MAU22102 Rings, Fields, and Modules 4 - Modules over a ring

> Nicolas Mascot <u>mascotn@tcd.ie</u> Module web page

Hilary 2020–2021 Version: March 29, 2021



**Trinity College Dublin** Coláiste na Tríonóide, Baile Átha Cliath The University of Dublin

# Modules vs. vector spaces

#### Definition (Vector space over a field)

Let K be a field. A <u>K-vector space</u> is a set V equipped with two composition laws

such that (V, +) is an Abelian group, and that for all  $\lambda, \mu \in K$  and  $v, w \in V$ , we have

$$\lambda(\mu \mathbf{v}) = (\lambda \mu) \mathbf{v}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{1} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v},$$

 $(\lambda + \mu)\mathbf{v} = (\lambda \mathbf{v}) + (\mu \mathbf{v}), \qquad \lambda(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = (\lambda \mathbf{v}) + (\lambda \mathbf{w}).$ 

## Definition (Module over a ring)

Let R be a (not necessarily commutative) ring. An <u>R-module</u> is a set M equipped with two composition laws

such that (M, +) is an Abelian group, and that for all  $\lambda, \mu \in R$  and  $m, n \in M$ , we have

 $\lambda(\mu m) = (\lambda \mu)m, \qquad \qquad 1m = m,$ 

 $(\lambda + \mu)m = (\lambda m) + (\mu m), \qquad \lambda(m + n) = (\lambda m) + (\lambda n).$ 

# Modules: examples

#### Example

Let *R* be a ring, and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$R^n = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \mid x_i \in R\}$$

is an R-module.

#### Example

Let (G, +) be an Abelian group. Then G is actually a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module:

$$ng = \underbrace{g + \cdots + g}_{n \text{ times}}$$
  $(n \in \mathbb{Z}, g \in G).$ 

## Definition (Submodule)

Let M be an R-module. A <u>submodule</u> of M is a subset of M which is nonempty and closed under + and under multiplication by R.

#### Example

Let M = R, viewed as an *R*-module. Then the submodules of *M* are the ideals of *R*.

# Definition (Generating set, finitely generated)

Let M be an R-module. Elements  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$  form a generating set if every  $m \in M$  can be expressed in the form

$$m=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_im_i$$

for some (not necessarily unique)  $\lambda_i \in R$ . If such a finite generating set exists, then we say that M is finitely generated.

#### Counter-example

Let R be a commutative ring. Then R[x] is an R-module, which is <u>not</u> finitely generated.

#### Definition (Linearly independent, free)

Let M be an R-module. Elements  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$  are linearly independent if the only  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in R$  satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i m_i = 0$$

are  $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n = 0$ .

If furthermore  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  form a generating set of M, we say that M is a free R-module of rank n, and that the  $m_i$  form a basis of M. In this case, every  $m \in M$  can be expressed as

$$m=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_im_i$$

for some unique  $\lambda_i \in R$ .

## Example

 $R^n$  is a free *R*-module of rank *n*, with basis

$$e_1 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0), \cdots, e_n = (0, \cdots, 0, 1).$$

#### Counter-example

The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is finitely generated, but it is <u>not</u> a free module.

In a vector space, one can extract a basis out of any generating set, and every linearly independent family can be extended into a basis.

#### Counter-example

 $\{2,3\}$  is a generating family of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M = \mathbb{Z}$ , because n = (-n)2 + (n)3 for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But one cannot extract a basis out of it.

#### Counter-example

In the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M = \mathbb{Z}$ , the linearly independent family {2} cannot be extended into a basis.

# Module morphisms

## Definition (Module morphism)

Let M and N be two R-modules. A map  $f : M \longrightarrow N$  is a morphism if it is R-linear, meaning

f(m + m') = f(m) + f(m') and  $f(\lambda m) = \lambda f(m)$ 

for all  $m, m' \in M$  and  $\lambda \in R$ . A morphism is an isomorphism if it is bijective, in which case its inverse is automatically a morphism.

#### Example

An *R*-module *M* is finitely generated iff. there exits  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a surjective morphism  $\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow M$ . It is free of rank *n* iff. it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Remark

Let  $I \subset R$  be a maximal ideal, and let k = R/I be the corresponding field. Then

$$R^n \simeq R^m \Longrightarrow k^n \simeq k^m \Longrightarrow n = m,$$

so the rank of a free module is well-defined.

## Theorem (Kernel and image are submodules)

Let M and N be two R-modules, and  $f: M \longrightarrow N$  be a morphism. Then

$$\operatorname{Ker} f = \{m \in M \mid f(m) = 0\} \subseteq M$$

is a submodule of M, and

$$\operatorname{Im} f = \{f(m) \mid m \in M\} \subseteq N$$

is a submodule of N.

f is injective iff. Ker  $f = \{0\}$ , surjective iff. Im f = N, and an isomorphism iff. it is both.

## Example

Let

$$f: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & x-y \bmod 2. \end{array}$$

#### Then

$$\operatorname{Im} f = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ker} f = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid x \equiv y \bmod 2\}$$

is a free submodule of rank 2 of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  with basis  $\{(1,1), (1,-1)\}$ .

Let *M* be a free *R*-module with basis  $m_1, m_2, \cdots$ . Every  $m \in M$  can be expressed uniquely as  $m = \lambda_1 m_1 + \lambda_2 m_2 + \cdots$ , and can thus be represented by its coordinates  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots \in R$ .

Likewise, if N is another free R-module with basis  $n_1, n_2, \cdots$ , then each morphism from M to N may be represented by it matrix with respect to these bases. Conversely, each matrix (of the appropriate size) corresponds to a morphism from M to N.

Composition of morphisms corresponds to multiplication of matrices. In particular, a morphism from M to N is an isomorphism if and only if its matrix is invertible.

Let R be a commutative ring and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be n integer. Write

 $M_n(R) = \{n \times n \text{ matrices with coefficients in } R\}$ 

and

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(R) = M_n(R)^{\times}.$$

Theorem (Invertible matrices over a ring)

 $\operatorname{GL}_n(R) = \{A \in M_n(R) \mid \det A \in R^{\times}\}.$ 

# $GL_n(R)$ : proof and example

## Proof.

If 
$$A, B \in M_n(R)$$
 satisfy  $AB = I_n$ , then

$$1 = \det(I_n) = \det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$$

so 
$$\mathsf{det}(A) \in R^{ imes}.$$
  
Conversely, every  $A \in M_n(R)$  satisfies

 $AA' = \det(A)I_n$ 

where A' is the adjugate matrix of A.

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det A = \pm 1 \}.$$

## Theorem (Construction of quotient modules)

Let M be an R-module, and  $S \subseteq M$  be a submodule. Then the quotient set

$$M/S = M/\sim$$
, where  $m \sim m' \iff m - m' \in S$ ,

inherits an R-module structure. The projection map

$$M \longrightarrow M/S$$

is a surjective morphism whose kernel is S.

## Theorem (Isomorphism theorem for modules)

Let M and N be two R-modules,  $S \subseteq M$  a submodule, and  $f: M \longrightarrow N$  be a morphism. Then f factors as



*iff.*  $S \subseteq \text{Ker } f$ .

In particular, f induces an isomorphism  $M/\operatorname{Ker} f \simeq \operatorname{Im} f$ .

# Modules over a PID:

theorems

Nicolas Mascot Rings, fields, and modules

#### Theorem (Freeness over a PID)

Let R be a PID, and let M be an R-module. If M is free, then every submodule of M is also free.

## Theorem (Freeness over PID)

Let R be a commutative domain. TFAE:

- R is a PID,
- If M is a free R-module, then all the submodules of M are also free.

#### Proof.

*R* is a free *R*-module of rank 1, whose submodules are the ideals of *R*. Let  $I \neq 0$  be such an ideal. If *I* is free of rank  $\geq 2$ , let  $i_1, i_2, \cdots$  be an *R*-basis of *I*. Then

$$\lambda i_1 + \mu i_2 = 0$$
 for  $\lambda = i_2 \in R, \mu = -i_1 \in R$ ,

contradition. So if I is free, it must be of rank 1. Let  $i_1$  be a basis; then

$$I = \{\lambda i_1, \ \lambda \in R\} = (i_1)$$

is principal.

# Proof: sufficiency of PID

#### Proof.

Conversely, let M be free of rank n. Then  $M \simeq R^n$ , so WLOG we suppose  $M = R^n$ . Let  $S \subset R^n$  be a sub-R-module, we prove by induction on n that S is free. If n = 0, then  $R^n = \{0\}$ , so  $S = \{0\}$  is free of rank 0.

Suppose true for n-1. Define

$$\pi: \begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & R \\ (x_1, \cdots, x_n) & \longmapsto & x_n \end{array}$$

and

$$S_0 = \text{Ker } \pi = \{ (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in S \mid x_n = 0 \}.$$

# Proof: sufficiency of PID

## Proof.

By induction hypothesis,  $S_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is free; let  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  be a basis. Besides, Im  $\pi \subset R$  is a submodule, hence an ideal, so of the form gR for some  $g \in R$ . If g = 0, then Im  $\pi = \{0\}$ , so  $S = S_0$ , done. Else, we have  $g \neq 0$ . Let  $s = (\cdots, g) \in S$ . **Claim**:  $s_1, \dots, s_m, s$  is an *R*-basis of *S*. Generating: Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$ . Then  $x_n \in \text{Im } \pi = gR$ , so  $x_n = gy$  for some  $y \in R$ . Then  $x - ys \in S_0$ , so is of the form  $\sum_i \lambda_i s_i$  for some  $\lambda_i \in R$ . Thus  $x = \sum_i \lambda_i s_i + ys$ . Linearly independent: Suppose  $\sum_i \lambda_i s_i + ys = 0$  for some  $\lambda_i, y \in R$ . Look at the last coordinate:  $\sum_i \lambda_i 0 + yg = 0$ , whence yg = 0, whence y = 0. So  $\sum_i \lambda_i s_i = 0$ .

# Theorem (SNF & invariant factors)

Let R be a PID, and let A be a matrix with entries in R. It is possible to turn A into a diagonal matrix with entries

 $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \cdots$ 

using a succession of the following operations:

- Add a multiple of a row of A to another row,
- Swap two rows of A,
- Add a multiple of a column of A to another column,
- Swap two columns of A.

The  $d_i$  are called the <u>invariant factors</u> of A; they are unique up to associates.

# SNF: proof, case R Euclidean

# Proof.

- Swap rows and columns until one of the nonzero entries of A of the smallest size is at the top-left corner.
- Use the top-left entry \(\lambda\) as a pivot so as to replace all the terms in the first row and in the first column by their reminders by \(\mathcal{a}\).

**3** If 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & \\ \vdots & A' & \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix}$$
 with  $\lambda$  dividing all the entries of  $A'$ , iterate on the block  $A'$ . Else, swap rows and

columns again and go to step 2.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 4 & 8 \\ 16 & 14 & 10 \\ 12 & 12 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 4 & 8 \\ 16 & 14 & 10 \\ 12 & 12 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \qquad C_2 \leftrightarrow C_1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 14 & 16 & 10 \\ 12 & 12 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 14 & 16 & 10 \\ 12 & 12 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - 3R_1, \\ R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 3R_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 2 & -8 & -14 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 2 & -8 & -14 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} C_2 \leftarrow C_2 - 2C_1, \\ C_3 \leftarrow C_3 - 2C_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -12 & -18 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \ 2 & -12 & -18 \ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix} \qquad R_2 \leftrightarrow R_1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -12 & -18 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{pmatrix} 2 & -12 & -18 \ 4 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix} \qquad R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - 2R_1 \ \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -12 & -18 \\ 0 & 24 & 36 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{pmatrix} 2 & -12 & -18 \ 0 & 24 & 36 \ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix} \qquad egin{pmatrix} C_2 \leftarrow C_2 + 6C_1, \ C_3 \leftarrow C_3 + 9C_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 24 & 36 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 24 & 36 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \end{pmatrix} \qquad R_3 \leftrightarrow R_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \\ 0 & 24 & 36 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -12 & -18 \ 0 & 24 & 36 \end{pmatrix} \qquad R_3 \leftarrow R_3 + 2R_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -12 & -18 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad C_3 \leftarrow C_3 - 2C_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -12 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -12 & 6 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{C}_3 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -12 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 6 & -12 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad C_3 \leftarrow C_3 + 2C_2$$

### Example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Nicolas Mascot Rings, fields, and modules

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Invariant factors:  $d_1 = 2 | d_2 = 6 | d_3 = 0$ .

# Modules over a PID:

applications

Nicolas Mascot Rings, fields, and modules

### Application: Finitely generated modules over a PID

#### Theorem

Let R be a PID, and let M be a finitely generated R-module. There exist invariant factors

$$d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \in R$$

such that

$$M \simeq (R/d_1R) \times (R/d_2R) \times \cdots$$

These invariant factors are unique up to associates.

#### Remark

$$R/0R = R$$
, and  $R/uR = \{0\}$  for all  $u \in R^{\times}$ .

## Finitely generated modules over a PID: proof

### Proof.

Let  $m_1, \cdots, m_p \in M$  generate M; then the morphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} F: & R^{p} & \longrightarrow & M \\ & (\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{p}) & \longmapsto & \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} m_{i} \end{array}$$

is surjective, so  $M \simeq R^p / \operatorname{Ker} f$  by the isomorphism theorem. Let

$$N = \operatorname{Ker} f \subset R^p;$$

then *N* is a free *R*-module, let  $n_1, \dots, n_q$  be a basis. Express the  $n_i \in R^p$  as a  $p \times q$  matrix *A*. Operations on the columns of *A* amount to changing the basis  $n_1, \dots, n_q$ , and operations on the rows amount to changing the generators  $m_1, \dots, m_p$ . So taking the SNF of *A*, we get generators  $m'_1, m'_2, \dots$  of *M* satisfying the relations  $d_im'_i = 0 \in M$ . Corollary (Classification of finitely generated Abelian groups)

Let G be a finitely generated Abelian group. There exist invariant factors

$$d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \cdots \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

such that

$$G\simeq (\mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z}) imes (\mathbb{Z}/d_2\mathbb{Z}) imes \cdots$$

These invariant factors are unique.

### Finitely generated Abelian groups: example

#### Example

Let G be the Abelian group with generators  $g_1, g_2, g_3$  and relations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 8g_1+16g_2+12g_3=0,\\ 4g_1+14g_2+12g_3=0,\\ 8g_1+10g_2+6g_3=0. \end{array} \right.$$

Then  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 4 & 8 \\ 16 & 14 & 10 \\ 12 & 12 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$  has SNF with invariant factors

2 | 6 | 0,

SO

$$G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

# Application: The rational canonical form (1/6)

#### From this point on, all the material is non-examinable.

Definition (Companion matrix)

Let K be a field, and let

$$f(x)=x^n+a_{n-1}x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_1x+a_0\in K[x].$$

The companion matrix of f is

$$C_f = egin{pmatrix} 0 & & & -a_0 \ 1 & 0 & & & -a_1 \ & 1 & \ddots & & dots \ & & \ddots & 0 & dots \ & & & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathcal{K}).$$

# Application: The rational canonical form (2/6)

#### Lemma

Let V = K[x]/f(x)K[x] seen as a K-vector space. Then  $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{\deg f-1}$  is a K-basis of V, and the matrix of multiplication by x is  $C_f$ .

#### Remark

The characteristic polynomial

$$\det(x1_n-C_f)$$

of  $C_f$  and the minimal polynomial of  $C_f$  are both  $f \in K[x]$ .

# Application: The rational canonical form (3/6)

### Corollary (Rational canonical form)

Let K be a field, V a finite-dimensional K-vector space, and  $T \in End(V)$ . There exist <u>unique</u> monic polynomials

$$f_1(x) \mid f_2(x) \mid \cdots \mid f_k(x) \in K[x]$$

such that there exists a basis of V such that the matrix of T is

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{f_1} & & 0 \\ & C_{f_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & C_{f_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

The minimal polynomial of T is  $f_k(x)$ , and it characteristic polynomial is  $f_1(x)f_2(x)\cdots f_k(x)$ .

# Application: The rational canonical form (4/6)

#### Proof.

Put a K[x]-module structure on V by letting xv = T(v) for all  $v \in V$ . For instance,

$$(x^2-1)v = T(T(v)) - v.$$

Since V has finite dimension over K, it is a finitely generated K[x]-module. As K[x] is a PID,

 $V \simeq (K[x]/f_1(x)K[x]) \times \cdots \times (K[x]/f_k(x)K[x])$ 

for some unique monic  $f_1(x) | f_2(x) | \cdots | f_k(x) \in K[x]$ .

# Application: The rational canonical form (5/6)

#### Example

Take 
$$V = K^3$$
 with basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ , and  $T \in \text{End}(V)$  having matrix  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -5 & -5 \\ 5 & -3 & -5 \\ 5 & -5 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The  $e_i$  generate V over K and hence over K[x], whence a surjective K[x]-module morphism  $f : K[x]^3 \longrightarrow V$  taking the basis  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  of  $K[x]^3$  to  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ .

The  $xE_i - T(E_i)$  lie in Ker f, and actually form a basis of it; so we take the SNF of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x - 7 & 5 & 5 \\ -5 & x + 3 & 5 \\ -5 & 5 & x + 3 \end{pmatrix} \in M_3(\mathcal{K}[x]).$$

# Application: The rational canonical form (6/6)

#### Example

We find the invariant factors

$$1 \mid (x-2) \mid (x-2)(x+3) = x^2 + x - 6,$$

so as a K[x]-module,

 $V \simeq (K[x]/(1)) \times (K[x]/(x-2)) \times (K[x]/(x^2+x-6)),$ 

and the rational canonical form of A is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular, A has minimal polynomial (x - 2)(x + 3) and characteristic polynomial  $(x - 2)^2(x + 3)$ .