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ABSTRACT. The extreme points for prestarlike functions having negative coefficients are determined. Coefficient, distortion and radii of univalence, starlikeness, and convexity theorems are also obtained.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $S$ denote the class of functions normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ that are analytic and univalent in the unit disk $U$. Given $\alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, a function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class of functions starlike of order $\alpha$, denoted by $S^*(\alpha)$,
if

$$\text{Re}\{zf'(z)/f(z)\} \geq \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and is said to be in the class of functions convex of order $\alpha$, denoted by $K(\alpha)$, if

$$\text{Re}\{1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)\} \geq \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Further, let $T$ and $T^{*}[*a]$ denote the subclasses of $S$ and $S^{*}(a)$, respectively, whose elements can be expressed in the form $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$.

The convolution or Hadamard product of two power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is defined as the power series $(f*g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$. An analytic function normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ is said to be in the class of functions prestarlike of order $\alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, denoted by $R_\alpha$, if $f* s_\alpha \in S^{*}(a)$ where $s_\alpha(z) = z/(1-z)^2(1-\alpha)$. The function $s_\alpha$ is the well-known extremal function for the class $S^{*}(a)$. In the sequel, we let

$$C(\alpha, n) = \prod_{k=2}^{n} \frac{(k-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!} \quad (n=2, 3, \ldots), \quad (1.1)$$

so that $s_\alpha$ can be written in the form

$$s_\alpha(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C(\alpha, n) z^n.$$

Note that $C(\alpha, n)$ is a decreasing function of $\alpha$ with

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} C(\alpha, n) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \alpha < 1/2 \\ 1, & \alpha = 1/2 \\ 0, & \alpha > 1/2 \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The class $R_\alpha$ was introduced by Ruscheweyh [3], who showed that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f$ to be in $R_\alpha$ is that the functional
satisfy
\[
\text{Re } G(\alpha, z) > 1/2 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).
\] (1.3)

Since \( s_1(z) = z \), we say that \( f \) is prestarlike of order 1 if and only if
\[
\text{Re}(f(z)/z) > 1/2 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).
\]
Note that \( R_0 = K(0) \) and \( R_{1/2} = S^*(1/2) \). In [3] it was shown that
\[
R_{\alpha} \subset R_{\beta} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1,
\]
which generalizes the well-known result that \( K(0) \subset S^*(1/2) \).

In Section 2, we obtain a sufficient condition in terms of the modulus of the coefficients for a function to be in \( R_{\alpha} \) and show that this condition is also necessary for the subclass
\[
R[\alpha] = R_{\alpha} \cap T. \quad (1.4)
\]

In Section 3, we obtain the extreme points for the closed convex hull of \( R[\alpha] \) and use them to prove distortion and covering theorems. In Section 4, we determine the radii of univalence, starlikeness, and convexity for \( R[\alpha] \). Finally, we find the smallest \( \beta = \beta(\alpha) \) for which \( T^*[\alpha] \subset R[\beta], \ 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \)

2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES FOR THE CLASS \( R[\alpha] \).

We first obtain a relationship between the order of prestarlikeness of a function and the modulus of its coefficients.

**THEOREM 1.** Let \( f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \). If
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha, n)}{1 - \alpha} |a_n| < 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,
\] (2.1)
then \( f \in R_{\alpha} \).
REMARK. For the case \( \alpha = 1 \) in the theorem and all subsequent results, the expression \((n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)/(1-\alpha)\) is taken to be 2, its limit as \( \alpha \to 1^- \).

PROOF. An equivalent formulation of (1.3) is \( \left| 1/G(\alpha,z) - 1 \right| < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \).

For \( s_\alpha (z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C(\alpha,n)z^n \), we have \( s_\alpha (z)/(1-z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma(\alpha,n)z^n \) where \( \sigma(\alpha,n) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} C(\alpha,k) \). Thus

\[
\left| \frac{1}{G(\alpha,z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma(\alpha,n-1)a_n z^n}{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma(\alpha,n)a_n z^n} \right| < \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma(\alpha,n-1)|a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma(\alpha,n)|a_n|},
\]

which is bounded by 1 whenever (2.1) is satisfied.

The converse of Theorem 1 is also true for the class \( R[\alpha] \), defined by (1.4).

Since a necessary and sufficient condition [4] for

\( f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |b_n| z^n \) to be in \( T^*[\alpha] \) is that

\( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha)|b_n| < 1 - \alpha, \) \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

we obtain

THEOREM 2. A function \( f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \) is in \( R[\alpha] \) if and only if

\( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)}{1 - \alpha} |a_n| < 1, \hspace{1cm} 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \)

COROLLARY. If \( f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in R[\alpha], 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \) then \( |a_n| \leq (1-\alpha)/(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n), \) with equality only for functions of the form \( z - (1-\alpha)z^n/(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n). \)

We now determine the extreme points of this class.
3. **EXTREME POINTS OF $R[\alpha]$.**

For any compact family of analytic functions $\mathcal{F}$, it is well known that the real part of any continuous linear functional over $\mathcal{F}$ is maximized (minimized) at one of the extreme points of the closed convex hull of $\mathcal{F}$. The solutions to several extremal problems in $R[\alpha]$ follow easily from the extreme points for this class. In view of Theorem 2, we see that $R[\alpha]$ is a closed convex family. Thus, the extreme points are obtained in

**THEOREM 3.** Set $f_1(z) = z$ and $f_n(z) = z - (1-\alpha)z^n/(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)$, $n=2, 3, \ldots$.

Then $f \in R[\alpha]$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$$

where $\lambda_n > 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$.

**PROOF.** Suppose $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$. Then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\alpha)C(\alpha,n) \cdot \frac{\lambda_n (1-\alpha)}{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_1 \leq 1.$$ 

Therefore, $f \in R[\alpha]$ by Theorem 2.

Conversely, suppose $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|z^n \in R[\alpha]$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then

$$|a_n| \leq (1-\alpha)/(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n), \quad n=2, 3, \ldots.$$ 

Set $\lambda_n = (n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)|a_n|/(1-\alpha)$ and

$$\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n.$$ 

From Theorem 2, it follows that $\lambda_1 > 0$. Since

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z),$$

the proof is complete.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3, we obtain distortion theorems for the class $R[\alpha]$.

**THEOREM 4.** If $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|z^n \in R[\alpha]$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then

$$r - \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)}r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)}r^2 \quad (|z| = r),$$

with equality only for $f_2(z) = z - \frac{1}{4-2\alpha}z^2$, $z = \mp r$. 

---
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PROOF. From Theorem 3, we have

\[ r - \max_n \frac{1 - \alpha}{(n-\alpha)C(a,n)} r^n \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \max_n \frac{1 - \alpha}{(n-\alpha)C(a,n)} r^n. \]

It suffices to show that \( A(a,n) = \frac{(1-\alpha)/(n-\alpha)C(a,n)} \) is a decreasing function of \( n \).

From (1.1), it follows that

\[ n + 1 - 2C(a,n+1) = C(a,n). \tag{3.1} \]

Therefore, we have \( A(a,n+1) \leq A(a,n) \), \( n=2, 3, \ldots \), whenever \((n+1-\alpha)(n+1-2\alpha) \geq n(n-\alpha)\).

This is equivalent to \((1-\alpha)[1+2(n-\alpha)] \geq 0\), which proves the result.

**COROLLARY.** The disk \(|z| < 1\) is mapped onto a domain that contains the disk \(|w| < (3-2\alpha)/(4-2\alpha)\) for any \( f \in R[a] \), \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \). The result is sharp, with extremal function

\[ f_2(z) = z - \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)} z^2 \in R[a]. \]

PROOF. Let \( r + 1 \) in Theorem 4.

As a second application of Theorem 3, we have

**THEOREM 5.** If \( f \in R[a] \), \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \), then \( 1 - M(a,r) \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + M(a,r) \)

\(|z| = r\), where

\[ M(a,r) = \max_n \frac{(1-\alpha)n}{(n-\alpha)C(a,n)} r^{n-1}. \]

**COROLLARY.** If \( f \in R[a] \) with either \( r \leq 2/3 \) or \( \alpha \leq 1/2 \), then

\[ 1 - \frac{1}{2-\alpha} r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2-\alpha} r \quad (|z| = r). \]

PROOF. It suffices to show that
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\[ g(a,r,n) = \frac{(1-a)nr^{n-1}}{(n-a)C(a,n)} \]

is a decreasing function of \( n \). In view of (3.1), the inequality

\[ g(a,r,n+1) \leq g(a,r,n) \]

is equivalent to

\[ h(a,r,n) = (1-r)n^2 + [2-3a-(1-a)r]n + (1-a)(1-2a) + ar \geq 0. \]

Since, for \( n \) fixed, \( h \) is a decreasing function of \( r \), we have

\[ h(a,r,n) \geq h(a,1,n) = (1-2a)n + (1-a)(1-2a) + a \geq 0 \]

for \( a < 1/2 \). Since \( h \) is also a decreasing function of \( a \), it follows for \( r < 2/3 \) that

\[ h(a,r,n) \geq h(1,r,n) = (1-r)n^2 - n + r > h(1,2/3,n) \geq h(1,2/3,2) = 0. \]

REMARKS. 1. Since \( h(1,r,2) = 2 - 3r < 0 \) for \( r > 2/3 \), we have \( g(1,r,2) < g(1,r,3) \). Thus the corollary will not be true for all \( a \) when \( r > 2/3 \).

2. We next show that the corollary will not be true for all \( r \) when \( a > 1/2 \). For each \( a, 1/2 < a < 1 \), we must find an \( r = r(a) \) such that

\[ M(a,r) > \left( \frac{1}{2-a} \right)r. \]

It suffices to show for \( n = n(a) \) sufficiently large that

\[ (1-a)n/(n-a)C(a,n) > 1/(2-a), \]

which is equivalent to

\[ C(a,n) < \frac{(1-a)(2-a)n}{n-a}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

Since \( C(a,n) \to 0 \) for \( a > 1/2 \) and the right hand side of (3.2) is bounded below by \( (1-a)(2-a) > 0 \), the result follows.

4. RADII OF UNIVALENCE, STARLIKENESS, AND CONVEXITY.

The functions in \( R[a] \) for \( 0 \leq a \leq 1/2 \) are starlike of a positive order. The bound is given in
THEOREM 6. If \( f \in R[\alpha], 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2 \), then \( f \in T^*[(2(1-\alpha)/(3-2\alpha)]. \) The result is sharp, with extremal function

\[
f_2(z) = z - \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)}z^2.
\]

PROOF. From (2.2), it suffices to show that

\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)}{1-\alpha} |a_n| < 1
\]

implies

\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3-2\alpha}}{1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3-2\alpha}} |a_n| < 1.
\]

This will follow if

\[
(3-2\alpha)n - 2(1-\alpha) \leq \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} C(\alpha,n)
\]

or, equivalently, when

\[
g(\alpha,n) = \frac{[(3-2\alpha)n - 2(1-\alpha)](1-\alpha)}{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)} \leq 1.
\]

Since \( g(\alpha,2) = 1 \), it suffices to show that \( g(\alpha,n) \) is a decreasing function of \( n \). In view of (3.1), the inequality \( g(\alpha,n+1) \leq g(\alpha,n), n=2, 3, \ldots \), is equivalent to

\[
\frac{(3-2\alpha)n^2+n}{(n+1-\alpha)(n+1-2\alpha)} \leq \frac{(3-2\alpha)n-2(1-\alpha)}{n-\alpha}.
\]

This holds if and only if, for each fixed \( \alpha \), we have

\[
h(n) = (4\alpha^2-8\alpha+3)n^2 + (6\alpha^2-4\alpha^3-1)n + 4\alpha^3 - 10\alpha^2 + 8\alpha - 2 \geq 0.
\]

Note that \( h(1) = 0 \) and

\[
h(n+1) - h(n) = 2(4\alpha^2-8\alpha+3)n + 2(1-4\alpha+5\alpha^2-2\alpha^3) = A(\alpha)n + B(\alpha).
\]
Since $A(\alpha) > 0$ and $B(\alpha) > 0$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$, the result follows.

**REMARK.** For $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 6 reduces to the known result [4] that $R[0] = K[0] \subset T[2/3]$.

When $\alpha > 1/2$, $R[\alpha] \not\subset S$. We will show that $g_n(z) = z - 2z^n/n \in R[\alpha] - S$ for $n = n(\alpha)$ sufficiently large. If $n \geq 4$, then $g_n \in R[1]$. If $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, then $g_n^* \in S$. We will show that $g_n(z) = z - 2C(\alpha,n)z^n/n$. Taking (1.2) into account, we have $\frac{2C(\alpha,n)}{n} \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{(n-\alpha)}$ for $n$ sufficiently large, so that $g_n^* \in T[\alpha]$.

Therefore, $g_n \in R[\alpha]$.

We next determine the largest disk in which $R[\alpha]$ is univalent.

**THEOREM 7.** The radius of univalence and starlikeness for $R[\alpha]$, $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, is

$$r(\alpha) = \min_n \left\{ \frac{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)}{n(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{1/(n-1)}.$$

**PROOF.** For $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in $R[\alpha]$, the inequality $|zf'/f - 1| \leq 1$ is valid for $|z| \leq r$ whenever $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| r^{n-1} < 1$. In view of Theorem 2, this is true if

$$r \leq \left[ \frac{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)}{n(1-\alpha)} \right]^{1/(n-1)}.$$

Hence, $f$ is starlike for $|z| \leq r(\alpha)$. On the other hand, for some $n$ we have

$$f'(z) = 1 - \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)} z^{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad z = r(\alpha).$$

Thus $f$ is not univalent (or starlike) for $|z| \leq r$, $r > r(\alpha)$.

**COROLLARY.** The radius of univalence and starlikeness for $R[1]$ is $1^{1/2} = .794$.

**PROOF.** We must show that
This can be found by differentiating \( g(x) = \frac{2}{x} \) and observing that \( g \) is decreasing for \( 2 \leq x \leq x_0 \) and increasing for \( x > x_0 \), where \( x_0 \) satisfies \( 4 < x_0 < 5 \). Since \( g(4) < g(5) \), the result follows.

Thus, for all \( \alpha, f \) in \( R[\alpha] \) is univalent and starlike when \( |z| < \sqrt[3]{3/2} \).

**REMARK.** MacGregor showed [2] that the radius of univalence and starlikeness for \( R_1 \) is \( 1/\sqrt{2} \approx .707 \).

We will now obtain the radius of convexity for \( R[\alpha] \).

**THEOREM 8.** If \( f \in R[\alpha], 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \), then \( f \) is convex in the disk

\[
|z| < r(\alpha) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)}{n^2(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{1/(n-1)}.
\]

The result is sharp, with the extremal function of the form

\[
f_n(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{(n-\alpha)C(\alpha,n)}z^n
\]

for some \( n \).

**PROOF.** For \( f(z) = z - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in R[\alpha] \), it suffices to show that

\[
|zf''(z)/f'(z)| \leq 1 \text{ for } |z| \leq r(\alpha).
\]

We have

\[
\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n-1}}
\]

which is bounded by 1 whenever \( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 \). From Theorem 2, this will hold whenever
The result follows upon setting $|z| = r(\alpha)$ in (4.1).

Using arguments similar to those in the corollary to Theorem 7, we have the following

COROLLARY. The radius of convexity for $R[1]$ is $\sqrt{2}/3 \approx 0.471$.

REMARK. The radius of convexity $r.c.$ for $R_1$ is known to satisfy $0.395 \leq r.c. \leq 0.40$. See [1].

We conclude with the determination of the smallest $\beta = \beta(\alpha)$ for which $T^*[\alpha] \subset R[\beta]$.

THEOREM 9. If $f \in T^*[\alpha]$ then $f \in R[(2-3\alpha)/(2(1-\alpha))]$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$, and $f \in R[1/2]$ for $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. The result is sharp, with extremal function

$$z - \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} z^2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$$

and of the form

$$z - \frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha} z^n \quad \text{for } 1/2 < \alpha < 1.$$ 

PROOF. From Theorem 2 it suffices to show, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$, that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) |a_n|/(1-\alpha) \leq 1$$

implies $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\beta) C(\beta,n) |a_n|/(1-\beta) \leq 1$, where $\beta = \beta(\alpha) = (2-3\alpha)/(2-2\alpha)$. This will follow if we can show that

$$g(\alpha,\beta,n) = \frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha} \frac{n-\beta}{1-\beta} C(\beta,n) \leq 1.$$ 

Since $g(\alpha,\beta,2) = 1$, it is sufficient to show that $g(\alpha,\beta,n)$ is a decreasing function
of $n$. In view of (3.1), $g(\alpha, \beta, n+1) \leq g(\alpha, \beta, n)$ whenever

$$\frac{(n+1-\beta)(n+1-2\beta)}{n(n+1-\alpha)} \leq \frac{n-\beta}{n-\alpha},$$

which is equivalent to

$$p(\alpha, n) = \frac{1-2\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)^2} (2(1-\alpha)n^2 + (\alpha^2+2\alpha-2)n - \alpha^2) \geq 0.$$

However, since

$$p(\alpha, n+1) - p(\alpha, n) = \frac{1-2\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)^2} (4(1-\alpha)n+\alpha^2)$$

is nonnegative for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$, the first inclusion is proved.

The inclusion relation $T^*[\alpha_1] \subset T^*[\alpha_2]$ for $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$ shows that $T^*[\alpha] \subset R[1/2]$ for $\alpha > 1/2$. But for any $\alpha < 1$ and $\gamma < 1/2$, we will show that

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha} z^n \in T^*[\alpha] - R[\gamma]$$

for $n = n(\alpha, \gamma)$ sufficiently large. If $f \in R[\gamma]$, then

$$f^*s_{\gamma} = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha} C(\gamma, n) z^n \in T^*[\gamma].$$

This is true if and only if

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha} C(\gamma, n) \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{n-\gamma}$$

or, equivalently, if

$$C(\gamma, n) \leq \frac{(n-\alpha)}{n-\gamma} \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\alpha}\right).$$

Since $C(\gamma, n) \to \infty$ for $\gamma < 1/2$ and the right hand side of (4.2) is bounded, the inequality is not true for $n$ sufficiently large.

**Remark.** $T^*[1] = \{z\} \subset R[0]$. 
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