ABSTRACT. The approximation of a function \( f \in C([a,b]) \) by Bernstein polynomials is well-known. It is based on the binomial distribution. O. Szasz has shown that there are analogous approximations on the interval \([0,\infty)\) based on the Poisson distribution. Recently R. Mohapatra has generalized Szasz' result to the case in which the approximating function is

\[
\alpha e^{-ux} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ux)^{ka+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(ka+\beta)} f\left(\frac{k\alpha}{u}\right)
\]

The present note shows that these results are special cases of a Tauberian theorem for certain infinite series having positive coefficients.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

Let us denote the class of functions \( f \) such that \( f \in C([0,\infty)) \) and for which
lim f(t) exists by $C_{L,\infty}$. The subclass for which $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$ we shall denote by $C_\infty$.

It is known that if $f \in C_{L,\infty}$ then
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} a e^{-xu} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{(xu)^{ka+\beta-1}}{\Gamma(ka+\beta)} f\left(\frac{ku}{u}\right) = f(x)
\]  
for each $x \in (0,\infty)$. Here $\alpha > 0$, $\beta$ is a real number and $N$ is a positive integer exceeding $-\beta/\alpha$. This result was proved in [1] and is a generalization of a result due to O. Szász [2] which was the special case $\alpha = \beta = 1$, $N = 0$.

The proof of (1) depends heavily on a result due to D. Borwein [3], namely that
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} a e^{-xu} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{ku+\beta-1}{\Gamma(ka+\beta)} = 1
\]  
and it is the purpose of the present note to show that the deduction of (1) from (2) is a special case of a general theorem about infinite series. This theorem is of the Tauberian type and the method of proof which we give is of rather wide applicability. Our result is

**THEOREM.** Suppose that $f \in C_{L,\infty}$. Let $a_k \geq 0$, let $K$ be a constant and let $\{v_k\}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers. Then
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} e^{-xu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k u v_k = 1
\]  
implies
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} e^{-xu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(xu)^v_k f\left(\frac{v_k+K}{u}\right) = f(x)
\]  
for each $x \in (0,\infty)$.

2. **PROOF OF THE THEOREM**

Since the result is trivially true if $f$ is a constant function there is no loss of generality in supposing $f \in C_\infty$.
instead of \( f \in C_{L, \infty} \). As usual we will denote by \( ||f|| \) the norm of \( f \) in the space \( C_{\infty} \), namely \( ||f|| = \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x)| \). Now for each \( x \in (0, \infty) \)

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} e^{-xu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(xu) v_k f\left(\frac{v_{k+1}}{u}\right)
\]

defines a linear functional on \( C_{\infty} \) which we will denote by \( \overline{\ell}_x \). And if \( \lim \) is replaced by \( \overline{\lim} \) the corresponding linear functional will be denoted by \( \underline{\ell}_x \).

First we consider \( \overline{\ell}_x \). Since

\[
|e^{-xu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(xu) v_k f\left(\frac{v_{k+1}}{u}\right)| \leq ||f|| e^{-xu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(xu) v_k
\]

we see, on letting \( u \to \infty \), that \( ||\overline{\ell}_x(f)|| \leq ||f|| \). Hence \( \overline{\ell}_x \) is a bounded linear functional on \( C_{\infty} \) and so we will have

\[
\overline{\ell}_x(f) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t) \, d\alpha_x(t)
\]

for some function \( \alpha_x \in BV[0, \infty) \), and we shall take \( \alpha_x \) as having been normalized in the usual way. Now if we take \( f(t) = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda > 0) \) it is a simple matter to see that \( \overline{\ell}_x(e^{-\lambda t}) = e^{-\lambda x} \). In this calculation the hypothesis (3) is used in the form

\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} e^{-xu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(xu) v_k = 1 \quad (x > 0).
\]

Hence

\[
\overline{\ell}_x(e^{-\lambda t}) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \, d\alpha_x(t) = e^{-\lambda x} \quad (\lambda > 0).
\]

By a well known theorem [4] this determines the normalized function \( \alpha_x \) uniquely and by inspection it is seen to be
\[ \alpha_x(t) = \begin{cases} 
0 & (0 \leq t < x) \\
\frac{1}{2} & (t = x) \\
1 & (x < t) 
\end{cases} \]

Hence for \( f \in C_\infty \) we have

\[ \overline{\alpha}_x(f) = \int_0^\infty f(t) \, d\alpha_x(t) = f(x). \]

Now all of the above analysis involving \( \overline{\alpha}_x \) could be repeated with \( \overline{\beta}_x \) instead. The same function \( \alpha_x \) would be obtained and so we have

\[ \overline{\beta}_x(f) = \overline{\alpha}_x(f) = f(x) \]

That is to say, if \( x > 0 \)

then

\[ \lim_{u \to \infty} e^{-xu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(xu) f\left(\frac{v_k}{u}\right) \exists \]

and equals \( f(x) \). This concludes the proof of the theorem.

We conclude with two remarks. The above theorem is about point-wise convergence whereas in [1] and [2] the uniform convergence of a set of functions \( P_u(x) \) to \( f(x) \) at each point \( x_0 \in [0,\infty) \) was considered. For the definition of this type of convergence we refer the reader to either of these sources but, when \( f \in C_{L,\infty} \), to go from pointwise convergence to this other type of convergence is, any way, a simple matter. Secondly, we mention that in [1] the result (1) was stated for \( x \in [0,\infty) \) but except in the case \( N\alpha+\beta = 1 \) the point \( x = 0 \) should be omitted.
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