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1. Introduction

Coupled first-order IVPs are frequently used in many parts of engineering and sciences [1–3], and we presented a package seems to be useful for researchers to solve IVPs [4]. It is possible to describe many dynamical problems using IVPs; MATLAB is the best software for engineers and applied scientists to solve the problems numerically, specially solving IVPs. In our early studies, we have utilized a numerical “MATLAB-linked solver” to calculate stiff or nonstiff first-order coupled IVPs using MATLAB software [4], and reader can find these programs in the appendix. The well-known numerical methods such as Runge-Kutta, Rosenbrock, Classical method, Taylor series, Adams-Bashforth are used to solve IVPs using our MATLAB-linked solver [4, 5].

The main aim of the present research is to give a MATLAB-linked solver to solve first-order coupled differential equation which is used in many subjects of the nuclear engineering. Therefore, in the present study, some dynamical problems (which mathematically are coupled first-order IVPs) are studied as examples of the present solver ability. First we explain Muon Catalyzed Fusion ($\mu$CF) and find the fusion cycling rate. Then, we focus on the poisons,
including xenon135 and samarium-149, burnups in a suggested 1000 MWe PWR as well as its 
plutonium isotopes build up. Their solutions are given using our “MATLAB-linked solver.”

Basically, consider a first-order coupled IVPs such as

\[
\frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = x_1' = p_{11}(t)x_1 + \cdots + p_{1n}(t)x_n,
\]

\[
\frac{dx_2(t)}{dt} = x_2' = p_{21}(t)x_1 + \cdots + p_{2n}(t)x_n,
\]

\[\vdots\]

\[
\frac{dx_n(t)}{dt} = x_n' = p_{n1}(t)x_1 + \cdots + p_{nn}(t)x_n,
\]

where initial values of the dependent variables are:

\[
x_i(t_0) = \alpha_i, \quad \alpha_i = \text{const.}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n). \tag{1.2}
\]

Here, \( t \) is used for the independent variable and may refer to time in a dynamical problems, 
and \( x_i(t) \) stands for dependent variables.

**Coupled IVPs with constant coefficients.** First, we consider the IVPs with constant 
coefficients, or in other words constant \( p_{ij} \), and we illustrate our package procedures to solve 
and plot the calculated dependent variables. Three programs were written and connected to 
the MATLAB, software where these programs will be run in the MATLAB's editorial page, 
by running DEPLET.m M-file. Some questionnaires should be answered by the user such as 
the following:

(i) Entering the number of differential equations (unknowns).

(ii) Inserting initial values of \( x_i \) (dependent variables).

(iii) Inserting start and end points of the computations, or in another words 
independent variables interval.

(iv) The type of coupled differential equation should be specified. The answer includes 
“Stiff” or “Nonstiff” cases.

(v) The next question is the method in which user wants for executing. Answers 
includes “ode45 method”, “ode23 method”, “ode113 method” for the nonstiff case, 
and also “ode15s method”, “ode23s method”, “ode23t method”, “ode23tb method” 
for stiff case so that for more information about these MATLAB commands, refer 
to the MATLAB help [5]. By clicking on each solvers, a short review on the 
specified numerical method will be given. Finally, user should insert \( p_{ij} \)s and 
execution would be begun. After execution, dependent variables \( (x_i(t)) \)s will be 
computed according to the desired numerical method, and user can plot \( x_i(t) \)s in 
the computational interval. For plotting \( x_1(t) \) as an example, user should write 
“1” and then a new window will be opened and \( x_1(t) \) will be plotted versus the 
computed interval.
Coupled IVPs with variable coefficients. The so-called package (called DEPLET m-file) can be extended to the IVPs with variable coefficients or in another words \( p_{ij}(t) \). In this case, the computational interval \([ab]\) should be divided into many step-size intervals so that variations of \( p_{ij}s \) are ignored in each step-size (each step-size is equal to \( h = (b - a)/N \), where \( N \) is a desired grid interval and therefore \( t_k = a + kh \), where \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \), and therefore we can assume average of \( p_{ij}s \) in eachstep-size:

\[
p_{ij}(t_k) = \bar{p}_{ij}, \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{p}_{ij} = \frac{p_{ij}(t_k) + p_{ij}(t_{k+1})}{2}.
\] (1.3)

In this case again we return into the coupled differential equations with constant coefficients which can be solved by the DEPLET.m. Calculated \( x(t_k) \), in each interval, will be used as an initial conditions for the next step, and therefore by combining given solutions the full-solutions would be obtained.

2. Some Dynamical Problems Related to Coupled IVPs

2.1. Muon Catalyzed Fusion System

The basic process of the muon catalyzed fusion in a \( D-T \) mixture as depicted in the upper part of Figure 1, can be summarized as follows [6]. After high-energy \( \mu \) injection and then stopping and decreasing its energy in a \( D-T \) mixture, either \((d\mu)\) or a \((t\mu)\) atom is formed, with a probability more or less, proportional to the relative concentration of \( D \) \((c_D)\) and \( T \) \((c_T)\). Because of the difference between \((d\mu)\) and \((t\mu)\) in the binding energies of their atomic states, \( \mu^- \) in \((d\mu)\) undergoes a transfer reaction to tritium yielding \((t\mu)\) during a collision with the surrounding tritium in either \( D-T \) or \( T_2 \) molecules. Thus the formed \((t\mu)\) reacts with \( D_2, DT \), or \( T_2 \) to form a muonic-molecule \( dt\mu \) at a rate of \( \lambda_{dt\mu} \) followed by a fusion reaction occurring from a molecular state of the \((dt\mu)\). The fusion takes place and a 14-Mev neutron and a 3.6-Mev \( \alpha \)-particle are emitted. After the fusion reaction inside the \((dt\mu)\) molecule, most of the \( \mu^- \) are liberated to participate in a second \( \mu\)CF cycle. There is however some small fraction of the \( \mu^- \) which are captured by the recoiling positively charged \( \alpha \). The probability of forming an \((a\mu)^+\) ion is called the initial sticking probability \( \omega^0_s \). Once the \((a\mu)^+\) is formed, the \( \mu^- \) can be stripped from the \((a\mu)^+\) ion where it is stuck and liberated again. This process is called regeneration, with a corresponding fraction \( R \). Thus, \( \mu^- \) in the form of either a nonstuck \( \mu^- \) or one regenerated from \((a\mu)^+\) can participate in a second \( \mu\)CF cycle, leading to an effective sticking parameter \( \omega_s = (1 - R)\omega^0_s \).

Now, consider a homogeneous media in which the \( \mu\)CF is carried out [7–9]. The ion density of the media \((\rho)\), in another words tritium and deuterium concentrations, atomic and molecular formation rates \((\lambda_{a\mu} \text{ and } \lambda_{dt\mu})\), and fusion decay rates \((\lambda^{f}_{dt\mu})\) are known as the constants due to a fixed temperature of the media and therefore are assumed to be independent of time. Therefore, according to the physical model and also Figure 1, the first-order linear coupled dynamical equations for the Muon Catalyzed Fusion system \((\mu\)CF)
are given by

\[
\frac{dN_\mu(t)}{dt} = -\lambda_0 N_\mu(t) - \lambda_{a\mu} c_d \phi_m N_\mu(t) - \lambda_{a\mu} c_t \phi_m N_\mu(t) + (1 - \omega_s) \lambda_{d\mu} t N_{d\mu}(t),
\]

\[
\frac{dN_{\mu d}(t)}{dt} = -\left(\lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) N_{\mu d}(t) + \lambda_{a\mu} c_d \phi_m N_\mu(t) - \lambda_{d\mu} c_t \phi_m N_{\mu d}(t),
\]

\[
\frac{dN_{\nu t}(t)}{dt} = -\left(\lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) N_{\nu t}(t) + \lambda_{a\nu} c_\nu \phi_m N_\nu(t) + \lambda_{d\nu} c_t \phi_m N_{\nu d}(t) - \lambda_{d\mu} c_d \phi_m N_{\nu t}(t),
\]

\[
\frac{dN_{d\mu}(t)}{dt} = \lambda_{d\mu} c_d \phi_m N_\mu(t) - \lambda_{d\mu} f_{d\mu} N_{d\mu}(t) - \lambda_0 N_{d\mu}(t),
\]

\[
\frac{dN_{\nu n}(t)}{dt} = \lambda_{d\nu} f_{d\nu} N_{\nu n}(t),
\]

where \(c_p\) and \(c_t\) are the relative concentration of deuterium and tritium, respectively. The muonic-atoms formation rates are given by \(\lambda_i\ (i = \mu d, \mu t)\), and the muonic-molecule formation rate of \(d\mu\) is given by \(\lambda_{d\mu}\). The \(d\mu\) fusion rate is shown by \(\lambda_{d\mu} f\). The possible leakage rate of muonic-atoms is proportional to \(N_{\mu d}/\tau\) and \(N_{\mu t}/\tau\), and also \(\lambda_0\) is the muon decay constant. In (2.1), \(\phi_m\) is the dimensionless ion density of the media and is proportional to liquid hydrogen density (close to 0.07). As said before, \(\omega_s\) is the muon effective sticking coefficient. Table 1 gives values of the constants for solving (2.1).

We have solved these coupled dynamics equations in time range of \([0 \text{ to } 2.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sec}]\), the muon life-time, using our MATLAB-linked solver with the following initial conditions:

\[
N_\mu(t = 0) = 1, \quad N_k(t = 0) = 0, \quad k = \mu d, \mu t, d\mu, n. \quad (2.2)
\]

According to the coupled dynamical equation (2.1) and also Figure 1, the calculated neutrons, \(N_n(t = 2.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s})\) for each one inserted muon, corresponds to the muon cycling rate of the explained cold fusion (\(\mu\)CF), or which are proportional to the number of fusion (i.e., each neutron corresponds to one fusion: \(d + t \rightarrow a + n\)).

At the end of running, neutron concentration is plotted in our calculated time interval and is given in Figure 2. According to Figure 2, \(N_n(t = 2.2 \mu s) \approx 110\) is the muon cycling rate of the mentioned Muon Catalyzed Fusion system. Each fusion gives 17.6Me 5 energy so that total obtained energy is about \(110 \times 17.6 = 1.94\text{ GeV}\). For producing one muon, due to an accelerator, we must expense about 4 GeV energy so that the above cold fusion is not commercial. Increasing temperature as well as more ion density concentration together with decreasing muon sticking coefficient \(\omega_s\) has been some ideas for obtaining commercial cold fusion which is under research. Another comments are taken into account which are beyond the scope of present research.

### 2.2. Plutonium Build Up in a Nuclear Pressurized Water Reactor

Consider a PWR which has been operating in a suggested time interval. In a PWR reactors, nuclear fuel is UO\(_2\) pellets, and uranium consist of \(^{235}\text{U}\) and \(^{238}\text{U}\) isotopes (neglecting \(^{234}\text{U}\) isotope). The most important isotopes of interest that have been produced as a result of
uranium fuel depletion, are two isotopes of plutonium element, that is, Pu-239 and Pu-241. Because they can also be employed as fuel, like as U-235 atom. The process at which U-238 fresh fuel is converted into plutonium isotopes is shown in Figure 3. The appropriate magnitude of each neutron capture cross-section for corresponded nuclear \((n,\gamma)\) reaction is given on each arrow in terms of barns \([10]\). According to the foregoing chain, a set of coupled first-order ordinary differential equation can be established to give the time-dependent concentration of some of interesting isotopes. This is done via the conservation of mass principle, that is, production rate minus consumption rate equals the net rate of change of isotope concentration. The \(^{238}\)U concentration is represented by \(N^{28}\), and \(^{239}\)Pu by \(N^{49}\).
The other plutonium isotopes such as $^{240}$Pu, $^{241}$Pu, and $^{242}$Pu are denoted by $N^{40}$, $N^{41}$, $N^{42}$, respectively. All interested materials and isotopes are balanced as follows.

$^{235}$U depletion = Absorption of thermal neutrons in the $^{235}$U cause fission.

$^{238}$U depletion = Absorption of thermal neutrons in $^{238}$U and absorption of resonance neutron in the $^{238}$U to produce $^{239}$Pu, and absorption of fast neutrons in $^{238}$U to cause fission.

$^{239}$Pu production = Absorption of thermal neutrons in the $^{238}$U + Absorption of resonance neutrons from $^{235}$U fission in $^{238}$U + Absorption of resonance neutrons from $^{239}$Pu fission in $^{238}$U - Absorption of thermal neutrons in $^{239}$Pu + Absorption of fast neutrons from $^{235}$U, $^{238}$U, and $^{239}$Pu fissions in $^{238}$U.

$^{240}$Pu production = Neutron absorption in the $^{239}$Pu to produce $^{240}$Pu minus neutron absorption in the $^{240}$Pu to produce $^{241}$Pu.

$^{241}$Pu production = Neutron absorption in the $^{240}$Pu to produce $^{241}$Pu minus neutron absorption in the $^{241}$Pu to produce $^{242}$Pu.

Fission fragments production = Fission yields of $^{235}$U + Fission yields of $^{238}$U + Fission yields of $^{239}$Pu + Fission yields of $^{240}$Pu.

Therefore, according to our defined parameters, the coupled first-order differential equations which describes plutonium and uranium isotopes concentrations are given as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dN^{25}(t)}{dt} &= -\alpha^{25}_a \phi N^{25}(t), \\
\frac{dN^{28}(t)}{dt} &= -\alpha^{28}_a \phi N^{28}(t) - \alpha^{28}_a e_p \phi N^{28}(t) - \alpha^{28}_a e_p N^{28}(t), \\
\frac{dN^{49}(t)}{dt} &= \sigma^{26}_a \phi N^{28}(t) + \eta^{25}_a e_p (1 - p) \sigma^{25}_a \phi N^{25}(t) + \eta^{49}_a e_p (1 - p) \sigma^{49}_a \phi N^{49}(t) + \eta^{25}_a \sigma^{25}_a \phi N^{25}(t) \\
&\quad+ \eta^{49}_a \sigma^{49}_a \phi N^{49}(t) - \sigma^{49}_a \phi N^{49}(t), \\
\frac{dN^{40}(t)}{dt} &= \sigma^{49}_a \phi N^{49}(t) - \alpha^{40}_a \phi(t) N^{40}(t), \\
\frac{dN^{41}(t)}{dt} &= \sigma^{40}_a \phi N^{40}(t) - \sigma^{41}_a \phi(t) N^{41}(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where $\phi(t)$ is the average thermal neutron flux of the core (we have considered $\phi(t)$ is independent of time and equal to a constant), and $\alpha^{2\beta}_a (\alpha = 2, 4$ and $\beta = 0, 5, 8, 9)$ are the nuclear thermal microscopic absorption cross section which refer to the desired isotopes. Also, other parameters are described in Table 3. As it is seen, the set of (2.3) are IVP, so it is apparent that we must know the values of atom densities at the initiation of fuel irradiation.
**Figure 2:** $dN_n(t)/dt$ is plotted versus time using MATLAB-linked solver. In our real physical conditions and our suggested model here $N_n(t = 2.2 \mu s) = 110$, is the muon cycling rate of the mentioned $\mu$CF.

\[
\frac{239}{92}\text{U}\xrightarrow{(n,\gamma)}\frac{239}{92}\text{U} \quad \beta^{-} 23.5 \text{ m}
\]

\[
\frac{239}{92}\text{Pu} \xrightarrow{(n,\gamma)}\frac{239}{92}\text{Np} \quad \beta^{-} 2.35 \text{ d}
\]

\[
\frac{241}{94}\text{Pu} \xrightarrow{(n,\gamma)}\frac{241}{94}\text{Pu} \quad \frac{242}{94}\text{Pu} \xrightarrow{(n,\gamma)}\frac{242}{94}\text{Pu} \quad \frac{243}{94}\text{Pu} \xrightarrow{(n,\gamma)}\frac{243}{94}\text{Pu}
\]

\[
\beta^{-} 13.2 \text{ y} \quad \beta^{-} 4.98 \text{ h}
\]

**Figure 3:** U-238 is converted to plutonium isotopes through the above chains.

But, it was stated that the core is initially loaded with fresh UO$_2$ fuel and there are, in fact, no plutonium isotopes at starting time. Thus the only ones should be determined are $N_{28}$ and $N_{25}$ at the time of reactor startup. On the other hand uranium element is composed of two isotopes of U-235 and U-238, in which in a typical PWR type the fuel is enriched to about averaged value of 3.5 percent where the initial values are given in Table 2.

To solve the set of above IVP, (2.3), we make some simplifying assumptions in the first iteration such as the following.

(i) Effective cross sections remain constant throughout the core and during fuel lifetime.

(ii) Average neutron flux within the core is constant and is considered to be equal to $3.5 \times 10^{13}$ neutrons/cm$^2 \cdot$ s.

(iii) The time duration at which reactor fuel has to be replaced with the fresh fuel, due to neutronic and/or thermal hydraulic reasons, is about 7300 hours.
Table 1: Constant values for solving (2.1) in 500°C temperature and media with one liquid hydrogen density. Also, it is supposed that there are equal concentrations of deuterium and tritium in the media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative concentration of deuterium</td>
<td>c_d</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative concentration of tritium</td>
<td>c_t</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muon decay constant</td>
<td>μ0</td>
<td>0.455 × 10⁶ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muonic-atom formation rate</td>
<td>λ_a</td>
<td>1 × 10¹⁰ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media ion density</td>
<td>ϕ_m</td>
<td>Liquid hydrogen density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sticking coefficient</td>
<td>ω_s</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion rate of dtμ molecule</td>
<td>λ_dt</td>
<td>1.1 × 10¹² s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation rate of dtμ molecule</td>
<td>λ_fdt</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁸ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of leakage</td>
<td>τ</td>
<td>7.08 × 10⁻⁷ s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muon exchange rate between dtμ and tμ atoms</td>
<td>λ_dt</td>
<td>1 × 10¹⁰ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Masses and atom densities of each fuel isotopes at the time of reactor startup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Mass, kg</th>
<th>Concentration, N(×10²⁴), atoms/cm³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UO₂</td>
<td>93246</td>
<td>0.0217391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²³⁵U</td>
<td>3058.043</td>
<td>0.0007700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²³⁸U</td>
<td>84314.620</td>
<td>0.0209645</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using data given in Table 3, the set of (2.3) are solved using our presented MATLAB-linked solver. The solver was run and gave our desired results. Beginning of cycle (BOC) masses of U-235, U-238, important plutonium isotopes, fission fragments burnup/or buildup and also End of Cycle (EOC) masses are illustrated in Table 4.

2.3. Samarium-149 Build Up in a Nuclear Pressurized Water Reactor

The fission fragments are highly radioactive which undergo β and γ emissions. Some of the fission fragments are highly neutron absorber materials and strongly affect neutronic balance within the core as if they act as a neutron poison. They tend to capture a neutron and form a nucleus which contains a neutron more. So as will be seen, as time goes on, fission fragments would be converted to some other atoms and it is necessary to make an estimation of about their atom density (number of atoms per unit volume within the fuel), with respect to irradiation time. According to the foregoing discussion, it is expected to have a completely different fuel at the end of fuel life with that originally loaded within the core. In most cases of interest, such as study of fission products poisoning, involved isotopes form a radioactive and neutron reacting chain in which its members are linked together via β decay and (n, γ) reactions. Also some members of the chain are produced directly from U-235 fission; that is, they have a finite yield from fission. Consider, for example, that U-235 fission rate is \( N_f \sigma_f \phi(t) \), in which \( N_f \) is U-235 atom density, \( \sigma_f \) is the effective U-235 microscopic fission cross section, and \( \phi(t) \) is the time dependent neutron flux within the core. So as a result, this amount of U-235 atoms are undergoing fission per unit volume of the fuel per unit time. We define here fission yield of \( i \)-th species, \( y_i \), as the ratio of \( i \)-th atoms produced to U-235 atoms undergoing fission. Consequently, constant formation rate of the \( i \)-th nuclide per unit volume could be written as \( P_i = y_i N_f \sigma_f \phi(t) \).
As shown in Figure 4, some members of the chain will have two different probable modes of disappearance, depending on whether the β decay or neutron capture is more probable, they tend to make two completely different nuclei. This state of affair is taken into account in writing the rate equations for some nuclei. Using the so-called chain, we can develop appropriate “rate equation” for individual nuclide per unit volume. Before this, we show some characteristics of the involved isotopes of the Sm-149 chain in Table 5.

According to Figure 4, a set of 12 coupled ordinary first-order differential equations that describe the rate of change of each of the 11 nuclei in the Sm-149 fission-product chain as well as U-235 are written as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dN_{1}}{dt} &= y_1 N_{f} \sigma_{f} \phi - (\sigma_{5} \phi + \lambda_{1}) N_{1}, \\
\frac{dN_{2}}{dt} &= y_2 N_{f} \sigma_{f} \phi + \lambda_{1} N_{1} - (\sigma_{2} \phi + \lambda_{2}) N_{2}, \\
\frac{dN_{3}}{dt} &= y_3 N_{f} \sigma_{f} \phi + \lambda_{2} N_{2} - (\sigma_{3} \phi) N_{3}, \\
\frac{dN_{4}}{dt} &= \sigma_{24} \phi N_{2} - (\sigma_{4} \phi + \lambda_{4}) N_{4}, \\
\frac{dN_{5}}{dt} &= \lambda_{45} N_{4} + \sigma_{25} \phi N_{2} - (\sigma_{5} \phi + \lambda_{5}) N_{5}, \\
\frac{dN_{6}}{dt} &= y_6 N_{f} \sigma_{f} \phi + \sigma_{4} \phi N_{4} + \sigma_{3} \phi N_{3} - (\sigma_{6} \phi + \lambda_{6}) N_{6}, \\
\frac{dN_{7}}{dt} &= y_7 N_{f} \sigma_{f} \phi + \lambda_{6} N_{6} - \sigma_{7} \phi N_{7}, \\
\frac{dN_{8}}{dt} &= \sigma_{6} \phi N_{6} - (\sigma_{8} \phi + \lambda_{8}) N_{8}, \\
\frac{dN_{9}}{dt} &= \sigma_{7} \phi N_{7} + \lambda_{8} N_{8} - \sigma_{9} \phi N_{9}, \\
\frac{dN_{10}}{dt} &= y_{10} N_{f} \sigma_{f} \phi + \sigma_{9} \phi N_{9} - (\sigma_{10} \phi + \lambda_{10}) N_{10}, \\
\frac{dN_{11}}{dt} &= y_{11} N_{f} \sigma_{f} \phi + \sigma_{10} \phi N_{10} - \sigma_{11} \phi N_{11}, \\
\frac{dN_{f}}{dt} &= -\sigma_{f} \phi N_{f},
\end{align*}
\]

where in (2.7), \(\sigma_{24}\) is radiative capture cross section for \(^{147}\text{Pm}(n, \gamma)^{148m}\text{Pm}\) reaction and in a similar manner, in (2.8) \(\sigma_{25}\) is for \(^{147}\text{Pm}(n, \gamma)^{148}\text{Pm}\) reaction. Also in (2.8) \(\lambda_{45}\) is a radioactive decay constant that \(^{148m}\text{Pm}\), as a result of a β decay, disintegrates to \(^{148}\text{Pm}\). Moreover, \(y_{i}\) indicates direct fission yield for \(i\)-th species from U-235 thermal fission, and finally, (2.15) is a rate equation for U-235 atom density in which \(\sigma_{i}\) is U-235 effective fission cross section. This equation implies that U-235 atom density decreases as an exponential function as time goes on. \(N_{f}\) is time-dependent U-235 atom density.
Figure 4: The fission-product chain leading to Sm-149.

Table 3: Effective properties of nuclide for thermal neutron in 1000 MWe PWR (3000 MW thermal power).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclide</th>
<th>Subscript</th>
<th>$\sigma_a$ (barn)</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{235}$U</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.2398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{238}$U</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.2342</td>
<td>2.3432</td>
<td>0.1907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{239}$Pu</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1618.2</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.5430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{240}$Pu</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2616.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{241}$Pu</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1567.3</td>
<td>2.223</td>
<td>0.3765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\varepsilon$ is fast fission factor = 1.0476.

$\rho$ is resonance escape probability = 0.7725.

$P_1$ is fast to $^{238}$U resonance probability = 0.9889.

$\eta$ is neutrons produced per neutrons absorbed.

$\alpha$ is ratio of capture to fission cross section.

Table 4: Fuel composition in a PWR after 1 year irradiation. All values are in terms of kg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>BOC</th>
<th>EOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{235}$U</td>
<td>3058</td>
<td>2632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{238}$U</td>
<td>84314</td>
<td>83604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fission fragments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>926</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The set of equations of (2.4) through (2.15) should be solved simultaneously to give desired result and when the matrix of coefficient is established and further investigated, it turns out that this set of equations is a nonstiff one and here, is then solved using the Runge-Kutta method [11]. Using our MATLAB-linked solver as well as data given in Table 5, our calculated results are shown in Figure 5.

2.4. Xenon135 Build Up in a Nuclear Pressurized Water Reactor

Another poison of our interest, as the greatest fission product in a nuclear reactor, is xenon135 isotope. It is the most important neutron absorber (poison) in a typical PWR type such that it
is produced directly from U-235 nucleus fission and indirectly from decay of Te-135 chain. Its decay chain is in the form

\[
\text{Fission} \rightarrow ^{135}_{52} \text{Te} \rightarrow ^{135}_{53} \text{I} \rightarrow ^{135}_{54} \text{Xe} \rightarrow ^{135}_{55} \text{Cs} \rightarrow ^{135}_{56} \text{Ba}. \quad (2.16)
\]

In addition, $^{135}$Xe is a great neutron absorber and under the neutron flux within the reactor will be changed into $^{136}$Xe. Similar to the previous samarium poisoning subsection and according to the so-called neutron absorbing and radioactive decay chain, we develop a set
Table 5: Nuclear properties for Sm decay chain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclide</th>
<th>Nuclide indication</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
<th>Absorption cross section (b)</th>
<th>Direct yield from U-235</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>147Nd</td>
<td>N₁</td>
<td>11.1 d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147Pm</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>2.62 y</td>
<td>845.72 to 148Pm, 448.23 to 146Pm</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147Sm</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>274.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147mPm</td>
<td>N₄</td>
<td>42 d (7% to 148Pm, 93% to 148Sm)</td>
<td>31964</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148Pm</td>
<td>N₅</td>
<td>5.4 d</td>
<td>13858</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149Pm</td>
<td>N₆</td>
<td>53.1 h</td>
<td>1105.6</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149Sm</td>
<td>N₇</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>73635</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150Pm</td>
<td>N₈</td>
<td>2.7 h</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150Sm</td>
<td>N₉</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>158.38</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151Sm</td>
<td>N₁₀</td>
<td>87 y</td>
<td>9734.5</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152Sm</td>
<td>N₁₁</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>813.01</td>
<td>0.00281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of six coupled first-order differential equations that describe the rate of change of each of the five nuclei in the fission-product chain as well as again U-235 atom. They are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
{^{135}\text{Te}} : & \quad \frac{dT}{dt} = y_T N_f \sigma_f \phi - \lambda_T T \\
{^{135}\text{I}} : & \quad \frac{dI}{dt} = \lambda_T T - \lambda_I I \\
{^{135}\text{Xe}} : & \quad \frac{dX}{dt} = y_X N_f \sigma_f \phi + \lambda_I I - \sigma_X X \phi - \lambda_X X \\
{^{135}\text{Cs}} : & \quad \frac{dC}{dt} = \lambda_X X - \sigma_C C \phi - \lambda_C C \\
{^{135}\text{Ba}} : & \quad \frac{dB}{dt} = \lambda_C C \\
{^{235}\text{U}} : & \quad \frac{dU}{dt} = -\sigma_a N_f \phi
\end{align*}
\]

in which \( y_T \) and \( y_X \) stand for fission yields of \(^{135}\text{Te} \) and \(^{135}\text{Xe} \), respectively. Also, \( \lambda \) are associated radioactive decay constants; \( \sigma \) are associated absorption cross-section, and explicitly \( \sigma_f \) and \( \sigma_a \) are fission and absorption cross-sections for U-235 nucleus, respectively. Constant values that were appeared in the set of equations of (2.17) through (2.22) are given in Table 6. Equations (2.17) through (2.22) are again coupled IVPs and are stiff case \([12, 13]\). These equations are solved simultaneously to give desired results; which we have focused on the Xe-135 concentration in the case of reactor power variation and results are given in Figure 6. In the first period, reactor operates at full power and xenon concentration increases toward to a constant value after about 40 hours. In the second period, reactor is shut down and therefore, xenon peaks after about 11 hours and then decreases. In the third period, reactor operates at full power and a same manner as like as period 1 for xenon behavior.
Figure 6: Xenon-135 atom density as well as power variations in the four-time interval is given.

Table 6: Nuclear properties of fission products of mass 135.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclide</th>
<th>Nuclide indication</th>
<th>Half life</th>
<th>Radioactive decay constant $s^{-1}$</th>
<th>Absorption cross-section (b)</th>
<th>Direct yield from U-235</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{135}$Te</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>29 s</td>
<td>0.0239</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{135}$I</td>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>6.7 h</td>
<td>$2.87 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{135}$Xe</td>
<td>$X$</td>
<td>9.2 h</td>
<td>$2.09 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2.64 \times 10^{6}$</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{135}$Cs</td>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{6}$ yr</td>
<td>$7.3 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{135}$Ba</td>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>stable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

are obtained. In the fourth period, reactor operates at half of nominal power (50%) and therefore a xenon peak occurs after 11 hours, but xenon steady-state concentration is more than previous period as we expected.

3. Conclusion

We have presented a computer package to solve first-order IVPs with constant and variable coefficients using MATLAB software, in which the solution of a given stiff or nonstiff coupled differential equations with known initial values were found and plotted. In the present paper, some well-known nuclear engineering dynamical problems, related to the IVPs, were given. A major application of IVPs to a real problem is the fuel depletion in a suggested PWR, where it is computed by the present MATLAB-linked “solver”. We used matrices form such as $(d/dt)[X] = [P]_ij[X]_j$ with known initial values in each case. But we have focused on the constant $[P]_ij$ matrix, where its elements are multiplication of neutronic flux and material cross sections. Our results are good compared with the well-known texts [10, 14]. Obviously, our approach should be extended to a variable $[P]_ij$ or coupled IVPs with variable coefficients for more accuracy, for instance, cross section is not fixed during fuel depletion [15, 16].

Our aim here is to bring out a MATLAB-linked solver for researchers to solve coupled IVPs numerically where it is appeared frequently in many cases of nuclear engineering problems. Reader may refer to the appendix to find our written MATLAB-linked solver program.
Three programs which are named COUPLED, COEFFICIENT, and DEPLET must be written as an M-file and then saved in the work directory of the MATLAB software. The first program is

```matlab
function dy = coupled(t,y) format('long','e') global Di
dy = zeros(Di,1); % a column vector
%disiered variable
load moham if O==1 run coefficient
end
load H
dy=H*y
O=O+1;
save moham O
```

The second program is

```matlab
function coeficent
disp('**********************************************************************')
disp('Coupled Differential Equations is computed in the form of
Dy=H*y.') disp(' You should ENTER the H(n,m) array.')
disp('**********************************************************************') load Di
for n=1:Di
    for m=1:Di
        disp('In the following, you can find desired (n,m) to RUN:')
        disp([n m])
        H(n,m)=input('Please ENTER value of H(n,m) for the above given (n,m):');
    end
end save H H
```

The 3rd program is:

```matlab
This program compute and plot set of Coupled Differential
Equations and Inintial Values(IVP) Using MATLAB commands. To
start computation one must enetr number of unknowns and equations,
constants and choose desired numerical method. function deplet
disp('**********************************************************************')
Di=input('Please ENTER the Number of Differential
Equations(Unknowns): ') save Di O=1; save moham O
%-----------------------------------------------------------------------
% xi’s are initial conditions for unknowns.
B=zeros(1,Di); for w=1:Di;
    disp('Insert initial values of Yi where i is:');
    disp([w])
    B(1,w)=input('Enter Yi: ');
end
%-----------------------------------------------------------------------
% t0 and t1 are the start and end points of time interval
```
disp('***************************************************************************')
T0=input('Insert Start-point of the computations: ');
disp('***************************************************************************')
T1=input('Insert End-point of the computations: ');
disp('***************************************************************************')

P=menu('What is the type of your coupled differential equation?
', 'NonStiff Equations', 'Stiff Equation');
if P==1;
   A=menu('Which method you want for executing?',
       'ode45 method', 'ode23 method', 'ode113 method');
   if A==1;
      disp('This methos is Based on an explicit Runge-Kutta (4,5)
      formula, the Dormand-Prince pair...')
      disp('It is a one-step solver - in computing, it needs only '
         'the solution at the immediately preceding time point,')
      disp('In general, ode45 is the best function to
         apply as "first try" for most problems.')
      disp('###############################')
      disp('press any key to continue computations**')
      disp('###############################')
      pause
      [t,y]=ode45(@coupled,[T0:1:T1],B);
      J=input('Which variables you want for plotting? ');
      plot(t,y(:,J))
   elseif A==2
      disp('This method is Based on an explicit Runge-Kutta (2,3) pair '
         'of Bogacki and Shampine. It may be more efficient'
         'than ode45 at crude tolerances and in the '
         'presence of mild stiffness.')
      disp('Like ode45, ode23 is a one-step solver. ')
      disp('**press any key to continue computations**')
      disp('###############################')
      disp('press any key to continue computations**')
      pause
      [t,y]=ode23(@coupled,[T0 T1],B)
      J=input('Which variables you want for plotting? ');
      plot(t,y(:,J))
   elseif A==3
      disp('Software will use variable order Adams-Bashforth-Moulton PECE
         solver. ')
      disp('It may be more efficient than ode45 at stringent'
         'tolerances and when the ODE function is particularly '
         'expensive to evaluate. ode113 is a multistep')
      disp('solver - it normally needs the solutions')
      disp('at several preceding time points ')}
disp('###############################')
disp('**press any key to continue computations**')
disp('###############################')
pause
[t,y]=ode113(@coupled,[T0 T1],B)
J=input('which variables you want for plotting? ');
plot(t,y(:,J))
end
elseif P==2

G=menu('Which method you want for executing',
'ode15s method','ode23s','ode23t','ode23tb')
if G==1
    disp('Software will use Variable-order solver based on the ')
disp('Numerical differentiation formulas (NDFs).')
disp('Optionally it uses the backward differentiation formulas')
disp('BDFs, (also known as Gear method).')
disp('Like ode113, ode15s is a multistep solver.')
disp('If you suspect that a problem is stiff')
disp('or if ode45 failed or was very inefficient, try ode15s')
disp('###############################')
disp('**press any key to continue computations**')
disp('###############################')
pause
[t,y]=ode15s(@coupled,[T0 T1],B)
J=input('Which variables you want for plotting? ');
plot(t,y(:,J))
elseif G==2
    disp('This method is Based on a modified Rosenbrock formula of
    order 2.')
disp('Because it is a one-step solver,')
disp('it may be more efficient than ode15s')
disp('at crude tolerances. It can solve some')
disp('kinds of stiff problems for which ode15s is not effective.')
disp('###############################')
disp('**press any key to continue computations**')
disp('###############################')
pause
[t,y]=ode23s(@coupled,[T0 T1],B)
J=input('Which variables you want for plotting? ');
plot(t,y(:,J))
elseif G==3
    disp('Software will use an implementation of the trapezoidal rule')
disp('using a "free" interpolant.')
disp('Use this solver if the problem')
disp('is only moderately stiff and you')
disp('need a solution without numerical damping.

press any key to continue computations

###############################

press any key to continue computations

###############################

pause

[t,y]=ode23t(@coupled,[T0 T1],B)

J=input('Which variables you want for plotting? ');

plot(t,y(:,J))

elseif G==4

disp('software will use an implementation of TR-BDF2,

an implicit Runge-Kutta formula with 

a first stage that is a trapezoidal 

rule step and a second stage that is a 

backward differentiation formula of 

order 2. Like ode23s, this solver may 

be more efficient than ode15s at crude tolerances.

press any key to continue computations

###############################

press any key to continue computations

###############################

pause

[t,y]=ode23tb(@coupled,[T0 T1],B)

J=input('Which variables you want for plotting? ');

plot(t,y(:,J))

end

If you want to RUN this code again, you 
must rewrite (re-Enter) options.

TO start again, RUN deplet.m
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