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This paper is concerned with the dynamical stability analysis for almost periodic solution of memristive neural networks with time-varying delays. Under the framework of Filippov solutions, by applying the inequality analysis techniques, the existence and asymptotically almost periodic behavior of solutions are discussed. Based on the differential inclusions theory and Lyapunov functional approach, the stability issues of almost periodic solution are investigated, and a sufficient condition for the existence, uniqueness, and global exponential stability of the almost periodic solution is established. Moreover, as a special case, the condition which ensures the global exponential stability of a unique periodic solution is also presented for the considered memristive neural networks. Two examples are given to illustrate the validity of the theoretical results.

1. Introduction

Memristor (resistor with memory), which was firstly postulated by Chua in [1], is the fourth fundamental electronic component along with the resistor, inductor, and capacitor. On May 1, 2008, the Hewlett-Packard (HP) research team announced their realization of a memristor prototype, with an official publication in Nature [2, 3]. This new circuit element is a two-terminal element, either a charge-controlled memristor or a flux-controlled memristor, and shares many properties of resistors and the same unit of measurement (ohm). Subsequently, memristor has received a great deal of attention from many scientists because of its potential applications in next generation computer and powerful brain-like “neural” computer [4–14].

Neural networks, such as Hopfield neural networks, Cellular neural networks, Cohen-Grossberg, and bidirectional associative neural networks, are very important nonlinear circuit networks, and, in the past few decades, have been extensively studied due to their potential applications in classification, signal and image processing, parallel computing, associate memories, optimization, cryptography, and so forth. [18–27]. Many results, which deal with the dynamics of various neural networks such as stability, periodic oscillation, bifurcation, and chaos, have been obtained by applying Lyapunov stability theory; see, for example [28–45] and the references therein. Very recently, memristor-based neural networks (memristive neural networks) have been designed by replacing the resistors in the primitive neural networks with memristors in [46–52]. As is well known, the memristor exhibits the feature of pinched hysteresis, which means that a lag occurs between the application and the removal of a field and its subsequent effect, just as the neurons in the human brain have. Because of this feature, the memristive neural networks can remember its past dynamical history, store a continuous set of states, and be “plastic” according to the presynaptic and postsynaptic neuronal activity. In [46], Itoh and Chua designed a memristor cellular automaton and a memristor discrete-time cellular neural network, which can
perform a number of applications such as logical operations, image processing operations, complex behaviors, higher brain functions, and RSA algorithm. In [47], Pershin and Di Ventra constructed a simple neural network consisting of three electronic neurons connected by two memristor-emulator synapses and demonstrated experimentally the formation of associative memory in these memristive neural networks. This experimental demonstration opens up new possibilities in the understanding of neural processes using memory devices, an important step forward to reproduce complex learning, adaptive and spontaneous behavior with electronic neural networks.

It is well known that, in the design of practical neural networks, the qualitative analysis of neural network dynamics plays an important role. For example, to solve problems of optimization, neural control, and signal processing, neural networks have to be designed in such a way that, for a given external input, they exhibit only one globally asymptotically stable equilibrium point. Hence, in practice applications, it is an essential issue to discuss the stability of the considered memristive neural networks. As a special case, the conditions of the global exponential stability of a unique periodic solution are also presented.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the model formulation and some preliminaries are given. In Section 3, the existence and asymptotically almost periodic behavior of solutions are analyzed, and the uniqueness and global exponential stability of the almost periodic solution are investigated. In Section 4, two numerical examples are presented to demonstrate the validity of the proposed results. Some conclusions are made in Section 5.

Notations. Throughout this paper, $R$ denotes the set of real numbers, $R^n$ denotes the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space, and $R^{m×n}$ denotes the set of all $m \times n$ real matrices. For any matrix $A$, $A^T$ denotes the transpose of $A$. If $A$ is a real symmetric matrix, $A > 0$ ($A < 0$) means that $A$ is positive (negative) definite. Given the column vectors $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^T$, $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)^T \in R^n$, $x^Ty = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_iy_i$, $|x| = (|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|)^T$, and $\|x\| = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2)^{1/2}$. $\|A\| = \sqrt{\lambda_{\text{M}}(A^TA)}$ represents the norm of $A$, where $\lambda_{\text{M}}(A)$ is the maximum eigenvalue of $A$. $C([−τ,0];R^n)$ denotes the family of continuous functions $φ$ from $[−r,0]$ to $R^n$ with the norm $\|φ\| = \sup_{−r≤s≤0}|φ(s)|$. $x(t)$ denotes the derivative of $x(t)$. Matrices, if their dimensions are not explicitly stated, are assumed to have compatible dimensions for algebraic operations.

2. Model Description and Preliminaries

The KCL equation of the $i$th subsystem of a general class of neural networks with time-varying delays can be written as

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -\frac{1}{C_i} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{R_{ij}} + \frac{1}{F_{ij}} \right) \times \text{sgn}_{ij} + \frac{1}{R_{ij}} \right] x_i(t) $$
$$+ \frac{1}{C_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j(x_j(t)) \times \text{sgn}_{ij} $$
$$+ \frac{1}{C_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j(x_j(t - τ_j(t))) \times \text{sgn}_{ij} $$
$$+ \frac{l_i}{C_i}, \quad t ≥ 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_i$$

where $x_i(t)$ is the voltage of the capacitor $C_i$; $R_{ij}$ denotes the resistor between the feedback function $g_j(x_j(t))$ and $x_i(t)$; $F_{ij}$ denotes the resistor between the feedback function $g_j(x_j(t - τ_j(t)))$ and $x_i(t)$; $τ_i(t)$ corresponds to the transmission delay; $l_i$ represents the parallel resistor corresponding to the capacitor $C_i$; $1_i$ is the external input or bias;

$$\text{sgn}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i ≠ j, \\ -1, & i = j. \end{cases}$$
Let \( \tilde{d}_i = -(1/C_i)[\sum_{j=1}^{n}(1/R_{ij} + 1/F_{ij}) \times \text{sgn}_{ij} + 1/R_i] \), \( \tilde{a}_i = \text{sgn}_{ij}/C_{ij} \), \( \tilde{b}_j = \text{sgn}_{ij}/C_{ij} \), and \( I_i = I_i/C_i \), then (1) can be rewritten as

\[
\dot{x}_i(t) = -d_i(x_i(t))x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ij}(x_i(t))g_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}b_{ij}(x_i(t))g_j(x_j(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\] (3)

By replacing the resistors \( R_{ij} \) and \( F_{ij} \) in the primitive neural networks (1) or (3) with memristors whose memductances are \( \mathbb{W}_{ij} \) and \( \mathbb{M}_{ij} \), respectively, then a memristive neural network with time-varying delays can be designed as

\[
\dot{x}_i(t) = -d_i(x_i(t))x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ij}(x_i(t))g_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}b_{ij}(x_i(t))g_j(x_j(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\] (4)

where \( d_i(x_i(t)) = -(1/C_i)[\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\mathbb{W}_{ij} + \mathbb{M}_{ij}) \times \text{sgn}_{ij} + 1/R_i] \), \( a_{ij}(x_i(t)) = (\mathbb{W}_{ij}/C_i) \times \text{sgn}_{ij} \), \( b_{ij}(x_i(t)) = (\mathbb{M}_{ij}/C_i) \times \text{sgn}_{ij} \), and \( I_i = I_i/C_i \).

Combining the typical current-voltage characteristics of memristor (see Figure 1 in [48]), similarly to discussion in [49, 50], the coefficient parameters of the system (4) \( d_i(x_i(t)), a_{ij}(x_i(t)), \) and \( b_{ij}(x_i(t)) \) can be modeled as

\[
d_i(x_i(t)) = \begin{cases} \tilde{d}_i, & |x_i(t)| < T_i, \\ \tilde{d}_i, & |x_i(t)| > T_i, \end{cases}
\]

\[
a_{ij}(x_i(t)) = \begin{cases} \tilde{a}_{ij}, & |x_i(t)| < T_i, \\ \tilde{a}_{ij}, & |x_i(t)| > T_i, \end{cases}
\]

\[
b_{ij}(x_i(t)) = \begin{cases} \tilde{b}_{ij}, & |x_i(t)| < T_i, \\ \tilde{b}_{ij}, & |x_i(t)| > T_i, \end{cases}
\] (5)

where switching jumps \( T_i > 0, \tilde{d}_i > 0, \tilde{d}_i > 0, \tilde{a}_{ij}, \tilde{a}_{ij}, \tilde{b}_{ij}, \) and \( \tilde{b}_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \) are constant numbers.

The initial value associated with the system (4) is \( x_i(t) = \varphi_i(t) \in C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}), \) \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \)

Let \( \bar{d} = \max[\tilde{d}_i, \tilde{d}_i], \bar{d}_i = \min[\tilde{d}_i, \tilde{d}_i], \bar{a}_{ij} = \max[\tilde{a}_{ij}, \tilde{a}_{ij}], \) \( \bar{a}_{ij} = \min[\tilde{a}_{ij}, \tilde{a}_{ij}], \bar{b}_{ij} = \max[\tilde{b}_{ij}, \tilde{b}_{ij}], \) and \( \bar{b}_{ij} = \min[\tilde{b}_{ij}, \tilde{b}_{ij}] \).

Notice that the system (4) is a differential equation with discontinuous right-hand sides, and based on the theory of differential inclusions [53], if \( x_i(t) \) is a solution of (4) in the sense of Filippov [54], then

\[
\dot{x}_i(t) \in -[\bar{d}_i, \bar{d}_i] x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_{ij} \tilde{g}_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij} \tilde{g}_j(x_j(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\] (6)

The differential inclusion system (6) can be transformed into the vector form as

\[
\dot{x}(t) \in -[D, D] x(t) + [A, A] g(x(t)) + [B, B] g(x(t)), \quad t \geq 0,
\] (7)

where \( D = \text{diag}(\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2, \ldots, \bar{d}_n), D = \text{diag}(\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2, \ldots, \bar{d}_n), A = (\bar{a}_{ij})_{n \times n}, A = (\bar{a}_{ij})_{n \times n}, B = (\bar{b}_{ij})_{n \times n}, B = (\bar{b}_{ij})_{n \times n} \).

The almost periodic solution \( x^\ast(t) \) of the system (4) is said to be globally exponentially stable, if there exist scalars \( \eta > 0 \) and \( \delta > 0 \), such that

\[
\| x(t) - x^\ast(t) \| \leq \eta e^{-\delta t}, \quad t \geq 0,
\] (9)

where \( x(t) \) is the solution of the system (4) with the initial value \( x(t) = \varphi(t) \in C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}) \). \( \delta \) is called the exponential convergence rate.

Definition 3 (see [55]). The solution \( x(t) \) of the system (4) with the initial value \( x(t) = \varphi(t) \in C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}) \) is said to be asymptotically almost periodic, if, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exist scalars \( T > 0, l = l(\varepsilon) > 0 \) and, for any interval with length \( l \), there exists a scalar \( \omega = \omega(\varepsilon) > 0 \) in this interval, such that \( \| x(t + l) - x(t) \| < \varepsilon \) for all \( t \in R \).

Lemma 4 (see [29]). For any \( Q(t) \in [Q, Q] \), the following inequality holds:

\[
\| Q(t) \| \leq \| Q^\ast \| + \| Q \|, \quad \text{where} \quad Q^\ast = (Q + Q)/2, \quad Q_\ast = (Q - Q)/2.
\] (10)
Lemma 5 (see [45]). Let scalar \( \epsilon > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), then
\[
x^T Ay \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} x^T AA^T x + \frac{\epsilon}{2} y^T y.
\] (11)

Throughout this paper, the following assumptions are made on (4):

\( (A_1) \) \( I(t) \) is an almost periodic function.

\( (A_2) \) \( g_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a nondecreasing continuous function.

\( (A_3) \) \( \tau_i (t) \) is an almost periodic function, and \( 0 < \tau_i (t) < \tau, \tilde{\tau}(t) \leq \mu < 1, \tau \) and \( \mu \) are constants.

3. Main Results

In this section, the main results concerned with the existence, uniqueness, and global exponential stability of the almost periodic solutions are addressed for the memristive neural network in (4).

Theorem 6. Under the assumptions \((A_1)-(A_3)\), if there exists a diagonal matrix \( P = \text{diag}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) > 0 \) such that
\[
\frac{1}{1 - \mu} U + \mathcal{P}(\| B^* \| + \| B_* \|) \tilde{U} - S < 0,
\] (12)

where \( \mathcal{P} = \max p_i, U \) is the identity matrix, \( S = (s_{ij})_{n\times n} \), \( s_{ij} = -2p_i \alpha_i + p_\alpha j, s_{ji} = -\max \{|p_i \alpha_i + p_\alpha j|, |p_i \alpha _j + p_\alpha i|\} \), \( i \neq j \), \( B^*(\alpha) = (B+B)/2 \), and \( B_* = (B-B)/2 \). then

(1) For any initial value \( x(t) = \phi(t) \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \), there exists a solution of the almost periodic neural network \((4)\) on \([0, +\infty)\), and this solution is asymptotically almost periodic.

(2) The memristive neural network \((4)\) has a unique almost periodic solution which is globally exponentially stable.

Proof. We should prove this theorem in four steps.

Step 1. In this step, we will prove the existence of the solution of the system \((4)\) has a global solution for any initial value \( x(t) = \phi(t) \in C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) \).

Similar to the proof of Lemma 1 in [37], under the assumptions of Theorem 6, it is easy to obtain the existence of the local solution of \((4)\) with initial value of solution \( x(t) = \phi(t) \in C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) \) on \([0, T(\phi))\), where \( T(\phi) \in (0, +\infty) \) or \( T(\phi) = +\infty \), and \([0, T(\phi))\) is the maximal right-side existence interval of the local solution.

Due to \( d_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), by (12), we can choose constants \( \delta > 0 \) and \( v > 0 \), such that \( 0 < \delta < d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, (\delta + 3v)U - 2D < 0 \), and
\[
\frac{e^{\delta t}}{1 - \mu} U + \mathcal{P}(\| B^* \| + \| B_* \|) \tilde{U} - S < 0.
\] (13)

Without loss of generality, we suppose that \( g(0) = 0 \). Let scalars \( \alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \). Consider a Lyapunov functional defined by
\[
V (t) = V_1 (t) + V_2 (t) + V_3 (t), \quad t \geq 0,
\] (14)

where
\[
V_1 (t) = e^{\delta t} x^T (t) x (t),
\]
\[
V_2 = 2\alpha e^{\delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \int_{0}^{t} g_i (\theta) d\theta,
\] (15)
\[
V_3 = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \mu} \int_{-\tau}^{t} g(\theta) g(\theta) e^{\delta (\theta + \tau)} d\theta.
\]

Calculate the time derivative of \( V(t) \) along the local solution of \((4)\) on \([0, T(\phi))\). By (8) and Lemma 5, we have
\[
\dot{V}_1 (t) = \delta e^{\delta t} x^T (t) x (t) + 2e^{\delta t} x^T (t) \dot{x} (t)
\]
\[
= \delta e^{\delta t} x^T (t) x (t) - 2e^{\delta t} x^T (t) \mathcal{D} (t) x (t)
\]
\[
+ 2e^{\delta t} x^T (t) \mathcal{A} \mathcal{D} (t) g (x (t))
\]
\[
+ 2e^{\delta t} x^T (t) \mathcal{B} (t) g (x (t - \tau (t)))
\]
\[
+ 2e^{\delta t} x^T (t) I (t)
\]
\[
\leq \delta e^{\delta t} x^T (t) ((\delta + 3v)U - 2\mathcal{D} (t)) x (t)
\]
\[
+ \frac{e^{\delta t}}{v} g^T (x (t)) \mathcal{D} (t) g (x (t))
\]
\[
+ \frac{e^{\delta t}}{v} g^T (x (t - \tau (t))) \mathcal{B} (t) g (x (t - \tau (t)))
\]
\[
+ \frac{e^{\delta t}}{v} I (t) I (t)
\]
\[
\leq \delta e^{\delta t} g^T (x (t)) \mathcal{D} (t) g (x (t))
\]
\[
+ \delta e^{\delta t} g^T (x (t - \tau (t))) \mathcal{B} (t) g (x (t - \tau (t)))
\]
\[
+ \delta e^{\delta t} I (t) I (t).
\] (16)

By the assumptions \((A_2)\) and \((A_3)\), for any constant \( v > 0 \), we have
\[
\dot{V}_2 (t) = 2\alpha \delta e^{\delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \int_{0}^{x(t)} g_i (\theta) d\theta
\]
\[
+ 2\alpha e^{\delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g_i (x_i (t)) \dot{x}_i (t)
\]
\[ \begin{align*}
&\leq 2\alpha \delta t \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g_i(\gamma_i(t)) x_i(t) \\
&\quad + 2\alpha \delta t \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g_i(\gamma_i(t)) \dot{x}_i(t) \\
&= 2\alpha \delta t g^T(t) P x(t) \\
&\quad + 2\alpha \delta t g^T(t) P \dot{x}(t) \\
&= 2\alpha \delta t g^T(t) P x(t) \\
&\quad - 2\alpha \delta t g^T(t) P \dot{D}(t) x(t) \\
&\quad + 2\alpha \delta t g^T(t) P \dot{A}(t) g(x(t)) \\
&\quad + 2\alpha \delta t g^T(t) P \dot{B}(t) g(x(t-\tau(t))) \\
&\quad + \gamma \alpha \delta t g^T(t) g(x(t)) + \frac{\alpha \rho^2 \epsilon \delta t}{\gamma} I(t)
\end{align*} \]

From (16) and (17) and by Lemma 4, one yields

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{V}(t) &\leq \alpha \delta t \left( P \dot{A}(t) + \dot{A}(t)^T P + PB(t) (PB(t))^T \right) \\
&\quad + \left( y + \frac{\|A(t)\|^2}{\alpha y} + \frac{\epsilon \delta t}{1 - \mu} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) U g(x(t)) \\
&\quad + e^{\delta t} g^T(x(t - \tau(t))) \left( \frac{\|B(t)\|^2}{\gamma} - \beta \right) g(x(t)) \\
&\quad \times g(x(t - \tau(t))) + e^{\delta t} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{\gamma} \right) \|I(t)\|^2 \\
&\quad \leq \alpha \delta t \left| g^T(x(t)) \right| \\
&\quad \times \left( \mathcal{S}^2 \left( \|B^*\| + \|B_*\|^2 \right) U - S \\
&\quad + \left( y + \frac{\|A_*\|^2 + \|A^*\|^2}{\alpha y} + \frac{\epsilon \delta t}{1 - \mu} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) \right) U \right) g(x(t)) \\
&\quad \times g(x(t - \tau(t))) + e^{\delta t} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{\gamma} \right) \|I(t)\|^2,
\end{align*} \]

(18)

where \( A^* = (A + A)/2, A_* = (A - A)/2 \). By (13), we can choose suitable constants \( y > 0, \alpha > 0, \gamma > 0, \) and \( \beta > 0 \), such that

\[ \mathcal{S}^2 \left( \|B^*\| + \|B_*\|^2 \right) - \beta < 0, \]

(19)

This implies that

\[ \dot{V}(t) \leq e^{\delta t} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{\gamma} \right) \|I(t)\|^2. \]

(20)

Moreover, by the assumption \( (A_*) \), we can obtain that \( I(t) \) is a bounded function. Hence, there exist a constant \( M > 0 \), such that

\[ 0 < \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{\gamma} \right) \|I(t)\|^2 < M, \quad t \geq 0. \]

(21)

By (20) and (21), it follows that

\[ \dot{V}(t) \leq Me^{\delta t}, \quad t \in [0, T(\varphi)). \]

(22)
From the definition of $V(t)$ and (22), we have
\[
e^{\delta t}\|x(t)\|^2 \leq V(t) \leq V(0) + \int_0^t V(s)\,ds
\]
\[
\leq V(0) + \int_0^t M e^{\delta s}\,ds
\]
\[
= V(0) + \frac{M}{\delta}(e^{\delta t} - 1), \quad t \in [0,T(\varphi)).
\]
Thus,
\[
\|x(t)\|^2 \leq e^{-\delta t}V(0) + \frac{M}{\delta}(1 - e^{-\delta t})
\]
\[
\leq V(0) + \frac{M}{\delta}, \quad t \in [0,T(\varphi)).
\]
This shows that the local solution $x(t)$ of (4) is bounded on $[0,T(\varphi))$ and hence is defined on $[0,\infty)$. That is, the system (4) has a global solution for any initial value $x(t) = \varphi(t) \in C([-\tau, 0]; R^n)$. Step 2. In this step, the global solution $x(t)$ of the system (4) will be proved to be asymptotically almost periodic.

Let $y(t) = x(t + \omega) - x(t)$, then
\[
\dot{y}(t) = -\mathcal{D}(t)y(t) + \mathcal{A}(t)\ddot{g}(y(t)) + \mathcal{B}(t)\ddot{g}(y(t - \tau(t))) + \mathcal{I}(\omega,t),
\]
where
\[
\ddot{g}(y(t)) = g(x(t + \omega)) - g(x(t)),
\]
\[
\ddot{g}(y(t - \tau(t))) = g(x(t + \omega - \tau(t))) - g(x(t - \tau(t))),
\]
\[
\mathcal{I}(\omega,t) = I(t + \omega) - I(t).
\]

Similar to $V(t)$, define Lyapunov functional $W(t)$ as
\[
W(t) = e^{\delta t}y^T(t)y(t) + 2\alpha e^{\delta t}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_0^{\tau(t)} \ddot{g}_i(\theta)\,d\theta
\]
\[
+ \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \mu} \int_{-\tau(t)}^t \dot{\ddot{g}}^T(\ddot{g}(y(t))\ddot{g}(y(\theta)))e^{\delta(\theta + \tau)}\,d\theta.
\]
Calculate the derivative of $W(t)$ along the solution of the system (25). Arguing as in Step 1, we can choose the appropriate positive constants $\nu, \gamma, \alpha, \delta$ such that
\[
\dot{W}(t) \leq e^{\delta t}\left(1 + \frac{\alpha \delta^2}{\gamma}\right)\|I(\omega,t)\|^2, \quad t > 0.
\]
By the assumption $(A_i), I(t)$ is an almost periodic function. Thus, by Definition 1, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $l = l(\epsilon) > 0$, and for any interval with length $l$, there exists a scalar $\omega$ in this interval, such that
\[
\left(1 + \frac{\alpha \delta^2}{\gamma}\right)\|I(\omega,t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{\delta t}, \quad t > 0.
\]
It follows from (28) and (29) that $\dot{W}(t) \leq (1/2)e^{\delta t}t^2, t > 0$, which implies
\[
e^{\delta t}\|y(t)\|^2 \leq W(t) \leq W(0) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2}e^{\delta s}e^{\delta t}\,ds
\]
\[
= W(0) + \frac{1}{2}e^{\delta t}(e^{\delta t} - 1), \quad t > 0,
\]
\[
\|x(t + \omega) - x(t)\|
\]
\[
\leq \left(e^{-\delta t}W(0) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-\delta t})\right)^{1/2}, \quad t > 0.
\]
Therefore, there exists a constant $T > 0$; when $t > T$, we have
\[
\|x(t + \omega) - x(t)\| \leq \varepsilon.
\]
This shows that the solution of the system (4) is asymptotically almost periodic.

Step 3. In this step, we will prove that the system (4) has an almost periodic solution.

Let $x(t)$ be the solution of the system (4) with the initial value $x(t) = \varphi(t) \in C([\tau,0];R^n)$, then $x(t)$ satisfies (8). Take a sequence $\{t_k\}, t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k < t_{k+1} < \cdots, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = +\infty$. It is easy to derive that the function sequence $\{x(t + t_k)\}$ is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded. Hence, by using Arzela-Ascoli theorem and diagonal selection principle, a subsequence of $\{t_k\}$ (still denoted by $\{t_k\}$) can be selected, such that $\{x(t + t_k)\}$ uniformly converges to a continuous function $x^*(t)$ on any compact set of $R$.

By applying Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem on (8), we can obtain that
\[
x^*(t + h) - x^*(t)
\]
\[
= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(x(t + t_k + h) - x(t + t_k)\right)
\]
\[
= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+h} (-\mathcal{D}(t)x(t + t_k + \theta)
\]
\[
+ \mathcal{A}(t)g(x(t + t_k + \theta))
\]
\[
+ \mathcal{B}(t)g(x(t + t_k + \theta - \tau(t_k + \theta))) + \mathcal{I}(t_k + \theta)\,d\theta
\]
\[
= \int_{t}^{t+h} (-\mathcal{D}(t)x^*(\theta) + \mathcal{A}(t)g(x^*(\theta))
\]
\[
+ \mathcal{B}(t)g(x^*(\theta - \tau(\theta))) + \mathcal{I}(\theta)\,d\theta
\]
for any $t > 0, t \in [-\tau, +\infty)$ and $h \in R$. This implies that $x^*(t)$ is a solution of (4). By the result obtained in Step 2, $x(t)$ is asymptotically almost periodic. That is, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $T > 0, l = l(\epsilon)$, and for any interval with length $l$, there exists a scalar $\omega$ in this interval, such that $\|x(t + \omega) - x(t)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $t \geq T$. Thus, there exists a constant $K > 0$; for all $t \in [-\tau, +\infty)$ and $k > K$, we can get that
\[
\|x(t + t_k + \omega) - x(t + t_k)\| \leq \varepsilon.
\]
Let \( k \to +\infty \) in (33), it follows that \( \| x^*(t + \omega) - x^*(t) \| \leq \varepsilon \) for all \( t \in [-\tau, +\infty) \). This shows that \( x^*(t) \) is the almost periodic solution of (4). The proof of the existence of the almost periodic solution has been completed.

**Step 4.** In this step, we will prove that the uniqueness and global exponential stability of the almost periodic solution for the system (4).

Let \( x(t) \) be any solution of (4), and let \( x^*(t) \) be an almost periodic solution of (4). Set \( z(t) = x(t) - x^*(t) \), then

\[
\dot{z}(t) = - \mathcal{D}(t) z(t) + \mathcal{A}(t) \hat{g}(z(t)) + \mathcal{B}(t) \hat{g}(z(t) - \tau(t)),
\]

where

\[
\hat{g}(z(t)) = g(x(t)) - g(x^*(t)),
\]

\[
\hat{g}(z(t) - \tau(t)) = g(x(t - \tau(t))) - g(x^*(t - \tau(t))).
\]

Similar to \( V(t) \), define Lyapunov functional \( L(t) \) as

\[
L(t) = L_1(t) + L_2(t) + L_3(t),
\]

where

\[
L_1(t) = e^{\delta t} z^T(t) z(t),
\]

\[
L_2(t) = 2\alpha e^{\delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \int_{0}^{\tau(t)} \hat{g}_i(\theta) \, d\theta,
\]

\[
L_3(t) = (\alpha + \beta) \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} \hat{g}^T(z(\theta)) \hat{g}(z(\theta)) e^{\delta (\theta + \tau)} \, d\theta.
\]

Arguing as in Step 1, we have

\[
\dot{L}_1(t) \leq \frac{e^{\delta t}}{\nu} \hat{g}^T(z(t)) \| \mathcal{A}(t) \| \hat{g}(z(t)) + \frac{e^{\delta t}}{\nu} \hat{g}^T(z(t - \tau(t))) \| \mathcal{B}(t) \| \hat{g}(z(t - \tau(t))),
\]

\[
\dot{L}_2(t) \leq \alpha e^{\delta t} \hat{g}(z(t)) \left( \mathcal{A}(t) + \mathcal{A}(t)^T \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}(t) (\mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}(t))^T \right) \hat{g}(z(t)) + \alpha e^{\delta t} \hat{g}^T(z(t) - \tau(t)) \hat{g}(z(t) - \tau(t)),
\]

\[
\dot{L}_3(t) \leq \alpha + \beta \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} \hat{g}^T(z(\theta)) \hat{g}(z(\theta)) e^{\delta (\theta + \tau)} - (\alpha + \beta) \hat{g}^T(z(t - \tau(t))) \hat{g}(z(t - \tau(t))) e^{\delta t}.
\]

Thus

\[
\dot{L}(t) \leq \alpha e^{\delta t} \hat{g}^T(z(t)) \left( \mathcal{A}(t) + \mathcal{A}(t)^T \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}(t) (\mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}(t))^T \right) \hat{g}(z(t)) + \left( \frac{\| \mathcal{A}(t) \|^2}{\nu} + \frac{e^{\delta t}}{1 - \mu} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) \right) U.
\]

By (13), we can choose appropriate constants \( \gamma > 0, \alpha > 0, \) and \( \beta > 0 \), such that

\[
\mathcal{P} \left( \| \mathcal{B}^* \| + \| B_* \| \right)^2 U - S + \left( \frac{\| A_* \| + \| A^* \|}{\nu} \right)^2 + \frac{e^{\delta t}}{1 - \mu} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) U < 0,
\]

This implies that \( \dot{L}(t) \leq 0 \). Therefore, combined with the definition of \( L(t) \), it follows that

\[
\| z(t) \|^2 = \| x(t) - x^*(t) \|^2 \leq e^{\delta t} L(t) \leq L(0) e^{\delta t}.
\]

This shows that the almost periodic solution \( x^*(t) \) of the system (4) is globally exponentially stable. Consequently, the periodic solution \( x^*(t) \) is unique. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Notice that periodic function can be regarded as special almost periodic function. Hence, when \( l(t) \) is a periodic external input in the system (4), we can get the following corollary.

**Corollary 7.** Suppose that the assumptions \((A_2)-(A_4)\) hold. If there exists a diagonal matrix \( P = \text{diag}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) > 0 \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{1 - \mu} U + \mathcal{P} \left( \| \mathcal{B}^* \| + \| B_* \| \right)^2 U - S < 0,
\]

where \( \mathcal{P} = \text{max} p_i, I_n \) is the identity matrix, and \( S = (s_{ij})_{n \times n}, s_{ij} = -2 p_i \bar{a}_{ij}, \bar{s}_{ij} = \text{max} \{ |p_i \bar{a}_{ij}|, |p_i \bar{b}_{ij}|, |p_i \bar{c}_{ij}| \}, i \neq j, \) then one has the following.

1. For any initial value \( x(t) = \phi(t) \in C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) \), there exists a solution of the memristive neural network (4) on \([0, +\infty)\), and this solution is asymptotically periodic.
(2) The memristive neural network (4) has a unique periodic solution which is globally exponentially stable.

When $I_i(t)$ is a constant external input $I_i$, the system (4) changes as

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -d_i(x_i(t))x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x_i(t))g_j(x_j(t))$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij}(x_i(t))g_j(x_j(t-\tau(t))) + I_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \quad (43)$$

Since a constant can be also regarded as a special almost periodic function, by applying Theorem 6 on the neural network (43), we have the following.

**Corollary 8.** Suppose that the assumptions (A2)-(A3) hold. If there exists a diagonal matrix $P = \text{diag}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{1-\mu}U + \mathcal{P}(\|B^*\| + \|B_s\|)^2U - S < 0, \quad (44)$$

where $\mathcal{P} = \max_{i,j} p_{ij}$, $U$ is the identity matrix, and $S = (s_{ij})_{n \times n}$, $s_{ii} = -2p_{ii}$, $s_{ij} = -\max_i|p_{i1}a_{ij} + p_{i2}a_{ij} + p_{i3}a_{ij}|$, and $i \neq j$, then one has the following.

(1) For any initial value $x(t) = \varphi(t) \in C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a solution of the memristive neural network (43) on $[0, +\infty)$.

(2) The memristive neural network (43) has a unique equilibrium point which is globally exponentially stable.

4. Illustrative Examples

In this section, two examples will be given to illustrate the effectiveness of the results obtained in this paper.

**Example 1.** Consider the second-order memristive neural network with time-varying delays in (4) described by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11}(x_1) & 0 \\ 0 & d_{22}(x_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(x_1) & a_{12}(x_1) \\ a_{21}(x_2) & a_{22}(x_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1^3(t) \\ x_2^3(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}(x_1) & b_{12}(x_1) \\ b_{21}(x_2) & b_{22}(x_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1^3(t-0.5(\sin t + 1)) \\ x_2^3(t-0.5(\sin t + 1)) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} 2 - 4 \sin t \\ -3 - 4 \cos \sqrt{2}t \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$d_{11}(x_1) = \begin{cases} 0.8, & |x_1(t)| < 1.5, \\ 1, & |x_1(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$d_{22}(x_2) = \begin{cases} 2, & |x_2(t)| < 1.5, \\ 2.4, & |x_2(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$a_{11}(x_1) = \begin{cases} -150, & |x_1(t)| < 1.5, \\ -145, & |x_1(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$a_{12}(x_1) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x_1(t)| < 1.5, \\ 1, & |x_1(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$a_{21}(x_2) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x_2(t)| < 1.5, \\ 2, & |x_2(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$a_{22}(x_2) = \begin{cases} -162, & |x_2(t)| < 1.5, \\ -160, & |x_2(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$b_{11}(x_1) = \begin{cases} -1, & |x_1(t)| < 1.5, \\ 3, & |x_1(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$b_{12}(x_1) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x_1(t)| < 1.5, \\ 4, & |x_1(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$b_{21}(x_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x_2(t)| < 1.5, \\ 2, & |x_2(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$b_{22}(x_2) = \begin{cases} 2, & |x_2(t)| < 1.5, \\ 3, & |x_2(t)| > 1.5. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $\tau = 1, \mu = 0.5$. Choose the positive definite diagonal matrix $P = \text{diag}(1, 0.1)$, then $S = \begin{pmatrix} 200.0 & -1.2 \\ -1.2 & 320.0 \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{1-\mu}U + \mathcal{P}(\|B^*\| + \|B_s\|)^2U - S = \begin{pmatrix} -226.7157 & 1.2000 \\ 1.2000 & -256.7157 \end{pmatrix} < 0, \quad (47)$$

All conditions of Theorem 6 hold; hence the memristive neural network in this example has a unique almost periodic solution which is globally exponentially stable.

Figure 1 displays the state trajectory of the network with initial condition $(\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t))^T = (-0.5t^2, 0.5t)^T$, $t \in [-1, 0]$. It can be seen that this trajectory converges to the unique almost periodic solution of the network. This is in accordance with the conclusion of Theorem 6.

**Example 2.** Consider the third-order memristive neural network with time-varying delays in (4) described by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) \\ \dot{x}_3(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11}(x_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{22}(x_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_{33}(x_3) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$d_{11}(x_1) = \begin{cases} 0.8, & |x_1(t)| < 1.5, \\ 1, & |x_1(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$d_{22}(x_2) = \begin{cases} 2, & |x_2(t)| < 1.5, \\ 2.4, & |x_2(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$$d_{33}(x_3) = \begin{cases} 2, & |x_3(t)| < 1.5, \\ 2.4, & |x_3(t)| > 1.5, \end{cases}$$

$\ldots$
Figure 1: The state trajectory of the network with the initial condition $(\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t))^T = (-0.5t^2, 0.5t)^T$, $t \in [-1, 0]$.
It is obvious that $\tau = 1$, $\mu = 0.5$. Choose the positive definite diagonal matrix $P = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0.1)$, then $S = \begin{pmatrix} 98.60 & -9.00 & -16.30 \\ -9.00 & 319.00 & -1.94 \\ -16.30 & -1.94 & 239.40 \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to check that

$$
\frac{1}{1 - \mu} U + \mathcal{P}(\|B^*\| + \|B_*\|)^2 U - S \\
$$

(50)

All conditions of Theorem 6 hold; hence the memristive neural network in this example has a unique almost periodic solution which is globally exponentially stable.

Figure 2 displays the state trajectory of the network with initial condition $(\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t), \varphi_3(t))^T = (0.3 \sin t, 0.2 \sin t, 0.4 \cos t)^T$, $t \in [-1, 0)$. It can be seen that this trajectory converges to the unique almost periodic solution of the network. This is in accordance with the conclusion of Theorem 6.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, the exponential stability issue of the almost periodic solution for memristive neural networks with time-varying delays has been investigated. A sufficient condition has been obtained to ensure the existence, uniqueness, and global exponential stability of the almost periodic solution. As special cases, when the external input is a periodic or constant function in the network, the conditions which ensure the global exponential stability of a unique periodic solution or equilibrium point have been established for the considered memristive neural networks with time-varying delay. Two illustrative examples have been also given to demonstrate the effectiveness and validity of the proposed results in this paper.

In [56], the distributed filtering issue have been studied for a class of time-varying systems over sensor networks with quantization errors and successive packet dropouts. In [57], authors considered the the exponential stabilization of a class of stochastic system with Markovian jump parameters and mode-dependent mixed time delays. In [58], authors discussed the fuzzy-model-based robust fault detection with stochastic mixed time delays and successive packet dropouts. However, the issues of distributed filtering, stochastic stabilization, and robust fault detection have not been investigated for memristive neural networks in the existing literature. These will be the topic of our research on memristive neural networks with mode-dependent mixed time delays and Markovian jump parameters in future.
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