THE EXPONENTIAL MAP AND THE EUCLIDEAN ISOMETRIES

RAMONA-ANDREEA ROHAN

ABSTRACT. In the first section the basic properties of the exponential map of a Lie group are reviewed. The second section contains the Tarence Tao proof to the property that every compact connected Lie group is exponential. A direct specific proof to this property in the case of the special orthogonal group SO(n), n = 2 and n = 3 is also presented. In the last section this property is used to describe the Euclidean isometries of the space \mathbb{R}^n , when n = 2 and n = 3.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 22Exx, 22E60, 22E70

Keywords and phrases: Lie group; exponential map of a Lie group; Lie algebra; exponential Lie group; Rodrigues formula; Euclidean isometry

THE EXPONENTIAL MAP OF A LIE GROUP

Let G be a Lie group with its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . It is well known that the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is defined by $\exp(X) = \gamma_X(1)$, where $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and γ_X is the one-parameter subgroup of G induced by X. Recall the following properties of the exponential map:

1) For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $\gamma_X(t) = \exp(tX)$;

2) For any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $\exp(sX) \exp(tX) = \exp(s+t)X$;

3) For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $\exp(-tX) = (\exp tX)^{-1}$;

4) exp : $\mathfrak{g} \to G$ is a smooth mapping, it is a local diffeomorphism at $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and exp(0) = e, where e is the unity element of the group G;

5) The image $\exp(\mathfrak{g})$ of the exponential map generates the connected component G_e of the unity $e \in G$;

6) If $f: G_1 \to G_2$ if a morphism of Lie groups and $f_*: \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g}_2$ is the induced morphism of Lie algebras by f, then $f \circ \exp_1 = \exp_2 \circ f_*$.

As we can note from the previous property 5), the following two problems are of special importance:

199

Problem 1. Find conditions on the group G such that the exponential map is surjective.

Problem 2. Determine the image E(G) of the exponential map.

J. Dixmier has proposed first time the Problem 2 for resoluble Lie groups. Concerning Problem 1, only in few special situations we have G = E(G), i.e. the surjectivity of the exponential map. A Lie group satisfying this property is called *exponential*. A monograph devoted to the study of such Lie groups is [7].

2. Every compact connected Lie group is exponential

The standard proof of this property ([1], [3]) is to use the Cartan conjugacy, then the surjectivity of the exponential map for a torus and the fact that every element of the compact connected Lie group is obtained in a maximal torus.

We shall present the recent Tarence Tao [6] idea to prove the stated general property by connecting on a Lie group the Riemannian exponential map of a manifold with the Lie exponential map. Let G be a compact connected Lie group endowed with a bi-invariant Riemannian metric. Because G is connected and compact, it is complete, hence we can apply the Hopf-Rinow theorem to conclude that any two points are connected by at least one geodesic. That is the Riemannian exponential map $\exp_R : \mathfrak{g} \to G$, is surjective. But, on the other hand, one can check that the Lie exponential map $\exp_R : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ and the Riemannian exponential map $\exp_R : \mathfrak{g} \to G$, agree. This property can be seen by observing that the group structure naturally defines a connection on the tangent bundle which is both torsion-free and it preserves the bi-invariant metric, hence it must agree with the Levi-Civita metric

It is well-known that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ of the special orthogonal group SO(n) consists in all skew-symmetric matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, and the Lie bracket is the standard commutator of matrices defined by [A, B] = AB - BA.

The exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{so}(n) \to SO(n)$ is defined by

$$\exp(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} X^k$$

According to the well-known Hamilton-Cayley theorem, it follows that every power $X^k, k \ge n$, is a linear combination of X^0, X^1, \dots, X^{n-1} , hence we can write

$$\exp(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k(X) X^k,$$

where the real coefficients $a_0(X), \dots, a_{n-1}(X)$ depend only on the matrix X. From this formula, it follows that $\exp(X)$ is a polynomial of X. The problem to find

200

a resonable formula for $\exp(X)$ is reduced to the determination of the coefficients $a_0(X), \dots, a_{n-1}(X)$. We will call this general question, the *Rodrigues problem*. The general problem involving power series of matrices is stated and studied in the paper [2].

When n = 2, a skew-symmetric matrix $B \in \mathfrak{so}(2)$ can be written as $B = \theta J$, where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and from the Hamilton-Cayley relation $J^2 = -I_2$ and the series expansion of $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$ it is easy to show that:

$$e^{B} = e^{\theta J} = (\cos \theta)I_{2} + (\sin \theta)J = (\cos \theta)I_{2} + \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}B.$$
 (1)

When n = 3, a real skew-symmetric matrix $B \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$ is of the form:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c & b \\ c & 0 & -a \\ -b & a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and letting $\theta = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2} = \frac{1}{2}||B||$ with ||B|| the Frobenius norm of matrices, we have the well-known formula due to Rodrigues:

$$e^{B} = I_{3} + \frac{\sin\theta}{\theta}B + \frac{1 - \cos\theta}{\theta^{2}}B^{2}$$
(2)

with $e^B = I_3$ when B = 0.

It turns out that it is more convenient to normalize B, that is, to write $B = \theta B_1$ (where $B_1 = B/\theta$, assuming that $\theta \neq 0$), in which case the formula becomes:

$$e^{\theta B_1} = I_3 + (\sin \theta) B_1 + (1 - \cos \theta) B_1^2$$
(3)

Clearly the special orthogonal group SO(n) is compact and connected. Now, we present a direct specific proof for the property that SO(n) is exponential, in the cases n = 2 and n = 3.

If n = 2 then, according to the formula (1), the equation $\exp(B) = R$, where $R \in SO(2)$,

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

is equivalent to

$$(\cos\theta)I_2 + \frac{\sin\theta}{\theta}B = R.$$

Considering the trace in both sides of this equality we get $2\cos\theta = tr(R)$, hence we can find θ satisfying this relation since clearly we have $-2 \leq tr(R) \leq 2$.

It follows that

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \in SO(2),$$

and then we are done.

Also, when n = 3, given $R \in \mathbf{SO}(3)$, we can find $\cos \theta$ because $tr(R) = 1 + 2\cos \theta$ and we can find B_1 by observing that:

$$\frac{1}{2}(R - R^{\top}) = (\sin \theta)B_1.$$

Actually, the above formula cannot be used when $\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \pi$, as $\sin \theta = 0$ in these cases. When $\theta = 0$, we have $R = I_3$ and $B_1 = 0$, and when $\theta = \pi$, we need to find B_1 such that:

$$B_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}(R - I_3).$$

As B_1 is a skew-symmetric 3×3 matrix, this amounts to solving some simple equations with three unknowns. Again, the problem is completely solved.

A general proof of the surjectivity of exp : $\mathfrak{so}(n) \to SO(n)$, when $n \ge 4$, is presented in details in [5].

3. The Euclidean isometries preserving the orientation

Consider the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with the well-known Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$. An *isometry* of \mathbb{R}^n is a map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, preserving the distances, that is for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the following relation holds

$$||f(x) - f(y)|| = ||x - y||.$$
(4)

According to the Ulam theorem, every isometry of \mathbb{R}^n with f(0) = 0, is a linear map of the form f(x) = Rx, with $R \in O(n)$, the orthogonal group. If det R = 1, that is $R \in SO(n)$, then the isometry f preserves the orientation. Otherwise, we say that f reverses the orientation. The problem to describe geometrically the Euclidean isometries is reduced in this way to the interpretation of the matrices in O(n) or SO(n).

Using the surjectivity of the exponential map, $\exp : \mathfrak{so}(n) \to SO(n)$, we can describe the isometries of \mathbb{R}^n preserving the orientation.

When n = 2, from the previous alternative proof, we have $R \in SO(2)$ if and only if R is a rotation matrix, i.e.

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix},$$

where the rotation angle θ is defined by the equation $2\cos\theta = tr(R)$.

When n = 3, then $R \in SO(3)$ if and only if

$$R = I_3 + \frac{\sin\theta}{\theta}B + \frac{1 - \cos\theta}{\theta^2}B^2,$$
(5)

where the angle θ is defined by the equation $1 + 2\cos\theta = tr(R)$, that is $\theta = \arccos \frac{tr(R)-1}{2}$, if $\theta \neq 0$. Hence, when $\theta \neq \pi$, B is the skew-symmetric matrix uniquely defined by the equation

$$B = \frac{\theta}{2\sin\theta} (R - R^{\top})$$

If $\theta = 0$, then $R = I_3$, and the isometry f is the identity map of \mathbb{R}^3 . If $\theta = \pi$, then we can find the matrix B_1 as in the discussion in the previous section.

Assuming that

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c & b \\ c & 0 & -a \\ -b & a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then formula (5) expresses a rotation in \mathbb{R}^3 of axis defined by the vector $\overrightarrow{v}(a, b, c)$ and angle θ .

If the isometry of \mathbb{R}^3 reverses the orientation, then det R = -1. Because det $(-R) = (-1)^3 \det R = (-1)(-1) = 1$, it follows that the isometry g of \mathbb{R}^3 , defined by g(x) = (-R)x, preserves the orientation. In this case we obtain the representation formula

$$R = -I_3 - \frac{\sin\theta}{\theta}B - \frac{1 - \cos\theta}{\theta^2}B^2,$$

with the same geometric interpretation. In this way all isometries of the space \mathbb{R}^3 are completely described.

Remark No 1. In the paper [4] the following description of the matrices $R \in SO(n)$ for $n \geq 4$ is given : If $\{e^{i\theta_1}, e^{-i\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{i\theta_p}, e^{-i\theta_p}\}$ is the set of distinct eigenvalues of R different from 1, where $2p \leq n$ and $0 < \theta_i \leq \pi$, then there are p skew-symmetric matrices B_1, \cdots, B_p such that $B_iB_j = B_jB_i = O_n, i \neq j$, $B_i^3 = -B_i$, for all i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq p$, and

$$R = I_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(\sin \theta_i) B_i + (1 - \cos \theta_i) B_i^2].$$

This result gives an implicit description of the Euclidean isometries of the space \mathbb{R}^n when $n \geq 4$, in terms of 2p parameters $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p, B_1, \dots, B_p$.

Acknowledgements

Investing in people ! Ph.D. scholarship, Project co-financed by the SECTORAL OPERATIONAL PROGRAM FOR HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT 2007 - 2013

Priority Axis 1. "Education and training in support for growth and development of a knowledge based society"

Key area of intervention 1.5: Doctoral and post-doctoral programs in support of research. Contract nr.: POSDRU/88/1.5/S/60185 – "INNOVATIVE DOCTORAL STUDIES IN A KNOWLEDGE BASED SOCIETY".

Babes-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

References

[1] Andrica, D., Casu, I.N., *Lie Groups, Exponential Map, and Geometric Mechanics* (Romanian), Cluj University Press, 2008.

[2] Andrica, D., Rohan, R-A. *The image of the exponential map and some applications*, Proc. 8th Joint Conference on Mathematics and Computer Science MaCS, Komarno, Slovakia, July 14-17, 2010, H.F.Pop and A.Bege, Eds., Novadat, Györ, pp.3-14.

[3] Bröcker, T., tom Dieck, T., *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, GTM, vol. 98, New York, 1985.

[4] Gallier, J., Xu, D., Computing exponentials of skew-symmetric matrices and logarithms of orthogonal matrices, International Journal of Robotics and Automation, Vol.17, No.4, 2002, 2-11.

[5] Gallier, J., Notes on Differential Geometry and Lie Groups, University of Pennsylvannia, 2012.

[6] Tao, T., Two small facts about Lie groups, 25 June, 2011.

[7] Wüstner, M., *Lie groups with surjective exponential function*, Shaker Verlag, Berichte aus der Mathematik, Aachen 2001.

Rohan Ramona-Andreea Department of Mathematics Babeş-Bolyai University Str.Kogalniceanu, 1, Cluj-Napoca, Romania email: *ramona.rohan@ubbcluj.ro*