

## HIGHER ORDER COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC AND BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

M.P. JEYARAMAN, S. PADMAPRIYA

**ABSTRACT.** A bi-univalent function is a univalent function defined on the unit disc for which the inverse function has a univalent extension to the unit disc. In this paper, estimates for the initial as well as higher order coefficients  $|a_4|$  and  $|a_5|$  of bi-univalent functions belonging to certain class defined by subordination and of functions for which  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  belong to different subclasses of univalent functions are derived. Generalization of existing known results were also pointed out.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C80.

*Keywords*: bi-univalent functions; subordination; coefficient estimates; second hankel determinant.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of analytic functions defined on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}$  is the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of univalent functions. Being univalent, the functions in the class  $\mathcal{S}$  are invertible; however, the inverse need not be defined on entire unit disc. The Koebe's one quarter theorem ensures that the image of the unit disc under every univalent function contains a disc of radius  $1/4$ . Thus, a function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  has an inverse defined on a disc contains  $|w| < 1/4$ . It can be easily seen that

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 \dots, \quad (2)$$

in some disc of radius atleast  $1/4$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ , if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and is denoted by  $\sigma$ .

Lewin [3] investigated the class  $\sigma$  of bi-univalent functions and obtained the bound for the second coefficient. Several authors have subsequently studied similar problems in this direction (see [5, 6, 9]). Brannan and Taha [5] considered certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions, similar to the familiar subclasses of univalent functions consisting of strongly starlike, starlike and convex functions. They introduced bi-starlike and bi-convex function and obtained bounds for initial coefficients. Serap Bulut in [1] investigated the subclass  $B_{\Sigma}^{h,p}$  of analytic bi-univalent function and obtain estimates on the first two coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$ . The class  $B_{\Sigma}^{h,p}$  generalize familiar classes of bi-starlike, strongly bi-starlike. It should be remarked that, only very few articles that deal with higher order coefficients (See [13, 14, 16]).

Motivated by the aforementioned works, in this paper, we introduce and investigate an interesting subclass  $R_{\sigma}(\alpha, \gamma, h, p)$  of analytic and bi-univalent function and obtain initial coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  and higher order coefficients  $|a_4|$  and  $|a_5|$ . Our results would generalize and improve the results obtained in [1, 5].

For any two analytic functions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , we say that  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  written as  $f \prec g$ , if there exists a Schwarz function  $w$  analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  ( $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ). In particular, if the function  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ , the above subordination is equivalent to  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Definition 1.** Let the functions  $h, p : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be constrained that

$$\min\{\Re(h(z)), \Re(p(z))\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \text{ and } h(0) = p(0) = 1. \quad (3)$$

A function  $f \in \sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $R_{\sigma}(\alpha, \gamma, h, p)$ , if the following conditions are holds good.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha\gamma z^3 f'''(z) + (2\alpha\gamma + \alpha - \gamma)z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha\gamma z^2 f''(z) + (\alpha - \gamma)z f'(z) + (1 - \alpha + \gamma)f(z)} \in h(\mathbb{D}) \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq 1) \\ & \text{and} \\ & \frac{\alpha\gamma w^3 g'''(w) + (2\alpha\gamma + \alpha - \gamma)w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)}{\alpha\gamma w^2 g''(w) + (\alpha - \gamma)w g'(w) + (1 - \alpha + \gamma)g(w)} \in p(\mathbb{D}) \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq 1) \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where  $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$ .

We note that, by choosing appropriate values for  $\alpha, \gamma, h$  and  $p$ , the class  $R_{\sigma}(\alpha, \gamma, h, p)$  reduces to several earlier known subclasses of bi-univalent function.

(1)  $R_{\sigma}(0, 0, h, p) = B_{\Sigma}^{h,p}$  [1, Definition 3]

(2)  $R_{\sigma}(\alpha, 0, h, p) = R_{\sigma}(\alpha, h, p)$  [14]

$$(3) \quad R_\sigma \left( 0, 0, \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 + z} \right) = S_\sigma^*(\beta) \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1) \quad [2, \text{Definition 3.1}]$$

$$(4) \quad R_\sigma \left( 1, 0, \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 + z} \right) = C_\sigma^*(\beta) \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1) \quad [2, \text{Definition 4.1}]$$

$$(5) \quad R_\sigma \left( 0, 0, \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right)^\beta, \left( \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \right)^\beta \right) = SS_\sigma^*(\beta) \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1) \quad [15]$$

$$(6) \quad R_\sigma \left( 1, 0, \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right)^\beta, \left( \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \right)^\beta \right) = SC_\sigma^*(\beta) \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1) \quad [15]$$

## 2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the class  $R_\sigma(\alpha, \gamma, h, p)$ . Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2R_1^2}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{2[4R_2 - 2R_1^2]}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \left[ \frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2R_1^2} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{R_2} \right], \left[ \frac{|h''(0)|[8R_2 - 2R_1^2] + |p''(0)|2R_1^2}{2[4R_2 - 2R_1^2][4R_2]} \right] \right\},$$

where

$$R_1 = (1 + \alpha - \gamma + 2\alpha\gamma)$$

$$R_2 = (1 + 2\alpha - 2\gamma + 6\alpha\gamma)$$

$$R_3 = (1 + 3\alpha - 3\gamma + 12\alpha\gamma)$$

$$R_4 = (1 + 4\alpha - 4\gamma + 20\alpha\gamma).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in R_\sigma(\alpha, \gamma, h, p)$  and  $g$  be the analytic extension of  $f^{-1}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$ . It follows from (4) that

$$\frac{\alpha\gamma z^3 f'''(z) + (2\alpha\gamma + \alpha - \gamma)z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha\gamma z^2 f''(z) + (\alpha - \gamma)z f'(z) + (1 - \alpha + \gamma)f(z)} = h(z) \quad (5)$$

and

$$\frac{\alpha\gamma w^3 g'''(w) + (2\alpha\gamma + \alpha - \gamma)w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)}{\alpha\gamma w^2 g''(w) + (\alpha - \gamma)w g'(w) + (1 - \alpha + \gamma)g(w)} = p(w), \quad (6)$$

where  $h(z)$  and  $p(w)$  satisfy the conditions of Definition 1.

Furthermore the functions  $h(z)$  and  $p(w)$  have the following Taylor series expansions

$$h(z) = 1 + h_1z + h_2z^2 + \dots$$

$$p(w) = 1 + p_1w + p_2w^2 + \dots$$

respectively.

Now from (5), we have

$$a_2R_1 = h_1 \tag{7}$$

$$2a_3R_2 = a_2h_1R_1 + h_2 \tag{8}$$

$$3a_4R_3 = a_3h_1R_2 + a_2h_2R_1 + h_3 \tag{9}$$

$$4a_5R_4 = a_4h_1R_3 + a_3h_2R_2 + a_2h_3R_1 + h_4. \tag{10}$$

From (6), we have

$$a_2R_1 = -p_1 \tag{11}$$

$$2(2a_2^2 - a_3)R_2 = -a_2p_1R_1 + p_2 \tag{12}$$

$$-3(5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)R_3 = (2a_2^2 - a_3)p_1R_2 - a_2p_2R_1 + p_3 \tag{13}$$

$$4(14a_2^4 - 21a_2^2a_3 + 6a_2a_4 + 3a_3^2 - a_5)R_4 = (-1)[5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4]p_1R_3 \\ + (2a_2^2 - a_3)p_2R_2 - a_2p_3R_1 + p_4. \tag{14}$$

From (7) and (11), we obtain

$$h_1 = -p_1. \tag{15}$$

$$2a_2^2R_1^2 = h_1^2 + p_1^2. \tag{16}$$

From (8) and (12) we get

$$a_2^2 = \frac{h_2 + p_2}{[4R_2 - R_1^2]}. \tag{17}$$

Therefore, we find from (16) and (17) that

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2R_1^2}}$$

and

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{2[4R_2 - 2R_1^2]}}.$$

By using (8) and (12), we obtain

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(h_2 - p_2)}{R_2}. \quad (18)$$

Using (16) and (17) in (18), we have

$$a_3 = \frac{h_1^2 + p_1^2}{2R_1^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(h_2 - p_2)}{R_2} \quad (19)$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{h_2[8R_2 - 2R_1^2] + 2R_1^2 p_2}{4[R_2 - 2R_1^2][4R_2]}. \quad (20)$$

We thus find that

$$|a_3| \leq \left[ \frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2R_1^2} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{R_2} \right]$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \left[ \frac{|h''(0)|[8R_2 - 2R_1^2] + |p''(0)|2R_1^2}{2[4R_2 - 2R_1^2][4R_2]} \right].$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

**Remark 1.**

- (i) By taking  $\gamma = 0$  in Theorem 1, gives the estimate in [14].
- (ii) For  $\alpha = 0, \gamma = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1, \gamma = 0$  Theorem 1 gives the estimates for the class starlike and the class convex function, which is given in [1] and [15] respectively.

**Remark 2.**

- (i) By taking  $\alpha = 0, \gamma = 0, h(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}$  and  $p(z) = \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 + z}$  in Theorem 1, gives the estimates for starlike function of order  $\beta$ , obtained in [2].
- (ii) By taking  $\alpha = 0, \gamma = 0, h(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\beta$  and  $p(z) = \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^\beta$  in Theorem 1, gives the estimates for strongly starlike function, obtained in [2].

**Remark 3.**

(i) For the choice of  $\alpha = 1, \gamma = 0, h(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}$  and  $p(z) = \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 + z}$  in Theorem 1, reduces to the estimates for convex function of order  $\alpha$ , obtained in [2].

(ii) For the choice of  $\alpha = 1, \gamma = 0, h(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\beta$  and  $p(z) = \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^\beta$  in Theorem 1, reduced the result obtained in [15].

**Theorem 2.** If the function  $f \in R_\sigma(\alpha, \gamma, h, p)$ , then the coefficients  $a_n$  ( $n = 4, 5$ ) of  $f$  satisfy

$$|a_4| \leq \min \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|}{2R_1^2}} \left[ \left( \frac{R_1}{6R_3} + \frac{5}{8R_2} \right) |h''(0)| + \left( \frac{R_1}{6R_3} - \frac{5}{8R_2} \right) |p''(0)| \right] + \frac{1}{36} \frac{|h'''(0)| + |p'''(0)|}{R_3} + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \frac{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|^{3/2}}{R_1^2 R_3} R_2 \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{(4R_2 - 2R_1^2)}} \left[ \left( \frac{R_1}{6R_3} + \frac{5}{8R_2} \right) |h''(0)| + \left( \frac{R_1}{6R_3} - \frac{5}{8R_2} \right) |p''(0)| \right] + \frac{1}{36} \frac{|h'''(0)| + |p'''(0)|}{R_3} + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \frac{|h''(0) + p''(0)|^{3/2} R_1 R_2}{(4R_2 - 2R_1^2)^{3/2} R_3} \right) \right\}$$

and

$$|a_5| \leq \min \left\{ \left( \frac{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|^2}{4R_1^4} K_1(\alpha) + \frac{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|}{4R_1^2} [K_2(\alpha)|h''(0)| + K_3(\alpha)|p''(0)|] + \frac{\sqrt{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|}}{6\sqrt{2}R_1} K_4(\alpha)[|h'''(0)| + |p'''(0)|] + \frac{K_5(\alpha)}{4} |h''(0) + p''(0)|^2 + \frac{K_6(\alpha)}{24} |h'''(0)| \right), \right. \\ \left. \left( \frac{|h''(0) + p''(0)|^2}{4[K_7(\alpha)]^2} K_1(\alpha) + \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{4[K_7(\alpha)]^2} [K_2(\alpha)|h''(0)| + K_3(\alpha)|p''(0)|] + \frac{|h''(0) + p''(0)|^{1/2}}{6\sqrt{2}\sqrt{K_7(\alpha)}} K_4(\alpha)[|h'''(0)| + |p'''(0)|] + \frac{K_5(\alpha)}{4} |h''(0) + p''(0)|^2 + \frac{K_6(\alpha)}{24} |h'''(0)| \right) \right\}.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{R_1 R_3}{R_4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{R_2^2}{R_4} - \frac{R_1^2}{R_4} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{R_1^4}{R_4} \\
K_2(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{6} \frac{R_1^2}{R_4} + \frac{5}{8} \frac{R_1 R_3}{R_2 R_4} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{R_2}{R_4} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{R_1^2}{R_2 R_4} \\
K_3(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{6} \frac{R_1^2}{R_4} - \frac{5}{8} \frac{R_1 R_3}{R_2 R_4} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{R_2}{R_4} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{R_1^2}{R_2 R_4} \\
K_4(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{6} \frac{R_1}{R_4} \\
K_5(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{32} \frac{R_2}{R_4} \\
K_6(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{4R_4} \\
K_7(\alpha) &= 4R_2 - 2R_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From (9) and (13) we have

$$a_4 = \frac{a_2}{6} \frac{R_1}{R_3} (h_2 + p_2) + \frac{1}{6} \frac{(h_3 - p_3)}{R_3} + \frac{1}{3} a_2^3 \frac{R_1 R_2}{R_3} + \frac{5}{8} a_2 \frac{(h_2 - p_2)}{R_2}. \quad (21)$$

Using (16) and (17) in (21), we get

$$a_4 = \sqrt{\frac{h_1^2 + p_1^2}{2R_1^2}} \left[ \frac{R_1}{6R_3} (h_2 + p_2) + \frac{5}{8} \frac{(h_2 - p_2)}{R_2} \right] + \frac{1}{6} \frac{(h_3 - p_3)}{R_3} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{(h_1^2 + p_1^2)^{3/2}}{(2)^{3/2}} \frac{R_2}{R_1^2 R_3} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a_4 &= \sqrt{\frac{h_2 + p_2}{[4R_2 - 2R_1^2]}} \left[ \frac{R_1}{6R_3} (h_2 + p_2) + \frac{5}{8} \frac{(h_2 - p_2)}{R_2} \right] + \frac{1}{6} \frac{(h_3 - p_3)}{R_3} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} \frac{(h_2 + p_2)^{3/2}}{[4R_2 - 2R_1^2]^{3/2}} \frac{R_1 R_2}{R_3}. \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

We thus find that

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_4| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|}{2R_1^2}} \left[ \left( \frac{R_1}{6R_3} + \frac{5}{8R_2} \right) |h''(0)| + \left( \frac{R_1}{6R_3} - \frac{5}{8R_2} \right) |p''(0)| \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{36} \frac{|h'''(0)| + |p'''(0)|}{R_3} + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \frac{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|^{3/2}}{R_1^2 R_3} R_2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|a_4| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0) + p''(0)|}{(4R_2 - 2R_1^2)}} \left[ \left( \frac{R_1}{6R_3} + \frac{5}{8R_2} \right) |h''(0)| + \left( \frac{R_1}{6R_3} - \frac{5}{8R_2} \right) |p''(0)| \right] + \frac{1}{36} \frac{|h'''(0)| + |p'''(0)|}{R_3} + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \frac{|h''(0) + p''(0)|^{3/2} R_1 R_2}{(4R_2 - 2R_1^2)^{3/2} R_3}.$$

Using (10) and (14), we obtain

$$a_5 = R_1 R_3 a_2 a_4 + \frac{1}{2} a_3^2 R_2^2 - a_2^2 a_3 \frac{R_1^2}{R_4} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{R_1^4}{R_4} a_2^4 + \frac{1}{4} h_4 \quad (24)$$

and

$$a_5 = a_2^4 K_1(\alpha) + a_2^2 [K_2(\alpha) h_2 + K_3(\alpha) p_2] + a_2 [K_4(\alpha)] (h_3 - p_3) + K_5(\alpha) (h_2 - p_2)^2 + K_6(\alpha) h_4. \quad (25)$$

Using (16) and (17), we get

$$|a_5| \leq \frac{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|^2}{4R_1^4} K_1(\alpha) + \frac{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|}{4R_1^2} [K_2(\alpha) |h''(0)| + K_3(\alpha) |p''(0)|] + \frac{\sqrt{|h'(0)^2 + p'(0)^2|}}{6\sqrt{2}R_1} K_4(\alpha) [|h'''(0)| + |p'''(0)|] + \frac{K_5(\alpha)}{4} |h''(0) + p''(0)|^2 + \frac{K_6(\alpha)}{24} |h''''(0)|$$

and

$$|a_5| \leq \frac{|h''(0) + p''(0)|^2}{4[K_7(\alpha)]^2} K_1(\alpha) + \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{4[K_7(\alpha)]^2} [K_2(\alpha) |h''(0)| + K_3(\alpha) |p''(0)|] + \frac{|h''(0) + p''(0)|^{1/2}}{6\sqrt{2}\sqrt{K_7(\alpha)}} K_4(\alpha) [|h'''(0)| + |p'''(0)|] + \frac{K_5(\alpha)}{4} |h''(0) + p''(0)|^2 + \frac{K_6(\alpha)}{24} |h''''(0)|,$$

which gives a required estimate.

For  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $h(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}$  and  $p(z) = \frac{1 - (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 + z}$ , Theorem 2 gives the following estimate for starlike function of order  $\beta$ .

**Corollary 3.** *If  $f \in S_\sigma^*(\beta)$ , then*

$$|a_4| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{4}{3}(1 - \beta)^2 + \frac{2}{3}(1 - \beta) + \frac{8}{3}(1 - \beta)^3, \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3}(1 - \beta)^{3/2} + \frac{2}{3}(1 - \beta) \right\}$$

$$|a_5| \leq \min \left\{ \left[ \frac{8}{3}(1 - \beta)^4 + \frac{8}{3}(1 - \beta)^3 + \frac{4}{3}(1 - \beta)^2 + \frac{1}{8}(1 - \beta)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta) \right], \left[ \frac{1}{3}(1 - \beta)^2 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}(1 - \beta)^{3/2} + \frac{4}{3}(1 - \beta)^2 + \frac{1}{8}(1 - \beta)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta) \right] \right\}.$$

For the choice of  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $h(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\beta$  and  $p(z) = \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^\beta$  Theorem 2 gives the following estimate of strongly starlike function of order  $\beta$ .

**Corollary 4.** *If  $f \in SS_\sigma^*(\beta)$ , then*

$$|a_4| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{4}{3}\beta^3 + \frac{2}{9}\beta + \frac{4}{9}\beta^3 + \frac{8}{3}\beta^3, \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3}\beta^3 + \frac{4}{9}\beta^3 + \frac{2}{9}\beta \right\}$$

$$|a_5| \leq \min \left\{ \left[ \frac{8}{3}\beta^2 + \frac{8}{3}\beta^4 + \frac{8}{9}\beta^3 + \frac{4}{9}\beta + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 + \frac{5}{48}\beta^4 + \frac{19}{48}\beta^2 \right], \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{1}{3}\beta^4 + \frac{4}{3}\beta^4 + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{9}\beta^4 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9}\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta^4 + \frac{5}{48}\beta^4 + \frac{19}{48}\beta^2 \right] \right\}.$$

For the choices of  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 0$   $h(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z}$  and  $p(z) = \frac{1-(1-2\beta)z}{1+z}$ , Theorem 2 gives the following estimate for convex function of order  $\beta$ .

**Corollary 5.** *If  $f \in C_\sigma^*(\beta)$ , then*

$$|a_4| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{3}(1-\beta)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(1-\beta) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\beta)^2, \frac{5}{6}(1-\beta)^{3/2} + \frac{1}{3}(1-\beta) \right\}$$

$$|a_5| \leq \min \left\{ \left[ \frac{7}{5}(1-\beta)^4 + \frac{8}{15}(1-\beta)^3 + \frac{8}{15}(1-\beta)^2 + \frac{3}{20}(1-\beta)^2 + \frac{1}{10}(1-\beta) \right], \right. \\ \left. \left[ \frac{7}{5}(1-\beta)^2 + \frac{8}{15}(1-\beta)^2 + \frac{4}{15}(1-\beta)^{3/2} + \frac{3}{20}(1-\beta)^2 + \frac{1}{10}(1-\beta) \right] \right\}.$$

For the choices of  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $h(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\beta$  and  $p(z) = \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^\beta$  Theorem 2 gives the following estimate of strongly convex of order  $\beta$ .

**Corollary 6.** *If  $f \in SC_\sigma^*(\beta)$ , then*

$$|a_4| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{3}\beta^3 + \frac{1}{2}\beta^3 + \frac{1}{9}\beta^3 + \frac{1}{18}\beta \right]$$

$$|a_5| \leq \left[ \frac{7}{5}\beta^4 + \frac{8}{15}\beta^4 + \frac{8}{45}\beta^4 + \frac{4}{45}\beta^2 + \frac{3}{10}\beta^4 + \frac{1}{48}\beta^4 + \frac{19}{240}\beta^2 \right].$$

For the choice of  $\gamma = 0$  in Theorem 2 gives the estimate obtained in [14].

### 3. SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT

The  $q^{\text{th}}$  Hankel determinant (denoted by  $H_q(n)$ ) for  $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  of the function  $f$  is the  $q \times q$  determinant given by  $H_q(n) = \det(a_{n+i+j-2})$ . Here  $a_{n+i+j-2}$  denotes the entry for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of the matrix. The second Hankel determinant  $H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2$  for the class of functions whose derivative has positive real part, the classes of starlike and convex functions with respect to symmetric points have been studied in [3, 4]. The upperbound for the functional  $H_2(2)$  for bi-starlike and bi-convex functions of order  $\beta$  obtained in [8].

For the recent works on second Hankel determinant of certain subclass of analytic and biunivalent function (see [6, 9, 13]). In this section, we obtain second Hankel determinant for function in the class  $R_\sigma(\alpha, \gamma, h, p)$ .

To establish our results, we recall the following.

**Lemma 7.** [17] *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $|P_k| \leq 2$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the family of all functions  $p$  analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  for which  $\text{Re } p(z) > 0$ ,  $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .*

**Lemma 8.** [18] *If the function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2p_2 &= p_1^2 + x(4 - p_1^2) \\ 4p_3 &= p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1x - p_1(4 - p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)s, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $x, s$  with  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $|s| \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $f$  given by (1) be in the class  $R_\sigma(\alpha, \gamma, h, p)$ , then*

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} R, & Q \leq 0, P \leq -\frac{Q}{4} \\ 16P + 4Q + R, & Q \geq 0, P \geq -\frac{Q}{8} \\ \frac{4PR - Q^2}{4P}, & \text{(or) } Q \leq 0, P \geq -\frac{Q}{4} \\ & Q > 0, P \leq -\frac{Q}{8}. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \left[ \frac{R_2}{3R_1^3R_3} - \frac{1}{8R_1^2R_2} - \frac{1}{3R_1R_3} + \frac{1}{R_1^4} + \frac{1}{16R_2^2} \right] \\ Q &= \left[ \frac{1}{2R_1^2R_2} + \frac{7}{3R_1R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right] \\ R &= \frac{1}{R_2^4}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in R_\sigma(\alpha, h, p)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . Then from (5), (18) and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{h_1^4 R_2}{R_1^3 R_3} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{h_1^2 (h_2 - p_2)}{R_1^2 R_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \frac{h_1^2 (h_2 + p_2)}{R_1 R_3} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{h_1 (h_3 - p_3)}{R_1 R_3} \\ &\quad - \frac{h_1^4}{R_1^4} - \frac{1}{16} \frac{(h_2 - p_2)^2}{R_2^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

According to Lemma 8, we write

$$\begin{aligned} 2h_2 &= h_1^2 + x(4 - h_1^2) \\ 2p_2 &= p_1^2 + y(4 - p_1^2) \\ (h_2 - p_2) &= \left( \frac{4 - h_1^2}{2} \right) (x - y) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 4h_3 &= h_1^3 + 2(4 - h_1^2)(h_1 x) - h_1(4 - h_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - h_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z \\ 4p_3 &= p_1^3 + 2(4 - h_1^2)(p_1 y) - p_1(4 - h_1^2)y^2 + 2(4 - h_1^2)(1 - |y|^2)w. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_3 - p_3 &= \frac{h_1^3}{2} + h_1(4 - h_1^2)(x + y) - \frac{h_1(4 - h_1^2)}{4}(x^2 + y^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{(4 - h_1^2)}{2}[(1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and

$$h_2 + p_2 = h_1^2 + \left( \frac{(4 - h_1^2)}{2} \right) (x + y), \quad (29)$$

for some  $x, y$  and  $z, w$  with  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $|y| \leq 1$ ,  $|w| \leq 1$ ,  $|z| \leq 1$ .

Using (27), (28) and (29), then triangle inequality and letting  $|x| = \lambda$ ,  $|y| = \mu$  from the last equality, we obtain

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq T_1 + T_2(\lambda + \mu) + T_3(\lambda^2 + \mu^2) + T_4(\lambda + \mu)^2 = F(\lambda, \mu),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= \left[ \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{R_1 R_3} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{R_2}{R_1^3 R_3} + \frac{1}{R_1^4} \right] h_1^4 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{h_1(4 - h_1^2)}{R_1 R_3} \\
T_2 &= \left[ \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{R_1^2 R_2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{R_1 R_3} \right] h_1^2 (4 - h_1^2) (|x| + |y|) \\
T_3 &= \left[ \frac{1}{24} \frac{h_1^2 (4 - h_1^2)}{R_1 R_3} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{h_1 (4 - h_1^2)}{R_1 R_3} \right] (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \\
T_4 &= \frac{1}{64} \frac{(4 - h_1^2)^2}{R_2^2} (|x| + |y|)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We need to maximize the function  $F(\lambda, \mu)$  in the closed square  $S = \{(\lambda, \mu) : \lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]\}$  for  $h \in [0, 2]$ . We must investigate the maximum of the function  $F$  in the case  $h = 0$ ,  $h = 2$  and  $h \in (0, 2)$ .

Let  $h = 0$  then

$$F(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{4R_2^2} (\lambda + \mu)^2 \leq \max\{F(\lambda, \mu) : \lambda, \mu \in S\} = \frac{1}{R_2^2}.$$

For  $h = 2$ , The function  $F(\lambda, \mu)$  is constant as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\lambda, \mu) &= \left( \frac{1}{4R_1 R_3} + \frac{R_2}{3R_1^3 R_3} + \frac{1}{R_1^4} \right) \quad (16) \\
&= \left( \frac{4}{R_1 R_3} + \frac{16R_2}{3R_1^3 R_3} + \frac{16}{R_1^4} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $h \in (0, 2)$ . In this case, we must investigate the maximum of the function  $F$  according to  $h \in (0, 2)$  taking into account the sign of  $\Delta = F_{\lambda\lambda}F_{\mu\mu} - F_{\lambda\mu}^2$ .

Since  $\Delta = 4T_3(T_3 + 2T_4)$ ,  $T_3 < 0$  and  $T_3 + 2T_4 > 0$  for every  $h \in (0, 2)$ ,  $\Delta < 0$ ; that is, the function  $F(\lambda, \mu)$  cannot have a local maximum in the interior of the square  $S$ .

Now, we investigate the maximum of  $F$  on the boundary of the square  $S$ .

For  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mu \in [0, 1]$  (the case  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  investigated).

Similarly, we write

$$F(0, \mu) = T_1 + T_2\mu + (T_3 + T_4)\mu^2 = G(\mu)$$

It is clear that  $T_3 + T_4 \leq 0$  and  $T_3 + T_4 \geq 0$  for some values of  $h \in (0, 2)$ .

In the case  $T_3 + T_4 \leq 0$ , the function  $G(\mu)$  cannot have a local maximum in the interval  $(0, 1)$ , but  $G(0) = T_1$  and  $G(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4$  in the extremes of the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

Let  $T_3 + T_4 \geq 0$  for some values of  $h \in (0, 2)$ . Then, the function  $G(\mu)$  is an increasing function and the maximum occurs at  $\mu = 1$ .

Therefore,

$$\max\{G(\mu) : \mu \in [0, 1]\} = G(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

For  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\mu \in [0, 1]$  (the case  $\mu = 1$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  investigated). Similarly, we write

$$F(1, \mu) = (T_3 + T_4)\mu^2 + (T_2 + 2T_4)\mu + (T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4) = H(\mu).$$

Similar to the above, we write

$$\max\{F(1, \mu) : \mu \in [0, 1]\} = H(1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4.$$

Thus,  $G(1) \leq H(1)$ , the maximum of the function  $F(\lambda, \mu)$  occurs at the point  $(1, 1)$  and

$$\max\{F(\lambda, \mu) : \lambda, \mu \in S\} = F(1, 1) = H(1)$$

on the boundary of the square  $S$ .

Define the function  $\phi : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$\phi(h) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4 = F(1, 1).$$

Substituting the values of  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  and  $T_4$  in the expression of  $\phi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(h) &= \left[ \frac{R_2}{3R_1^3 R_3} - \frac{1}{8R_1^2 R_2} - \frac{1}{3R_1 R_3} + \frac{1}{R_1^4} + \frac{1}{16R_2^2} \right] h^4 \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{1}{2R_1^2 R_2} + \frac{7}{3R_1 R_3} - \frac{1}{2R_2^2} \right] h^2 + \frac{1}{R_2^4} \\ &= Pt^2 + Qt + R, \quad \text{where } t = h^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max \phi(h) &= \begin{cases} R, & (Q \leq 0, P \leq -\frac{Q}{4}) \\ 16P + 4Q + R, & (Q \geq 0, P \geq -\frac{Q}{8}) \text{ (or) } (Q \leq 0, P \geq -\frac{Q}{4}) \\ \frac{4PR - Q^2}{4P}, & (Q > 0, P \leq -\frac{Q}{8}). \end{cases} \\ i.e., |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &\leq \begin{cases} R, & (Q \leq 0, P \leq -\frac{Q}{4}) \\ 16P + 4Q + R, & (Q \geq 0, P \geq -\frac{Q}{8}) \text{ (or) } (Q \leq 0, P \geq -\frac{Q}{4}) \\ \frac{4PR - Q^2}{4P}, & (Q > 0, P \leq -\frac{Q}{8}). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are thankful to the referee for their insightful suggestions. The work of the first author was supported by the grant given under minor research project 21-22, Tamilnadu state council for higher education.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Serap Bulut, *Coefficient estimates for a class of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Novi Sad. J. Math. 43, 2 (2013), 59–65.
- [2] D.A. Brannan, T.S. Taha, *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, Mathematical analysis and its applications (Kuwait, 1985), 53–60, KFAS Proc. Ser., 3, Pergamon, Oxford 1988. See also Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 31, 2 (1986), 70–77.
- [3] M. Lewin, *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 63–68.
- [4] D.A. Brannan, J.G. Clunie (Eds.), *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis* (Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held at the University of Durham, July 20, 1979, Academic Press, Newyork and London (1980).
- [5] D.A. Brannan, T.S. Taha, *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, In: S.M. Mazhar, A. Hamoui, N.S. Faour, (eds.), Mathematical Analysis and its Applications, Kuwait, February 18-21 (1985).
- [6] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), 1188–1192.
- [7] Q.-H. Xu, Y.-C. Gui, H.M. Srivastava, *Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and biunivalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012), 990–994.
- [8] Murat Caglar, Erhan Deniz, Hari Mohan Srivastava, *Second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics 41 (2017), 694–706.
- [9] J.W. Noonan, D.K. Thomas, *On the second Hankel determinant of a neatly mean  $p$ -valent functions*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 223 (1976), 337–346.
- [10] Halit Orhan, Nanjundan Mahesh, Jagadeesan Yamini, *Bounds for the second Hankel dterminant of certain bi-univalent functions*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics 40 (2016), 679–687.
- [11] Sahsene Altinkaya and Sibel Yalsin, *Coefficient Estimates for two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric points*, Journal of Function Spaces, 2015.
- [12] R.M. Ali, S.K. Lee, V. Ravichandran et al., *Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012), 344–251.

- [13] V. Kumar, S. Kumar, V. Ravichandran, *Third Hankel determinant for certain classes of analytic functions*, International Conference On Recent Advances in Pure and Applied Mathematics (2018), 223–231.
- [14] M.P. Jeyaraman, S. Padmapriya, *Estimates for Higher Order Coefficients and Second Order Hankel Determinant of Certain bi-univalent Functions*, Adv. and Appl. in Math. Sci. 22(3) (2023), 717–734.
- [15] Liangpend Xiong, Xiasli Liu, *Some extension of coefficient problems for Bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions*, Filomat, 29, 7 (2015), 1645–1650.
- [16] V. Ravichandran, Shelly Verma, *Bound for the fifth coefficient of certain starlike functions*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. I, 353 (2015), 505–510.
- [17] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent functions*, Vandenhoech and Ruprecht, Gottingen (1975).
- [18] U. Grenander, G. Szegő, *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, California, Monographs in Mathematical Sciences, Berkeley, CA, USA, University of California Press (1958).

M.P. Jeyaraman  
Department of Mathematics,  
Presidency College,  
Chennai, 600 005  
email: [jeyaraman\\_mp@yahoo.co.in](mailto:jeyaraman_mp@yahoo.co.in)

S. Padmapriya  
Department of Mathematics,  
SRM Institute of Science and Technology,  
Ramapuram Campus, Chennai 600 089  
email: [padmapriya.14@gmail.com](mailto:padmapriya.14@gmail.com)