# Ma432 Classical Field Theory 

Notes by Chris Blair


#### Abstract

These notes cover a lot of the 2008-2009 Ma432 Classical Field Theory course given by Dr Nigel Buttimore (replaced by Ma3431 Classical Field Theory and Ma3432 Classical Electrodynamics, the former corresponding to at least the first four sections of these notes). The emphasis is mostly on the Lagrangian formulation of classical electrodynamics and the solution of Maxwell's equations by Green's function methods. They are probably slightly suspect, particularly with regard to indices and brackets (and no doubt contain other more unsettling errors). I am told that Dr Buttimore has changed his units from those in these notes, so use at your own discretion.
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## 1 Simple field theory

### 1.1 Introduction to field theory

You are probably already familiar with the notion of electric and magnetic fields. Loosely speaking, a field in a physics is a physical quantity defined at every point of space and time, which can be valued as a single number (scalar field), a vector (vector field, such as electromagnetism and gravity), or as a tensor.

We will restrict ourselves to the study of the electric and magnetic fields, and do so in a unified manner consistent with special relativity. The methods we use are based in the Lagrangian approach to classical mechanics. Recall that in classical mechanics the Lagrangian $L$ was defined as $L=T-V$ where $T$ and $V$ are the kinetic and potential energies of the system in question. The action of the system was defined to be the quantity $S=\int L d t$, and the equations of motion of the system were found from the principle of least action, which states that the true time evolution of the system is such that the action is an extremum. The equations of motion (known as the Euler-Lagrange equations) were thus derived from the condition $\delta S=\delta \int L d t=0$.

In studying fields which take on different values at different space points it is convenient to express the Lagrangian itself as an integral, $L=\int d^{3} x \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is called the Lagrangian density. The full action is then $S=\int d t d^{3} x \mathcal{L}$. Note that when we approach this from the special relativistic point of view the separate time and space components will be unified into a single package.

### 1.2 Field theory as a continuum limit

To begin, let us show how a simple field theory may be derived by taking the continuum limit of a system of $N$ particles on a spring with spring constant $k$. Let the particles have equilibrium positions $a, 2 a, \ldots N a$ and denote the deviation of the $i^{\text {th }}$ particle from its equilibrium by $\phi_{i}$. The force on the $i^{t h}$ particle is

$$
F_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
+k\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}\right) & i=1 \\
-k\left(\phi_{i}-\phi_{i-1}\right)+k\left(\phi_{i+1}-\phi_{i}\right) & 1<i<N \\
-k\left(\phi_{N}-\phi_{N-1}\right) & i=N
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Lagrangian is

$$
L=T-V=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} m \dot{\phi}_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} k\left(\phi_{i+1}-\phi_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

and the equations of motion are

$$
m \ddot{\phi}_{i}=-k\left(\phi_{i}-\phi_{i-1}\right)+k\left(\phi_{i-1}-\phi_{i}\right) \quad 1<i<N
$$

We now take the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ while keeping $(N-1) a$ fixed by letting $N \rightarrow \infty$. If we write $x=a i$ as the position of the $i^{t h}$ particle then we can regard $\phi_{i} \equiv \phi(x=a i, t)$, and using the
equations of motion in the form

$$
\frac{m}{a} \ddot{\phi}_{i}=k a \frac{1}{a^{2}}\left[\left(\phi_{i-1}-\phi_{i}\right)-\left(\phi_{i}-\phi_{i-1}\right)\right]
$$

we can apply the definition of the derivative

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right|_{i}=\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi([i+1] a)-\phi(a i)}{a}
$$

twice to obtain the equations of motion in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\mu \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t}=\kappa \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}
$$

where $\kappa=\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} k a$ and $\mu=\frac{m}{a}$ is the mass density which we keep fixed. We see that our simple field obeys the wave equation.

If we define

$$
L=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a \mathcal{L}_{i} \quad \mathcal{L}_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \mu \dot{\phi}_{i}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{a}\left(\phi_{i+1}-\phi_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

then in the limit we obtain the Lagrangian density

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2} \mu\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \kappa\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2}
$$

such that $L=\int d x \mathcal{L}$.

### 1.3 Euler-Lagrange equations

A more general Lagrangian density would be of the form $\mathcal{L}\left(\partial_{t} \phi_{i}, \partial_{x} \phi_{i}, \phi_{i}, t, x\right)$. We can use Hamilton's Principle of Least Action to find the general form of the equations of motion.

Let us consider the simplest case where the field is one-dimensional and the Lagrangian density is invariant under time and space translation. Then we have that

$$
\int \delta \mathcal{L} d x d t=0
$$

and in full

$$
\int\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{t} \phi\right)} \delta\left(\partial_{t} \phi\right)+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{x} \phi\right)} \delta\left(\partial_{x} \phi\right)+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi\right) d x d t=0
$$

Noting that $\delta\left(\partial_{t} \phi\right)=\partial_{t}(\delta \phi)$ and $\delta\left(\partial_{t} \phi\right)=\partial_{t}(\delta \phi)$ we rewrite this as

$$
\int\left(\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{t} \phi\right)} \delta \phi\right)-\partial_{t} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{t} \phi\right)} \delta \phi+\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{x} \phi\right)} \delta \phi\right)-\partial_{x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{x} \phi\right)} \delta \phi+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi\right) d x d t=0
$$

We integrate out the total time and space derivatives and use the fact that the $\delta \phi$ term must vanish at the endpoints to then obtain

$$
\delta S=\int\left(\partial_{t} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{t} \phi\right)}+\partial_{x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{x} \phi\right)}-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi}\right) \delta \phi d x d t=0
$$

hence we obtain the Euler-Lagrange equations for this field:

$$
\partial_{t} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{t} \phi\right)}+\partial_{x} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{x} \phi\right)}-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi}=0
$$

For a vector field just replace $\phi$ by $A^{i}$.

## 2 Special relativity

We will now introduce the machinery that allows us to express field theory in a manner consistent with the theory of special relativity. In particular, we seek to formulate the theory of fields in a manner that is Lorentz covariant - that is, related from one frame to another via Lorentz transformations. Note that we do not introduce special relativity systematically but assume some prior knowledge of the subject. For completeness we note that the two postulates of special relativity are that the laws of physics take the same form in all inertial (non-accelerating) reference frames, and that the speed of light $c$ in vacuum is an absolute constant regardless of frame.

### 2.1 Rapidity

The basic Lorentz transformations in $1+1$ dimensions are

$$
t^{\prime}=\gamma\left(t-\frac{v x}{c^{2}}\right) \quad x^{\prime}=\gamma(x-v t) \quad \text { where } \gamma=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}
$$

for a frame $S^{\prime}$ moving with velocity $v$ with respect to the frame $S$. We have that

$$
c t^{\prime}-x^{\prime}=\sqrt{\frac{1+\frac{v}{c}}{1-\frac{v}{c}}}(c t-x)
$$

We define the rapidity $\zeta(v)$ as

$$
\zeta(v)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+\frac{v}{c}}{1-\frac{v}{c}}\right)
$$

so that

$$
c t^{\prime}-x^{\prime}=e^{\zeta}(c t-x)
$$

Note that rapidities add. We can then show that

$$
v=\tanh \zeta \quad \gamma=\cosh \zeta
$$

which allows us to write the Lorentz transformations as

$$
c t^{\prime}=c t \cosh \zeta-x \sinh \zeta \quad x^{\prime}=x \cosh \zeta-c t \sinh \zeta
$$

In the full $1+3$ dimensions we can write this transformation in matrix form as

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cosh \zeta & -\sinh \zeta & 0 & 0 \\
-\sinh \zeta & \cosh \zeta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

called a boost in the $x$-direction. Note that the most general proper Lorentz transformation can be written as a product of a 3-rotation to align the new $x$-axis with the direction of motion, a boost along the new $x$-direction with velocity $v$ and a second 3 -space rotation.

### 2.2 Tensor notation

A basic invariant in special relativity is the interval $d s$ separating two (infinitesimally close) events in four-dimensional space-time: $d s^{2}=c^{2} d t^{2}-d x_{1}^{2}-d x_{2}^{2}-d x_{3}^{2}$. From this we get the metric tensor:

$$
g^{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1)=g_{\mu \nu}
$$

which is used to raise and lower indices as follows:

$$
x^{\mu}=g^{\mu \alpha} x_{\alpha} \quad x_{\mu}=g_{\mu \alpha} x^{\alpha}
$$

Note that we sum over repeated indices. Upper indices are said to be contravariant, and lower indices are said to be covariant. Note that (in this metric) raising a time-index has no effect, $x_{0}=x^{0}$, while raising a space-index changes the sign, $x_{i}=-x^{i}$. Note also that indices with Greek letters can take any value in $\{0,1,2,3\}$ while indices with Roman letters refer to spatial indices, $\{1,2,3\}$. Thus in our notation we have $x^{\mu}=(c t, \vec{x})$ and $x^{i}=\vec{x}$.

A Lorentz transformation relates events $x^{\prime}$ in the frame $S^{\prime}$ to events $x$ in the frame $S$, and is written as

$$
x^{\prime \mu}=\Lambda_{\beta}^{\mu} x^{\beta}
$$

The metric tensor is invariant under Lorentz transformations

$$
g_{\alpha \beta}=g_{\mu \nu} \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\mu} \Lambda_{\beta}^{\nu}
$$

A four-dimensional vector, or four-vector, is written as $A^{\mu}$ and transforms like the coordinates $x^{\mu}$ :

$$
A^{\mu}=\Lambda_{\nu}^{\mu} A^{\nu}
$$

while a second rank tensor $T^{\mu \nu}$ transforms like the product of (components of) two fourvectors:

$$
T^{\prime \mu \nu}=\Lambda_{\alpha}^{\mu} \Lambda_{\beta}^{\nu} T^{\alpha \beta}
$$

and similarly for tensors of higher rank.
We form the four-dimensional Kronecker delta by lowering the index of $g^{\mu \nu}$ :

$$
g_{\lambda \nu} g^{\nu \mu}=g_{\lambda}^{\mu}=\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}=\operatorname{diag}(1,1,1,1)
$$

We also have the four-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol, $\epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}$ which is +1 for even permutations of $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ and -1 for odd permutations, with $\epsilon^{0123}=1$. Note that for the covariant form, $\epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}$, we have $\epsilon_{0123}=-1$. Both the Levi-Civita symbol and the Kronecker delta are invariant under Lorentz transformations.

We can form a scalar invariant under Lorentz transformations (a Lorentz scalar) by contracting two four vectors

$$
a^{\mu} b_{\mu}=a^{\prime \mu} b_{\mu}^{\prime}
$$

The invariant time element $d \tau$ is given by

$$
c^{2} d \tau^{2}=d x^{\mu} d x_{\mu}
$$

It is related to the usual time element by

$$
d \tau=\frac{d t}{\gamma} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{d \tau}=\gamma \frac{d}{d t}
$$

This allows us to define vectors of four-velocity $V^{\mu}=\frac{d x^{\mu}}{d \tau}$ and four-momentum $p^{\mu}=m \frac{d x^{\mu}}{d \tau}$ where $m$ is the rest mass of the particle. The zero component of the four-momentum is related to the energy $\mathcal{E}=\gamma m c^{2}$ by $c p_{0}=\mathcal{E}$, so we can write the four-momentum as $p^{\mu}=\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{c}, \vec{p}\right)$. Note that the contraction of the four-momentum with itself is $p^{\mu} p_{\mu}=m^{2} c^{2}$.

If this (or indeed the scalar formed by contracting any four-vector with itself) is equal to zero we say that the four-vector is light-like, if it is greater than zero we say that it is space-like, if it is less than zero it is timelike.

This can be related to the idea of light-cones:


This picture can be understood as follows: events that occur inside the lightcone are timelike; it is possible to find a Lorentz transformation such that any two events occur at the same point in space, but at different times. Similarly, events that occur outside the lightcone are spacelike in that is possible to find a Lorentz transformation to a frame such that any two events occur at the same point in time, but are separated in space.

The boundary of the light-cone is given by a line corresponding to the motion of a particle of velocity $c$. The motion of a particle with velocity less than $c$ lies within its light-cone.

Events that occur within the past light-cone of a particle can affect the particle in the present, while events that occur outside it cannot.

Finally we must consider calculus in space-time. We will be integrating over the fourdimensional volume element

$$
d^{4} x=d x_{0} d x_{1} d x_{2} d x_{3}=c d t d x_{1} d x_{2} d x_{3}
$$

which is an invariant. Derivatives are denoted by

$$
\partial_{\mu} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \quad \partial^{\mu} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}}
$$

Note that differentiating with respect to a lower index gives an upper index, while differentiating with respect to an upper index gives a lower index, so for instance

$$
\frac{\partial x_{\mu}}{\partial x_{\nu}}=\delta_{\mu}^{\nu} \quad \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial x_{\nu}}=g^{\mu \rho} \frac{\partial x_{\rho}}{\partial x_{\nu}}=g^{\mu \rho} \delta_{\rho}^{\nu}=g^{\mu \nu} \quad \frac{\partial\left(\partial_{\alpha} x_{\beta}\right)}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} x_{\nu}\right)}=\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu} \delta_{\beta}^{\nu}
$$

## 3 Covariant field theory

We now seek to formulate field theories in a special relativistic context. We will be seeking actions

$$
S=\int \mathcal{L} d^{3} x d t=\int L d t=\int L \gamma d \tau
$$

which are relativistically invariant. Recall that the first postulate of special relativity is that the laws of physics are the same in all (inertial) reference frames - these laws take the form of the equations of motion derived from the condition $\delta S=0$, hence $S$ must be Lorentz invariant. As $d \tau$ is an invariant scalar we see that we must have $L \gamma$ also a Lorentz scalar. The simplest way to achieve this is to contract the available four-vectors.

Note that the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion for a field $A^{\mu}$ are

$$
\partial_{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}\right)}\right)-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_{\nu}}=0
$$

### 3.1 Relativistic free particle action

For a free particle, the only scalar which preserves translational invariance is $p_{\mu} p^{\mu}=(m c)^{2}$, suggesting a Lagrangian of the form $\mathcal{L}=C p_{\mu} p^{\mu}=C m^{2} c^{2}$, where $C$ is some constant. Let us look at the non-relativistic limit of this Lagrangian. We have

$$
S=\int L d t=\int L \gamma d \tau
$$

We want the non-relativistic limit of $L$ to agree with the Lagrangian for a non-relativistic free particle, $L=\frac{1}{2} m \vec{v}^{2}$. Consider the Taylor expansion of $m \gamma^{-1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
m \gamma^{-1}= & m\left(1-\frac{\vec{v}^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)=m-\frac{m}{2} \frac{\vec{v}^{2}}{c^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{c^{4}}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow-m c^{2} \gamma^{-1}=-m c^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m \vec{v}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As the constant term $-m c^{2}$ is unimportant, we see that we can take our Lagrangian to be

$$
L=-\frac{m c^{2}}{\gamma}
$$

hence we have action

$$
S=-m c^{2} \int \frac{d t}{\gamma}=-m c^{2} \int d \tau
$$

or

$$
S=-\frac{1}{m} \int p_{\mu} p^{\mu} d \tau
$$

### 3.2 Relativistic interactions

We now let our particle be acted on by some field with potential $A_{\mu}\left(x^{\nu}\right)$. Possible scalars include $A_{\mu} p^{\mu}$ and $A_{\mu} A^{\mu}$. Again we want to choose the Lagrangian so that the non-relativistic limit gives us the interaction Lagrangian for a particle in the presence of an electric field (in the non-relativistic limit the particle's velocity goes to zero, and so it will not interact with the magnetic field). This is $L=-e \Phi$. This suggests we look at $e A_{\mu} p^{\mu}=e p^{0} A_{0}-e \vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}$. Now, $p_{0}=\frac{\mathcal{E}}{c}=m \gamma c$, and we identify $A^{0}$ with $\Phi$. Then in the limit $\vec{v} \rightarrow 0$ we have $e p_{\mu} A^{\mu}=m c \gamma e \Phi$. Thus we take our interaction term to be

$$
L_{i n t}=-\frac{e}{m c \gamma} A_{\mu} p^{\mu}
$$

The total action is now

$$
S=-\frac{1}{m} \int\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\right) p^{\mu} d \tau=-\int\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\right) d x^{\mu}
$$

using $p^{\mu}=m \frac{d x^{\mu}}{d \tau}$. The dynamics of the system can then be found by varying this action.
First, let us note that

$$
\delta\left(p_{\mu} p^{\mu}\right)=\delta p_{\mu} p^{\mu}+p_{\mu} \delta p^{\mu}=p_{\mu} \delta p^{\mu}+p_{\mu} \delta p^{\mu}=2 p_{\mu} \delta p^{\mu}=2 m \frac{d x_{\mu}}{d \tau} \delta p^{\mu}
$$

but also

$$
\delta\left(p_{\mu} p^{\mu}\right)=\delta\left(m^{2} c^{2}\right)=0
$$

and hence if $m \neq 0$ we have

$$
\frac{d x_{\mu}}{d \tau} \delta p^{\mu}=0 \Rightarrow d x_{\mu} \delta p^{\mu}=0
$$

Now let us compute the variation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta S & =-\delta \int\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\right) d x^{\mu} \\
& =-\int\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\right) \delta\left(d x^{\mu}\right)-\int\left(\delta p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} \delta A_{\mu}\right) d x^{\mu} \\
& =-\int\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\right) d\left(\delta x^{\mu}\right)-\frac{e}{c} \int \frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta x^{\nu} d x^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the above result to eliminate the $\delta p_{\mu}$ term. We now use

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\right) d\left(\delta x^{\mu}\right) & =\int d\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\left(\delta x^{\mu}\right)\right)-\int d\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\right) \delta x^{\mu} \\
& =\int \frac{d}{d \tau}\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\left(\delta x^{\mu}\right)\right) d \tau-\int d\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\right) \delta x^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the fact that the variation $\delta x^{\mu}$ vanishes at the end points to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta S & =-\underbrace{\left[\left(p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} A_{\mu}\right) \delta x^{\mu}\right]_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}}}_{=0}+\int\left(d p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} d A_{\mu}\right) \delta x^{\mu}-\frac{e}{c} \int \frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta x^{\nu} d x^{\mu} \\
& =\int\left(d p_{\mu}+\frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} d x^{\nu}\right) \delta x^{\mu}-\frac{e}{c} \int \frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta x^{\nu} d x^{\mu} \\
& =\int\left[\frac{d p_{\mu}}{d \tau} d \tau+\frac{e}{c}\left(\frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{d x^{\nu}}{d \tau} \delta x^{\mu}-\frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{d x^{\mu}}{d \tau} \delta x^{\nu}\right) d \tau\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Switching the dummy variables $\mu$ and $\nu$ in the second $A_{\mu}$ term we have:

$$
\delta S=\int d \tau \delta x^{\mu}\left[\frac{d p_{\mu}}{d \tau}+\frac{e}{c}\left(\frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{d x^{\nu}}{d \tau}-\frac{\partial A_{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{d x^{\nu}}{d \tau}\right)\right]=0
$$

Hence we find equations of motion

$$
\frac{d p_{\mu}}{d \tau}=\frac{e}{c}\left(\frac{\partial A_{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}}-\frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right) \frac{d x^{\nu}}{d \tau}
$$

### 3.3 Electromagnetic field tensor

The electric and magnetic fields can be expressed in terms of the 4-potential $A^{\mu}$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{E}=-\frac{1}{c} \partial_{t} \vec{A}-\vec{\nabla} \Phi \quad\left(A_{0} \equiv \Phi\right) \\
\vec{B}=\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note in passing that $\vec{E}$ has odd parity (i.e. transforms as $\vec{E} \mapsto-\vec{E}$ under space reversal, $\vec{r} \mapsto-\vec{r})$ and is even under time reversal, while $\vec{B}$ has even parity and is odd under time reversal.

We now introduce the electromagnetic field tensor

$$
F_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}
$$

where we identify

$$
F^{i 0}=E^{i} \quad F^{i j}=-\varepsilon^{i j k} B^{k}
$$

as we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{i}=-\partial_{0} A^{i}-\partial_{i} A_{0}=-\partial^{0} A^{i}+\partial^{i} A^{0}=F^{i 0} \\
-\varepsilon^{i j k} B^{k}=-\varepsilon^{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \partial_{l} A^{m}=-\left(\delta_{l}^{i} \delta_{m}^{j}-\delta_{m}^{i} \delta_{l}^{j}\right) \partial_{l} A^{m}=-\partial_{i} A^{j}+\partial_{j} A^{i}=\partial^{i} A^{j}-\partial^{j} A^{i}=F^{i j}
\end{gathered}
$$

Explicitly, the contravariant and covariant forms of the tensor are:

$$
F^{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -E^{1} & -E^{2} & -E^{3} \\
E^{1} & 0 & -B^{3} & B^{2} \\
E^{2} & B^{3} & 0 & -B^{1} \\
E^{3} & -B^{2} & B^{1} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad F_{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & E^{1} & E^{2} & E^{3} \\
-E^{1} & 0 & -B^{3} & B^{2} \\
-E^{2} & B^{3} & 0 & -B^{1} \\
-E^{3} & -B^{2} & B^{1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The equations of motion derived in the last section can then be written

$$
\frac{d p_{\mu}}{d \tau}=\frac{e}{c} F_{\mu \nu} \frac{d x^{\nu}}{d \tau}
$$

To write these in three-dimensional form we first set $\mu=0$ and sum over $\nu$ (noting that $\left.\frac{d}{d \tau}=\gamma \frac{d}{d t}\right)$

$$
\frac{d p_{0}}{d t}=\frac{e}{c} F_{0 i} \frac{d x^{i}}{d t}=\frac{e}{c} F^{i 0} v^{i} \Rightarrow \frac{d \mathcal{E}}{d t}=e \vec{E} \cdot \vec{v}
$$

using $p_{0}=\frac{\mathcal{E}}{c}$ and the fact that raising a spatial index changes the sign, as well as the antisymmetry of $F_{\mu \nu}$. For $\mu=i$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d p_{i}}{d t}=\frac{e}{c} F_{i 0} \frac{d x^{0}}{d t}+\frac{e}{c} F_{i j} \frac{d x^{j}}{d t} \Rightarrow-\frac{d p^{i}}{d t}=-e F^{i 0}-\frac{e}{c} \varepsilon_{i j k} v^{k} B^{k} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{d \vec{p}}{d t}=e \vec{E}+\frac{e}{c} \vec{v} \times \vec{B}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $F_{\mu \nu}$ is invariant under an important class of transformations known as gauge transformations. A gauge transformation of the electromagnetic four-potential is a transformation of the form $A_{\mu} \mapsto A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \phi$ for some scalar field $\phi$, and under this we have

$$
F_{\mu \nu} \mapsto \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \phi-\partial_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}=F_{\mu \nu}
$$

The invariance of the electromagnetic field tensor and hence the observable fields allows us to simplify problems by choosing a particular gauge, i.e. a particular choice of the $A_{\mu}$ satisfying certain conditions. For example we will later explicitly solve Maxwell's equations (introduced in the next section) in Lorenz gauge: $\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu}=0$.

### 3.4 Maxwell's equations

In the moonlight opposite me were three young women, ladies by their dress and manner. I thought at the time that I must be dreaming when I saw them, they threw no shadow on the floor.

Bram Stoker, Dracula
The simplest choice of a Lagrangian density for the electromagnetic field tensor is $\mathcal{L}=$ $C F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}$ where $C$ is some constant. We will now find the equations of motion satisfied by
the field using the Euler-Lagrange equations. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\left(F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta}\right)}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}\right)} & =2 F^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial F_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}\right)} \\
& =2 F^{\alpha \beta}\left(\frac{\partial\left(\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta}\right)}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}\right)}-\frac{\partial\left(\partial_{\beta} A_{\alpha}\right)}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}\right)}\right) \\
& =2 F^{\alpha \beta}\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu} \delta_{\beta}^{\nu}-\delta_{\beta}^{\mu} \delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}\right) \\
& =2 F^{\mu \nu}-2 F^{\nu \mu} \\
& =4 F^{\mu \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

and as $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_{\nu}}=0$ we have the equation of motion for a free field

$$
\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu \nu}=0
$$

If we introduce a source of charge and 3-current $J^{\mu}=(c \rho, \vec{J})$ (see the next section) then we have an interaction term

$$
\mathcal{L}_{i n t}=-\frac{1}{c} A_{\mu} J^{\mu}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{4 C c} J^{\nu}
$$

and choosing $C=-\frac{1}{16 \pi}$ (i.e. Gaussian cgs units) gives

$$
\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu \nu}=\frac{4 \pi}{c} J^{\nu}
$$

In three dimensions this becomes

$$
\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}=4 \pi \rho
$$

and

$$
-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{E}+\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}=\frac{4 \pi}{c} \vec{J}
$$

These are two of Maxwell's equations. The other two may be expressed using the dual tensor $\tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}$ defined by

$$
\tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} F_{\sigma \rho}=\epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \partial_{\rho} A_{\sigma}
$$

The components of the dual tensor may be seen to be

$$
\tilde{F}^{i 0}=B^{i} \quad \tilde{F}^{i j}=\epsilon^{i j k} E^{k}
$$

in words, replace $\vec{E}$ with $\vec{B}$ and $\vec{B}$ with $-\vec{E}$. Now consider

$$
\partial_{\mu} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}=\epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\rho} A_{\sigma}
$$

This is the contraction of an antisymmetric tensor with a symmetric tensor, and so is equal to zero. Hence we have the equation

$$
\partial_{\mu} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}=0
$$

By comparing with the above Maxwell's equations in the case that $J^{\mu}=0$ and interchanging the electric and magnetic fields in the manner mentioned above, we find the other two Maxwell's equations:

$$
\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}=0
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{B}+\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}=0
$$

Note that the equation $\partial_{\mu} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}=0$ can also be written in the form

$$
\partial_{\lambda} F_{\mu \nu}+\partial_{\mu} F_{\nu \lambda}+\partial_{\nu} F_{\lambda \mu}=0
$$

The various contractions arising from the field tensor and its dual are

$$
F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}=2(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{B}-\vec{E} \cdot \vec{E}) \quad F_{\mu \nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}=-4 \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \quad \tilde{F}_{\mu \nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}=2(\vec{E} \cdot \vec{E}-\vec{B} \cdot \vec{B})
$$

### 3.5 Four-current and charge conservation

The four-current density is given by

$$
J^{\mu}(t, \vec{x})=e \frac{d x^{\mu}}{d t} \delta^{3}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}(t)\right)
$$

where $\vec{x}_{e}(t)$ is the path of a particle of charge $e$ generating the field $A_{\mu}$. The charge $q$ and current $e \vec{v}$ are then given by

$$
q=\int \rho(t, \vec{x}) d^{3} x \quad e \vec{v}=\int \vec{J}(t, \vec{x}) d^{3} x
$$

Consider the gauge transformation $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}^{\prime}=A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \phi$. This gives an interaction action

$$
S^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int\left(J^{\mu} A_{\mu}+J^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi\right) d^{4} x
$$

We vary this with respect to $\phi$ :

$$
\delta S^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int \delta\left(J^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi\right) d^{4} x=-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int\left(-\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu} \delta \phi+\partial_{\mu}\left[J^{\mu} \delta \phi\right]\right) d^{4} x
$$

The second term vanishes on the boundary, and we are left with

$$
\delta S^{\prime}=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int \partial_{\mu} J^{\mu} \delta \phi d^{4} x=0 \Rightarrow \partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}=0
$$

so charge is conserved. This equation can also be written

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J}=0
$$

Let us integrate this over a surface $\Sigma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} d^{3} x+\int_{\Sigma} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} d^{3} x=0 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Sigma} \rho d^{3} x+\int_{\partial \Sigma} \vec{J} \cdot d \vec{A} d^{3} x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}+\int_{\partial \Sigma} \vec{J} \cdot d \vec{A}=0
$$

We see that invariance under gauge transformations leads to charge conservation. In fact there is a close relationship between certain types of transformational invariance and conservation laws, which we treat in detail in the next section.

## 4 Noether's theorem

Noether's theorem states for every continuous symmetry there is a conserved quantity.

### 4.1 Derivation

Let us suppose we have a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}\left(\phi, \partial_{\mu} \phi, \varepsilon\right)$ invariant under a transformation

$$
\phi \rightarrow \phi^{*}=\phi+\delta \phi \quad x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{* \mu}=x^{\mu}+\Delta x^{\mu}
$$

parametrised by a small quantity $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\phi^{*}(\varepsilon=0)=\phi \quad x^{* \mu}(\varepsilon=0)=x^{\mu}
$$

Now the change in $x^{\mu}$ means that the volume we integrate over will change; that is, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
c \delta S=\int_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \mathcal{L}\left(\phi^{*}, x^{* \mu}\right) d^{4} x^{*}-\int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{L}\left(\phi, x^{\mu}\right) d^{4} x \\
\Rightarrow c \delta S=\int_{\Sigma}\left[\mathcal{L}\left(\phi^{*}, x^{\mu}\right)-\mathcal{L}\left(\phi, x^{\mu}\right)\right] d^{4} x+\int_{\partial \Sigma} \mathcal{L} \Delta x^{\mu} d \Sigma_{\mu}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $d \Sigma_{\mu}$ is a surface element (in the $x_{\mu}$-direction) and $\Delta x^{\mu} d \Sigma_{\mu}$ can be thought of as giving the change in the boundary $\partial \Sigma$ caused by the transformation of the coordinates (for more details see Classical Mechanics by Goldstein, 3rd edition, page 592). We have also switched dummy variables in the first integral from $x^{* \mu}$ to $x^{\mu}$.

Now the first integrand is just the variation of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\phi$, that is

$$
\int\left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi+\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \delta\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)\right] d^{4} x=\int[\partial_{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \delta \phi\right)+\underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi}-\partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)}\right)}_{=0(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{L})} \delta \phi] d^{4} x
$$

while the second can be rewritten using the divergence theorem

$$
\int_{\partial \Sigma} \mathcal{L} \Delta x^{\mu} d \Sigma_{\mu}=\int_{\Sigma} \partial_{\mu}\left(\mathcal{L} \Delta x^{\mu}\right) d^{4} x
$$

hence

$$
c \delta S=\int_{\Sigma} \partial_{\mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \delta \phi+\mathcal{L} \Delta x^{\mu}\right] d^{4} x=0
$$

Now, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \phi & =\phi^{*}\left(x^{\rho}\right)-\phi\left(x^{\rho}\right) \\
& =\phi^{*}\left(x^{* \rho}\right)-\phi\left(x^{\rho}\right)-\left[\phi^{*}\left(x^{* \rho}\right)-\phi^{*}\left(x^{\rho}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We now Taylor expand $\phi^{*}\left(x^{* \rho}\right)$ with respect to $x^{\rho}$, obtaining

$$
\phi^{*}\left(x^{* \rho}\right)-\phi^{*}\left(x^{\rho}\right) \approx \phi^{*}\left(x^{\rho}\right)+\partial_{\nu} \phi^{*}\left(x^{\rho}\right) \Delta x^{\nu}-\phi^{*}\left(x^{\rho}\right)=\partial_{\nu} \phi^{*}\left(x^{\rho}\right) \Delta x^{\nu}
$$

or, using $\phi^{*}=\phi+\delta \phi$

$$
\phi^{*}\left(x^{* \rho}\right)-\phi^{*}\left(x^{\rho}\right) \approx \partial_{\nu} \phi\left(x^{\rho}\right) \Delta x^{\nu}+\partial_{\nu} \delta \phi \Delta x^{\nu}
$$

and the term on the right is of second order and so can be neglected.
Similarly we expand $\phi^{*}\left(x^{\rho}\right)$ with respect to $\varepsilon$ to find

$$
\phi^{*}\left(x^{* \rho}\right)-\left.\phi\left(x^{\rho}\right) \approx \phi^{*}\left(x^{* \rho}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}+\left.\varepsilon \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}-\phi\left(x^{\rho}\right)=\phi\left(x^{\rho}\right)+\left.\varepsilon \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}-\phi\left(x^{\rho}\right)=\left.\varepsilon \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}
$$

and as we also have $\Delta x^{\mu}=x^{* \mu}-\left.x^{\mu} \approx \frac{\partial x^{* \mu}}{\partial \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0}$,

$$
c \delta S=\int_{\Sigma} \partial_{\mu}\left[\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \varepsilon \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}-\left.\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \partial_{\nu} \phi-\delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \mathcal{L}\right) \varepsilon \frac{\partial x^{* \nu}}{\partial \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}\right] d^{4} x=0
$$

and so we can conclude that the current

$$
J^{\mu}=\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}-\left.\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \partial^{\nu} \phi-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L}\right) \frac{\partial x_{\nu}^{*}}{\partial \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}
$$

is conserved. In the case of a vector field $A^{\lambda}$ this becomes

$$
J^{\mu}=\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A^{\lambda}\right)} \frac{\partial A^{* \lambda}}{\partial \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}-\left.\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A^{\lambda}\right)} \partial^{\nu} A^{\lambda}-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L}\right) \frac{\partial x_{\nu}^{*}}{\partial \epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0}
$$

Note that this derivation assumes $\varepsilon$ has no indices (i.e. is a scalar). To be more precise we should take into account the possibility that $\varepsilon$ may be a vector or even matrix quantity, and write it as $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$ where $\alpha$ stands for any possible index. In this case we should go back to the second from last line of the proof and extract the conserved quantity

$$
J^{\mu \alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha}=\left(\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}=0}-\left.\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \partial^{\nu} \phi-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L}\right) \frac{\partial x_{\nu}^{*}}{\partial \epsilon_{\alpha}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}=0}\right) \varepsilon_{\alpha}
$$

where there is now one conserved current $J^{\mu \alpha}$ for each $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$ (see example iv) below).

### 4.2 Examples

Let us assume that the field does not change, i.e. $\phi^{*}(x)=\phi(x)$.
i) Let $\mathcal{L}$ be invariant under time translation, $x^{* 0}=x^{0}+\varepsilon, x^{* i}=x^{i}$, then

$$
J^{0}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{0} \phi\right)} \partial^{0} \phi-\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{H}
$$

is conserved (this is the Hamiltonian for the field).
ii) Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ invariant under $x^{* \mu}=x^{\mu}+\varepsilon$, then

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)} \partial^{\nu} \phi-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L}
$$

is conserved (this is the energy-momentum density for the field).
iii) Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is symmetric under rotations about the $x^{3}$ axis, that is,

$$
x^{* 1}=x^{1} \cos \varepsilon+x^{2} \sin \varepsilon \quad x^{* 2}=-x^{1} \sin \varepsilon+x^{2} \cos \varepsilon \quad x^{* 3}=x^{3} \quad x^{* 0}=x^{0}
$$

then the angular momentum density of the field is conserved,

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{3} \phi\right)}\left(x^{1} \partial_{2} \phi-x^{2} \partial_{1} \phi\right)
$$

iv) Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is completely rotationally invariant in the space dimensions. An infinitesimal rotation can be written as

$$
x^{i} \mapsto x^{i}+\varepsilon_{i j} x^{j}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i j}=-\varepsilon_{j i}$ is a three by three skew-symmetric real matrix, and so an element of $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$ (i.e. a generator of rotations). We then have that

$$
-J^{i j k} \varepsilon_{j k}=\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{i} \phi\right)} \partial^{l} \phi-\left.g^{i l} \mathcal{L} \frac{\partial x_{l}^{*}}{\partial \epsilon_{j k}}\right|_{\varepsilon_{j k}=0}\right) \varepsilon_{j k}
$$

is conserved. Now,

$$
\frac{\partial x_{l}^{*}}{\partial \epsilon_{j k}}=\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{l n} x_{n}}{\partial \epsilon_{j k}}=\delta_{l}^{j} \delta_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\delta_{n}^{j} \delta_{l}^{k} x_{n}=\delta_{l}^{j} x_{k}-\delta_{l}^{k} x_{j}
$$

giving

$$
-J^{i j k} \varepsilon_{j k}=\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{i} \phi\right)}\left(\partial^{j} \phi x_{k}-\partial^{k} \phi x_{j}\right)-g^{i j} \mathcal{L} x_{k}+g^{i k} \mathcal{L} x_{j}\right) \varepsilon_{j k}
$$

so we can pick out our conserved currents

$$
-J^{i j k}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{i} \phi\right)}\left(\partial^{j} \phi x_{k}-\partial^{k} \phi x_{j}\right)-g^{i j} \mathcal{L} x_{k}+g^{i k} \mathcal{L} x_{j}
$$

For example, consider rotations about the $x_{1}$ axis. Then we have the following conserved currents:

$$
\begin{gathered}
-J^{123}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{1} \phi\right)}\left(\partial^{2} \phi x_{3}-\partial^{3} \phi x_{2}\right) \\
-J^{112}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{1} \phi\right)}\left(\partial^{1} \phi x_{2}-\partial^{2} \phi x_{1}\right)-\mathcal{L} x_{2} \\
-J^{113}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{1} \phi\right)}\left(\partial^{1} \phi x_{3}-\partial^{3} \phi x_{1}\right)-\mathcal{L} x_{3} \\
J^{132}=-J^{123} \quad J^{121}=-J^{112} \quad J^{131}=-J^{113} \quad J^{111}=J^{112}=J^{133}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $J^{132}$ corresponds to the angular momentum density about the $x_{1}$ axis.

### 4.3 Stress-energy tensor

If we assume that our system is invariant under the transformation $x^{\nu} \rightarrow x^{\nu}+\varepsilon^{\nu}$ then we have that the tensor

$$
T^{\mu \nu}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\lambda}\right)} \partial^{\nu} A_{\lambda}-g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L}
$$

is conserved (note we have changed the $\lambda$ indices). This tensor is known as the stress-energy tensor.

### 4.3.1 Stress-energy tensor for electromagnetic field

For a free electromagnetic field, $\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{16 \pi} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}$. The stress energy tensor is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
T^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{16 \pi} \frac{\partial\left(F_{\rho \sigma} F^{\rho \sigma}\right)}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\lambda}\right)} \partial^{\nu} A_{\lambda}+\frac{1}{16 \pi} g^{\mu \nu} F_{\rho \sigma} F^{\rho \sigma} \\
\quad \Rightarrow T^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} F^{\mu \lambda} \partial^{\nu} A_{\lambda}+\frac{1}{16 \pi} g^{\mu \nu} F_{\rho \sigma} F^{\rho \sigma}
\end{gathered}
$$

Although conserved, $T^{\mu \nu}$ is not gauge invariant (as $A_{\lambda}$ appears explicitly) or symmetric. We can form a tensor with nicer properties as follows: first, write $F^{\mu \lambda} \partial^{\nu} A_{\lambda}=F_{\lambda}^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} A^{\lambda}=$ $g^{\mu \rho} F_{\rho \lambda} \partial^{\nu} A^{\lambda}$ giving

$$
T^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} g^{\mu \rho} F_{\rho \lambda} \partial^{\nu} A^{\lambda}+\frac{1}{16 \pi} g^{\mu \nu} F_{\rho \sigma} F^{\rho \sigma}
$$

and then substitute in

$$
\partial^{\nu} A^{\lambda}=F^{\nu \lambda}+\partial^{\lambda} A^{\nu}
$$

to obtain

$$
T^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} g^{\mu \rho} F_{\rho \lambda} F^{\nu \lambda}-\frac{1}{4 \pi} g^{\mu \rho} F_{\rho \lambda} \partial^{\lambda} A^{\nu}+\frac{1}{16 \pi} g^{\mu \nu} F_{\rho \sigma} F^{\rho \sigma}
$$

and now define

$$
\Theta^{\mu \nu}=T^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} g^{\mu \rho} F_{\rho \lambda} \partial^{\lambda} A^{\nu}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \Theta^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} g^{\mu \rho} F_{\rho \lambda} F^{\lambda \nu}+\frac{1}{16 \pi} g^{\mu \nu} F_{\rho \sigma} F^{\rho \sigma}
$$

(note interchange of $\mu$ and $\nu$ to remove the leading minus sign). This tensor is conserved as the difference between it and the stress-energy tensor is $\frac{1}{4 \pi} g^{\mu \rho} F_{\rho \lambda} \partial^{\lambda} A^{\nu}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} F^{\lambda \mu} \partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu}$ and

$$
\partial_{\mu}\left(F^{\lambda \mu} \partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu}\right)=\left(\partial_{\mu} F^{\lambda \mu}\right) \partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu}+F^{\lambda \mu} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\lambda} A^{\nu}=0
$$

where the first term vanishes due to the equations of motion and the second term vanishes as it is the contraction of a symmetric and antisymmetric tensor.

The tensor $\Theta^{\mu \nu}$ is gauge invariant, symmetric, traceless $\left(\Theta_{\mu}^{\mu}=\Theta^{\mu \nu} g_{\mu \nu}=0\right)$ and can be used to define the angular momentum density $M^{\mu \nu \sigma}=\Theta^{\mu \nu} x^{\sigma}-\Theta^{\mu \sigma} x^{\nu}$.

But my very feelings changed to repulsion and terror when I saw the whole man slowly emerge from the window and begin to crawl down the castle wall over the dreadful abyss, face down with his cloak spreading out around him like great wings.

Bram Stoker, Dracula

## 5 Solving Maxwell's equations

We now wish to solve the equation $\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu \nu}=\frac{4 \pi}{c} J^{\nu}$ for a given $J^{\nu}$. This equation can be written

$$
\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}=\frac{4 \pi}{c} J^{\nu}
$$

We impose the Lorenz gauge, $\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu}=0$ so that this becomes

$$
\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}=\frac{4 \pi}{c} J^{\nu}
$$

This equation will be solved using Green's function methods. Recall that given some differential equation $D f(x)=g(x)$ the Green's function $G$ solves $D G(x)=\delta(x)$; so that $f=\int d x^{\prime} G\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ as then $D f=\int d x^{\prime} D G\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int d x^{\prime} \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=g(x)$.

We will apply Fourier transform methods to obtain the Green's functions we need. The four-dimensional Fourier transform and its inverse are

$$
f\left(x^{\mu}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int d^{4} k e^{-i k_{\nu} x^{\nu}} \tilde{f}\left(k^{\mu}\right) \quad \tilde{f}\left(k^{\mu}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int d^{4} x e^{i k_{\nu} x^{\nu}} f\left(x^{\mu}\right)
$$

The Fourier representation of the delta-function is

$$
\delta(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k e^{-i k x}
$$

### 5.1 Time-independent solutions

First let us obtain solutions with no time dependence. This means we will be solving the equation

$$
\vec{\nabla}^{2} A^{\nu}=-\frac{4 \pi}{c} J^{\nu}
$$

### 5.1.1 Green's function

We need to find a Green's function satisfying $\vec{\nabla}^{2} G(\vec{x})=\delta^{3}(\vec{x})$. In terms of Fourier transforms, this is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{\nabla}^{2} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3 / 2}} \int d^{3} k e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \tilde{G}(\vec{k})= & -\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3 / 2}} \int d^{3} k \vec{k}^{2} e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \tilde{G}(\vec{k})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int d^{3} k e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \\
& \Rightarrow \tilde{G}(\vec{k})=-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3 / 2}} \frac{1}{\vec{k}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

giving

$$
G(\vec{x})=-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int d^{3} k \frac{1}{\vec{k}^{2}} e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d \alpha \int d^{3} k e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}-\alpha \vec{k}^{2}}
$$

as $\int_{0}^{\infty} d \alpha e^{-\alpha x}=\frac{1}{\alpha}$. Now the $k$ integral can be expressed as a Gaussian:

$$
\int d^{3} k e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}-\alpha \vec{k}^{2}}=\int d^{3} k e^{-\alpha\left(\vec{k}+\frac{\overrightarrow{i x}}{2 \alpha}\right)^{2}} e^{-\frac{\vec{x}^{2}}{4 \alpha}}=\left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-\frac{\vec{x}^{2}}{4 \alpha}}
$$

so we now have

$$
G(\vec{x})=-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \pi^{3 / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-\frac{\vec{x}^{2}}{4 \alpha}}
$$

Let $u=\alpha^{-1 / 2}$, then $-2 d u=\alpha^{-3 / 2} d \alpha$ and we have

$$
G(\vec{x})=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{3 / 2}} \int_{\infty}^{0} d u e^{-\frac{\vec{x}^{2}}{4} u^{2}}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{3 / 2}} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{4 \pi}{\vec{x}^{2}}}
$$

using the fact that the Gaussian integral is symmetric, and hence

$$
G(\vec{x})=-\frac{1}{4 \pi|\vec{x}|}
$$

### 5.1.2 Magnetostatic and electrostatic potentials

For an electrostatic system, we have $\vec{\nabla}^{2} A^{0}=\vec{\nabla}^{2} \Phi=-4 \pi \rho(\vec{x})=-\frac{4 \pi}{c} \sum_{e} e \delta^{3}\left(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}\right)$, where the sum ranges over the different charges in the system, with $\vec{x}_{e}$ signifying the position of the charge $e$. Using the Green's function above we see that

$$
\Phi(\vec{x})=\int d^{3} x^{\prime} \frac{\rho\left(\overrightarrow{x^{\prime}}\right)}{\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}^{\prime}\right|}=\int d^{3} x^{\prime} \frac{\sum_{e} e \delta^{3}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}-\vec{x}^{e}\right)}{\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}^{\prime}\right|}=\sum_{e} \frac{e}{\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}\right|}
$$

giving

$$
\vec{E}=-\vec{\nabla} \Phi=\sum_{e} e \frac{\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}}{\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}\right|^{3}}
$$

Similarly the vector potential is given by

$$
\vec{A}(\vec{x})=\frac{1}{c} \int d^{3} x^{\prime} \frac{\vec{J}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}^{\prime}\right|}
$$

### 5.2 Time-dependent solutions

We will now seek solutions of the full equation

$$
\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}=\frac{4 \pi}{c} J^{\nu}
$$

### 5.2.1 Green's function

The Green's function we seek is a solution $D\left(z^{\rho}\right)$ of $\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} D\left(z^{\rho}\right)=\delta^{4}\left(z^{\rho}\right)$ where $z^{\rho}=$ $x^{\rho}-x^{\rho \rho}$. Appealing to Fourier transforms again, we have

$$
\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int d^{4} k \tilde{D}(k) e^{-i k_{\nu} z^{\nu}}=-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int d^{4} k k_{\mu} k^{\mu} \tilde{D}\left(k^{\mu}\right) e^{-i k_{\nu} z^{\nu}}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int d^{4} k e^{-i k_{\nu} z^{\nu}}
$$

from which

$$
\tilde{D}\left(k^{\mu}\right)=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \frac{1}{k_{\mu} k^{\mu}}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}}
$$

where $k=|\vec{k}|$. We now must solve

$$
D\left(z^{\mu}\right)=-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int d^{4} k \frac{1}{k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}} e^{-i k_{\nu} z^{\nu}}=-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int d^{3} k e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k_{0} \frac{1}{k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}} e^{-i k_{0} z^{0}}
$$

Note the sign change in the first exponential when converting to three-dimensions. Now, to evaluate the $k_{0}$ integral we use contour integration, treating $k_{0}$ as a complex number, $k_{0}=\operatorname{Re} k_{0}+i \operatorname{Im} k_{0}$. This gives $e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{z}}=e^{\operatorname{Im} k_{0} z^{0}} e^{-i \operatorname{Re} k_{0}}$. So that the integral converges we must choose $\operatorname{Im} k_{0}<0$ for $z^{0}>0$. This condition is imposed as $z^{0}=x^{0}-x^{\prime 0}=c\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)$, and so positive $z^{0}$ ensures that contributions to the Green's function and hence to the potential $A^{\mu}$ only come from events that occur at times $t^{\prime}<t$, i.e. events in the past. Thus causality is ensured.

Now, the poles of the integrand are $\pm k$ and so lie on the real axis. To avoid them, we displace our contour by an infinitesimal amount $i \epsilon$ so that it lies just in the upper-half plane (formally we should let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ at the end). Our contour of integration $\Gamma$ then looks like:


We than have

$$
\oint_{\Gamma} d k_{0} \frac{e^{-i k_{0} z^{0}}}{k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}}=\int_{-R}^{R} d k_{0} \frac{e^{-i k_{0} z^{0}}}{k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}}+\int_{\text {semi-circle }} \frac{e^{-i k_{0} z^{0}}}{k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}}
$$

Now on the semicircle we can write $k_{0}=R e^{-i \varphi}=R \cos \varphi-i R \sin \varphi$, so that the integral becomes an integral over $\varphi$ from 0 to $\pi$. So we have

$$
\int_{\text {semi-circle }} \frac{e^{-i k_{0} z^{0}}}{k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}}=-i \int_{0}^{\pi} d \varphi R e^{i \varphi} e^{-R z^{0} \sin \varphi-i R z^{0} \cos \varphi} \frac{1}{R^{2} e^{-2 i \varphi}-k^{2}}
$$

and $\sin \varphi \geq 0$ for this range of $\varphi$, hence the integrand goes to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$, as required. The value of the $k_{0}$ integral we are interested in will hence be given by the $-2 \pi i$ times the sum of the residues inside the contour. The residues are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k_{0} \rightarrow k}\left(k_{0}-k\right) \frac{e^{-i k_{0} z^{0}}}{\left(k_{0}-k\right)\left(k_{0}+k\right)} & =\frac{e^{-i k z^{0}}}{2 k} \\
\lim _{k_{0} \rightarrow-k}\left(k_{0}+k\right) \frac{e^{-i k_{0} z^{0}}}{\left(k_{0}-k\right)\left(k_{0}+k\right)} & =-\frac{e^{i k z^{0}}}{2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k_{0} \frac{1}{k_{0}^{2}-k^{2}} e^{-i k_{0} z^{0}}=\frac{\pi i}{k}\left(e^{i k z^{0}}-e^{-i k z^{0}}\right) \Theta\left(z^{0}\right)
$$

where the Heaviside function

$$
\Theta\left(z^{0}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & z^{0}>0 \\ 0 & z^{0}<0\end{cases}
$$

is added as the residues only contribute for positive $z^{0}$. So we now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(z^{\mu}\right) & =\frac{\Theta\left(z^{0}\right)}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \pi i \int d^{3} k e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{z}} \frac{1}{k}\left(e^{i k z^{0}}-e^{-i k z^{0}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\Theta\left(z^{0}\right)}{16 \pi^{3}} i \int_{0}^{\infty} d k k^{2} \frac{1}{k}\left(e^{i k z^{0}}-e^{-i k z^{0}}\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta \sin \theta e^{i k z \cos \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

upon switching to polar coordinates and choosing the coordinate frame such that the $k^{3}$ axis makes an angle of $\theta$ with $\vec{z}$, and letting $z=|\vec{z}|$. Integrating over the angles, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(z^{\mu}\right) & =\frac{\Theta\left(z^{0}\right)}{8 \pi^{2}} i \int_{0}^{\infty} d k k\left(e^{i k z^{0}}-e^{-i k z^{0}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{i k z}}{i k z}-\frac{e^{-i k z}}{i k z}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\Theta\left(z^{0}\right)}{8 \pi^{2} z} \int_{0}^{\infty} d k\left(e^{i k\left(z^{0}+z\right)}+e^{-i k\left(z^{0}+z\right)}-e^{i k\left(z^{0}-z\right)}-e^{-i k\left(z^{0}-z\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

but if we let $k \mapsto-k$

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} d k e^{-i k\left(z^{0}+z\right)}=\int_{0}^{-\infty} d(-k) e^{i k\left(z^{0}+z\right)}=\int_{-\infty}^{0} d k e^{i k\left(z^{0}+z\right)}
$$

hence

$$
D\left(z^{\mu}\right)=-\frac{\Theta\left(z^{0}\right)}{8 \pi^{2} z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k\left(e^{i k\left(z+z^{0}\right)}-e^{i k\left(z^{0}-z\right)}\right)=\frac{\Theta\left(z^{0}\right)}{4 \pi z}\left(\delta\left(z^{0}-z\right)-\delta\left(z^{0}+z\right)\right)
$$

remembering the integral representation of the delta function. Owing to the Heaviside function only the first delta function will contribute, so

$$
D_{r e t}\left(z^{\mu}\right)=\frac{\Theta\left(z^{0}\right)}{4 \pi z} \delta\left(z^{0}-z\right)
$$

The subscript signifies that this is the retarded Green's function (that is, the Green's function resulting from the contribution of events in the past).

We can put the Green's function in covariant form using

$$
\delta\left(z_{\mu} z^{\mu}\right)=\delta\left(\left[z_{0}-z\right]\left[z_{0}+z\right]\right)=\frac{\delta\left(z_{0}-z\right)}{\left|z_{0}+z\right|}+\frac{\delta\left(z_{0}+z\right)}{\left|z_{0}-z\right|}
$$

as $\delta(a b)=\frac{\delta(a)}{|b|}+\frac{\delta(b)}{|a|}$. Hence

$$
\Theta\left(z^{0}\right) \delta\left(z_{\mu} z^{\mu}\right)=\Theta\left(z^{0}\right) \frac{\delta\left(z_{0}-z\right)}{\left|z_{0}+z\right|}=\Theta\left(z^{0}\right) \frac{\delta\left(z_{0}-z\right)}{|2 z|}
$$

and so

$$
D_{r e t}\left(z^{\mu}\right)=\frac{\Theta\left(z^{0}\right)}{2 \pi} \delta\left(z_{\mu} z^{\mu}\right)
$$

Recalling that $z^{\mu}=x^{\mu}-x^{\mu}$ we can state our final results for the Green's function as

$$
D_{r e t}\left(x^{\mu}-x^{\prime \mu}\right)=\frac{\Theta\left(x^{0}-x^{\prime 0}\right)}{4 \pi z} \delta\left(x^{0}-x^{\prime 0}-\left|\vec{x}-\overrightarrow{x^{\prime}}\right|\right)
$$

and in covariant form,

$$
D_{r e t}\left(x^{\mu}-x^{\prime \mu}\right)=\frac{\Theta\left(x^{0}-x^{\prime 0}\right)}{2 \pi} \delta\left(\left[x_{\mu}-x_{\mu}^{\prime}\right]\left[x^{\mu}-x^{\prime \mu}\right]\right)
$$

Note that if we had taken $z^{0}<0$ and closed our contour in the upper half-plane (with poles displaced upwards) we would have obtained the advanced Green's function

$$
D_{a d v}\left(x^{\mu}-x^{\prime \mu}\right)=\frac{\Theta\left(x^{0}-x^{0}\right)}{4 \pi z} \delta\left(x^{0}-x^{0}+\left|\vec{x}-\overrightarrow{x^{\prime}}\right|\right)
$$

### 5.2.2 Lienard-Wiechart potentials

Of night and light and the half-light.
W.B. Yeats, "He Wishes For The Cloths Of Heaven"

We can now work out the potentials $A^{\mu}$ that solve the Maxwell equation $\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}=\frac{4 \pi}{c} J^{\nu}$. They are given by

$$
A^{\mu}\left(x^{\sigma}\right)=\frac{4 \pi}{c} \int d^{4} x^{\prime} D_{r e t}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) J^{\mu}\left(x^{\prime \sigma}\right)
$$

where

$$
J^{\mu}\left(x^{\prime \sigma}\right)=e \frac{d x^{\prime \sigma}}{d t^{\prime}} \delta^{3}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}-\vec{x}_{e}^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

or in covariant form

$$
J^{\mu}\left(x^{\prime \sigma}\right)=e c \int d \tau \frac{d x^{\prime \sigma}}{d \tau} \delta^{4}\left(x^{\prime \sigma}-x_{e}^{\prime \sigma}(\tau)\right)
$$

as integrating over $\tau$ and using that

$$
\delta(f(\tau))=\sum_{i: f\left(\tau_{i}\right)=0} \frac{\delta\left(\tau-\tau_{i}\right)}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right|}
$$

we have

$$
J^{\mu}\left(x^{\prime \sigma}\right)=\left.\left.e c \frac{d x^{\prime \sigma}}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau^{\prime}} \delta^{3}\left(\vec{x}^{\prime}-\vec{x}_{e}^{\prime}(t)\right)\left(\frac{d x^{\prime 0}}{d \tau}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\tau^{\prime}}
$$

where $x^{\prime 0}-x_{e}^{0}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=0$. Now, $\left.\frac{d x^{\prime 0}}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau^{\prime}}=\left.\frac{d x^{\prime 0}}{d t} \frac{d t}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau^{\prime}}=\left.c \frac{d t}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau^{\prime}}$ and $\left.\frac{d x^{\prime \sigma}}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau^{\prime}}=\left.\frac{d x^{\prime \sigma}}{d t} \frac{d t}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau^{\prime}}$ so this reduces to the local form.

So substituting this in, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\mu}\left(x^{\sigma}\right) & =\frac{4 \pi}{c} \int d \tau d^{4} x^{\prime} \frac{\Theta\left(x^{0}-x^{\prime 0}\right)}{2 \pi} \delta\left(\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right]\left[x^{\sigma}-x^{\prime \sigma}\right]\right) e c \frac{d x^{\prime \sigma}}{d \tau} \delta^{4}\left(x^{\prime \sigma}-x_{e}^{\prime \sigma}(\tau)\right) \\
& =2 e \int d \tau \Theta\left(x^{0}-x_{e}^{0}\right) \delta\left(\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right]\left[x^{\sigma}-x_{e}^{\sigma}(\tau)\right]\right) V^{\mu}(\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have written $V^{\mu} \equiv \frac{d x_{e}^{\mu}}{d \tau}$. Now, we need the roots of the argument of the delta function:

$$
\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right]\left[x^{\sigma}-x_{e}^{\sigma}(\tau)\right]=0 \Rightarrow\left(x_{0}-x_{0}^{e}(\tau)\right)^{2}-\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}(\tau)\right|^{2}=0
$$

There are two possibilities:

$$
x_{0}-x_{0}^{e}(\tau)= \pm\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}(\tau)\right|
$$

The Heaviside function constrains us to choose the positive option. We see that the unique root of $\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right]\left[x^{\sigma}-x_{e}^{\sigma}(\tau)\right]=0$ which ensures causality is given by the retarded time $\tau_{0}$ :

$$
x_{0}-x_{0}^{e}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right|
$$

Physically, the retarded time gives the unique time at which the charged particle intersects the past light-cone of the observation point. Now, as

$$
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right]\left[x^{\sigma}-x_{e}^{\sigma}(\tau)\right]=-2\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right] \frac{d}{d \tau} x_{e}^{\sigma}(\tau)
$$

We then have that

$$
\Theta\left(x^{0}-x_{e}^{0}\right) \delta\left(\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right]\left[x^{\sigma}-x_{e}^{\sigma}(\tau)\right]\right)=\frac{\delta\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)}{2\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right] V^{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right)}
$$

so, writing $R^{\sigma}=x^{\sigma}-x_{e}^{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
A^{\mu}\left(x^{\sigma}\right)=\left.\frac{V^{\mu}(\tau)}{R_{\sigma}(\tau) V^{\sigma}(\tau)}\right|_{\tau_{0}}
$$

Note that the retarded time is in this notation defined by $R_{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right) R^{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=0$ and that then $R^{0}=R=|\vec{R}|=\left|\vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right|$.

### 5.2.3 Electromagnetic fields from Lienard-Wiechart potentials: method one

We wish to evaluate the electromagnetic fields $F^{\mu \nu}=\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}$ arising from the motion of a charged particle. Consider the integral expression for the potential

$$
A^{\nu}\left(x^{\sigma}\right)=2 e \int d \tau \Theta\left(x^{0}-x_{e}^{0}\right) \delta\left(\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right]^{2}\right) V^{\nu}(\tau)
$$

We will differentiate this with respect to $x^{\mu}$. First, note that

$$
\partial_{\mu} \Theta\left(x^{0}-x_{e}^{0}\right)=\delta\left(x^{0}-x_{e}^{0}\right)
$$

and this will give a term $\left.\delta\left(-\mid \vec{x}-\vec{x}^{e}(\tau)\right]^{2}\right)$ which only contributes for $\vec{x}=\vec{x}^{e}(\tau)$ and can be neglected. Thus we have

$$
\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}=2 e \int d \tau \Theta\left(x^{0}-x_{e}^{0}\right) \partial^{\mu} \delta\left(\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right]^{2}\right) V^{\nu}(\tau)
$$

Let us now write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^{\mu} \delta\left(\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right]^{2}\right) & =\partial^{\mu} \delta\left(R_{\sigma}(\tau) R^{\sigma}(\tau)\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial R_{\sigma} R^{\sigma}} \delta\left(R_{\sigma}(\tau) R^{\sigma}(\tau)\right) \partial^{\mu}\left(R_{\sigma} R^{\sigma}\right) \\
& =\frac{d}{d \tau} \delta\left(R_{\sigma}(\tau) R^{\sigma}(\tau)\right) \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial R_{\sigma} R^{\sigma}} \partial^{\mu}\left(R_{\sigma} R^{\sigma}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and as $R^{\sigma}=x^{\sigma}-x_{e}^{\sigma}(\tau)$ we have

$$
\partial^{\mu}\left(R_{\sigma} R^{\sigma}\right)=2 R^{\mu}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left(R_{\sigma} R^{\sigma}\right)=-2 R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma} \Rightarrow \frac{d \tau}{d R_{\sigma} R^{\sigma}}=-\frac{1}{2 R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}}
$$

so (using $\rho$ as our dummy variable in the denominator as $\sigma$ is used in the numerator)

$$
\partial^{\mu} \delta\left(\left[x_{\sigma}-x_{\sigma}^{e}(\tau)\right]^{2}\right)=-\frac{R^{\mu}}{R_{\rho} V^{\rho}} \frac{d}{d \tau} \delta\left(R_{\sigma}(\tau) R^{\sigma}(\tau)\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}=-2 e \int d \tau \Theta\left(x^{0}-x_{e}^{0}\right) \frac{R^{\mu} V^{\nu}}{R_{\rho} V^{\rho}} \frac{d}{d \tau} \delta\left(R_{\sigma}(\tau) R^{\sigma}(\tau)\right)
$$

and we can integrate this by parts to obtain

$$
\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}=2 e \int d \tau \Theta\left(x^{0}-x_{e}^{0}\right) \delta\left(R_{\sigma}(\tau) R^{\sigma}(\tau)\right) \frac{d}{d \tau}\left(\frac{R^{\mu} V^{\nu}}{R_{\rho} V^{\rho}}\right)
$$

Recalling from the derivation of the potentials that

$$
\Theta\left(x^{0}-x_{e}^{0}\right) \delta\left(R_{\sigma}(\tau) R^{\sigma}(\tau)\right)=\frac{\delta\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)}{2 R_{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right) V^{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right)}
$$

we find

$$
\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}=\frac{e}{R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left(\frac{R^{\mu} V^{\nu}}{R_{\rho} V^{\rho}}\right)
$$

with this evaluated at the retarded time. Carrying out the differentiation, with a dot denoting a derivative with respect to proper time,

$$
\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}=\frac{e}{R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}}\left(\frac{-V^{\mu} V^{\nu}+R^{\mu} \dot{V}^{\nu}}{R_{\rho} V^{\rho}}-\frac{R^{\mu} V^{\nu}}{\left(R_{\rho} V^{\rho}\right)^{2}}\left(-V_{\lambda} V^{\lambda}+R_{\lambda} \dot{V}^{\lambda}\right)\right)
$$

and hence

$$
F^{\mu \nu}=\frac{e V_{\rho} V^{\rho}}{\left(R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}\right)^{3}}\left(R^{\mu} V^{\nu}-R^{\nu} V^{\mu}\right)+\frac{e}{\left(R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}\right)^{2}}\left(R^{\mu} \dot{V}^{\nu}-R^{\nu} \dot{V}^{\mu}\right)-\frac{e R_{\rho} \dot{V}^{\rho}}{\left(R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}\right)^{3}}\left(R^{\mu} V^{\nu}-R^{\nu} V^{\mu}\right)
$$

Note that we can write $F^{\mu \nu}$ as a sum of two parts, one a velocity field containing terms depending on $V^{\mu}$ and the other an acceleration or radiative field containing derivatives of the velocity, $\dot{V}^{\mu}$ :

$$
F^{\mu \nu}=F_{v e l}^{\mu \nu}+F_{r a d}^{\mu \nu}
$$

where

$$
F_{v e l}^{\mu \nu}=\frac{e V_{\rho} V^{\rho}}{\left(R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}\right)^{3}}\left(R^{\mu} V^{\nu}-R^{\nu} V^{\mu}\right)
$$

and

$$
F_{r a d}^{\mu \nu}=\frac{e}{\left(R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}\right)^{2}}\left(R^{\mu} \dot{V}^{\nu}-R^{\nu} \dot{V}^{\mu}\right)-\frac{e R_{\rho} \dot{V}^{\rho}}{\left(R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}\right)^{3}}\left(R^{\mu} V^{\nu}-R^{\nu} V^{\mu}\right)
$$

### 5.2.4 Electromagnetic fields from Lienard-Wiechart potentials: method two

Consider the covariant form of the equation defining the retarded time:

$$
R_{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right) R^{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=0
$$

This equation defines $\tau_{0}$ as a function of the observation point $x^{\mu}$. To find how $\tau_{0}$ changes with $x^{\mu}$ we differentiate

$$
\partial_{\mu}\left(R_{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right) R^{\sigma}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right)=0 \Rightarrow 2 R_{\sigma} \partial_{\mu} R^{\sigma}=0
$$

Now,

$$
\partial_{\mu} R^{\sigma}=\partial_{\mu} x^{\sigma}-\partial_{\mu} x^{e}(\tau)=\delta_{\mu}^{\sigma}-\frac{d}{d \tau} x_{e}^{\sigma}(\tau) \partial^{\mu} \tau=\delta_{\mu}^{\sigma}-V^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \tau
$$

so at the retarded time

$$
R_{\sigma}\left(\delta_{\mu}^{\sigma}-V^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \tau_{0}\right)=0
$$

and hence

$$
\partial_{\mu} \tau_{0}=\frac{R_{\mu}}{R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}} \Rightarrow \partial^{\mu} \tau_{0}=\frac{R^{\mu}}{R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}}
$$

with the expression on the right evaluated at the retarded time.
We will use this to now evaluate the electromagnetic field tensor resulting from the fourpotential

$$
A^{\nu}\left(x^{\rho}\right)=\left.\frac{e V^{\nu}}{V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}}\right|_{\tau_{0}}
$$

In what follows we will denote differentiation with respect to proper time by a dot. Note that our expressions must all be evaluated at the retarded time - so in effect we will work out $\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}$ treating $R_{\sigma}$ and $V_{\sigma}$ as functions of $\tau$ with the awareness that in reality everything we do is evaluated at $\tau_{0}$. This allows us to substitute the above expression for $\partial^{\mu} \tau_{0}$ for $\partial^{\mu} \tau$ as we derive. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu} & =e \frac{\partial^{\mu} V^{\nu}}{V_{\sigma} R_{\sigma}}-\frac{e V^{\nu}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{2}}\left(\left(\partial^{\mu} V^{\rho}\right) R_{\rho}+V^{\rho}\left(\partial^{\mu} R_{\rho}\right)\right) \\
& =e \frac{\dot{V^{\nu}} \partial^{\mu} \tau}{V_{\sigma} R_{\sigma}}-\frac{e V^{\nu}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{2}}\left(\dot{V}^{\rho} R_{\rho} \partial^{\mu} \tau+V^{\rho}\left(\delta_{\rho}^{\mu}-V_{\rho} \partial^{\mu} \tau\right)\right) \\
& =e \frac{\dot{V}^{\nu} R^{\mu}}{\left(V_{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{2}}-\frac{e V^{\nu}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{\dot{V}^{\rho} R_{\rho} R^{\mu}}{V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}}+V^{\mu}-\frac{V^{\rho} V_{\rho} R^{\mu}}{R_{\sigma} V^{\sigma}}\right) \\
& =e \frac{R^{\mu} V^{\nu} V^{\rho} V_{\rho}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{3}}-\frac{e V^{\mu} V^{\nu}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{2}}+\frac{e R^{\mu} \dot{V}^{\nu}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{2}}-\frac{e R^{\mu} V^{\nu} \dot{V}^{\rho} R_{\rho}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we again find we can write $F^{\mu \nu}=\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}$ as a sum of two parts, one involving terms containing the four-velocity of the charge $V^{\mu}$ and the other involving terms involving the acceleration $\dot{V}^{\mu}$, that is,

$$
F^{\mu \nu}=F_{v e l}^{\mu \nu}+F_{r a d}^{\mu \nu}
$$

where

$$
F_{v e l}^{\mu \nu}=\frac{e c^{2}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{3}}\left(R^{\mu} V^{\nu}-R^{\nu} V^{\mu}\right)
$$

and

$$
F_{r a d}^{\mu \nu}=\frac{e}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{2}}\left(R^{\mu} \dot{V}^{\nu}-R^{\nu} \dot{V}^{\mu}\right)-\frac{e \dot{V}^{\rho} R_{\rho}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{3}}\left(R^{\mu} V^{\nu}-R^{\nu} V^{\mu}\right)
$$

where we have used that $V^{\rho} V_{\rho}=\gamma^{2} c^{2}-\gamma^{2} v^{2}=\gamma^{2} c^{2}\left(1-v^{2} / c^{2}\right)=c^{2}$.

### 5.2.5 Properties of the electromagnetic fields due to a moving charge

We can immediately work out some important properties of the velocity and radiative fields. Consider

$$
R_{\mu} F_{v e l}^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{e c^{2}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{3}} R^{\nu} V^{\mu} \neq 0
$$

where we have used that $R_{\mu} R^{\mu}=0$ at the retarded time (remember that all our expressions for the fields are evaluated at $\tau_{0}$ ), and

$$
R_{\mu} F_{r a d}^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{e R_{\mu} R^{\nu} \dot{V}^{\mu}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{2}}+\frac{e \dot{V}^{\rho} R_{\rho} R_{\mu} R^{\nu} V^{\mu}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{3}}=0
$$

upon making a single fraction and switching some of the dummy variables to agree. Setting $\nu$ in $R_{\mu} F_{\text {acc }}^{\mu \nu}$ to zero and $j$ successively we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{\mu} F_{r a d}^{\mu 0}=0 \Rightarrow R_{i} F_{r a d}^{i 0}=0 \Rightarrow R^{i} E_{r a d}^{i}=0 \Rightarrow \vec{n} \cdot \vec{E}_{r a d}=0 \\
R_{\mu} F_{r a d}^{\mu j}=R_{0} F_{r a d}^{0 j}+R_{i} F_{r a d}^{i j}=-R E^{j}+R^{i} \varepsilon^{i j k} B^{k}=0 \Rightarrow E^{j}=-\varepsilon^{j i k} \frac{R^{i}}{R} B^{k} \Rightarrow \vec{E}=-\vec{n} \times \vec{B}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\vec{n}$ is a unit direction in the direction of $\vec{R}$. Note that this implies $\vec{n}, \vec{E}_{\text {rad }}$ and $\vec{B}_{r a d}$ are mutually orthogonal and have the same magnitude (in Gaussian/Heaviside-Lorentz units).

We can similarly consider the dual tensor, $\tilde{F}^{\alpha \beta}=\epsilon^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}$. For both $F_{v e l}^{\mu \nu}$ and $F_{r a d}^{\mu \nu}$ we see every term in $R_{\alpha} \tilde{F}^{\alpha \beta}=\epsilon^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} R_{\alpha} F_{\mu \nu}$ will contain $\epsilon^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} R_{\alpha} R_{\mu}$ or $\epsilon^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} R_{\alpha} R_{\nu}$ and hence contract to zero. Thus,

$$
R_{\mu} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}=0
$$

and hence

$$
\vec{n} \cdot \vec{B}=0 \quad \vec{B}=\vec{n} \times \vec{E}
$$

### 5.2.6 Local form of the electromagnetic fields due to a moving charge

To transform our covariant expressions for $F_{v e l}^{\mu \nu}$ and $F_{r a d}^{\mu \nu}$ to our local frame we recall that $V^{\mu}=\gamma(c, \vec{v})=\gamma(c, c \vec{\beta})$, where $\vec{v}=c \vec{B}$. We need to work out $\dot{V}^{\mu}=\frac{d}{d \tau} V^{\mu}$. Now, $\frac{d}{d \tau}=\gamma \frac{d}{d t}$, so we have

$$
\frac{d}{d \tau} \gamma c=c \gamma \frac{d}{d t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\vec{\beta}^{2}}}=c \gamma \frac{\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}}{\sqrt{1-\vec{\beta}^{2}}}=c \gamma^{4} \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}
$$

where $\vec{\alpha}=\frac{d}{d t} \vec{\beta}$, and

$$
\frac{d}{d \tau} \gamma c \beta=c \gamma \frac{d}{d t} \gamma \beta=c \gamma\left(\vec{\beta} \frac{d}{d t} \gamma+\gamma \frac{d}{d t} \vec{\beta}\right)=c \gamma\left(\vec{\beta} \gamma^{3}(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha})+\gamma \vec{\alpha}\right)=c \gamma^{4}(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}) \vec{\beta}+c \gamma^{2} \vec{\alpha}
$$

We also have that $V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}=R \gamma c-\gamma \vec{V} \cdot \vec{R}=\gamma c R(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{v e l}^{i}=F_{v e l}^{i 0} & =\frac{e c^{2}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{3}}\left(R^{i} V^{0}-R^{0} V^{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{e c^{2}}{\gamma^{3} c^{3} R^{3}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^{3}}\left(\gamma c R^{i}-R \gamma c \beta^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{E}_{v e l}=\left.\frac{e(\vec{n}-\vec{\beta})}{\gamma^{2} R^{2}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^{3}}\right|_{t_{0}} \\
\vec{B}_{v e l}=\vec{n} \times \vec{E}_{\text {vel }}
\end{gathered}
$$

Turning to the radiative fields, we have

$$
E_{r a d}^{i}=F_{r a d}^{i 0}=\frac{e}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{2}}\left(R^{i} \dot{V}^{0}-R^{0} \dot{V}^{i}\right)-\frac{e \dot{V}^{\rho} R_{\rho}}{\left(V^{\sigma} R_{\sigma}\right)^{3}}\left(R^{i} V^{0}-R^{0} V^{i}\right)
$$

so, writing $\vec{R}=R \vec{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\gamma^{3} c^{3} R^{3}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^{3}}{e} \vec{E}_{r a d}= & \gamma c R(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})\left(\gamma^{4} c R \vec{n} \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}-R\left[c \gamma^{4}(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}) \vec{\beta}+c \gamma^{2} \vec{\alpha}\right]\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\gamma^{4} c R \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}-\gamma^{4} c R(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}) \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}-\gamma^{2} c R \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\alpha}\right)(c \gamma R \vec{n}-c \gamma R \vec{\beta}) \\
= & \gamma^{5} c^{2} R^{2}\left[(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})\left(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}(\vec{n}-\vec{\beta})-\frac{\vec{\alpha}}{\gamma^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})-\frac{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\alpha}}{\gamma^{2}}\right)(\vec{n}-\vec{\beta})\right] \\
= & \gamma^{5} c^{2} R^{2}\left[\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \vec{\alpha}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})+(\vec{n}-\vec{\beta})(\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})\right.
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
\left.\quad-\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\alpha}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})+\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\alpha}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{E}_{r a d}=\left.\frac{e}{c R} \frac{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\alpha}(\vec{n}-\vec{\beta})-\vec{\alpha}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})}{(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^{3}}\right|_{t_{0}} \\
\vec{B}_{r a d}=\vec{n} \times \vec{E}_{r a d}
\end{gathered}
$$

We can use the vector identity $\vec{a} \times(\vec{b} \times \vec{c})=\vec{b}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c})-\vec{c}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})$ with $\vec{a}=\vec{n}, \vec{b}=\vec{n}-\vec{\beta}$ and $\vec{c}=\vec{\alpha}$ to see that

$$
\vec{n} \times([\vec{n}-\vec{\beta}] \times \vec{\alpha})=\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\alpha}(\vec{n}-\vec{\beta})-\vec{\alpha}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})
$$

so we can write the radiative electric field as

$$
\vec{E}_{r a d}=\left.\frac{e}{R c} \frac{\vec{n} \times([\vec{n}-\vec{\beta}] \times \vec{\alpha})}{(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^{3}}\right|_{t_{0}}
$$

## 6 Power radiated by accelerating charge

The essential idea is that the energy flux per unit area in the direction $\vec{n}$ is given by $\vec{S} \cdot \vec{n}$ where $\vec{S}=\frac{c}{4 \pi} \vec{E}_{\text {rad }} \times \vec{B}_{\text {rad }}$ is the Poynting vector. We have that $\vec{E}_{r a d}$ is perpendicular to $\vec{B}_{r a d}$ and $\vec{n}$ is perpendicular to both with $\vec{B}_{r a d}=\vec{n} \times \vec{E}_{r a d}$, so

$$
|\vec{n} \cdot \vec{S}|=\frac{c}{4 \pi}\left|\vec{E}_{r a d}\right|^{2}
$$

Now the differential power radiated into a solid angle element $d \Omega$ in the direction $\vec{n}$ is

$$
d P=R^{2}|\vec{n} \cdot \vec{S}| d \Omega
$$

This expression is in terms of the time $t$ at the observation point; it is often more convenient to work with the time $t^{\prime}$ in the charge's own frames. We can write the energy radiated between times $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ as

$$
\mathcal{E}=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|\vec{n} \cdot \vec{S}| d t d \Omega R^{2}=\int_{t_{1}^{\prime}}^{t_{2}^{\prime}}|\vec{n} \cdot \vec{S}| \frac{d t}{d t^{\prime}} d t^{\prime} d \Omega R^{2}
$$

so we see that we should define

$$
d P\left(t^{\prime}\right)=R^{2}|\vec{n} \cdot \vec{S}| d \Omega \frac{d t}{d t^{\prime}}=R^{2} \frac{c}{4 \pi}\left|\vec{E}_{r a d}\right|^{2} d \Omega \frac{d t}{d t^{\prime}}
$$

To evaluate the derivative, we use that

$$
c t-c t^{\prime}=R
$$

from the definition of the retarded time. As $R=|\vec{R}|=\mid \vec{x}-\vec{x}_{e}\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ we find

$$
\frac{d t}{d t^{\prime}}-1=-\frac{\vec{R} \cdot \vec{v}}{R c} \Rightarrow \frac{d t}{d t^{\prime}}=1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{d P\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{d \Omega}=R^{2} \frac{c}{4 \pi}\left|\vec{E}_{r a d}\right|^{2}(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})
$$

and using the expression for $\vec{E}_{\text {rad }}$ evaluated in the preceding section we have

$$
\frac{d P\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{d \Omega}=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi c} \frac{|\vec{n} \times([\vec{n}-\vec{\beta}] \times \vec{\alpha})|^{2}}{(1-\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta})^{5}}
$$

with this expression evaluated at the retarded time.
An important consequence of the electromagnetic radiation of an accelerating charged particle is that classically an electron turning in circular motion will lose energy due to radiation, leading to a decay of its orbit.

The remainder of this section of the course is not covered by these notes.
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