of the differential subordination. The univalent function q is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination, or more simply a dominant, if $p \prec q$ for all p satisfying (1).

A dominant \tilde{q} that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec q$ for all dominants q of (1) is said to be the best dominant of (1). The best dominant is unique up to a rotation of U .

Definition 1. (Al Oboudi [10]) For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator D_λ^n is defined by $D_\lambda^n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D_\lambda^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda) f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) = D_\lambda f(z) \\ &\dots \\ D_\lambda^{n+1} f(z) &= (1 - \lambda) D_\lambda^n f(z) + \lambda z (D_\lambda^n f(z))' = D_\lambda (D_\lambda^n f(z)), \quad z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, then $D_\lambda^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^n a_j z^j$, $z \in U$.

Remark 2. For $\lambda = 1$ in the above definition we obtain the Sălăgean differential operator [13].

Definition 2. (Ruscheweyh [12]) For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator R^n is defined by $R^n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} R^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ R^1 f(z) &= z f'(z) \\ &\dots \\ (n+1) R^{n+1} f(z) &= z (R^n f(z))' + n R^n f(z), \quad z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, then $R^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{n!(j-1)!} a_j z^j$, $z \in U$.

Definition 3. () Let $\lambda \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $DR_\lambda^n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ the operator given by the Hadamard product (the convolution product) of the generalized Sălăgean operator D_λ^n and the Ruscheweyh operator R^n :

$$DR_\lambda^n f(z) = (D_\lambda^n * R^n) f(z),$$

for any $z \in U$ and each nonnegative integer n .

Remark 4. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, then

$$DR_\lambda^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{n!(j-1)!} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^n a_j^2 z^j, \text{ for } z \in U.$$

Remark 5. The operator DR_λ^n was studied in [2], [3], [8].

Remark 6. For $\lambda = 1$ we obtain the Hadamard product SR^n of the Sălăgean operator S^n and Ruscheweyh operator R^n , which was studied in [4], [5], [6], [7].

Lemma 1. (Hallenbeck and Ruscheweyh [11, Th. 3.1.6, p. 71]) Let h be a convex function with $h(0) = a$, and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be a complex number with $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ and

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z p'(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U,$$

then

$$p(z) \prec g(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where $g(z) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\gamma/n}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\gamma/n-1} dt$, $z \in U$.

Lemma 2. (Miller and Mocanu [11]) Let g be a convex function in U and let $h(z) = g(z) + n\alpha zg'(z)$, for $z \in U$, where $\alpha > 0$ and n is a positive integer.

If $p(z) = g(0) + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$, $z \in U$, is holomorphic in U and

$$p(z) + \alpha z p'(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U,$$

then

$$p(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3. Let g be a convex function, $g(0) = 1$ and let h be the function $h(z) = g(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} g'(z)$, for $z \in U$.

If $\lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$\left(\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta-1} (DR_\lambda^n f(z))' \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2}$$

then

$$\left(\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

Proof. For $f \in A$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$ we have

$$DR_{\lambda}^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{n!(j-1)!} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^n a_j^2 z^j, \text{ for } z \in U.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } p(z) &= \left(\frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta} = \left(\frac{z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{n!(j-1)!} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^n a_j^2 z^j}{z} \right)^{\delta} = \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{n!(j-1)!} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^n a_j^2 z^{j-1} \right)^{\delta} = 1 + p_{\delta} z^{\delta} + p_{\delta+1} z^{\delta+1} + \dots, \quad z \in U \\ \text{Differentiating we obtain } &\left(\frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta-1} (DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))' = p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z), \quad z \in U. \\ \text{Then (2) becomes} \end{aligned}$$

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z) \prec h(z) = g(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} g'(z), \quad \text{for } z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 2, we have

$$p(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \left(\frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Theorem 4. Let h be an holomorphic function which satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right) > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U, \quad \text{and } h(0) = 1.$$

If $\lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$\left(\frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta-1} (DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))' \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (3)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where $q(z) = \frac{\delta}{z^{\delta}} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\delta-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \left(\frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta} = \left(\frac{z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{n!(j-1)!} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^n a_j^2 z^j}{z} \right)^{\delta} \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{n! (j-1)!} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^n a_j^2 z^{j-1} \right)^{\delta} = 1 + \sum_{j=\delta+1}^{\infty} p_j z^{j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in U$, $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, \delta]$.

Differentiating, we obtain $\left(\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z}\right)^{\delta-1} (DR_\lambda^n f(z))' = p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z)$, $z \in U$, and (3) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$p(z) \prec q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \left(\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta \prec q(z) = \frac{\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\delta-1} dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and q is the best dominant.

Corollary 5. Let $h(z) = \frac{1+(2\beta-1)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in U , where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. If $\delta, \lambda \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$\left(\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z}\right)^{\delta-1} (DR_\lambda^n f(z))' \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (4)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta \prec q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where q is given by $q(z) = (2\beta-1) + \frac{2(1-\beta)\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\delta-1}}{1+t} dt$, $z \in U$. The function q is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 4 and considering $p(z) = \left(\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta$, the differential subordination (4) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) \prec h(z) = \frac{1+(2\beta-1)z}{1+z}, \quad z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = \delta$, we have $p(z) \prec q(z)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta \prec q(z) &= \frac{\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\delta-1} dt = \\ \frac{\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z t^{\delta-1} \frac{1+(2\beta-1)t}{1+t} dt &= \frac{\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z \left[(2\beta-1) t^{\delta-1} + 2(1-\beta) \frac{t^{\delta-1}}{1+t} \right] dt \\ &= (2\beta-1) + \frac{2(1-\beta)\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\delta-1}}{1+t} dt, \quad z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7. For $n = 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, $\delta = 1$ we obtain the same example as in [9, Example 7.2.1, p. 273].

Theorem 6. Let g be a convex function such that $g(0) = 1$ and let h be the function $h(z) = g(z) + \frac{z}{\delta}g'(z)$, $z \in U$.

If $\lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and the differential subordination

$$z \frac{\delta+1}{\delta} \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} + \frac{z^2}{\delta} \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} \left[\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} - 2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z)} \right] \prec h(z), \quad (5)$$

$z \in U$, holds, then

$$z \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$ we have

$$DR_\lambda^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{n!(j-1)!} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^n a_j^2 z^j, \quad z \in U.$$

Consider $p(z) = z \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2}$ and we obtain

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) = z \frac{\delta+1}{\delta} \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} + \frac{z^2}{\delta} \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} \left[\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} - 2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z)} \right].$$

Relation (5) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) \prec h(z) = g(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} g'(z), \quad z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 2, we have

$$p(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad z \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Theorem 7. Let h be an holomorphic function which satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right) > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U, \quad \text{and } h(0) = 1.$$

If $\lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$z \frac{\delta+1}{\delta} \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} + \frac{z^2}{\delta} \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} \left[\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} - 2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z)} \right] \prec h(z), \quad (6)$$

$z \in U$, then

$$z \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where $q(z) = \frac{\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\delta-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. Let $p(z) = z \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2}$, $z \in U$, $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$.

Differentiating, we obtain

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) = z \frac{\delta+1}{\delta} \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} + \frac{z^2}{\delta} \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} \left[\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} - 2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z)} \right],$$

$z \in U$, and (6) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$p(z) \prec q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e. } z \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{(DR_\lambda^{n+1} f(z))^2} \prec q(z) = \frac{\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\delta-1} dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and q is the best dominant.

Theorem 8. Let g be a convex function such that $g(0) = 1$ and let h be the function $h(z) = g(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} g'(z)$, $z \in U$.

If $\lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and the differential subordination

$$z^2 \frac{\delta+2}{\delta} \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} + \frac{z^3}{\delta} \left[\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))''}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} - \left(\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} \right)^2 \right] \prec h(z), \quad z \in U \quad (7)$$

holds, then

$$z^2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

This result is sharp.

Proof. Let $p(z) = z^2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}$. We deduce that $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$.

Differentiating, we obtain

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) = z^2 \frac{\delta+2}{\delta} \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} + \frac{z^3}{\delta} \left[\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))''}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} - \left(\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} \right)^2 \right], \quad z \in U.$$

Using the notation in (7), the differential subordination becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z) \prec h(z) = g(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} g'(z).$$

By using Lemma 2, we have

$$p(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e. } z^2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

Theorem 9. Let h be an holomorphic function which satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right) > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U, \text{ and } h(0) = 1.$$

If $\lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$z^2 \frac{\delta+2}{\delta} \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} + \frac{z^3}{\delta} \left[\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))''}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} - \left(\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} \right)^2 \right] \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (8)$$

then

$$z^2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where $q(z) = \frac{\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\delta-1}dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. Let $p(z) = z^2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}$, $z \in U$, $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$.

Differentiating, we obtain

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) = z^2 \frac{\delta+2}{\delta} \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} + \frac{z^3}{\delta} \left[\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))''}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} - \left(\frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} \right)^2 \right], \quad z \in U, \text{ and (8)}$$

becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$p(z) \prec q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad z^2 \frac{(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{DR_\lambda^n f(z)} \prec q(z) = \frac{\delta}{z^\delta} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\delta-1}dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and q is the best dominant.

Theorem 10. Let g be a convex function such that $g(0) = 1$ and let h be the function $h(z) = g(z) + zg'(z)$, $z \in U$.

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and the differential subordination

$$1 - \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))''}{[(DR_\lambda^n f(z))']^2} \prec h(z), \quad z \in U \quad (9)$$

holds, then

$$\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z (DR_\lambda^n f(z))'} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

This result is sharp.

Proof. Let $p(z) = \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}$. We deduce that $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$.

Differentiating, we obtain $1 - \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))''}{[(DR_\lambda^n f(z))']^2} = p(z) + zp'(z)$, $z \in U$.

Using the notation in (9), the differential subordination becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec h(z) = g(z) + zg'(z).$$

By using Lemma 2, we have

$$p(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

Theorem 11. *Let h be an holomorphic function which satisfies the inequality $\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right) > -\frac{1}{2}$, $z \in U$, and $h(0) = 1$.*

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$1 - \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))''}{[(DR_\lambda^n f(z))']^2} \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (10)$$

then

$$\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where $q(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t)dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. Let $p(z) = \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}$, $z \in U$, $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$.

Differentiating, we obtain $1 - \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))''}{[(DR_\lambda^n f(z))']^2} = p(z) + zp'(z)$, $z \in U$, and

(10) becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$p(z) \prec q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z)}{z(DR_\lambda^n f(z))'} \prec q(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t)dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and q is the best dominant.

Corollary 12. Let $h(z) = \frac{1+(2\beta-1)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in U , where $0 \leq \beta < 1$.

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$1 - \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z) \cdot \left(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z) \right)''}{\left[\left(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z) \right)' \right]^2} \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (11)$$

then

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z)}{z \left(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z) \right)'} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where q is given by $q(z) = (2\beta-1) + 2(1-\beta) \frac{\ln(1+z)}{z}$, $z \in U$. The function q is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 11 and considering $p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z)}{z \left(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z) \right)'} \prec h(z)$, the differential subordination (11) becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec h(z) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)z}{1 + z}, \quad z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $p(z) \prec q(z)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z)}{z \left(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z) \right)'} \prec q(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t) dt = \\ \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)t}{1 + t} dt &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \left[(2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{1 + t} \right] dt \\ &= (2\beta - 1) + 2(1 - \beta) \frac{\ln(1 + z)}{z}, \quad z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Example 1. Let $h(z) = \frac{1-z}{1+z}$ a convex function in U with $h(0) = 1$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} + 1 \right) > -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $f(z) = z + z^2$, $z \in U$. For $n = 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain $R^1 f(z) = zf'(z) = z + 2z^2$, $DR_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) = \frac{1}{2}f(z) + \frac{1}{2}zf'(z) = z + \frac{3}{2}z^2$, $DR_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) = z + 3z^2$, $z \in U$.

Then $\left(DR_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) \right)' = 1 + 6z$, $\left(DR_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) \right)'' = 6$,

$$\frac{DR_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z)}{z \left(DR_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) \right)'} = \frac{z+3z^2}{z(1+6z)} = \frac{1+3z}{1+6z},$$

$$1 - \frac{RDR_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z) \cdot \left(RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z) \right)''}{\left[\left(RD_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) \right)' \right]^2} = 1 - \frac{(z+3z^2) \cdot 6}{(1+6z)^2} = \frac{18z^2+6z+1}{(1+6z)^2}.$$

We have $q(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{1-t}{1+t} dt = -1 + \frac{2 \ln(1+z)}{z}$.

Using Theorem 11 we obtain

$$\frac{18z^2+6z+1}{(1+6z)^2} \prec \frac{1-z}{1+z}, \quad z \in U,$$

induce

$$\frac{1+3z}{1+6z} \prec -1 + \frac{2 \ln(1+z)}{z}, \quad z \in U.$$

Theorem 13. Let g be a convex function such that $g(0) = 0$ and let h be the function $h(z) = g(z) + zg'(z)$, $z \in U$.

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and the differential subordination

$$[(DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))']^2 + DR_{\lambda}^n f(z) \cdot (DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))'' \prec h(z), \quad z \in U \quad (12)$$

holds, then

$$\frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z) \cdot (DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))'}{z} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

This result is sharp.

Proof. Let $p(z) = \frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z) \cdot (DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))'}{z}$. We deduce that $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$.

Differentiating, we obtain $[(DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))']^2 + DR_{\lambda}^n f(z) \cdot (DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))'' = p(z) + zp'(z)$, $z \in U$.

Using the notation in (12), the differential subordination becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec h(z) = g(z) + zg'(z).$$

By using Lemma 2, we have

$$p(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z) \cdot (DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))'}{z} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

Theorem 14. Let h be an holomorphic function which satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right) > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U, \quad \text{and} \quad h(0) = 0.$$

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$[(DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))']^2 + DR_{\lambda}^n f(z) \cdot (DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))'' \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (13)$$

then

$$\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{z} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where $q(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t) dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. Let $p(z) = \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{z}$, $z \in U$, $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$.

Differentiating, we obtain $[(DR_\lambda^n f(z))']^2 + DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))'' = p(z) + zp'(z)$, $z \in U$, and (13) becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$p(z) \prec q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{z} \prec q(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t) dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and q is the best dominant.

Corollary 15. Let $h(z) = \frac{1+(2\beta-1)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in U , where $0 \leq \beta < 1$.

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$[(DR_\lambda^n f(z))']^2 + DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))'' \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (14)$$

then

$$\frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{z} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where q is given by $q(z) = (2\beta - 1) + 2(1 - \beta) \frac{\ln(1+z)}{z}$, $z \in U$. The function q is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 14 and considering $p(z) = \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{z}$, the differential subordination (14) becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec h(z) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)z}{1 + z}, \quad z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $p(z) \prec q(z)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{DR_\lambda^n f(z) \cdot (DR_\lambda^n f(z))'}{z} \prec q(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t) dt = \\ \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)t}{1 + t} dt &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \left[(2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{1 + t} \right] dt \\ &= (2\beta - 1) + 2(1 - \beta) \frac{\ln(1 + z)}{z}, \quad z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. Let $h(z) = \frac{1-z}{1+z}$ a convex function in U with $h(0) = 1$ and

$$Re\left(\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} + 1\right) > -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $f(z) = z + z^2$, $z \in U$. For $n = 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain $DR_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) = z + 3z^2$, $z \in U$.

Then $(DR_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z))' = 1 + 6z$,

$$\frac{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z) \cdot (DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))'}{z} = \frac{(z+3z^2)(1+6z)}{z} = 18z^2 + 9z + 1,$$

$$\left[(RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z))' \right]^2 + RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z) \cdot (RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z))'' = (1+6z)^2 + (z+3z^2) \cdot 6 = 54z^2 + 18z + 1.$$

We have $q(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{1-t}{1+t} dt = -1 + \frac{2 \ln(1+z)}{z}$.

Using Theorem 14 we obtain

$$54z^2 + 18z + 1 \prec \frac{1-z}{1+z}, \quad z \in U,$$

induce

$$18z^2 + 9z + 1 \prec -1 + \frac{2 \ln(1+z)}{z}, \quad z \in U.$$

Theorem 16. Let g be a convex function such that $g(0) = 0$ and let h be the function $h(z) = g(z) + \frac{z}{1-\delta} g'(z)$, $z \in U$.

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and the differential subordination

$$\left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)} \right)^{\delta} \frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{(DR_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z))'}{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)} - \delta \frac{(DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))'}{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)} \right) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U \quad (15)$$

holds, then

$$\frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)} \right)^{\delta} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

This result is sharp.

Proof. Let $p(z) = \frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)} \right)^{\delta}$. We deduce that $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$.

Differentiating, we obtain $\left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)} \right)^{\delta} \frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{(DR_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z))'}{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)} - \delta \frac{(DR_{\lambda}^n f(z))'}{DR_{\lambda}^n f(z)} \right) = p(z) + \frac{1}{1-\delta} z p'(z)$, $z \in U$.

Using the notation in (15), the differential subordination becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{1-\delta} z p'(z) \prec h(z) = g(z) + \frac{z}{1-\delta} g'(z).$$

By using Lemma 2, we have

$$p(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^nf(z)} \right)^{\delta} \prec g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

Theorem 17. Let h be an holomorphic function which satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right) > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U, \quad \text{and } h(0) = 1.$$

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies the differential subordination

$$\left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^nf(z)} \right)^{\delta} \frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z)}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{(DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z))'}{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z)} - \delta \frac{(DR_{\lambda}^nf(z))'}{DR_{\lambda}^nf(z)} \right) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (16)$$

then

$$\frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^nf(z)} \right)^{\delta} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where $q(z) = \frac{1-\delta}{z^{1-\delta}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{-\delta} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best dominant.

Proof. Let $p(z) = \frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^nf(z)} \right)^{\delta}$, $z \in U$, $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$.

Differentiating, we obtain $\left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^nf(z)} \right)^{\delta} \frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z)}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{(DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z))'}{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z)} - \delta \frac{(DR_{\lambda}^nf(z))'}{DR_{\lambda}^nf(z)} \right) = p(z) + \frac{1}{1-\delta} z p'(z)$, $z \in U$, and (16) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{1-\delta} z p'(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1, we have

$$p(z) \prec q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{DR_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{DR_{\lambda}^nf(z)} \right)^{\delta} \prec q(z) = \frac{1-\delta}{z^{1-\delta}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{-\delta} dt,$$

$z \in U$, and q is the best dominant.

Remark 8. For $\lambda = 1$ we obtain the same results for the operator SR^n .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alb Lupaş, *Certain differential subordinations using a generalized Salagean operator and Ruscheweyh operator I*, Journal of Mathematics and Applications, No. 33 (2010), 67-72.

- [2] A. Alb Lupaş, *Certain differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh operator II*, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, Vol 13, No. 4 (2010), 355-360.
- [3] A. Alb Lupaş, *A note on differential subordinations using a generalized Salagean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, Proceedings of ICTAMI 2009, 29-35.
- [4] A. Alb Lupaş, *Certain differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, No. 29/2012, 125-129.
- [5] A. Alb Lupaş, *A note on differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Romai Journal vol. 6, nr. 1 (2010), 1-4.
- [6] A. Alb Lupaş, *Certain differential superordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Analele Universităţii din Oradea, Fascicola Matematica, Tom XVII, Issue no. 2, 2010, 209-216.
- [7] A. Alb Lupaş, *A note on differential superordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, nr. 24/2010, 201-209.
- [8] A. Alb Lupaş, *Certain differential superordinations using a generalized Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis nr. 25/2011, 31-40.
- [9] D.A. Alb Lupaş, *Subordinations and Superordinations*, Lap Lambert Academic Publishing, 2011.
- [10] F.M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator*, Ind. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27 (2004), 1429-1436.
- [11] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel, 2000.
- [12] St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amet. Math. Soc., 49(1975), 109-115.
- [13] G. St. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362-372.

Loriană Andrei
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of Oradea,
1 Universitatii street, 410087 Oradea, Romania
email: *lori_andrei@yahoo.com*