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We introduce an iterative scheme for finding a common element of the set of solutions of generalized mixed equilibrium problems and the set of fixed points for countable families of total quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. We prove a strong convergence theorem of the iterative sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm in an uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property. The results presented in this paper improve and extend some recent corresponding results.

1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space with the dual $E^*$ and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. We denote by $R^+$ and $R$ the set of all nonnegative real numbers and the set of all real numbers, respectively. Also, we denote by $J$ the normalized duality mapping from $E$ to $2^{E^*}$ defined by

$$Jx = \left\{ x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2 \right\}, \quad \forall x \in E, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. Recall that if $E$ is smooth then $J$ is single-valued and norm-to-weak$^*$ continuous, and that if $E$ is uniformly smooth then $J$ is uniformly
norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of $E$. We will denote by $J$ the single-value duality mapping.

A Banach space $E$ is said to be strictly convex if $\|x+y\|/2 \leq 1$ for all $x, y \in U = \{ z \in E : \|z\| = 1 \}$ with $x \neq y$. $E$ is said to be uniformly convex if, for each $\varepsilon \in (0,2]$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|x+y\|/2 \leq 1 - \delta$ for all $x, y \in U$ with $\|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon$. $E$ is said to be smooth if the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\|x+ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists for all $x, y \in U$. $E$ is said to be uniformly smooth if the above limit exists uniformly in $x, y \in U$.

**Remark 1.1.** The following basic properties of Banach space $E$ can be founded in [1].

(i) If $E$ is an uniformly smooth Banach space, then $J$ is uniformly continuous on each bounded subset of $E$.

(ii) If $E$ is a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space, then $J^{-1}$ is norm-weak*-continuous.

(iii) If $E$ is a smooth, reflexive and strictly convex Banach space, then the normalized duality mapping $J : E \to 2^E$ is single-valued, one-to-one, and surjective.

(iv) A Banach space $E$ is uniformly smooth if and only if $E^*$ is uniformly convex.

(v) Each uniformly convex Banach space $E$ has the Kadec-Klee property, that is, for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset E$, if $x_n \rightharpoonup x \in E$ and $\|x_n\| \to \|x\|$, then $x_n \to x$. (See [1, 2]) for more details.

Next, we assume that $E$ is a smooth, reflexive, and strictly convex Banach space. Consider the functional defined as in [3, 4] by

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2(x, Jy) + \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E. \quad (1.3)$$

It is clear that in a Hilbert space $H$, (1.3) reduces to $\phi(x, y) = \|x-y\|^2$, for all $x, y \in H$.

It is obvious from the definition of $\phi$ that

$$(\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \leq \phi(x, y) \leq (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E, \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\phi(x, J^{-1}(\lambda Jy + (1-\lambda)Jz)) \leq \lambda \phi(x, y) + (1-\lambda)\phi(x, z), \quad \forall x, y \in E. \quad (1.5)$$

Following Alber [3], the generalized projection $\Pi_C : E \to C$ is defined by

$$\Pi_C(x) = \arg\inf_{y \in C} \phi(y, x), \quad \forall x \in E. \quad (1.6)$$

That is, $\Pi_C x = \bar{x}$, where $\bar{x}$ is the unique solution to the minimization problem $\phi(\bar{x}, x) = \inf_{y \in C} \phi(y, x)$.
The existence and uniqueness of the operator $\Pi_C$ follows from the properties of the functional $\phi(x, y)$ and strict monotonicity of the mapping $J$ (see, e.g., [1–5]). In Hilbert space $H$, $\Pi_C = P_C$.

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, let $T$ be a mapping from $C$ into itself, and let $F(T)$ be the set of fixed points of $T$. A point $p \in C$ is called an asymptotically fixed point of $T$ [6] if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset C$ such that $x_n \to p$ and $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \to 0$. The set of asymptotical fixed points of $T$ will be denoted by $\tilde{F}(T)$. A point $p \in C$ is said to be a strong asymptotic fixed point of $T$, if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset C$ such that $x_n \to p$ and $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \to 0$. The set of strong asymptotical fixed points of $T$ will be denoted by $\bar{F}(T)$.

A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be relatively nonexpansive [7-9], if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, $F(T) = \tilde{F}(T)$ and $\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x)$, for all $x \in C, p \in F(T)$.

A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be quasi-$\phi$-nonexpansive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x)$, for all $x \in C, p \in F(T)$.

A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a real sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $k_n \to 1$ such that

$$\phi(p, T^n x) \leq k_n \phi(p, x), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \ x \in C, \ p \in F(T). \quad (1.7)$$

A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to be total quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive, if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists nonnegative real sequences $\{\nu_n\}, \{\mu_n\}$ with $\nu_n \to 0, \mu_n \to 0$ (as $n \to \infty$) and a strictly increasing continuous function $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\xi(0) = 0$ such that

$$\phi(p, T^n x) \leq \phi(p, x) + \nu_n \xi(\phi(p, x)) + \mu_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \ x \in C, \ p \in F(T). \quad (1.8)$$

A countable family of mappings $\{T_i\} : C \to C$ is said to be uniformly total quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive, if $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$, and there exists nonnegative real sequences $\{\nu_n\}, \{\mu_n\}$ with $\nu_n \to 0, \mu_n \to 0$ (as $n \to \infty$) and a strictly increasing continuous function $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\xi(0) = 0$ such that for each $i \geq 1$ and each $x \in C, p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$

$$\phi(p, T_i^n x) \leq \phi(p, x) + \nu_n \xi(\phi(p, x)) + \mu_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1.9)$$

**Remark 1.2.** From the definition, it is easy to know that:

(i) each relatively nonexpansive mapping is closed;

(ii) taking $\xi(t) = t$, $t \geq 0$, $\nu_n = (k_n - 1)$ and $\mu_n = 0$ then $\nu_n \to 0$ (as $n \to \infty$) and (1.7) can be rewritten as

$$\phi(p, T^n x) \leq \phi(p, x) + \nu_n \xi(\phi(p, x)) + \mu_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \ x \in C, \ p \in F(T), \quad (1.10)$$

this implies that each quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping must be a total quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, but the converse is not true;

(iii) the class of quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings contains properly the class of quasi-$\phi$-nonexpansive mappings as a subclass, but the converse is not true.
(iv) the class of quasi-$\phi$-nonexpansive mappings contains properly the class of relatively nonexpansive mappings as a subclass, but the converse may be not true. (See more details [10–14]).

Let $f : C \times C \to R$ be a bifunction, where $R$ is the set of real numbers. The equilibrium problem (for short, EP) is to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$f(x^*, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (1.11)$$

The set of solutions of EP (1.11) is denoted by $\text{EP}(f)$.

Let $B : C \to H$ be a nonlinear mapping. The generalized equilibrium problem (for short, GEP) is to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$f(x^*, y) + \langle Bx^*, y - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (1.12)$$

The set of solutions of GEP (1.12) is denoted by $\text{GEP}(f, B)$, that is,

$$\text{GEP}(f, B) = \{x^* \in C : f(x^*, y) + \langle Bx^*, y - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\}. \quad (1.13)$$

Let $\varphi : C \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a function. The mixed equilibrium problem (for short, MEP) is to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$f(x^*, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x^*) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (1.14)$$

The set of solutions of MEP (1.14) is denoted by $\text{MEP}(f)$.

The concept generalized mixed equilibrium problem (for short, GMEP) was introduced by Peng and Yao [15] in 2008. GMEP is to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$f(x^*, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x^*) + \langle Bx^*, y - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (1.15)$$

The set of solutions of GMEP (1.15) is denoted by $\text{GMEP}(f, B, \varphi)$, that is,

$$\text{GMEP}(f, B, \varphi) = \{x^* \in C : f(x^*, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x^*) + \langle Bx^*, y - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\}. \quad (1.16)$$

The equilibrium problem is an unifying model for several problems arising in physics, engineering, science optimization, economics, transportation, network and structural analysis, Nash equilibrium problems in noncooperative games, and others. It has been shown that variational inequalities and mathematical programming problems can be viewed as a special realization of the abstract equilibrium problems (e. g., [16, 17]). Many authors have proposed some useful methods to solve the EP, GEP, MEP, GMEP; see, for instance, [15–23] and the references therein.
In 2005, Matsushita and Takahashi [13] proposed the following hybrid iteration method (it is also called the CQ method) with generalized projection for relatively nonexpansive mapping $T$ in a Banach space $E$:

\begin{align*}
  x_0 &\in C \text{ chosen arbitrary}, \\
  y_n &= J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Jx_n), \\
  C_n &= \{ z \in C : \phi(z, y_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \}, \\
  Q_n &= \{ z \in C : \langle x_n - z, Jx_0 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0 \}, \\
  x_{n+1} &= \Pi_{C \cap Q_n}x_0, \quad n \geq 0.
\end{align*}

They prove that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{F(T)}x_0$, where $\Pi_{F(T)}$ is the generalized projection from $C$ onto $F(T)$.

Recently, Qin et al. [24] proposed a shrinking projection method to find a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of common fixed points of a finite family of quasi-$\phi$-nonexpansive mappings in the framework of Banach spaces:

\begin{align*}
  x_0 &= x \text{ chosen arbitrary}, \\
  C_1 &= C, \\
  x_1 &= \Pi_{C_1}x_0, \\
  y_n &= J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n,0} Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{n,i} Jt_ix_n\right), \\
  u_n &\in C \text{ such that } f(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \\
  C_{n+1} &= \{ z \in C_1 : \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) \}, \\
  x_{n+1} &= \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_0, \quad n \geq 0,
\end{align*}

where $\Pi_{C_{n+1}}$ is the generalized projection from $E$ onto $C_{n+1}$. They prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap_{i=1}^{N} F(T_i) \cap EP(f)}x_0$.

In [25], Saewan and Kumam introduced a modified new hybrid projection method to find a common element of the set of solutions of the generalized mixed equilibrium problems and the set of common fixed points of an infinite family of closed and uniformly quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in an uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach
spaces $E$ with Kadec-Klee property:

$$x_0 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary,}$$

$$x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0,$$

$$C_1 = C,$$

$$y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n J x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J z_n),$$

$$z_n = J^{-1}\left(\beta_{n,0} J x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i} J T^n_i x_n\right),$$

$$u_n \in C \text{ such that } u_n = K_{r_n} y_n,$$

$$C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) + \xi_n\},$$

$$x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\xi_n = \sup_{p \in F, \|p\| = 1} \phi(p, x_n), \Pi_{C_{n+1}}$ is the generalized projection of $E$ onto $C_{n+1}$. They prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)\cap \text{GMEP}(f)} x_0$.

Very recently, Chang et al. [26] proposed the following iterative algorithm for solving fixed point problems for total quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings:

$$x_0 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary}, C_0 = C,$$

$$y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n J x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J z_n),$$

$$z_n = J^{-1}\left(\beta_{n,0} J x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i} J T^n_i x_n\right),$$

$$C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(z, y_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) + \nu_n\},$$

$$x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\nu_n = \nu_n \sup_{p \in F, \|p\| = 1} \phi(p, x_n) + \mu_n, \Pi_{C_{n+1}}$ is the generalized projection of $E$ onto $C_{n+1}$. They prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)} x_0$.

Inspired and motivated by the recent work of Matsushita and Takahashi [13], Qin et al. [24, 29], Saewan and Kumam [25], Chang et al. [26], and so forth, we introduce an iterative scheme for finding a common element of the set of solutions of generalized mixed equilibrium problems and the set of fixed points of a countable families of total quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. We prove a strong convergence theorem of the iterative sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm in an uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property. The results presented in this paper improve and extend some recent corresponding results in [13, 24–29].
2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let $E$ be a real Banach space with the dual $E^*$ and let $C$ be a non-empty closed convex subset of $E$. We denote the strong convergence, weak convergence of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ to a point $x \in E$ by $x_n \to x$, $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, respectively, and $F(T)$ is the fixed point set of a mapping $T$.

In this paper, for solving generalized mixed equilibrium problems, we assume that bifunction $f : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions:

(A1) $f(x, x) = 0$, for all $x \in C$;

(A2) $f(x, y) + f(y, x) \leq 0$, for all $x, y \in C$;

(A3) for all $x, y, z \in C$, $\lim_{t \to 0} f(tz + (1 - t)x, y) \leq f(x, y)$;

(A4) for each $x \in C$, the function $y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [16]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$, let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)–(A4), and let $r > 0$ and $x \in E$, then there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (2.1)$$

**Lemma 2.2** (see [30]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$. Let $B : C \to E^*$ be a continuous and monotone mapping, let $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and lower semicontinuous and let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)–(A4). For $r > 0$ and $x \in E$, then there exists $u \in C$ such that

$$f(u, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u) + \langle Bu, y - u \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u, Ju - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (2.2)$$

Define a mapping $K_r : C \to C$ as follows:

$$K_r(x) = \left\{ u \in C : f(u, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u) + \langle Bu, y - u \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - u, Ju - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x \in C$. Then, the following hold:

1. $K_r$ is single-valued;
2. $K_r$ is firmly nonexpansive, that is, for all $x, y \in E$,

$$\langle K_r x - K_r y, JK_r x - JK_r y \rangle \leq \langle K_r x - K_r y, Jx - Jy \rangle; \quad (2.4)$$

3. $F(K_r) = \text{GMEP}(f, B, \varphi)$;
4. $\text{GMEP}(f, B, \varphi)$ is closed and convex;
5. $\phi(p, K_r z) + \phi(K_r z, z) \leq \phi(p, z)$, for all $p \in F(K_r)$ and $z \in E$.  

Lemma 2.3 (see [28]). Let $E$ be a real uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with Kadec-Klee property and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two sequences in $C$ such that $x_n \to p$ and $\phi(x_n, y_n) \to 0$, where $\phi$ is the function defined by (1.3), then $y_n \to p$.

Lemma 2.4 (see [3]). Let $E$ be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Then, the following conclusions hold:

(a) $\phi(x, \Pi_C y) + \phi(\Pi_C y, y) \leq \phi(x, y)$, for all $x \in C, \ y \in E$;

(b) if $x \in E$ and $z \in C$, then $z = \Pi_C x$ if and only if $\langle z - y, Jx - Jz \rangle \geq 0$, for all $y \in C$;

(c) for $x, y \in E$, $\phi(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.

Lemma 2.5 (see [28]). Let $E$ be a real uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with Kadec-Klee property and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $T : C \to C$ be a closed and total quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with nonnegative real sequences $\{\nu_n\}$, $\{\mu_n\}$, and a strictly increasing continuous functions $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\nu_n \to 0, \mu_n \to 0$ (as $n \to \infty$) and $\xi(0) = 0$. If $\mu_1 = 0$, then the fixed point set $F(T)$ of $T$ is a closed and convex subset of $C$.

Lemma 2.6 (see [31]). Let $E$ be an uniformly convex Banach space, let $r$ be a positive number, and let $B_r(0)$ be a closed ball of $E$. Then, for any sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset B_r(0)$ and for any sequence $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i = 1$, there exists a continuous, strictly increasing, and convex function $g : [0,2r] \to [0,\infty)$, $g(0) = 0$ such that, for any positive integer $i \neq 1$, the following holds:

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n x_n \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n \|x_n\|^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 g(\|x_1 - x_2\|). \quad (2.5)$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of an uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach Banach space $E$ with Kadec-Klee property. Let $B : C \to E^*$ be a continuous and monotone mapping and let $\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex function. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty : C \to C$ be a countable family of closed and uniformly total quasi-$\phi$-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with nonnegative real sequences $\{\nu_n\}, \{\mu_n\}$ and a strictly increasing continuous function $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\mu_1 = 0, \nu_n \to 0, \mu_n \to 0$ (as $n \to \infty$), and $\xi(0) = 0$, and for each $i \geq 1$, $T_i$ is uniformly $L_i$-Lipschitz continuous. $\{x_n\}$ is defined by

$$x_0 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary, } C_0 = C,$$
$$y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Jz_n),$$
$$z_n = J^{-1}\left(\beta_{n,0} Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \beta_{n,i} JT_i^n x_n\right),$$

where $\alpha_n \in (0,1), \beta_{n,0} \in (0,1), \beta_{n,i} \in (0,1)$ for all $i, n \geq 1$, and $\alpha_n \to 0, \beta_{n,0} \to 0, \beta_{n,i} \to 0$ (as $n \to \infty$) respectively.
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\[ u_n \in C \text{ such that } u_n = K_{r_n}y_n, \]
\[ C_{n+1} = \{ v \in C_n : \phi(v, u_n) \leq \phi(v, x_n) + \xi_n \}, \]
\[ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_0, \quad n \geq 0, \]

(3.1)

where \( \xi_n = \nu_n \sup_{\phi \in \Theta} \xi(q, x_n) + \mu_n, \) \( \Pi_{C_{n+1}} \) is the generalized projection of \( E \) onto \( C_{n+1}, \) \( r_n \subset [a, \infty) \) for some \( a > 0, \) \( \{\beta_n, \rho_n\} \) and \( \{\alpha_n\} \) are sequences in \([0,1]\) satisfying the following conditions:

1. for each \( n \geq 0, \) \( \beta_{n,0} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i} = 1; \)
2. \( \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \beta_{n,0} \beta_{n,i} > 0 \) for any \( i \geq 1; \)
3. \( 0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \alpha < 1 \) for some \( \alpha \in (0,1). \)

If \( \Theta := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \text{GMEP}(f,B,\varphi) \) is a nonempty and bounded subset in \( C, \) then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( p \in F, \) where \( p = \Pi_\Theta x_0. \)

Proof. We will divide the proof into seven steps.

Step 1. We first show that \( \Theta \) and \( C_n \) are closed and convex for each \( n \geq 0. \)

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that \( F(T_i) \) is closed and convex subset of \( C \) for each \( i \geq 1. \)

Therefore, \( \Theta \) is closed and convex in \( C. \)

Again by the assumption, \( C_0 = C \) is closed and convex. Suppose that \( C_n \) is closed and convex for some \( n \geq 1. \) Since for any \( z \in C_n, \) we know that

\[ \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) + \xi_n \iff 2(z, Jx_n - Ju_n) \leq \|x_n\|^2 - \|u_n\|^2 + \xi_n. \]

(3.2)

Hence, the set \( C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : 2(z, Jx_n - Ju_n) \leq \|x_n\|^2 - \|u_n\|^2 + \xi_n\} \) is closed and convex. Therefore, \( \Pi_{C_n}x_0 \) and \( \Pi_\Theta x_0 \) are well defined.

Step 2. We show that \( \Theta \subset C_n \) for all \( n \geq 0. \)

It is obvious that \( \Theta \subset C_0 = C. \) Suppose that \( \Theta \subset C_n \) for some \( n \geq 1. \) Since \( E \) is uniformly smooth, \( E^* \) is uniformly convex. By the convexity of \( \| \cdot \|^2, \) property of \( \phi, \) for any given \( q \in \Theta \subset C_n, \) we observe that

\[ \phi(q, u_n) = \phi(q, K_{r_n}y_n) \]
\[ \leq \phi(q, y_n) \]
\[ = \phi \left( q, J^{-1} (\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Jz_n) \right) \]
\[ = \|q\|^2 - 2(q, \alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Jz_n) + \|\alpha_n Jx_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Jz_n\|^2 \]
\[ \leq \|q\|^2 - 2\alpha_n (q, Jx_n) - 2(1 - \alpha_n) (q, Jz_n) + \alpha_n\|x_n\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|z_n\|^2 \]
\[ = \alpha_n \phi(q, x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) \phi(q, z_n). \]

(3.3)
Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that, for any positive integers \( l > 1 \) and for any \( q \in \Theta \), we have

\[
\phi(q, z_n) = \phi\left(q, J^{-1}\left(\beta_{n,0}J_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i} J T_i^n x_n\right)\right)
\]

\[
= \|q\|^2 - 2\left(q, \beta_{n,0}J_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i} J T_i^n x_n\right) + \|\beta_{n,0}J_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i} J T_i^n x_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|q\|^2 - 2\beta_{n,0}\langle q, J_n x_n \rangle - 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i}\langle q, J T_i^n x_n \rangle + \beta_{n,0}\|x_n\|^2
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i}\|T_i^n x_n\|^2 - \beta_{n,0}\beta_{n,l}g\left(\|J_n x_n - J T_l^n x_n\|\right)
\]

\[
= \beta_{n,0}\phi(q, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i}\phi(q, T_i^n x_n) - \beta_{n,0}\beta_{n,l}g\left(\|J_n x_n - J T_l^n x_n\|\right)
\]

\[
\leq \beta_{n,0}\phi(q, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i}\left\{\phi(q, x_n) + \nu_n\xi_n\left(\phi(q, x_n)\right) + \mu_n\right\}
\]

\[
- \beta_{n,0}\beta_{n,l}g\left(\|J_n x_n - J T_l^n x_n\|\right)
\]

\[
\leq \phi(q, x_n) + \nu_n\sup_{p \in \Theta}\phi(p, x_n) + \mu_n - \beta_{n,0}\beta_{n,l}g\left(\|J_n x_n - J T_l^n x_n\|\right)
\]

\[
= \phi(q, x_n) + \xi_n - \beta_{n,0}\beta_{n,l}g\left(\|J_n x_n - J T_l^n x_n\|\right).
\]

(3.4)

Substituting (3.4) into (3.3), we get

\[
\phi(q, u_n) \leq \alpha_n\phi(q, x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)\phi(q, z_n)
\]

\[
\leq \alpha_n\phi(q, x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)\left[\phi(q, x_n) + \xi_n - \beta_{n,0}\beta_{n,l}g\left(\|J_n x_n - J T_l^n x_n\|\right)\right]
\]

(3.5)

\[
\leq \phi(q, x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)\xi_n.
\]

(3.6)

This shows that \( q \in C_{n+1} \). Further, this implies that \( \Theta \subset C_{n+1} \) and hence \( \Theta \subset C_n \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). Since \( \Theta \) is nonempty, \( C_n \) is a nonempty closed convex subset of \( E \), and hence \( \Pi_{C_n} \) exists for all \( n \geq 0 \). This implies that the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is well defined.

Moreover, by the assumption of \( \{\nu_n\} \), \( \{\mu_n\} \), and \( \Theta \), from (1.4), we have

\[
\xi_n = \nu_n\sup_{p \in \Theta}\phi(p, x_n) + \mu_n \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.
\]
Step 3. \{x_n\} is bounded and \{ϕ(x_n, x_0)\} is a convergent sequence. It follows from (3.1) and Lemma 2.4 that

\[ ϕ(x_n, x_0) = ϕ(Π_{C_n} x_0, x_0) \leq ϕ(p, x_0) - ϕ(p, x_n) \leq ϕ(p, x_0), \quad \forall p \in C_{n+1}, \forall n ≥ 0. \tag{3.7} \]

From definition of \(C_{n+1}\) that \(x_n = Π_{C_n} x_0\) and \(x_{n+1} = Π_{C_{n+1}} x_0\), we have

\[ ϕ(x_n, x_0) ≤ ϕ(x_{n+1}, x_0), \quad \forall n ≥ 0. \tag{3.8} \]

Therefore, \{ϕ(x_n, x_0)\} is nondecreasing and bounded. So, \{ϕ(x_n, x_0)\} is a convergent sequence, without loss of generality, we can assume that \(lim_{n→∞} ϕ(x_n, x_0) = d ≥ 0\). In particular, by (1.4), the sequence \((\|x_n\| - \|x_0\|^2)^2\) is bounded. This implies \{x_n\} is also bounded.

Step 4. We prove that \{x_n\} converges strongly to some point \(p \in C\).

Since \{x_n\} is bounded and \(E\) is reflexive, there exists a subsequence \{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\} such that \(x_{n_i} → p\) (some point in \(C\)). Since \(C_n\) is closed and convex and \(C_{n+1} \subset C_n\), this implies that \(C_n\) is weakly closed and \(p \in C_n\) for each \(n ≥ 0\). From \(x_{n_i} = Π_{C_{n_i}} x_0\), we have

\[ ϕ(x_{n_i}, x_0) ≤ ϕ(p, x_0), \quad \forall n_i ≥ 0. \tag{3.9} \]

Since the norm \(\| \cdot \|\) is weakly lower semicontinuous, we have

\[ \liminf_{n_i→∞} ϕ(x_{n_i}, x_0) = \liminf_{n_i→∞} \left\{ \|x_{n_i}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n_i}, Jx_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 \right\} \]

\[ ≥ \|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, Jx_0 \rangle + \|x_0\|^2 \]

\[ = ϕ(p, x_0), \tag{3.10} \]

and so

\[ ϕ(p, x_0) ≤ \liminf_{n_i→∞} ϕ(x_{n_i}, x_0) ≤ \limsup_{n_i→∞} ϕ(x_{n_i}, x_0) ≤ ϕ(p, x_0). \tag{3.11} \]

This implies that \(lim_{n_i→∞} ϕ(x_{n_i}, x_0) → ϕ(p, x_0)\), and so \(\|x_n\| → \|p\|\). Since \(x_{n_i} → p\), by virtue of the Kadec-Klee property of \(E\), we obtain that

\[ \lim_{n_i→∞} x_{n_i} = p. \tag{3.12} \]
Since \( \{\phi(x_n, x_0)\} \) is convergent, this together with \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) = \phi(p, x_0) \), we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) = \phi(p, x_0) \). If there exists some subsequence \( \{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\} \) such that \( x_{n_i} \to q \), then from Lemma 2.4, we have that

\[
\phi(p, q) = \lim_{n, n_i \to \infty} \phi(x_{n_i}, x_{n_i}) = \lim_{n, n_i \to \infty} \phi(x_{n_i}, \Pi_{C_{n_i}} x_0) \\
\leq \lim_{n, n_i \to \infty} \left( \phi(x_{n_i}, x_0) - \phi(\Pi_{C_{n_i}} x_0, x_0) \right) \\
= \lim_{n, n_i \to \infty} \left( \phi(x_{n_i}, x_0) - \phi(x_{n_i}, x_0) \right) \\
= \phi(p, x_0) - \phi(p, x_0) = 0.
\] (3.13)

This implies that \( p = q \) and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = p.
\] (3.14)

**Step 5.** We prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|f x_n - f u_n\| = 0 \).

By definition of \( \Pi_{C_n} x_0 \), we have

\[
\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \phi(x_{n+1}, \Pi_{C_n} x_0) \\
\leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0) - \phi(\Pi_{C_n} x_0, x_0) \\
= \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0) - \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0).
\] (3.15)

Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) \) exists, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0.
\] (3.16)

Since \( x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n \) and the definition of \( C_{n+1} \), we get

\[
\phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \xi_n.
\] (3.17)

It follows from (3.6) and (3.16) that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) = 0.
\] (3.18)

From (1.4), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n\| = p.
\] (3.19)
This implies that \( \{ J_{u_n} \} \) is bounded in \( E^* \). Note that \( E \) is reflexive and \( E^* \) is also reflexive, we can assume that \( J_{u_n} \to x^* \in E^* \). In view of the reflexive of \( E \), we know that \( J(E) = E^* \). Hence, there exist \( x \in C \) such that \( Jx = x^* \). It follows that

\[
\phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) = \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n+1}, J_{u_n} \rangle + \|u_n\|^2 = \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n+1}, J_{u_n} \rangle + \|J_{u_n}\|^2.
\]

Taking \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} \) on the both sides of equality above and by the weak lower semicontinuity of norm \( \| \cdot \| \), we have

\[
0 \geq \|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, x^* \rangle + \|x^*\|^2 = \|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, Jx \rangle + \|Jx\|^2 = \|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, Jx \rangle + \|x\|^2 = \phi(p, x).
\]

That is, \( p = x \), which implies that \( x^* = Jp \). It follows that \( J_{u_n} \to Jp \in E^* \). From (1.4) and the Kadec-Klee property of \( E \), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = p.
\]

Since \( \|x_n - u_n\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + \|p - u_n\| \), so,

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0.
\]

Since \( J \) is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of \( E \), we obtain

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|J_{x_n} - J_{u_n}\| = 0.
\]

**Step 6.** We show that \( p \in \Theta := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \text{GMEP}(f, B, \varphi) \).

First, we show that \( p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \).

Since \( x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \), it follows from (3.1) and (3.14) that

\[
\phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \xi_n \to 0 \quad (\text{as } n \to \infty).
\]

Since \( x_n \to p \), by Lemma 2.3,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = p.
\]
By (3.3) and (3.4), for any \( q \in \Theta \), we have
\[
\phi(q, u_n) \leq \phi(q, x_n) + \xi_n - (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n, \beta_n, l g(J x_n - JT^n x_n). \tag{3.28}
\]
So,
\[
(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n, \beta_n, l g(J x_n - JT^n x_n) \leq \phi(q, x_n) + \xi_n - \phi(q, u_n) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{(as } n \longrightarrow \infty). \tag{3.29}
\]
Therefore,
\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n, \beta_n, l g(J x_n - JT^n x_n) = 0. \tag{3.30}
\]
In view of the property of \( g \), we have
\[
\|J x_n - JT^n x_n\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{(as } n \longrightarrow \infty). \tag{3.31}
\]
Since \( J x_n \rightarrow J p \), this implies that \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} JT^n x_n = J p \). From Remark 1.1(ii), it yields
\[
T^n_I x_n \rightarrow p \quad \text{(as } n \longrightarrow \infty). \tag{3.32}
\]
Again since
\[
\|T^n_I x_n\| - \|p\| = \|J(T^n_I x_n)\| - \|J p\| \leq \|J(T^n_I x_n) - J p\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{(as } n \longrightarrow \infty), \tag{3.33}
\]
this together with (3.32) and the Kadec-Klee-property of \( E \) shows that
\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n_I x_n = p. \tag{3.34}
\]
By the assumption that \( T_I \) is uniformly \( L_I \)-Lipschitz continuous, we have
\[
\|T^{n+1}_I x_n - T^n_I x_n\| \leq \|T^{n+1}_I x_n - T^{n+1}_I x_{n+1}\| + \|T^{n+1}_I x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|
 + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_n - T^n_I x_n\|
 \leq (L_I + 1)\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|T^{n+1}_I x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n - T^n_I x_n\|. \tag{3.35}
\]
This together with (3.34) and \( x_n \rightarrow p \) shows that \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{n+1}_I x_n - T^n_I x_n\| = 0 \) and \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n+1}_I x_n = p \), that is, \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n_I x_n = p \). In view of the closeness of \( T_I \), it follows that \( T p = p \), that is, \( p \in F(T_I) \). By the arbitrariness of \( l \geq 1 \), we have \( p \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_I) \).
Now, we show that $p \in \text{GMEP}(f, B, \varphi)$.

It follows from (3.2), (3.3), (3.6), Lemma 2.4, and $u_n = K_n y_n$ that
\[
\phi(u_n, y_n) = \phi(K_n y_n, y_n)
\leq \phi(p, y_n) - \phi(p, K_n y_n)
\leq \phi(p, x_n) - \phi(p, K_n y_n) + \xi_n
= \phi(p, x_n) - \phi(p, u_n) + \xi_n \to 0, \text{ (as } n \to \infty) .
\]

By (1.4), we have
\[
\|u_n\| \to \|y_n\|, \text{ (as } n \to \infty).
\]

Since $u_n \to p$ as $n \to \infty$, so
\[
\|y_n\| \to \|p\|, \text{ (as } n \to \infty).
\]

Therefore,
\[
\|J u_n\| \to \|J p\|, \text{ (as } n \to \infty).
\]

Since $E^\ast$ is reflexive, we may assume that $J y_n \rightharpoonup z^\ast \in E^\ast$. In view of the reflexive of $E$, we have $J(E) = E^\ast$. Hence, there exist $z \in E$ such that $J z = z^\ast$. It follows that
\[
\phi(u_n, y_n) = \|u_n\|^2 - 2 \langle u_n, J y_n \rangle + \|y_n\|^2
= \|u_n\|^2 - 2 \langle u_n, J y_n \rangle + \|J y_n\|^2 .
\]

Taking $\lim \inf_{n \to \infty}$ on the both sides of equality above yields that
\[
0 \geq \|p\|^2 - 2 \langle p, z^\ast \rangle + \|z^\ast\|^2
= \|p\|^2 - 2 \langle p, J z \rangle + \|J z\|^2
= \|p\|^2 - 2 \langle p, J z \rangle + \|z\|^2
= \phi(p, x) .
\]

That is, $p = z$, which implies that $z^\ast = J p$. It follows that $J y_n \rightharpoonup J p \in E^\ast$. Since $J^{-1}$ is norm-weak*-continuous, it follows that $y_n \rightharpoonup p$. From (3.8) and $E$ with the Kadec-Klee property, we obtain
\[
y_n \to p \text{ (as } n \to \infty) .
\]
It follows from (3.23) and (3.42) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| u_n - y_n \| = 0. \tag{3.43}$$

Since \( J \) is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| Ju_n - Jy_n \| = 0. \tag{3.44}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$f(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle By_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{3.45}$$

From (A2), we have

$$\varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle By_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq -f(u_n, y) \geq f(y, u_n), \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{3.46}$$

Put \( z_t = ty + (1-t)p \) for all \( t \in (0,1] \) and \( y \in C. \) Consequently, we get \( z_t \in C. \) It follows from (3.46) that

$$\langle Bz_t, z_t - u_n \rangle \geq \langle Bz_t, z_t - u_n \rangle - \varphi(z_t) + \varphi(u_n)$$

$$- \langle By_n, z_t - u_n \rangle + f(z_t, u_n)$$

$$- \left\langle z_t - u_n, \frac{Ju_n - Jy_n}{r_n} \right\rangle$$

$$= \langle Bz_t - Bu_n, z_t - u_n \rangle - \varphi(z_t) + \varphi(u_n)$$

$$+ \langle Bu_n - By_n, z_t - u_n \rangle + f(z_t, u_n)$$

$$- \left\langle z_t - u_n, \frac{Ju_n - Jy_n}{r_n} \right\rangle. \tag{3.47}$$

Since \( B \) is continuous, and from (3.43), and \( u_n \to p, y_n \to p, \) as \( n \to \infty, \) therefore \( \| Bu_n - By_n \| \to 0. \) Since \( B \) is monotone, we know that \( \langle Bz_t - Bu_n, z_t - u_n \rangle \geq 0. \) Further, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|Ju_n - Jy_n\|/r_n = 0. \) So, it follows from (A4), and the weak lower semicontinuity of \( \varphi \) and (3.43) that

$$f(z_t, p) - \varphi(z_t) + \varphi(p) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle Bz_t, z_t - u_n \rangle$$

$$= \langle Bz_t, z_t - p \rangle. \tag{3.48}$$
From (A1) and (3.48), we have

\begin{align*}
0 &= f(z_t, z_t) - \varphi(z_t) + \varphi(z_t) \\
&\leq tf(z_t, y) + (1 - t)f(z_t, p) + t\varphi(y) + (1 - t)\varphi(p) - \varphi(z_t) \\
&= t[f(z_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(z_t)] + (1 - t)[f(z_t, p) + \varphi(p) - \varphi(z_t)] \\
&\leq t[f(z_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(z_t)] + (1 - t)(Bz_t, z_t - p) \\
&\leq t[f(z_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(z_t)] + (1 - t)t(Bz_t, y - p),
\end{align*}

and hence

\begin{align*}
f(z_t, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(z_t) + (1 - t)(Bz_t, y - p) &\geq 0. \tag{3.49}
\end{align*}

Letting \( t \to 0 \), we have

\begin{align*}
f(p, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(p) + (Bp, y - p) &\geq 0. \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}

This implies that \( p \in \text{GMEP}(f, B, \varphi) \). Hence, \( p \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \text{GMEP}(f, B, \varphi) \).

**Step 7.** We prove that \( x_n \to p = \Pi_{\Theta}x_0 \).

Let \( q = \Pi_{\Theta}x_0 \). From \( x_n = \Pi_{C_n}x_0 \) and \( q \in \Theta \subset C_n \), we have

\begin{align*}
\phi(x_n, x_0) &\leq \phi(q, x_0), \quad \forall n \geq 0. \tag{3.52}
\end{align*}

This implies that

\begin{align*}
\phi(p, x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) &\leq \phi(q, x_0). \tag{3.53}
\end{align*}

By definition of \( p = \Pi_{\Theta}x_0 \), we have \( p = q \). Therefore, \( x_n \to p = \Pi_{\Theta}x_0 \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Taking \( \varphi = 0, T_i = T \) for each \( i \in N \) in Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of an uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach Banach space \( E \) with Kadec-Klee property. Let \( B : C \to E^* \) be a continuous and monotone mapping. Let \( f \) be a bifunction from \( C \times C \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfying (A1)–(A4). Let \( T : C \to C \) be a closed uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitz continuous and uniformly total quasi-\( \phi \)-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with nonnegative real sequences \( \{\nu_n\}, \{\mu_n\} \) and a strictly increasing continuous function
\( \zeta : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \) such that \( \mu_1 = 0, \nu_n \rightarrow 0, \mu_n \rightarrow 0 \) (as \( n \rightarrow \infty \)), and \( \zeta(0) = 0 \). Let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequence generated by

\[
x_0 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary, } C_0 = C, \\
y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n J x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J z_n), \\
z_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n J x_n + (1 - \beta_n) JT^n x_n), \\
\]

where \( \xi_n = \nu_n \sup_{q \in Q} \zeta(q, x_n) + \mu_n, \Pi_{C_{n+1}} \) is the generalized projection of \( E \) onto \( C_{n+1} \), \{\beta_n\} and \{\alpha_n\} are sequences in \([0, 1], \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) > 0, \{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty) \) for some \( a > 0 \). If \( \Theta := \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F(T_i) \cap GEP(f, B) \) is a nonempty and bounded subset in \( C \), then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( p \in \Theta \), where \( p = \Pi_0 x_0 \).

In Theorem 3.1, as \( \varphi = 0, B = 0, T_i = T \) for each \( i \in N \), we can obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of an uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space \( E \) with Kadec-Klee property. Let \( f \) be a bifunction from \( C \times C \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) satisfying (A1)-(A4), and \( T : C \rightarrow C \) be a closed uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitz continuous and uniformly total quasi-\( \varphi \)-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with nonnegative real sequences \( \{\nu_n\}, \{\mu_n\} \) and a strictly increasing continuous function \( \zeta : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \) such that \( \mu_1 = 0, \nu_n \rightarrow 0, \mu_n \rightarrow 0 \) (as \( n \rightarrow \infty \)) and \( \zeta(0) = 0 \). Let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequence generated by

\[
x_0 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary, } C_0 = C, \\
y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_n J x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) J z_n), \\
z_n = J^{-1}(\beta_n J x_n + (1 - \beta_n) JT^n x_n), \\
\]

where \( \xi_n = \nu_n \sup_{q \in Q} \zeta(q, x_n) + \mu_n, \Pi_{C_{n+1}} \) is the generalized projection of \( E \) onto \( C_{n+1} \), \{\beta_n\} and \{\alpha_n\} are sequences in \([0, 1], \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) > 0, \{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty) \) for some \( a > 0 \). If \( \Theta := \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F(T_i) \cap EP(f) \) is a nonempty and bounded subset in \( C \), then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( p \in \Theta \), where \( p = \Pi_0 x_0 \).
Definition 3.4. A countable family of mapping \{T_n\} : C \to C is said to be uniformly quasi-\(\phi\)-asymptotically nonexpansive, if \(\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset\) and there exist real sequences \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty), \(k_n \to 1\) such that for each \(i \geq 1\),

\[
\phi(p, T^n_n x) \leq k_n \phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \ p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i).
\]

The following Corollary can be directly obtained from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.5. Let \(C\) be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of an uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space \(E\) with Kadec-Klee property. Let \(B : C \to E^*\) be a continuous and monotone mapping and let \(\psi : C \to R\) be a lower semicontinuous and convex function. Let \(f\) be a bifunction from \(C \times C\) to \(R\) satisfying (A1)–(A4). Let \(\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} : C \to C\) be an infinite family of closed and uniformly \(L_1\)-Lipschitz continuous and uniformly quasi-\(\phi\)-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with a sequence \(\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty), \(k_n \to 1\) such that \(\Theta := \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap \text{GMEP}(f, B, \psi)\) is a nonempty and bounded subset in \(C\). Let \(\{x_n\}\) be the sequence generated by

\[
x_0 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary, } \ C_0 = C, \\
y_n = J^{-1}(a_n J x_n + (1 - a_n) J z_n), \\
z_n = J^{-1} \left( \mu_{n,0} J x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n,i} J T^n_i x_n \right), \\
u_n \in C \text{ such that } u_n = K_{r_n} y_n, \\
C_{n+1} = \{ z \in C_n : \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) + \xi_n \}, \\
x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \quad n \geq 0,
\]

where \(\xi_n = \sup_{r \in F(k_n - 1)} \phi(p, r), \Pi_{C_{n+1}}\) is the generalized projection of \(E\) onto \(C_{n+1}\), \(\{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty)\) for some \(a > 0\), \(\{\beta_{n,0}, \beta_{n,i}\}\) and \(\{a_n\}\) are sequences in \([0, 1]\). If \(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n,i} = 1\) for all \(n \geq 0\), and \(\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \beta_{n,0} \beta_{n,i} > 0\) for all \(i \geq 1\), then the sequence \(\{x_n\}\) converges strongly to \(p \in \Theta\), where \(p = \Pi_{\Theta} x_0\).

Remark 3.6. Theorem 3.1 improves and extend the corresponding results in [13, 24–29] in the following aspects:

1. for the mappings, extend the mappings from relatively nonexpansive mappings, quasi-\(\phi\)-nonexpansive mappings, and quasi-\(\phi\)-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings to a countable family of total quasi-\(\phi\)-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings;

2. for the framework of spaces, extend the space from an uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space to an uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space with the Kadec-Klee property.
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