Scalar curvature on lightlike hypersurfaces

Cyriaque Atindogbé

Abstract. Recently, the concept of induced scalar curvature of lightlike hypersurfaces is introduced, restricting on a special class of the latter. This paper removes some of these constraints and constructs this scalar quantity by an approach that is consistent with the well-known non degenerate theory. Examples of basic calculations are provided.

M.S.C. 2000: 53B30; 53B50.

Key words: Lightlike hypersurface; screen distribution; extrinsic scalar curvature.

1 Introduction

One of the most important concepts which have been found to be useful in (pseudo-) Riemannian geometry (and especially in General Relativity) is the scalar curvature.

Physically, the scalar curvature has the following interpretation. Start a point in a *D*-dimensional space and move a geodesic distance ε in all directions. In essence you would form the equivalent of a generalised sphere in this space. The area of this sphere can be calculated in flat space. But in curved space the area will deviate from the one we calculated by an amount proportional to the scalar curvature. Precisely,

$$R = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{6D}{\varepsilon^2} \Big[1 - \frac{A_{curved}(\varepsilon)}{A_{flat}(\varepsilon)} \Big].$$

From a geometric point of view, this is just the contraction of the Ricci tensor Ric with (a nondegenerate) g,

$$R = g^{ij} Ric_{ij}.$$

In [3], the problem of inducing scalar curvature on lightlike manifolds is considered. Such a problem arises, mainly due to two difficulties: since the induced connection is not a Levi-Civita connection (unless M be totally geodesic) the (0, 2) induced Ricci tensor is not symmetric in general. Also, as the induced metric is degenerate, its inverse does not exist and it is not possible to proceed in the usual way by contracting the Ricci tensor to get a scalar quantity.

To overcome theses difficulties, Duggal considered in [3] a class of lightlike hypersurfaces in ambient Lorentzian signature, called lightlike hypersurfaces of genus zero. Elements of such class are subject to the following constraints: admission of

Applied Sciences, Vol.11, 2009, pp. 9-18.

[©] Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2009.

canonical screen distribution that induces a canonical transversal vector bundle and induced symmetric Ricci tensor.

Although the above two conditions are interesting to compensate lacking due to the above quoted difficulties, to admit symmetric induced Ricci tensor in lightlike setting is very restrictive. Also, the problem in contracting with respect to the noninvertible induced metric is still unsolved for the general setting.

Our purpose in this paper is to develop in a coherent way the concept of extrinsic scalar curvature by dropping and solving the induced symmetric Ricci condition and finding a solution for the contraction with respect to the degenerate metric in a consistent way with the known nondegenerate approach.

By the approach developed in the book [4], the extrinsic geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces depends on an additional structure, the screen distribution. For this, we start in this paper with a given normalization. We first introduce a symmetrized induced Ricci tensor Ric^{sym} . Thanks to the concept of pseudo-inversion of degenerate metric we introduced in [1], we overcome the above quoted problem in contracting with respect to the degenerate metric. Then we state a definition of the extrinsic scalar curvature which generalises in a consistent way the one in [3]. Some Physical and mathematical relevant models are then discussed. To know to what extent a change in normalisation influences our scalar quantity, we investigate relationship between induced geometric objects and operators involved in the curvature expression with their analogous with a change in screen distribution.

2 Facts about lightlike hypersurfaces

Let (M, g) be a hypersurface of an (n+2)-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ of constant index $0 < \nu < n+2$. In the theory of nondegenerate hypersurfaces, the normal bundle has trivial intersection; $\{0\}$, with the tangent one and plays an important role in the introduction of main induced geometric objects of M. In case of lightlike hypersurfaces, the situation is totally different. The normal bundle TM^{\perp} is a rank-one distribution over $M: TM^{\perp} \subset TM$ and then coincides with the radical distribution $RadTM = TM \cap TM^{\perp}$. Hence, the induced metric tensor g is degenerate with constant rank n.

A complementary bundle of RadTM in TM is a rank n nondegenerate distribution over M, called a *screen distribution* of M, denoted by S(TM). Existence of S(TM) is secured provided M be paracompact. A lightlike hypersurface with a specific screen distribution is denoted by (M, g, S(TM)).

It is well-known [4] that for such a triplet, there exists a unique vector sub bundle tr(TM) of rank 1 over M, such that for any non-zero section ξ of TM^{\perp} on a coordinate neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset M$, there exists a unique section N of tr(TM) on \mathcal{U} satisfying

(2.1) $\overline{g}(N,\xi) = 1, \quad \overline{g}(N,N) = \overline{g}(N,W) = 0, \quad \forall W \in \Gamma(ST(M)|_{\mathcal{U}}).$

TM and $T\overline{M}$ are decomposed as follows:

- (2.2) $TM = S(TM) \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} TM^{\perp},$
- (2.3) $T\overline{M}|_M = TM \oplus tr(TM).$

We denote by $\Gamma(E)$ the $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -module of smooth sections of a vector bundle E over M, $\mathcal{F}(M)$ being the algebra of smooth functions on M. Also, all manifolds are supposed to be smooth, paracompact and connected.

The induced connection, say ∇ , on M is defined by

$$\nabla_X Y = Q(\overline{\nabla}_X Y), \qquad \forall \ X, Y \in \Gamma(TM),$$

where $\overline{\nabla}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ and Q the projection morphism on TM with respect to the decomposition (2.2). Notice that ∇ depends on both gand a screen distribution S(TM) of M.

Let P be the projection morphism of $\Gamma(TM)$ on $\Gamma(S(TM))$ with respect to the decomposition (2.2). Consider a normalising pair $\{\xi, N\}$ satisfying (2.1). Then, the local Gauss and Weingarten type formulas are given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_{X}Y = \nabla_{X}Y + B(X,Y)N,
\overline{\nabla}_{X}N = -A_{N}X + \tau(X)N,
(2.4)
$$\nabla_{X}PY = \nabla_{X}PY + C(X,PY)\xi,
\nabla_{X}\xi = -A_{\xi}X - \tau(X)\xi, \quad \forall X,Y \in \Gamma(TM|_{\mathcal{U}}),$$$$

where B and C are the local second fundamental forms on $\Gamma(TM)$ and $\Gamma(S(TM))$ respectively, $\stackrel{*}{\nabla}$ is a metric connection on $\Gamma(S(TM))$, $\stackrel{*}{A_{\xi}}$ the local shape operator on S(TM) and τ a 1-form on TM defined by

$$\tau(X) = \overline{g}(\nabla_X^t N, \xi).$$

Although S(TM) is not unique, it is canonically isomorphic to the factor vector bundle TM/RadTM [6]. As per [4, page 83], the second fundamental form B of M is independent from the choice of a screen distribution and satisfies for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$

$$B(X,\xi) = 0$$
, and $B(X,Y) = g(A_{\xi} X,Y)$.

Denote by \overline{R} and R the Riemann curvature tensors of $\overline{\nabla}$ and ∇ respectively. Recall the following Gauss-Codazzi equations [4, p. 93]

(2.5)
$$\langle \overline{R}(X,Y)Z,\xi \rangle = (\nabla_X B)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X,Z) + \tau(X)B(Y,Z) - \tau(Y)B(X,Z),$$

(2.6)
$$\langle \overline{R}(X,Y)Z,PW \rangle = \langle R(X,Y)Z,PW \rangle + B(X,Z)C(Y,PW) \\ -B(Y,Z)C(X,PW),$$

(2.7)
$$\langle \overline{R}(X,Y)\xi,N\rangle = \langle R(X,Y)\xi,N\rangle = C(Y,\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}X) - C(X,\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}Y) - 2d\tau(X,Y), \quad \forall X,Y,Z,W \in \Gamma(TM|_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Finally, we outline here some results in [1]. Consider on M a normalising pair $\{\xi, N\}$ satisfying (2.1) and define the one-form

$$\eta(\bullet) = \overline{g}(N, \bullet).$$

For all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, $X = PX + \eta(X)\xi$ and $\eta(X) = 0$ if and only if $X \in \Gamma(S(TM))$. Now, we define \flat by

(2.8)
$$\begin{aligned} \flat : \Gamma(TM) & \longrightarrow \Gamma(T^*M) \\ & \longmapsto X^\flat = g(X, \bullet) + \eta(X)\eta(\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, such \flat is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(TM)$ onto $\Gamma(T^*M)$, and can be used to generalize the usual nondegenerate theory. In the latter case, $\Gamma(S(TM))$ coincides with $\Gamma(TM)$, and as a consequence the 1-form η vanishes identically and the projection morphism P becomes the identity map on $\Gamma(TM)$. We let \sharp denote the inverse of the isomorphism \flat given by (2.8). For $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ (resp. $\omega \in T^*M$), X^{\flat} (resp. ω^{\sharp}) is called the dual 1-form of X (resp. the dual vector field of ω) with respect to the degenerate metric g. From (2.8) it follows that if ω is a 1-form on M, we have for $X \in \Gamma(TM)$,

$$\omega(X) = g(\omega^{\sharp}, X) + \omega(\xi)\eta(X).$$

Define a (0, 2)-tensor \tilde{g} by

$$\tilde{g}(X,Y) = X^{\flat}(Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Clearly, \tilde{g} defines a non-degenerate metric on M which plays an important role in defining the usual differential operators such as gradient, divergence, Laplacian with respect to degenerate metric g on lightlike hypersurfaces ([1] for details). Also, observe that \tilde{g} coincides with g if the latter is non-degenerate. The (0,2)-tensor $g^{[\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,]}$, inverse of \tilde{g} is called the pseudo-inverse of g. With respect to the quasi orthonormal local frame field $\{\partial_0 := \xi, \partial_1, \cdots, \partial_n, N\}$ adapted to the decompositions (2.2) and (2.3) we have

(2.9)
$$\tilde{g}(\xi,\xi) = 1, \quad \tilde{g}(\xi,X) = \eta(X),$$

$$\tilde{g}(X,Y) = g(X,Y) \quad \forall X,Y \in \Gamma(S(TM)),$$

and the following is proved [1].

Proposition 2.1. (α) For any smooth function $f : \mathcal{U} \subset M \to \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$grad^g f = g^{[\alpha\beta]} f_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \qquad where \quad f_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \quad \partial_{\beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\beta}} \quad \alpha, \beta = 0, \dots n$$

(β) For any vector field X on $\mathcal{U} \subset M$

$$div^{g}X = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{\alpha} \tilde{g}(\nabla_{X_{\alpha}} X, X_{\alpha}) \ ; \ \varepsilon_{0} = 1$$

 (γ) for a smooth function f defined on $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ we have

$$\Delta^g f = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \varepsilon_\alpha \tilde{g}(\nabla_{X_\alpha} grad^g f, X_\alpha)$$

In particular, ρ being an endomorphism (resp. a symmetric bilinear form) on (M, g, S(TM)), we have

$$tr\rho = trace_g \rho = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{n} g^{[\alpha\beta]} \tilde{g}(\rho(\partial_{\alpha}), \partial_{\beta})$$

(resp. $trace_g \rho = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{n} g^{[\alpha\beta]} \rho_{\alpha\beta}$).

3 Extrinsic scalar curvature

Consider a lightlike hypersurface (M, g, S(TM)) of a (n+2)-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$, with induced Ricci tensor Ric. Then we define the symmetrized induced Ricci tensor to be the (0, 2)-tensor Ric^{sym} on M such that for X, Y tangents to M,

(3.1)
$$Ric^{sym}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}[Ric(X,Y) + Ric(Y,X)],$$

where $Ric(X, Y) = trace\{Z \mapsto R(Z, X)Y\}$. In index notation,

(3.2)
$$Ric_{\alpha\beta}^{sym} = \frac{1}{2}[R_{\alpha\beta} + R_{\beta\alpha}]$$

where we brief $R_{\alpha\beta} := Ric_{\alpha\beta}$. Now, $g^{[]}$ being the pseudo-inverse of g, contract (3.2) and obtain the scalar quantity

(3.3)
$$R = g^{[\alpha\beta]} Ric^{sym}_{\alpha\beta}.$$

It is immediate to check that for a fixed triplet (M, g, S(TM)), whereas the pair (ξ, N) in (2.1) is not uniquely determined being subject to the scaling $\xi \mapsto \xi' = \alpha \xi$ and $N \mapsto N' = \frac{1}{\alpha} N$ (α smooth nonvanishing function), the right hand side of (3.3) is independent from the choice of the pair (ξ, N) . We define R to be the *extrinsic scalar curvature* of the structure (M, g, S(TM)).

Now, we give the expressions of the symmetrized Ricci and the extrinsic scalar curvature R in terms of induced shape operators A_N , A_{ξ} and ambient curvatures. Let $\{E_0 = \xi, E_i\}$ be a quasiorthonormal frame for TM induced from a frame $\{E_0 = \xi, E_i, E_{n+1} = N\}$ for $T\overline{M}$ such that $S(TM) = span\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ and $Rad(TM) = span\{\xi\}$. We use the following range of indices. Greck letters α, β ... run through 0, ..., n and Latin letters i, j, ... through 1, ..., n. By using (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(X,Y) &= \sum_{\gamma=0}^{n} \tilde{g}_{\gamma\gamma} \tilde{g}(R(E_{\gamma},X)Y,E_{\gamma}) \\ &= \tilde{g}_{00} \tilde{g}(R(\xi,X)Y,\xi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{g}_{ii} \tilde{g}(R(E_{i},X)Y,E_{i}) \\ &= \bar{g}(R(\xi,X)Y,N) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{ii}g(R(E_{i},X)Y,E_{i}), \qquad \tilde{g}_{00} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using Gauss-Codazzi equations (2.5,2.6,2.7) provides

$$Ric(X,Y) = \bar{R}ic(X,Y) - \bar{g}(\bar{R}(N,X)Y,\xi) + B(X,Y)trA_N - g(A_NX, \overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}Y)$$
$$= \bar{R}ic(X,Y) + B(X,Y)trA_N - g(A_NX, \overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}Y) - \eta(\bar{R}(\xi,Y)X).$$

Thus, we obtain the following expression of the symmetrized induced Ricci,

(3.4)
$$Ric^{sym}(X,Y) = \bar{R}ic(X,Y) + B(X,Y)trA_N - \frac{1}{2}[\eta(\bar{R}(\xi,Y)X) + \eta(\bar{R}(\xi,X)Y) + g(A_NX,\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}Y) + g(A_NY,\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}X)].$$

where $\bar{R}ic$ denotes the Ricci curvature of the ambient manifold \bar{M} . In local coordinates,

$$(3.5) \qquad Ric_{\alpha\beta}^{sym} = \bar{R}ic_{\alpha\beta} + B_{\alpha\beta}trA_N - \frac{1}{2}[\eta(\bar{R}(\xi,\partial_\beta)\partial_\alpha) + \eta(\bar{R}(\xi,\partial_\alpha)\partial_\beta) + g(\overset{\star}{A_\xi}A_N\partial_\alpha,\partial_\beta) + g(\overset{\star}{A_\xi}A_N\partial_\beta,\partial_\alpha)]$$

where we make use of the symmetry of $\overset{\star}{A}_{\xi}$ with respect to g. In the sequel, we let

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(n+1)} g^{[\alpha\beta]} B_{\alpha\beta}$$

denote the mean curvature function of M^{n+1} and

$$\bar{\theta} = \bar{R}ic(N,N)$$

represents the transverse energy in null direction N. Now applying (3.3) by contracting (3.5) with respect to $g^{[\alpha\beta]}$ we get the following expression of the extrinsic scalar curvature on the structure (M, g, S(TM)),

(3.6)
$$R = \bar{R} + \sqrt{2}(n+1)\sigma trA_N - tr(\hat{A}_{\xi} A_N) -\bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{2}g^{[\alpha\beta]}[\eta(\bar{R}(\xi,\partial_{\alpha})\partial_{\beta}) + \eta(\bar{R}(\xi,\partial_{\beta})\partial_{\alpha})].$$

For ambient manifold \overline{M} with constant sectional curvature c, we derive the following.

Theorem 3.1. Let (M, g, S(TM)) be a lightlike hypersurface of a (n+2)-dimensional space form $\overline{M}(c)$. Then

(3.7)
$$R = n^2 c + \sqrt{2}(n+1)\sigma tr A_N - tr(\overset{*}{A_{\xi}}A_N).$$

Proof. In case \overline{M}^{n+2} has constant curvature c, we have $\overline{R}ic = (n+1)c\overline{g}$, $\eta(\overline{R}(\xi, Y)X) = cg(X, Y)$, then

$$Ric^{sym}(X,Y) = ncg(X,Y) + B(X,Y)trA_N -\frac{1}{2}[g(\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}A_NX,Y) + g(\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}A_NY,X)].$$

In local coordinates,

$$Ric_{\alpha\beta}^{sym} = ncg_{\alpha\beta} + B_{\alpha\beta}trA_N - \frac{1}{2}[g(\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}A_N\partial_{\alpha},\partial_{\beta}) + g(\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}A_N\partial_{\beta},\partial_{\alpha})],$$

and

$$R = n^2 c + \sqrt{2}(n+1)\sigma tr A_N - tr(\overset{\star}{A_\xi}A_N).\Box$$

 I° . t is noteworthy that if $(M,g) \subset \overline{M}(c)$ is totally geodesic, then the extrinsic scalar curvature is independent from the choice of the screen distribution as $\sigma = 0$ and $\stackrel{\star}{A_{\xi}} = 0$.

4 Some basic examples

(a) The null cone \wedge_0^{n+1} in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} .

The light cone \wedge_0^{n+1} is given in \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} by the equation $-(x^0)^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} (x^a)^2 = 0, x \neq 0$. In fact we shall be using either $x^0 < 0$ or $x^0 > 0$ since we assumed connexity. It is known that \wedge_0^{n+1} is a lightlike hypersurface with radical distribution spanned by the global position vector field $\xi = \sum_{A=0}^{n+1} x^A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A}$ on \wedge_0^{n+1} . Corresponding null section satisfying (2.1) is given by $N = \frac{1}{2(x^0)^2} \{-x^0\partial_0 + \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} x^a\partial_a\}$ and is globally defined. The associated screen distribution $S(T\wedge_0^{n+1})$ is such that $X = \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} X^a\partial_a$ belongs to $S(T\wedge_0^{n+1})$ if and only if $\sum_{a=1}^{n+1} x^a X^a = 0$. Then direct calculations using (Eqs. 2.4 - 2.7) leads to the following expressions of the shape operators, $\stackrel{\star}{A_{\xi}} X = -PX$ and $A_N X = -\frac{1}{2(x^0)^2} PX$ where P denotes the projection morphism of the tangent bundle $T\wedge_0^{n+1}$ onto $S(T\wedge_0^{n+1})$ with respect to decomposition (2.2). Then we get $\sigma = \frac{-n}{\sqrt{2(n+1)}}$, $\stackrel{\star}{A_{\xi}} A_N = \frac{1}{2(x^0)^2} \circ P$ and $tr A_N = \frac{-n}{2(x^0)^2}$. Finally, since \mathbb{R}_1^{n+2} is flat, the extrinsic scalar curvature of the lightlike cone \wedge_0^{n+1} is given by

(4.1)
$$R = \frac{n^2 - n}{2(x^0)^2}.$$

- Observe that this expression is actually independent from the elements defining the screen distribution and depends only upon \wedge_0^{n+1} .
- The above screen distribution on \wedge_0^{n+1} is integrable and induces a foliation \mathcal{F} . By (4.1), the extrinsic scalar curvature R is constant along leaves of $S(T \wedge_0^{n+1})$. Actually, these leaves are *n*-spheres $(\wedge_0^{n+1} \cap (x^0 = cte))$.
- R vanishes at infinity on \wedge_0^{n+1} .

(b) Lightlike Monge hypersurfaces of \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_{q}

Consider a smooth function $F: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, where Ω is an open set of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then

(4.2)
$$M = \{ (x^0, \cdots, x^{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}_q^{n+2}, x^0 = F(x^1, \cdots, x^{n+1}) \}$$

is a hypersurface which is called a Monge hypersurface [4]. Such a hypersurface is lightlike if and only if F is a solution of the partial differential equation

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (F'_{x^i})^2 = \sum_{a=q}^{n+1} (F'_{x^a})^2.$$

The radical distribution is spanned by a global vector field

(4.3)
$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} F'_{x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{a=q}^{n+1} F'_{x^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}.$$

Along M consider the constant timelike section $V^* = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}$ of \mathbb{R}_q^{n+2} . The vector bundle $H^* = span\{V^*, \xi\}$ is nondegenerate on M. The complementary orthogonal vector bundle $S^*(TM)$ to H^* in $T\mathbb{R}_q^{n+2}$ is an integrable screen distribution on Mcalled the natural screen distribution on M. The transversal bundle $tr^*(TM)$ is spanned by $N = -V^* + \frac{1}{2}\xi$ and $\tau(X) = 0 \ \forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$. It follows that $S^*(TM)$ is a globally conformal screen on M with constant positive conformal factor $\frac{1}{2}$, that is $A_N = \frac{1}{2} \stackrel{*}{A_{\xi}} [2]$. From (4.2), the natural parametrization M is as follows.

$$x^{0} = F(v^{0}, \cdots, v^{n}), \qquad x^{\alpha+1} = v^{\alpha}, \ \alpha \in \{0, \cdots, n\}.$$

Then the natural frame field on M is globally defined by

(4.4)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}} = F'_{x^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}}, \quad \alpha \in \{0, \cdots, n\}.$$

Now, direct computations using (4.4) and (4.3) lead to

$$B_{\alpha\beta} = B\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\beta}}\right) = -\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v^{\alpha} \partial v^{\beta}}$$

Let $\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}} = K^{\mu}_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\mu}}$. Since $\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}}$ belongs to S(TM) we have from (2.9),

$$B\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}},\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\beta}}\right) = g\left(\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}},\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\beta}}\right) = \tilde{g}\left(\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}},\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\beta}}\right)$$

Thus,

$$-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v^{\alpha} \partial v^{\beta}} = K^{\mu}_{\alpha} \tilde{g}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\beta}}\right) = K^{\mu}_{\alpha} \tilde{g}_{\mu\beta}.$$

That is

$$K^{\mu}_{\alpha} = -g^{[\mu\beta]} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v^{\alpha} \partial v^{\beta}},$$

and

(4.5)
$$\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}} = 2A_{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}} = -g^{[\mu\beta]}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial v^{\alpha}\partial v^{\beta}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\mu}}$$

Let $\tilde{F} = (F_{\alpha\beta})$ denote the matrix field with entries $F_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v^{\alpha} \partial v^{\beta}}$.

It follows that

(4.6)
$$trA_{N} = \frac{1}{2}tr\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{[\alpha\beta]}g^{[\mu\gamma]}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial v^{\alpha}\partial v^{\gamma}}\tilde{g}_{\mu\beta} \\ = -\frac{1}{2}g^{[\alpha\beta]}F^{\mu}_{\alpha}\tilde{g}_{\mu\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}trace_{g}\tilde{F}$$

Also,

$$\overset{\star}{A}_{\xi} A_{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2} \overset{\star}{A}_{\xi} \overset{\star}{A}_{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}} = -g^{[\mu\beta]} \frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial v^{\alpha} \partial v^{\beta}} \overset{\star}{A}_{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\mu}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} g^{[\mu\beta]} g^{[\gamma\delta]} \frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial v^{\alpha} \partial v^{\beta}} \frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial v^{\mu} \partial v^{\delta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\gamma}}$$

Then,

$$tr(\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}A_{N}) = g^{[\alpha\nu]}\tilde{g}\left(\overset{\star}{A_{\xi}}A_{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\alpha}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\nu}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}g^{[\alpha\nu]}g^{[\mu\beta]}g^{[\gamma\delta]}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial v^{\alpha}\partial v^{\beta}}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial v^{\mu}\partial v^{\delta}}\tilde{g}_{\gamma\nu}.$$

(4.7)
$$tr(\overset{\star}{A}_{\xi}A_{N}) = \frac{1}{2}g^{[\alpha\nu]}F^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\gamma}_{\mu}\tilde{g}_{\gamma\nu} = \frac{1}{2}trace_{g}(\tilde{F}^{2}).$$

From (4.6,4.7) and the definition of σ it follows that for lightlike Monge hypersurfaces,

(4.8)
$$R = \frac{1}{2} \Big[[trace_g(\tilde{F})]^2 - trace_g(\tilde{F}^2) \Big].$$

5 Discussion

Clearly, in case of a canonical polarisation of our lightlike hypersurface, we recover results in [3].

We now examine how the operators and induced geometric objects involved in (3.6) defining the extrinsic scalar curvature R change with a change in screen distribution. First, note that the local fundamental form B of M is independent of the choice of screen distribution. Hence the mean curvature function σ of M is invariant. Now, starting with a S(TM) with local orthonormal basis $\{W_i\}$, consider another screen distribution S(TM)' with orthonormal basis

$$W_i' = \sum_{j=1}^n P_i^j (W_j - \varepsilon_j c_j \xi),$$

where c_i and P_i^j are smooth functions and $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ represents the signature of $\{W_j\}$. Below, we let $W = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i W_i$ and $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i (c_i)^2$ denote characteristic vector and scalar field in respect of this screen change. Then, the following local transformations are derived.

$$\eta' = \eta + \omega, \quad \stackrel{\star'}{A_{\xi}} = \stackrel{\star}{A_{\xi}} - \mu \otimes \xi,$$

with $\omega = g(W, \cdot)$ and $\mu = B(W, \cdot)$. Also,

$$A_{N'}X = A_N + \sum_{i}^{n} \{\varepsilon_i c_i X(c_c) - \tau(X)\varepsilon_i (c_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_i (c_i)^2 \mu(X) - c_i C(X, W_i)\}\xi + \sum_{i}^{n} \{c_i (\tau(X) + \mu(X)) - X(c_i)\}W_i - \sum_{i} c_i \stackrel{\star}{\nabla}_X W_i - \frac{1}{2}\rho \stackrel{\star}{A}_{\xi} X$$

for $X \in \Gamma(TM|_{\mathcal{U}})$ and

$$\bar{\theta}' = \bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \bar{R}ic(\xi,\xi) + \bar{R}ic(W,W) - \rho \bar{R}ic(N,\xi) - \rho \bar{R}ic(\xi,W) + 2\bar{R}ic(W,N).$$

Finally, the following problem is still open: Given a triplet (M, g, S(TM)) with induced extrinsic scalar curvature R, how to characterize the set S(R) of screen structures on M that preserve R? Related results can be found in [5, 7].

Acknowledgement. This work was carried out while I was visiting the IHES (Buressur-Yvette, France) within the framework of the program IHES/ Foundation Schlumberger. I express my deepest gratitude for hospitality and support.

References

- C. Atindogbe, J.-P. Ezin and J. Tossa, *Pseudo-inversion of degenerate metrics*, Int. J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 55 (2003), 3479-3501.
- [2] C. Atindogbe and K.L. Duggal, Conformal screen on lightlike hypersurfaces, Int. J. of Pure and Applied Math., 11 (2004), 421-442.
- [3] K. L. Duggal, On scalar curvature in lightlike geometry, J. Geom. Phys. 57 (2007), 473-481.
- [4] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, Lightlike Submanifolds of Aemi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, Volume 364, 1996.
- [5] M.K. Karacan, B. Bukcu, An alternative moving frame for tubular surfaces around timelike curves in the Minkowski 3-space, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications (BJGA), 12, 2 (2007), 73-80.
- [6] D. N. Kupeli, Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, volume 366, 1996.
- [7] S. Shichang, Complete hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in a hyperbolic space, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications (BJGA), 12, 2 (2007), 107-115.

Author's address:

Cyriaque Atindogbe Institut de Mathematiques et de Sciences Physiques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, 01 BP 613 Porto-Novo, Benin. E-mail: atincyr@imsp-uac.org.