

# K-arcs in finite Benz planes

Aytaç Kurtuluş and Şükrü Olgun

## Abstract

In this paper, it is shown that certain combinatorial properties about  $k$ -arc in finite Benz planes by using the concept of  $k$ -arc and  $(k; n)$ -arc in finite affine planes.

**M.S.C. 2000:** 51A05, 51E20, 51E21.

**Key words:** Benz planes,  $k$ -arc;  $(k; 3)$ -arc.

## §1. Introduction

The subject of arcs has been studied in detail in projective planes ([4], [5], [6]) but it is rather new in Benz planes. Arcs have been partially studied in Benz planes [2]. In this paper, we introduce some combinatorial properties about  $k$ -arcs in Benz planes.

An *incidence structure* is a pair of sets  $(\mathcal{P}, B)$  with a binary relation  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times B$  called *incidence*. The elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  are called *points*, and the elements of  $B$  are called *blocks*.

Two points are *dependent* if there is no block incident to both of them, otherwise they are said to be *independent*.

Given a point  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , the set of all points of  $\mathcal{P}$  which are dependent with  $P$  is denoted by  $P^\perp$ .

The *nucleus* of an incidence structure is the set of points of  $\mathcal{P}$  which are incidence with no block of  $B$ .

A *singular line* is a maximal set of pairwise dependent points of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Given an incidence structure  $(\mathcal{P}, B)$ , the *derivate structure* at one of its points, say  $X$ , is an incidence structure  $(\mathcal{P}_X, B_X)$  with the set of points  $\mathcal{P}_X = \mathcal{P} \setminus (\{X\} \cup X^\perp)$ , and the set of blocks  $B_X$  consisting of the restrictions to  $\mathcal{P}_X$  of all the blocks in  $B$  that are incident with  $X$ , plus the singular lines containing at least two points of  $\mathcal{P}_X$ .

A *finite Benz plane* is an incidence structure  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{P}, B)$  whose blocks are called *circles*, such that:

B1 Any independent three points are on exactly one circle and any circle has at least three points.

B2 Given  $X \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $c \in B$ , if  $|X^\perp \cap c| \geq 3$  then  $c \subset X^\perp$ .

- B3 Any circle has precisely one point in common with each singular line.
- B4 Given a point  $X \in \mathcal{P}$  and a singular line  $l$ , then  $X^\perp$  either contains one point of  $l$  or all the points of  $l$ .
- B5 Given a point  $X \in \mathcal{P}$ , if  $X$  is not in the nucleus then the derivate structure at  $X$  is a finite affine plane.

If  $q$  is the order of the derived affine plane, then  $q$  is said to be the *order* of the Benz plane.

**Theorem 1.** ([1],[3]) *There are only three types of Benz planes.*

- I The nucleus is empty and there are no singular lines (Möbius plane, or inversive plane).*
- II The nucleus contains precisely one point. Any point, except the one in the nucleus, is contained in precisely one singular line (Laguerre plane).*
- III The nucleus is empty. Any point is contained in precisely two singular lines. The set of singular lines is partitioned in two families: Two lines belonging to the same family are disjoint and any line has precisely one point in common with each line of the opposite family (Minkowski plane).*

A Möbius plane of order  $q$  contains  $q^2 + 1$  points, a Laguerre plane of order  $q$  contains  $q^2 + q$  points, and a Minkowski plane of order  $q$  contains  $(q + 1)^2$  points.

The set of all circles through two distinct points  $P, Q$  is called bundle and denoted by  $[PQ]$ . These points  $P, Q$  are called the carriers of the bundle  $[PQ]$ . In a Benz plane of order  $q$  the bundle  $[PQ]$  contains  $q + \lambda$  circles such that Benz plane is Möbius plane, Laguerre plane, Minkowski plane, for  $\lambda = 1, 0, -1$ , respectively.

A  $(k; 3)$ -arc  $\mathcal{K}$  in an affine plane of order  $q$ , is a set of  $k$  points such that some line of the plane meets  $\mathcal{K}$  in three points but such that no line meets  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than three points [7].

$\mathcal{A}$  will denote a finite affine plane of order  $q$ . A line  $l$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $i$ -secant of a  $(k; 3)$ -arc  $\mathcal{K}$  if  $|l \cap \mathcal{K}| = i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $\tau'_i$  denotes total number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\delta'_i$  denotes the number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$  through a point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\sigma'_i$  denotes the number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$  through a point  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ .

**Lemma 1.** ([6]) *For a  $(k; 3)$ -arc  $\mathcal{K}$ , the following equations hold:*

$$i\tau'_i = \sum_P \delta'_i, \quad (q - i)\tau'_i = \sum_Q \sigma'_i.$$

## §2. $k$ -arcs

**Definition.** ([1]) A  $k$ -arc in a finite Benz plane is a set of  $k$  points, none two of which are on the same singular line, such that there is no circle containing more than three of them.

Throughout this section,  $\mathcal{B}$  will denote a finite Benz plane of order  $q$ . A circle  $c$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  is an  $i$ -secant of a  $k$ -arc  $\mathcal{K}$  if  $|c \cap \mathcal{K}| = i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $\tau_i$  denotes total number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\delta_i = \delta_i(P)$  denotes total number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$  through a

point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i(Q)$  denotes total number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$  through a point  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\gamma_i = \gamma_i(R, S)$  denotes total number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$  through two points  $R, S$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\eta_i = \eta_i(M, N)$  denotes total number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$  through two points  $R, S$  of  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ .

A  $k$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}$  is complete if there is no  $k+1$ -arc containing it.

**Proposition 1.** *For a  $k$ -arc  $\mathcal{K}$ , the following equations hold:*

- (i)  $\tau_0 + \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = q(q^2 + \lambda)$
- (ii)  $\tau_1 + 2\tau_2 + 3\tau_3 = kq(q + \lambda)$
- (iii)  $\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 = q(q + \lambda)$
- (iv)  $\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = q + \lambda$
- (v)  $\gamma_3 = k - 2$
- (vi)  $\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 = q(q + \lambda)$
- (vii)  $\eta_0 + \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 = q + \lambda$
- (viii)  $\eta_1 + 2\eta_2 + 3\eta_3 = k$
- (ix)  $\binom{2}{i} \tau_i = \sum_{[P, Q]} \gamma_i, i = 2, 3$
- (x)  $i\tau_i = \sum_P \delta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$
- (xi)  $(q + 1 - i)\tau_i = \sum_Q \sigma_i, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$

*Proof.* The proof is finished each equation in the proposition expresses in a different way the cardinality of the following sets, respectively.

- (i)  $\{c \mid c \in \mathcal{B}\}.$
- (ii)  $\{(P, c) \mid P \in \mathcal{K} \cap c, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$
- (iii)  $\{c \mid P \in \mathcal{K}, cIP, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$
- (iv)  $\{c \mid c \in [RS] \text{ with } R, S \in \mathcal{K}, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$
- (v)  $\{(P, c) \mid P \in \mathcal{K}, cIP, c \in [RS] \text{ with } R, S \in \mathcal{K}, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$
- (vi)  $\{c \mid Q \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}, cIQ, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$
- (vii)  $\{c \mid c \in [RS] \text{ with } R, S \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$
- (viii)  $\{(P, c) \mid P \in \mathcal{K} \cap c, c \in [RS] \text{ with } R, S \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$
- (ix)  $\{(\{P, Q\}, c) \mid c \in [PQ] \text{ with } P, Q \in \mathcal{K}, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$

(x)  $\{(P, c) \mid P \in \mathcal{K}, cIP, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$

(xi)  $\{(Q, c) \mid Q \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}, QIc, c \text{ is an } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}.$

□

For a  $k$ -arc  $\mathcal{K}$ , let  $(c_j)_i$  be the number of points of  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  such that through each of them exactly  $i, j$ -secants of  $\mathcal{K}$  pass.

**Proposition 2.** *The constants  $(c_j)_i$  of a  $k$ -arc  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfy the following equations with the summation taken from 0 to  $\beta_j$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } \mathcal{B} \text{ is Möbius plane,} & \quad \text{then } \sum (c_j)_i = q^2 + 1 - k \\ \text{If } \mathcal{B} \text{ is Laguerre plane,} & \quad \text{then } \sum (c_j)_i = q^2 + q - k \\ \text{If } \mathcal{B} \text{ is Minkowski plane,} & \quad \text{then } \sum (c_j)_i = (q + 1)^2 - k \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof is clear that the equations express in different ways the cardinality of  $\{Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}\}$  set in  $\mathcal{B}$ . □

**Proposition 3.** *The constants  $(c_j)_i$  of a  $k$ -arc  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  ( $\mathcal{B}$  is Möbius, Laguerre, Minkowski plane, for  $\lambda = 1, 0, -1$ , respectively), satisfy the following equations ;*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\beta_0} i(c_0)_i &= (q + 1) [q(q^2 + \lambda) - (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3)] \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\beta_1} i(c_1)_i &= kq \left[ q(q + \lambda) - \binom{2}{k-1} - (k-1)(q - k + \lambda + 2) \right] \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\beta_2} i(c_2)_i &= \binom{2}{k} (q - 1)(q - k + \lambda + 2) \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\beta_3} i(c_3)_i &= \binom{3}{k} (q - 2) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof is easily obtained by considering the equations express in different ways the cardinality of the following sets;

$$\{(Q, c) \mid Q \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}, c \text{ a } i\text{-secant of } \mathcal{K}\}$$

when  $c$  is 0-secant, 1-secant, 2-secant, 3-secant for  $i, ii, iii, iv$ , respectively. □

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $k$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ , if  $Q$  is not contained by singular lines through  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $\vartheta = 0$ , if  $Q$  is contained by one singular line through  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $\vartheta = 1$ , if  $Q$  is contained by two singular lines through  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $\vartheta = 2$ , and a  $k$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $(k - \vartheta; 3)$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}_Q$ . Hence, while circles through  $Q$  are 0, 1, 2, 3-secant circles to  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , this circles are 0, 1, 2, 3-secant lines to  $(k; 3)$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}_Q$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $P \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  be any point and  $l$  is any singular line through  $\mathcal{K}$  with  $P \notin l$ . If  $T_i$  denotes total number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$  through  $P$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is Möbius,*

Laguerre, Minkowski plane, for  $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ , respectively, then the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \tau'_0 - \mu(q - k) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_Q \sigma'_0 - \mu(q - k) \\ T_1 &= \tau'_1 - \mu k = \sum_P \delta'_1 - \mu k = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_Q \sigma'_1 - \mu k \\ T_2 &= \tau'_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_P \delta'_2 = \frac{1}{q-2} \sum_Q \sigma'_2 \\ T_3 &= \tau'_3 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_P \delta'_3 = \frac{1}{q-3} \sum_Q \sigma'_3. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $\vartheta = 0$ ,  $k$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $(k; 3)$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}_P$ . Therefore, it is obtained upper equations from Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  be any point and  $l$  is any singular line through  $\mathcal{K}$  with  $P \in l$ . If  $T_i$  denotes total number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$  through  $P$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is Möbius, Laguerre, Minkowski plane, for  $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ , respectively, then the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \tau'_0 - \mu(q - k + 1) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_Q \sigma'_0 - \mu(q - k + 1) \\ T_1 &= \tau'_1 - \mu(k - 1) = \sum_P \delta'_1 - \mu(k - 1) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_Q \sigma'_1 - \mu(k - 1) \\ T_2 &= \tau'_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_P \delta'_2 = \frac{1}{q-2} \sum_Q \sigma'_2 \\ T_3 &= \tau'_3 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_P \delta'_3 = \frac{1}{q-3} \sum_Q \sigma'_3. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $\vartheta = 1$ ,  $k$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $(k - 1; 3)$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}_P$ . Hence, it is obtained upper equations from Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** Let  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  be any two singular lines meet  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $P \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  with  $P = l_1 \cap l_2$ . If  $T_i$  denotes total number of  $i$ -secants to  $\mathcal{K}$  through  $P$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is Möbius, Laguerre, Minkowski plane, for  $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ , respectively, then the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \tau'_0 - \mu(q - k + 2) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_Q \sigma'_0 - \mu(q - k + 2) \\ T_1 &= \tau'_1 - \mu(k - 2) = \sum_P \delta'_1 - \mu(k - 2) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_Q \sigma'_1 - \mu(k - 2) \\ T_2 &= \tau'_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_P \delta'_2 = \frac{1}{q-2} \sum_Q \sigma'_2 \\ T_3 &= \tau'_3 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_P \delta'_3 = \frac{1}{q-3} \sum_Q \sigma'_3. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $\vartheta = 2$ ,  $k$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $(k - 2; 3)$ -arc in  $\mathcal{B}_P$ . Hence, it is obtained upper equations from Lemma 1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Beutelspacher, *Einführung in die endliche Geometrie*, Vol II, Bibliographisches Institut, Wissenschaftsverlag, 1983, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [2] A. Sonnino, *k-archi nei piani di Benz*, Note di Matematica, 15 (1995), 111-119.
- [3] F. Buekenhout, *Les plans de Benz, une approche unifie des plans de Möbius, Laguerre, Minkoski*, J. Geometry, 17 (1981), 61-67.
- [4] F. Buekenhout, *Handbook of Incidence Geometry*, Netherlands, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [5] G. E. Martin, *On Arcs in a Finite Projective Plane*, Journal of Mathematics, 10 (1965), 376-393.
- [6] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.

*Authors' address:*

A. Kurtuluş and Ş. Olgun,  
Osmangazi University, Department of Mathematics,  
26480 Eskişehir-Türkiye  
E-mail: agunaydi@ogu.edu.tr