Research Article

Comparative Analysis of Power-Law Fin-Type Problems Using Variational Iteration Method and Finite Element Method

Mehmet Tarık Atay¹ and Safa Bozkurt Coşkun²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Nigde University, 51245 Nigde, Turkey
² Department of Civil Engineering, Nigde University, 51245 Nigde, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Mehmet Tarık Atay, ataymt@nigde.edu.tr

Received 22 September 2007; Revised 28 April 2008; Accepted 12 June 2008

Recommended by Angelo Luongo

Variational iteration method is applied to examine the temperature distribution within a single fin with a one-dimensional steady-state nonlinear heat conduction equation. Variation of temperature due to different levels of nonlinearities is analyzed. The results obtained by means of variational iteration method are compared with the results obtained from finite element method. A fourth iteration variational iteration solution is used in all cases considered. An error analysis is also conducted to evaluate the performance of proposed solution technique. The results have shown that variational iteration method is a powerful solution technique in the analysis of power-law fin-type problems.
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1. Introduction

Heat conduction problems for the fins and finned surfaces with a non-uniform heat transfer coefficient along the fin have been studied extensively [1]. Heat transfer coefficient depends on the local temperature difference between the fin surface and the surrounding medium and can be expressed as a power law.

The temperature distribution along a fin of constant cross-sectional area and the thermal conductance at the fin base can be modeled as a one-dimensional steady-state heat conduction equation, which in dimensionless form is given by [2, 3]

\[
\frac{d^2 \theta}{dx^2} - N \theta^m = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.
\] (1.1)
Subjected to following boundary conditions:

$$\theta'(0) = 0, \quad \theta(1) = 1,$$

where the differentiation is with respect to the dimensionless coordinate $x$, measured along the fin length from its adiabatic and $\theta$ is the dimensionless temperature, $N$ is the convective-conductive parameter of the fin and the exponent $m$ depends on the heat transfer mode. Physical values of the power $m$ of some practical interest are related to free convection, nucleate boiling and radiation. Some physical cases are defined in [2] with the geometry of the problem. For example, for the convecting fin problem $m = 1$, $N = (2hL^2/\kappa)\theta = (T-T_e)/(T-T_b)$, $x = X/L$, and for the fin radiating to the zero environment temperature $m = 4$, $N = (2ES^2L^2/\kappa)\theta = T/T_b$, $x = X/L$ where $E$ is emissivity, $h$ is heat transfer coefficient, $L$ is fin length, $T$ is temperature, $T_b$ is fin base temperature, $T_e$ environment temperature, $b$ is fin thickness, $k$ is thermal conductivity, and $X$ is distance from fin tip. The problem is depicted in Figure 1.

The role of the fin-tip heat transfer has been comprehensively studied in [4] and hence to avoid additional parameters in the current problem, only the case of a fin with an insulated tip, see [1.2], is considered in this paper.

The problem governed by (1.1)-(1.2) possesses an analytical solution for certain values of $m$ [3, 5–9]. The analytical solution given in [5] includes a three-parameter hyper geometric function, and also a Dawson’s integral in [3].


In recent years a solution technique called variational iteration method (VIM) [16] has been given great importance for solving nonlinear differential equations. VIM is a kind of variational-based analytical technique in efficient solution of nonlinear differential equations including boundary value and initial value problems, nonlinear system of differential equations, nonlinear partial differential equations [17–19]. Coşkun and Atay [20, 21] used VIM for the analysis of convective straight and radial fins with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and examined the effect of temperature-dependent thermal conductivity considering various cases. These successful applications of the method to the fin-type problems are the reasons for choosing VIM in present problem.
VIM directly gives the solution of corresponding equation which is one of its advantages when compared to ADM. The formulation and solution process of VIM is much easier when compared to decomposition methods, in this respect; VIM is an easy-to-apply method for the analysis of nonlinear problems in engineering.

In this study, power-law fin-type problems will be analyzed using VIM. In the analysis, the effects of convective-conductive parameter of the fin \( N \) and exponent of the power-law fin-type \( m \) which corresponds to heat transfer mode will be studied in detail. An error analysis will also be conducted by comparing VIM results with the finite element solution of the same equation.

2. VIM formulation of the problem

According to VIM, the following differential equation may be considered:

\[
Lu + Nu = g(x),
\]

where \( L \) is a linear operator, \( N \) is a nonlinear operator, and \( g(x) \) is an inhomogeneous term.

Based on VIM, a correct functional can be constructed as follows:

\[
u_{n+1}(x) = u_n(x) + \int_0^x \lambda(\xi) \{ Lu_n(\tau) + N \tilde{u}_n(\tau) - g(\tau) \} d\tau,
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a general Lagrangian multiplier, which can be identified optimally via the variational theory, the subscript \( n \) denotes the \( n \)th-order approximation, \( \tilde{u} \) is considered as a restricted variation, that is, \( \delta \tilde{u} = 0 \). By using this formulation, a differential equation for obtaining the Lagrangian multiplier \( \lambda(\xi) \) is

\[
\lambda^n(\xi) = 0
\]

with corresponding conditions,

\[
\lambda(\xi)|_{\xi=x} = 0,
\]

\[
[1 - \lambda'(\xi)]|_{\xi=x} = 0.
\]

By solving (2.3) with respect to boundary conditions in (2.4), Lagrangian multiplier \( \lambda(\xi) \) is obtained as follows:

\[
\lambda(\xi) = \xi - x.
\]

If the above VIM formulation is applied to (1.1), following iteration formula can be obtained accordingly:

\[
\theta_{n+1}(x) = \theta_n(x) + \int_0^x \lambda(\xi) \{ \theta''(\xi) - N \tilde{\theta}'(\xi) \} d\xi,
\]

where \( N \) is previously defined as nonlinear operator in (2.1). Lagrange multiplier \( \lambda \) is obtained as follows by assuming that \( L = d^2/dt^2 \) with the restricted variation \( \delta \tilde{u}_n = 0 \).

The iteration formula given in (2.6) is a simple approximation when compared to ADM formulation of the same problem [14]. This is due to the fact that new formulation directly gives the expression instead of summing recursive approximations which is a tedious work.
3. Solutions for fin temperature distribution

As a starting approximation for VIM solution, $\theta$ is assumed as constant, which was assumed as constant also in ADM solution [14]. For simplicity, first few iterations of VIM corresponding to $N = 1$ with $m = 2, 3, 4$ are presented. For $N = 1, m = 2$, first three iterations are

$$
\theta_0(x) = B,
\theta_1(x) = B + \frac{B^2 x^2}{2},
\theta_2(x) = B + \frac{B^2 x^2}{2} + \frac{B^3 x^4}{12} + \frac{B^4 x^6}{120},
\theta_3(x) = B + \frac{B^2 x^2}{2} + \frac{B^3 x^4}{12} + \frac{B^4 x^6}{72} + \frac{B^5 x^8}{560} + \frac{11B^6 x^{10}}{64800} + \frac{B^7 x^{12}}{95040} + \frac{B^8 x^{14}}{2620800}.
$$

For $N = 1, m = 3$, first three iterations are

$$
\theta_0(x) = B,
\theta_1(x) = B + \frac{B^3 x^2}{2},
\theta_2(x) = B + \frac{B^3 x^2}{2} + \frac{B^5 x^4}{8} + \frac{B^7 x^6}{40} + \frac{B^9 x^8}{448},
\theta_3(x) = B + \frac{B^3 x^2}{2} + \frac{B^5 x^4}{8} + \frac{B^7 x^6}{80} + \frac{23B^9 x^8}{2240} + \frac{83B^{11} x^{10}}{33600} + \frac{101B^{13} x^{12}}{197120} + \cdots.
$$

For $N = 1, m = 4$, first three iterations are

$$
\theta_0(x) = B,
\theta_1(x) = B + \frac{B^4 x^2}{2},
\theta_2(x) = B + \frac{B^4 x^2}{2} + \frac{B^7 x^4}{6} + \frac{B^{10} x^6}{20} + \frac{B^{13} x^8}{112} + \frac{B^{16} x^{10}}{1440},
\theta_3(x) = B + \frac{B^4 x^2}{2} + \frac{B^7 x^4}{6} + \frac{13B^{10} x^6}{180} + \frac{17B^{13} x^8}{560} + \frac{189B^{16} x^{10}}{151200} + \frac{701B^{19} x^{12}}{166320} + \cdots.
$$

Analysis of the problem is conducted for $N = 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5$ with $m = 2, 3, 4$ for each $N$ up to fourth iteration of $\theta(\theta_4(x))$. By using these parameters, the effect of increasing convective-conductive parameter of the fin ($N$) and exponent of the power-law fin-type ($m$) which corresponds to heat transfer mode is studied. These parameters cause nonlinearity in (1.1). Hence, with the performed analysis, the effect of degree of nonlinearity in the solution of this equation is examined. For this purpose an error criterion is defined and calculated for each case by using the finite element solution of the equation and VIM solution with fourth iteration. Error criterion is defined as

$$
\text{Error} = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\theta_{\text{FEM}}(i) - \theta_{\text{VIM}}(i)|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\theta_{\text{FEM}}(i)|^2} \right)^{1/2},
$$

where $\theta_{\text{FEM}}$ is the finite element solution, $\theta_{\text{VIM}}$ is the fourth iteration VIM solution, and $n$ is the number of points where the solution is considered.
Proposed solution technique is applied for different cases explained in previous section. These different parameters represent the degree of nonlinearity in the corresponding equation. In this study, finite element solutions of (1.1) are obtained using the software FlexPDE Version 5. In finite element analysis quadratic basis functions are used and a modified Newton-Raphson algorithm is employed with a root mean square error criterion of order \(10^{-7}\) or less to solve nonlinear set of resulting equations.

First, the problem is solved for small values of convective-conductive parameter \(N = 0.1\) with varying \(m\) values. To this aim, \(N\) is chosen as 0.1 and 0.5. Figure 2 shows the variation of \(\theta\) with respect to \(x\) for \(N = 0.1\). From the figure it seems that VIM solution is in excellent agreement with FEM solutions. From Figure 8, a very small error of order \(10^{-8}\) can be observed.

For \(N = 0.5\), the results produced by using both methods are shown in Figure 3. Again, an excellent agreement can be seen from this figure with the same order of errors as in previous case.

At the next stage, the analysis is conducted for \(N = 1\) and \(N = 2\). Figures 4 and 5 show the results obtained for these cases. From the graphs the results obtained from both methods are still in very good agreement, however as shown in Figures 7 and 8 errors are increased gradually for these cases when compared to first two cases.

Last case study is performed for \(N = 5\) with the same \(m\) values. The results are shown in Figure 6. From the analysis, it can be observed that VIM and FEM results are still in good agreement but maximum errors are obtained as it can be seen form Figures 7 and 8 for this case when compared to previous cases.

Case studies have shown that increasing nonlinearity due to the increasing parameters \(N\) and \(m\) resulted in increasing error values. However, obtained VIM results are still in good agreement with the FEM results even for the maximum values of \(N\) and \(m\) considered.

In order to show the effect of nonlinearity in the solutions obtained, two different error analyses are conducted with respect to \(N\) and \(m\) parameters. In all cases considered, a fourth iteration solution in VIM analysis is used. From Figure 7, it can be observed that errors increase.
Figure 3: Dimensionless temperature distribution for convective-conductive parameter $N = 0.5$.

Figure 4: Dimensionless temperature distribution for convective-conductive parameter $N = 1$.

Figure 5: Dimensionless temperature distribution for convective-conductive parameter $N = 2$. \

...
Figure 6: Dimensionless temperature distribution for convective-conductive parameter $N = 5$.

Figure 7: Variation of errors with respect to heat transfer mode ($m$) for different convective-conductive parameters ($N$).

Figure 8: Variation of errors with respect to convective-conductive parameter ($N$) for different heat transfer modes ($m$).
with increasing \( m \) for each \( N \) values. Also, maximum errors are obtained for maximum \( N \) value \((N = 5)\). Figure 8 describes variation of error with respect to \( N \) for different \( m \) values. From the graph, it can be observed that error increases with increasing \( N \) even though \( N \) is a constant value. Equation (1.1) includes a nonlinear term multiplied by this constant \( N \). Figure 8 shows that \( N \) has a significant effect on the solution of the governing equation given in (1.1). Hence, with the increasing multiplier for the nonlinear term in (1.1), more iteration may be required for an accurate analysis. However, fourth iteration VIM solution used in this study is accurate enough for the analysis of the problem with the values considered in this study.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, power-law fin-type problems have been considered. The nonlinear fin equation has been solved by using the VIM which provides an analytical expression converging to the solution of the governing equation. These results were compared with the FEM results of the same equation and the effect of nonlinearity due to the convective-conductive parameter of the fin \((N)\) and exponent of the power-law fin-type \((m)\) has been analyzed. The results have shown that VIM can be efficiently used in the analysis of power-law fin-type problems and another remarkable point is that the analysis with VIM requires not much effort with respect to formulation of the problem and iteration required. An additional important point is that the error obtained has been increased with the parameters affecting the nonlinearity of the governing equation. However, VIM handles the nonlinearity successfully even with less iteration for the cases considered. Another advantage of the VIM is that the method gives an analytical expression as a solution. This is a superiority when compared to mesh-based numerical methods.
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