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## Motivation

- Using the methods of the recently proposed Quantum Spectral Curve (QSC) originating from integrability of $\mathcal{N}=4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory analytically continue the scaling dimensions of twist-2 operators and reproduce the so-called pomeron eigenvalue of the Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) equation with nonzero conformal spin.
- Derive the Faddeev-Korchemsky Baxter equation for the Lipatov's spin chain known from the integrability of the gauge theory in the BFKL limit.
- Find a way for systematic expansion in the scaling parameter in the BFKL regime.


## BFKL regime and twist-2 operators in the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

- We consider important class of operators

$$
\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Z}\left(\mathbf{D}_{+}\right)^{S} \mathbf{Z}+\text { permutations }
$$

For the case with nonzero conformal spin there are also derivatives in the orthogonal directions.

- BFKL scaling is determined by: $S \rightarrow-1, g \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{g^{2}}{S+1}$ is finite. Leading order BFKL approximation corresponds to resumming all the powers $\left(\frac{g^{2}}{S+1}\right)^{n}$.
- Regge trajectories $S(\Delta)$ corresponding to the twist-2 operator $\operatorname{tr} Z\left(D_{+}\right)^{S} Z$ and different values of $g$ (Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov'15)


Spectral problem of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory


- Y-system

$$
Y_{a, s}(\mathfrak{u}+i / 2) Y_{a, s}(u+i / 2)=\frac{\left(1+Y_{a, s+1}(u)\right)\left(1+Y_{a, s-1}(u)\right)}{\left(1+1 / Y_{a+1, s}(u)\right)\left(1+1 / Y_{a-1, s}(u)\right)} .
$$

- T-functions

$$
Y_{a, s}=\frac{T_{a, s+1} T_{a, s-1}}{T_{a+1, s} T_{a-1, s}} .
$$

- Hirota equations

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~s}}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~s}}^{-}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~s}+1} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~s}-1}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}+1, \mathrm{~s}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}-1, \mathrm{~s}} .
$$

## Generalities of the QSC

- The QSC gives the generalization of the Baxter equation describing the 1-loop spectrum of twist-2 operators to all loops. The spectrum of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM can be described by 16 basic Q -functions, which we denote by $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathbf{Q}_{j}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{j}$, where $a, j=1, \ldots, 4$. (Gromov, Kazakov, Leurent, Volin'13; Gromov, Kazakov, Leurent, Volin'14)
- The AdS/CFT Q-system is formed by $2^{8}$ Q-functions which we denote as $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{J}}(\mathrm{u})$ where $A, \mathrm{~J} \subset\{1,2,3,4\}$ are two ordered subsets of indices. They satisfy the QQ-relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{A \mid I} Q_{A a b \mid I} & =Q_{A a \mid I}^{+} Q_{A b \mid I}^{-}-Q_{A a \mid I}^{-} Q_{A b \mid I}^{+} \\
Q_{A \mid I} Q_{A \mid I i j} & =Q_{A \mid I i}^{+} Q_{A \mid I j}^{-}-Q_{A \mid I i}^{-} Q_{A \mid I j}^{+} \\
Q_{A a \mid I} Q_{A \mid I i} & =Q_{A a \mid I i}^{+} Q_{A \mid I}^{-}-Q_{A \mid I}^{+} Q_{A a \mid I i}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition we also impose the constraints $\mathrm{Q}_{\emptyset \mid \emptyset}=\mathrm{Q}_{1234 \mid 1234}=1$.

- The poles of Q-functions resolve into cuts $[-2 g, 2 g]$ (where $g=\sqrt{\lambda} / 4 \pi$ ). We have to introduce new objects - the monodromies $\mu_{a b}$ and $\omega_{i j}$ corresponding to the analytic continuation of the functions $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{j}}$ under these cuts.


## Generalities of the QSC

- As a consequence of the QQ-relations, P's and Q's are related through the following 4th order finite-difference equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\mathbf{Q}^{[+4]} \mathbf{D}_{0}-\mathbf{Q}^{[+2]}\left[\mathrm{D}_{1}-\right. & \left.\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}}^{[+2]} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{a}[+4]} \mathrm{D}_{0}\right]+ \\
& \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q}\left[\mathrm{D}_{2}+\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{a}[+4]} \mathrm{D}_{0}+\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{a}[+2]} \mathrm{D}_{1}\right]+\text { c.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{D}_{0}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{P}^{1[+2]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[+2]} \\
\mathbf{P}^{1} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4} \\
\mathbf{P}^{1[-2]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[-2]} \\
\mathbf{P}^{1[-4]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[-4]}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathrm{D}_{1}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{P}^{1[+4]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[+4]} \\
\mathbf{P}^{1} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4} \\
\mathbf{P}^{1[-2]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[-2]} \\
\mathbf{P}^{1[-4]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[-4]}
\end{array}\right), \\
\\
\mathrm{D}_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{P}^{1[+4]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[+4]} \\
\mathbf{P}^{1[+2]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[+2]} \\
\mathbf{P}^{[[-2]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[-2]} \\
\mathbf{P}^{1[-4]} & \ldots & \mathbf{P}^{4[-4]}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The four solutions of this equation give four functions $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{j}}$.

## $\mathbf{P} \mu$-system

- We can focus on a much smaller closed subsystem constituted of 8 functions $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{a}}$, having only one short cut on the real axis on their defining sheet

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mu_{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{b}} \quad, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mu^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{b}}
$$

and $\mathbf{P}$ 's satisfy the orthogonality relations $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{a}}=0$.

- The analytic continuation for the $\mu$-functions is given by

$$
\tilde{\mu}_{a b}(u)=\mu_{a b}(u+i)
$$



- The other equations make the $\mathbf{P} \mu$-system closed

$$
\tilde{\mu}_{\mathrm{ab}}-\mu_{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{b}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathrm{a}} .
$$

## Q $\omega$-system

- Knowing $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ we construct $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{i}}$ which allows us to define $\omega_{\mathrm{ij}}$

$$
\omega_{i j}=Q_{a \mid i}^{-} Q_{b \mid j}^{-} \mu^{a b} .
$$

- One can show that $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{a}}$ defined in this way will have one long cut. Also $\hat{\omega}_{i j}$, with short cuts, happens to be periodic $\hat{\omega}_{i j}^{+}=\hat{\omega}_{i j}^{-}$, similarly to its counterpart with long cuts $\check{\mu}_{a b}$ ! Finally, their discontinuities are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\omega}_{i j}-\omega_{i j}=\mathbf{Q}_{i} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{j}-\mathbf{Q}_{j} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{i} \\
\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}=\omega_{i j} \mathbf{Q}^{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\mathbf{Q}$ 's satisfy the orthogonality relations $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{j}}=0$.


Asymptotics of $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$-functions and their relation to global $S^{5}$ and $A d S_{5}$ charges

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{P}_{1} \\
\mathbf{P}_{2} \\
\mathbf{P}_{3} \\
\mathbf{P}_{4}
\end{array}\right) \simeq\left(\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} u^{\frac{-J_{1}-J_{2}+J_{3}-2}{2}} \\
A_{2} u^{\frac{-J_{1}+J_{2}-J_{3}}{2}} \\
A_{3} u^{\frac{+J_{1}-J_{2}-J_{3}-2}{2}} \\
A_{4} u^{\frac{+J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}}{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{P}^{1} \\
\mathbf{P}^{2} \\
\mathbf{P}^{3} \\
\mathbf{P}^{4}
\end{array}\right) \simeq\left(\begin{array}{l}
A^{1} u^{\frac{+J_{1}+J_{2}-J_{3}}{2}} \\
A^{2} u^{\frac{+J_{1}-J_{2}+J_{3}-2}{2}} \\
A^{3} u^{\frac{-J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}}{2}} \\
A^{4} u^{\frac{-J_{1}-J_{2}-J_{3}-2}{2}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{Q}_{1} \\
\mathbf{Q}_{2} \\
\mathbf{Q}_{3} \\
\mathbf{Q}_{4}
\end{array}\right) \simeq\left(\begin{array}{l}
B_{1} u^{\frac{+\Delta-S_{1}-S_{2}}{2}} \\
B_{2} u^{\frac{+\Delta+S_{1}+S_{2}-2}{2}} \\
B_{3} u^{\frac{-\Delta-S_{1}+S_{2}}{2}} \\
B_{4} u^{\frac{-\Delta+S_{1}-S_{2}-2}{2}}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{Q}^{1} \\
\mathbf{Q}^{2} \\
\mathbf{Q}^{3} \\
\mathbf{Q}^{4}
\end{array}\right) \simeq\left(\begin{array}{l}
B^{1} u^{\frac{-\Delta+S_{1}+S_{2}-2}{2}} \\
B^{2} u^{\frac{-\Delta-S_{1}-S_{2}}{2}} \\
B^{3} u^{\frac{+\Delta+S_{1}-S_{2}-2}{2}} \\
B^{4} u^{\frac{+\Delta-S_{1}+S_{2}}{2}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## QSC for twist-2 operators with nonzero conformal spin

- Nonzero conformal spin means that $S_{2}=n$. These operators do not belong to the so called left-right symmetric sector anymore. But there is still some symmetry
- The asymptotics are simplified to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{a} & \simeq\left(A_{1} u^{-2}, A_{2} u^{-1}, A_{3}, A_{4} u\right)_{a} \\
\mathbf{Q}_{j} & \simeq\left(B_{1} u^{\frac{\Delta-n+1-w}{2}}, B_{2} u^{\frac{\Delta+n-n+w}{2}}, B_{3} u^{\frac{-\Delta-n+1-w}{2}}, B_{4} u^{\frac{-\Delta-n-3+w}{2}}\right)_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1} A^{1}=-\frac{1}{96 i}\left((5-w)^{2}-(\Delta+n)^{2}\right)\left((1+w)^{2}-(\Delta-n)^{2}\right), \\
& A_{2} A^{2}=\frac{1}{32 i}\left((1-w)^{2}-(\Delta-n)^{2}\right)\left((3-w)^{2}-(\Delta+n)^{2}\right), \\
& A_{3} A^{3}=-\frac{1}{32 i}\left((1-w)^{2}-(\Delta+n)^{2}\right)\left((3-w)^{2}-(\Delta-n)^{2}\right), \\
& A_{4} A^{4}=\frac{1}{96 i}\left((5-w)^{2}-(\Delta-n)^{2}\right)\left((1+w)^{2}-(\Delta+n)^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Leading Order and Next-to-leading Order solutions of the $\mathbf{P} \mu$-system with conformal spin

- After some demanding calculations we get the result for the P-functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}_{1} \simeq \frac{1}{\mathfrak{u}^{2}}+\frac{2 \wedge w}{u^{4}}, \\
& \mathbf{P}_{2} \simeq \frac{1}{u}+\frac{2 \wedge w}{u^{3}}, \\
& \mathbf{P}_{3} \simeq A_{3}^{(0)}+A_{3}^{(1)} w, \\
& \mathbf{P}_{4} \simeq A_{4}^{(0)} u-\frac{\mathfrak{i}\left(\left(\Delta^{2}-1\right)^{2}-2\left(\Delta^{2}+1\right) n^{2}+n^{4}\right)}{96 u}+ \\
& +\left(A_{4}^{(1)} u+\frac{c_{4,1}^{(2)}}{u \Lambda}-\frac{\mathfrak{i}\left(\left(\Delta^{2}-1\right)^{2}-2\left(\Delta^{2}+1\right) n^{2}+n^{4}\right) \Lambda}{48 u^{3}}\right) w .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Lambda=\frac{g^{2}}{w}$ and

$$
c_{4,1}^{(2)}=-\frac{i \Lambda}{24}\left(\Delta^{2}+n^{2}+2\left((\Delta-n)^{2}-1\right)\left((\Delta+n)^{2}-1\right) \Lambda-1\right) .
$$

## Passing to $\mathrm{Q} \omega$-system with conformal spin

- Substituting the obtained LO P-functions into the 4-th order Baxter equation for Q-functions we get a very nice factorization in the LO.
- Thus, we get the equation for $\mathbf{Q}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{3}$ in the LO

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{j} \frac{(\Delta-\mathfrak{n})^{2}-1-8 \mathbf{u}^{2}}{4 \mathbf{u}^{2}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{j}}^{--}+\mathbf{Q}_{j}^{++}=0,
$$

and for $\mathbf{Q}^{2}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{4}$ in the LO

$$
\mathbf{Q}^{\mathfrak{j}} \frac{(\Delta+\mathrm{n})^{2}-1-8 \mathbf{u}^{2}}{4 \mathbf{u}^{2}}+\mathbf{Q}^{\mathfrak{j}--}+\mathbf{Q}^{\mathfrak{j}++}=0 .
$$

- In the NLO the 4-th order Baxter equations also factorize and we obtain the following 2nd order Baxter equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Q}_{j}\left(\frac{(\Delta-\mathrm{n})^{2}-1-8 u^{2}}{4 u^{2}}+w \frac{\left((\Delta-\mathrm{n})^{2}-1\right) \wedge-u^{2}}{2 u^{4}}\right)+ \\
& +\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}^{--}\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{i} w / 2}{u-i}\right)+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}^{++}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{i} w / 2}{u+i}\right)=0, \quad j=1,3 . \\
& \mathbf{Q}^{\mathfrak{j}}\left(\frac{(\Delta+\mathrm{n})^{2}-1-8 \mathrm{u}^{2}}{4 \mathbf{u}^{2}}+w \frac{\left((\Delta+\mathrm{n})^{2}-1\right) \Lambda-\mathrm{u}^{2}}{2 \mathbf{u}^{4}}\right)+ \\
& +\mathbf{Q}^{\mathfrak{j}--}\left(1-\frac{\mathfrak{i} w / 2}{\mathbf{u}-\mathfrak{i}}\right)+\mathbf{Q}^{\mathfrak{j}++}\left(1+\frac{\mathfrak{i} w / 2}{\mathfrak{u}+\mathfrak{i}}\right)=0, \quad \mathfrak{j}=2,4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculation of the LO BFKL eigenvalue

- From the NLO 2nd order Baxter equation for $\mathbf{Q}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{3}$ one can note the following relation between these functions in the LO and NLO

$$
\frac{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{j}}^{(1)}(u)}{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{j}}^{(0)}(u)}=+\frac{\mathfrak{i} w}{2 u}+\mathcal{O}\left(u^{0}\right), j=1,3
$$

The key idea of finding the BFKL dimension is to obtain this ratio independently.

- On the other hand we can use the trick

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}_{3}=\frac{\mathbf{Q}_{3}-\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{3}}{2 \sqrt{u^{2}-4 \mathrm{~g}^{2}}} & \sqrt{\mathbf{u}^{2}-4 \mathrm{~g}^{2}}+\frac{\mathbf{Q}_{3}+\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{3}}{2}= \\
& =\left[\frac{\mathbf{Q}_{3}-\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{3}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{u}^{2}-4 \mathrm{~g}^{2}}}\right]\left(-\frac{\Lambda w}{u}-\frac{\Lambda^{2} w^{2}}{\mathbf{u}^{3}}+\ldots\right)+\text { regular, }
\end{aligned}
$$

from where we conclude that we need to express $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{3}(u)$ in the LO in terms of $\mathbf{Q}^{2}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{4}(\mathbf{u})$.

- It can be done with some effort, which requires to find $\omega$-functions in the first nonvanishing order. This calculation gives the result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{1}(\mathbf{u})=-(-1)^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{(\Delta+\mathfrak{n})^{2}-1}{(\Delta-\mathrm{n})^{2}-1} \mathbf{Q}^{4}(-\mathbf{u}) \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{3}(\mathbf{u})=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{(\Delta+\mathrm{n})^{2}-1}{(\Delta-\mathrm{n})^{2}-1} \mathbf{Q}^{2}(-\mathbf{u})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculation of the LO BFKL eigenvalue

- Combining the previously obtained results, we get

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{1}^{(1)}(\mathbf{u})=-\frac{i \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{(0)}(0)(\Psi(\Delta+\mathrm{n})+\Psi(\Delta-\mathrm{n})) \wedge w}{u}+\operatorname{regular}+\mathcal{O}\left(w^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\Psi(\Delta) \equiv \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)+\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)-2 \psi(1) .
$$

- Thus, comparing two independent results, we obtain the relation

$$
-2(\Psi(\Delta+n)+\Psi(\Delta-n)) \wedge=1
$$

- After some calculations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4 \Lambda}=\frac{1}{2}(\Psi(\Delta+\mathfrak{n})+\Psi(\Delta-n))+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{2}\right)= \\
& \quad=-\psi\left(\frac{1+\mathfrak{n}}{2}-\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{1+\mathfrak{n}}{2}+\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)+2 \psi(1)+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## NLO BFKL eigenvalue with nonzero conformal spin

- The NLO BFKL eigenvalue

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(n, \Delta)=-2 \Phi\left(n, \frac{1-\Delta}{2}\right)-2 \Phi\left(n, \frac{1+\Delta}{2}\right)-2 \zeta(2) \chi(n, \Delta)+ \\
&+6 \zeta(3)+\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1+n-\Delta}{2}\right)+\Psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{1+n+\Delta}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

can be rewritten as follows for $\mathfrak{n}=0$

$$
\delta(0, \Delta)=F_{2}\left(\frac{1-\Delta}{2}\right)+F_{2}\left(\frac{1+\Delta}{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
F_{2}(x)=-\frac{3}{2} \zeta(3)+\pi^{2} \log 2+\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} S_{1}(x-1)+\pi^{2} S_{-1}(x-1)+2 S_{3}(x-1)-4 S_{-2,1}(x-1) .
$$

- Using these results, we are able to rewrite the NLO BFKL eigenvalue for nonzero conformal spin in the following way

$$
\delta(n, \Delta)=\frac{1}{2}(\delta(0, \Delta+n)+\delta(0, \Delta-n))+R_{n}\left(\frac{1-\Delta}{2}\right)+R_{n}\left(\frac{1+\Delta}{2}\right),
$$

where

$$
R_{n}(x)=-2\left(S_{-2}\left(x+\frac{n}{2}-1\right)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}\right)\left(S_{1}\left(x+\frac{n}{2}-1\right)-S_{1}\left(x-\frac{n}{2}-1\right)\right)
$$

## Gluing conditions

- Due to the determined parity of the $\tilde{\mathrm{P}}$-functions, $\tilde{\mathrm{Q}}_{\mathfrak{i}}(-\mathfrak{u})$ is also the solution to the 4th order Baxter equation. Thus, there exist a periodic matrix that

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{i}}(\mathbf{u})=\Omega_{\mathfrak{i}}^{j}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{j}}(-\mathbf{u}) .
$$

- Now we are to formulate the general form of the gluing conditions. We have two matrices connecting the Q functions on the different sheets of the Riemann surface (Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov'15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathfrak{i}}(\mathfrak{u}) & =M^{i \mathfrak{j}}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{j}}(-\mathbf{u}), \\
\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{\mathfrak{i}}(\mathbf{u}) & =M_{\mathfrak{i j}}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{Q}^{\mathfrak{j}}(-\mathbf{u}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{i j}(u)=\omega^{i k}(u) \Omega_{k}^{j}(u) \\
& M_{i j}(u)=\omega_{i k}(u) \Omega_{j}^{k}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the tilde operation twice, we arrive to the following restriction

$$
M^{i j}(u) M_{j k}(-u)=\delta_{k}^{i}
$$

- The equation for the $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{i}}$ functions

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{i}}^{+}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{i}}^{-}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b} \mid \mathrm{i}}^{+}
$$

Numerical results for the case $n=1$
Using the method of Quantum Spectral Curve and asymptotics of the Q-functions described above we are able to numerically calculate (Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov'15) the following quantities.

- The Regge trajectory $S(\Delta)$ for $g=1 / 10$.



## Numerical results for the case $n=1$

- Dependence of S on g for fixed $\Delta=0.45$.

- Numerical fitting of the BFKL eigenvalues in the first four orders for $\Delta=0.45$.

|  | Fit of numerics | Exact perturbative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LO | 0.509195398361183370691859 | 0.509195398361183370691860 |
| NLO | -9.9263626361061612225 | -9.9263626361061612225 |
| NNLO | 151.9290181554014 | $?$ |
| NNNLO | -2136.77907308 | $?$ |

## BFKL intercept $j(n)$ for general conformal spin $n$

- Using the binomial harmonic sums

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{i}_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}(M)=(-1)^{M} \sum_{\mathfrak{j}=1}^{M}(-1)^{\mathfrak{j}}\binom{M}{\mathfrak{j}}\binom{M+\mathfrak{j}}{j} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathfrak{i}_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}(\mathfrak{j})
$$

The known intercept functions in the LO and NLO can be expressed in terms of the binomial harmonic sums with the argument $M=(n-1) / 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{j}_{\mathrm{LO}}=4 \mathbb{S}_{1} \\
& \mathfrak{j}_{\mathrm{NLO}}=2\left(\mathbb{S}_{2,1}+\mathbb{S}_{3}\right)+1 / 3 \pi^{2} \mathbb{S}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and allows to formulate an ansatz for NNLO intercept.

- To calculate the intercept the modified iterative procedure from (Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov'15) was used. But instead of taking different integer values of $\Delta$ we used the different values of $n$. Iterative procedure gives the result for the different values of $n$, which allows to fix the coefficients of the ansatz. The NNLO intercept is

$$
j_{\mathrm{NNLO}}=32\left(\mathbb{S}_{1,4}-\mathbb{S}_{3,2}-\mathbb{S}_{1,2,2}-\mathbb{S}_{2,2,1}-2 \mathbb{S}_{2,3}\right)-\frac{16}{3} \pi^{2} \mathbb{S}_{3}-\frac{32}{45} \pi^{2} \mathbb{S}_{1}
$$

## Slope-to-intercept function

- Using the equation

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{i}}^{+}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{i}}^{-}=-\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{i}}^{+}
$$

and knowing that $\mathbf{P}_{a}$ and $\mathbf{P}^{a}$ are $\mathcal{O}(n-1)$ in the LO, we find that

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{i}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{n}-1)
$$

- From the weak coupling and numerical data we know that at least $\omega^{13}$ and $\omega^{14}$ have to be exponential. This allows us to rewrite the equations in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{1}=\alpha \mathbf{P}_{1}+\gamma\left(\cosh (2 \pi u)-\mathrm{I}_{0}\right) \mathbf{P}_{3} \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{2}=\delta \sinh (2 \pi u) \mathbf{P}_{1}-\alpha \mathbf{P}_{2}+\gamma\left(\cosh (2 \pi u)-\mathrm{I}_{0}\right) \mathbf{P}_{4}, \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{3}=-\alpha \mathbf{P}_{3} \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{4}=-\delta \sinh (2 \pi u) \mathbf{P}_{3}+\alpha \mathbf{P}_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Adding the condition that in the asymptotic of $\mu_{34}$ there is no logarithmic term in the NLO, we obtain the slope-to-intercept function

$$
\theta(g)=1+\frac{I_{1}(4 \pi g) I_{2}(4 \pi g)}{\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}(-1)^{k} I_{k}(4 \pi g) I_{k+1}(4 \pi g)}
$$

## Curvature function

- In this case we have the same $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{i}}$ in the LO as in the case of slope-to-intercept function. In the LO we have the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{1}=\left(c_{1}+c_{3} \cosh 2 \pi u\right) \mathbf{P}^{2}+c_{4} \mathbf{P}^{4} \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{2}=\frac{\mathbf{P}^{3}}{\mathbf{c}_{4}}-\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}+\mathrm{c}_{3} \cosh 2 \pi u\right) \mathbf{P}^{1} \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{3}=-\frac{\mathbf{P}^{2}}{\mathbf{c}_{4}} \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{4}=-\mathbf{c}_{4} \mathbf{P}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- The curvature function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma(g)=\frac{1}{4 \pi g^{4} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{2}} \oint_{-2 g}^{2 g} \mathrm{~d} v\left(\cosh _{-}^{v} v \Gamma\left[\cosh _{-}^{u} u\right](v)-\cosh _{-}^{v} v^{2} \Gamma\left[\cosh _{-}^{u}\right](v)\right)+ \\
& +\frac{1}{16 \pi g^{5} I_{2}} \oint_{-2 g}^{2 g} \mathrm{~d} v\left(\frac{v^{3} \Gamma\left[\cosh _{-}^{u}\right](v)-2 v^{2} \Gamma\left[\cosh _{-}^{u} u\right](v) v+v \Gamma\left[\cosh _{-}^{u} u^{2}\right]}{x_{v}-\frac{1}{x_{v}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma[h(v)](u)=\oint_{-2 g}^{2 g} \frac{d v}{2 \pi i} \partial_{u} \log \frac{\Gamma[i(u-v)+1]}{\Gamma[-i(u-v)+1]} h(v) .
$$

## Conclusions and outlook

- In our work we managed to reproduce the dimension of twist-2 operator with conformal spin of $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ theory in the 't Hooft limit in the leading order (LO) of the BFKL regime directly from exact equations for the spectrum of local operators called the Quantum Spectral Curve.
- We managed to find two nonperturbative quantities and this is one of a very few examples of all-loop calculations, with all wrapping corrections included, where the integrability result can be checked by direct Feynman graph summation of the original BFKL approach.
- The ultimate goal of the BFKL approximation to QSC would be to find an algorithmic way of generation of any BFKL correction (NNLO (Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov'15), NNNLO, etc) on Mathematica program, similarly to the one for the weak coupling expansion via QSC.

Thanks for your attention!

