- perturb a local extremum ie take a finite amplitude step away and see if you routine returns a "better" point.


## Chapter 5

## Minimums and Maximums

Extrema - the max or min point can be either:<br>global: truly the highest or lowest function value<br>local: highest or lowest in a finite neighborhood and not on the boundary of the neighborhood.<br>DRAWING

A,C,E - local (not global) maxima
B,f - local (not global) maxima
G - global max
D -global min
X,Y,Z 'bracket' minimum F.
Global Extrema:
In general, every difficult problem standard approaches

- find local extrema starting from widely varying values of the indepen-


### 5.1 Golden Section Search

The Golden section search is to find the local minimum of a curve.
It works is a similar fashion for the maximum.
This works like bracketing and bisection.
A minimum is bracketed if there's a triplet of points $a<b<c$ such that
$f(b)$ is less than both $f(a)$ and $f(c)$
$\Rightarrow$ a minimum is in $(a, c)$
DRAWING
initial guess $(1,2,3)$ becomes $(4,2,3),(4,2,5)$..

## Algorithm

Evaluate function at some point $x$ in the larger of $(a, b)$ and $(b, c)$
if $f(x)<f(b)$
$x$ replaces the midpoint $b$ and $b$ becomes an end point, $(b, x, c)$
if $f(x)>f(b)$
$b$ remains the midpoint and $x$ replaces an end point, $(x, b, c)$

Either way the width of the bracketing interval reduces, and the position of the minimum is better defined.
Repeat procedure until the width achieves a desired accuracy.

It can be shown that if the new test-point, $x$, is chosen to be a proportion

$$
\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \text { (hence Golden Section) }
$$

along the larger sub-interval, measured from the ends, then the width of the full interval $(a, c)$ reduces at an optimal rate.
Note The Golden section search requires no information about the derivative of $f$
The Golden Section is closely related to the Fibonacci Numbers

- shell spiral - platonic solids
- plant branching - random numbers
- flower petals
- pine cones


## The Golden Section Search Algorithm

Strategy to choose new point, $x$, in interval given $((a, b, c)$ :

Suppose $b$ is a fraction $\omega$ of the way between $a$ and $c$, i.e.

$$
\frac{b-a}{c-a}=\omega ; \quad \frac{c-b}{c-a}=1-\omega
$$

Also suppose that the next trial point $x$ is an additional fraction $z$ beyond $b$,

$$
\frac{x-b}{c-a}=z /
$$

Then the next bracketing segment will either be of length $\omega+z$ relative to the current one or of length $1-\omega$. We want to minimise the "worst case possibility" so choose $z$ to make these equal. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=1-2 \omega \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, you can see that the new point is the symmetric point to $b$ in the
original interval, namely with $|b-a|$ equal to $|x-c|$. Therefore the point $x$ lies in the larger of the two seqments.

But where? Ask where did $\omega$ come from. It would have emerged form the same strategy applied at the previous stage in the analysis. Therefore if $z$ is chosen to be optimal then so was $\omega$ before it. This is scale similarity and it implies that $x$ should be the same fraction of the way from $b$ to $c$ (if that's the bigger segment) as $b$ was from $a$ to $c$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{x-b}{c-b} & =\frac{b-a}{c-a} \\
& =\omega \\
\frac{x-b}{c-b} \frac{c-b}{c-a} & =\omega  \tag{5.2}\\
z\left(\frac{1}{1-\omega}\right) & =\omega
\end{align*}
$$

Combining eqns 5.1 and 5.2 gives

$$
\omega^{2}-3 \omega+1=0
$$

and therefore

$$
\omega=\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \sim 0.38197
$$

. the optimal bracketing interval has $b$ fractional distance 0.38197 from the end and $(1-0.38197)=0.61803$ from the other.
These are the Golden Mean (section) from Pythagoras.

### 5.2 Other methods and applications

There are many other techniques for minimisation including:

- using first derivatives
- downhill simplex
- biconjugate gradient

