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ABSTRACT. We develop a risk-efficient sequential procedure for estimating the parameter \( \theta \) of the uniform density on \((0, \theta)\). We give explicit expressions for the distribution of the stopping time and derive its expectation and variance. We also tabulate the values of the expected stopping time and its standard deviation for some selected values of the parameter. Asymptotic properties such as efficiency and risk-efficiency are established.

Keywords and phrases. Uniform density parameter, risk-efficient estimation, sequential procedure.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 62L12.

1. Introduction. The problem of obtaining a confidence interval having a specified width for the parameter in the density that is uniform on \((0, \theta)\) (\(\theta > 0\)) or on \((\xi, 1)\) (\(\xi < 1\)) has been considered by Govindarajulu and others. For earlier references on this problem (see [2]). In this paper, we provide a risk-efficient sequential procedure for estimating \( \theta \) or \( \xi \).

2. Notation and the sequential procedure. Let \( X \) be distributed uniformly on \((0, \theta)\), where \( \theta > 0 \) and let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) be a random sample from that distribution. It is well known that \( X_{nn} = \max(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \) is sufficient for \( \theta \). Consider

\[
\hat{\theta} = b X_{nn}
\]  

(2.1)

and minimize \( E(b X_{nn} - \theta)^2 \) with respect to \( b \). We find the optimal value of \( b \) to be \( (n + 2)/(n + 1) \). Then, the mean squared error of \( (n + 2) Y_{nn}/(n + 1) \) is

\[
E \left( \frac{n + 2}{n + 1} X_{nn} - \theta \right)^2 = \frac{\theta^2}{(n + 1)^2}.
\]  

(2.2)

Next consider

\[
R(\theta) = E \left( \frac{n + 2}{n + 1} X_{nn} - \theta \right)^2 + cn = \theta^2 (n + 1)^{-2} + cn,
\]  

(2.3)

where \( c \) is proportional to the cost of a single observation. We can write

\[
R(\theta) = \theta^2 n'^{-2} + c n' - c, \quad \text{where } n' = n + 1.
\]  

(2.4)
The value of $n'$ which minimizes $R$ can be obtained by solving $\partial R / \partial n' = 0$. This gives

$$n' = \left( \frac{2\theta^2}{c} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence

$$\min R(\theta) = 3\left( \frac{c\theta}{2} \right)^{2/3} + o(c^{2/3}). \quad (2.6)$$

However, since $\theta$ is unknown, we cannot compute the optimal $n'$ given by (2.4). Hence, we resort to the following adaptive sequential rule. Stop at $N$, where

$$N = \inf \left\{ n \geq 1 : \frac{(n+1)^3}{3} \geq \frac{2\theta^2}{c} \right\}$$

$$= \inf \left\{ n \geq 1 : X_{nn} \leq \left( \frac{c}{2} \right)^{1/2} (n+1)^{3/2} \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

3. Properties of the stopping time. Consider

$$P(N = \infty) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(N > n), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$P(N > n) = P\left( X_{kk} \leq \left( \frac{c}{2} \right)^{1/2} (k+1)^{3/2}, k = 1, \ldots, n \right) \leq P\left( X_{nn} \leq \left( \frac{c}{2} \right)^{1/2} (n+1)^{3/2} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ since $X_{nn}$ converges to $\theta$ almost surely (a.s.). Thus, the sequential procedure terminates finitely with probability 1. Now, let $a = (c/2)^{1/2}$. $N = n$ implies that $X_{nn} \leq a(n+1)^{3/2}$ and $X_{n-1,n-1} > a n^{3/2}$. Hence,

$$\left( \frac{X_{nn}}{a} \right)^{2/3} - 1 \leq n < \left( \frac{X_{n-1,n-1}}{a} \right)^{2/3} \leq \left( \frac{\theta}{a} \right)^{2/3}. \quad (3.3)$$

Next, we explicitly evaluate the first two moments of $N$. Recall that

$$P(N > n) = P\left( u_{kk} > \frac{a(k+1)^{3/2}}{\theta}, k = 1, \ldots, n \right) = 1 - P(U_{k=1}^n A_k), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$A_k = \left\{ u_{kk} \leq \frac{a(k+1)^{3/2}}{\theta} \right\}, \quad u_{kk} = \max(U_1, \ldots, U_k). \quad (3.5)$$

The sample $(U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ is a random sample of size $k$ from the standard uniform distribution. Also note that $P(N > n) = 0$ whenever $n > (\theta/a)^{2/3} - 1$ (because, then $U_{nn} > 1$). In the following lemma, we obtain a recurrence relation for evaluating the values of

$$P_n = P(U_{k=1}^n A_k). \quad (3.6)$$
**Lemma 3.1.** Let $\alpha_k$ ($0 < \alpha_k < 1$) be an increasing sequence of real numbers, i.e., $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < 1$. Then, for all $n$

$$P_n - P_{n-1} = \alpha_n^n - (P_1 - P_0) \alpha_n^{n-1} - (P_2 - P_1) \alpha_n^{n-2} - \cdots - (P_{n-1} - P_{n-2}) \alpha_n,$$  \hfill (3.7)

where $P_0 = 0$.

**Proof.** From the addition law, we have

$$P_n = P(U_1 A_1) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} P(A_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i A_j) \quad (3.8)$$

We also note that

$$P(A_i) = P(U_{ii} \leq \alpha_i) = P(U_j \leq \alpha_i, \; j = 1, \ldots, i) = \alpha_i^i;$$

$$P(A_i A_j) = P(U_{ii} \leq \alpha_i, U_{jj} \leq \alpha_j) = \alpha_i^i \alpha_j^{j-i}, \quad i < j;$$

$$P(A_i A_j A_k) = \alpha_i^i \alpha_j^{j-i} \alpha_k^{k-j}, \quad i < j < k;$$

$$\vdots$$

$$P(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n.$$  \hfill (3.9)

Next, we write

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} P(A_i) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} P(A_i) + \alpha_n^n,$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i A_j) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} P(A_i A_j) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \alpha_i^i \alpha_n^{n-i},$$  \hfill (3.10)

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} P(A_i A_j A_k) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n-1} P(A_i A_j A_k) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \alpha_i^i \alpha_j^{j-i} \alpha_k^{k-j-i},$$ etc.

Then, we can express $P_n - P_{n-1}$ as

$$P_n - P_{n-1} = \alpha_n^n - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \alpha_i^i \alpha_n^{n-i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1} \alpha_i^i \alpha_j^{j-i} \alpha_n^{n-j}$$

$$- \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n.$$  \hfill (3.11)

Further expressing the right-hand side of (3.11) as a polynomial in $\alpha_n$, we obtain (3.7). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Now, using (3.7) recurrently, we can evaluate the values of the $P_i$’s in terms of the $\alpha_i$’s. In particular, we have

$$P_1 = \alpha_1,$$  

$$P_2 = P_1 + \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2,$$  \hfill (3.12)

$$P_3 = P_2 + \alpha_3^3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_3^2 - (\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2) \alpha_3,$$ etc.

Thus

$$E(N) = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq J} P(N > n) = J + 1 - \sum_{1 \leq n \leq J} P_n,$$  \hfill (3.13)
where

\[ J = \lfloor \eta^{2/3} \rfloor - 1, \quad \eta = \frac{\theta}{c}. \]  

(3.14)

Writing \( P(N = n) = P(N > n - 1) - P(N > n) \), we can obtain

\[ E(N^2) = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq J} n^2 P(N = n) = 2 \sum_{0 \leq j \leq J} j P(N > j) + EN, \]  

(3.15)

since \( P(N > J) = 0 \). Consequently,

\[ \text{var } N = J(J+1) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} j P(j) + EN - (EN)^2. \]  

(3.16)

In Table 3.1, we provide numerical values of \( EN \) and \( \sigma_N \) for certain selected values of \( \eta \). From Table 3.1, we can see that the expected stopping time is increasing in \( \eta \), whereas its standard deviation is tending to 1 as \( \eta \) becomes large (or as \( c \) tends to 0).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \eta = \theta \sqrt{2/c} )</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>64</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>216</th>
<th>343</th>
<th>512</th>
<th>729</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( EN )</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>34.22</td>
<td>47.30</td>
<td>62.35</td>
<td>79.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_N )</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Remark 3.2.** It should be noted that \( EN \), when rounded upward to the nearest integer, coincides with \( J = \lfloor \eta^{2/3} \rfloor - 1 \).

4. Asymptotic consideration. In this section, we derive some of the asymptotic properties of the sequential procedure which are given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** For the sequential procedure given by (2.7).

(i) \( N/n^* \rightarrow 1 \), a.s. as \( c \rightarrow 0 \),

(ii) \( EN/n^* \rightarrow 1 \) as \( c \rightarrow 0 \) (asymptotic efficiency),

(iii) \( R_n(\theta)/R_n^*(\theta) \rightarrow 1 \) as \( c \rightarrow 0 \) (Risk-efficiency).

**Proof.** Statement (i) follows from the almost sure convergence of \( X_{nn} \) to \( \theta \).

Statement (ii) follows from the boundedness of \( X_{nn} \) by \( \theta \) and Lemma 2 in [1].

Now we prove (iii). Note that from (2.6), \( R_n^*(\theta) \approx 3(c\theta/2)^{2/3} \). Since \( cEN \approx 2(c\theta/2)^{2/3} \), it suffices to show that

\[ E\left( \frac{N+2}{N+1}X_{NN} - \theta \right)^2 \approx \left( \frac{c\theta}{2} \right)^{2/3}, \]  

(4.1)

or

\[ \theta^2 E(U_{NN} - 1)^2 \approx \left( \frac{c\theta}{2} \right)^{2/3}, \]  

(4.2)

where \( U_{NN} \) denotes the largest order statistic in a random sample of size \( N \) drawn from the standard uniform distribution. Now, equation (4.2) follows from Theorem 1 in reference [3] provided the following conditions are satisfied.
Let the stopping rule be

\[ N = \inf \left \{ n \geq m_c : X_{nn} \leq \left( \frac{c}{2} \right)^{1/2} (n + 1)^{3/2} \right \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

with

\[ c^{-\alpha} \leq m_c \leq o(c^{1/2}), \quad \text{where } 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}. \] \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

(i) Let \( S_n = n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \) and

\[ S_{k,n} = S_{k+n} - S_n = (n + k)(X_{k+n,k+n} - \theta) - k(X_{kn} - \theta). \] \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

Then there exists a \( p > 2, B > 1 \) and \( k_0 \geq 1 \) such that \( E|S_{kn}|^p < \infty \) and

\[ E|S_{kn}|^p \leq Bn^{p/2} \quad \forall k \geq k_0, n \geq 1. \] \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

(ii) Let \( n^2E(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2 = n^2/(n + 1)^2 \rightarrow \theta^2 = \zeta \). Then, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), other exists a \( b_\varepsilon > 1 \) such that

\[ P \left( \max_{m \leq n \leq b_\varepsilon m} (\zeta - \hat{\zeta}_n) > \varepsilon \right) = o(m^{-r}) \] \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

for some \( r > 1 \) with \( r > p(1 - 2\alpha)/(2\alpha(p - 2)) \), where \( \alpha \) and \( p \) are given by (4.4) and (4.7), respectively, and \( \hat{\zeta}_n \) is an estimate of \( \zeta \). In the following, we establish (4.6) and (4.7).

**Lemma 4.2.** For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( b_\varepsilon > 1 \),

\[ P \left( \max_{m \leq n \leq b_\varepsilon m} (\zeta - \hat{\zeta}_n) > \varepsilon \right) \leq e^{-m\varepsilon'}, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\theta^2}. \] \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

**Proof.** We have

\[ P \left( \max_{m \leq n \leq b_\varepsilon m} (\zeta - \hat{\zeta}_n) > \varepsilon \right) = P \left( \max_{m \leq n \leq b_\varepsilon m} (\theta^2 - \hat{\theta}_n^2) > \varepsilon \right) \]

\[ = P \left( \max_{m \leq n \leq b_\varepsilon m} (1 - U_{nn}^2) > \varepsilon \right) \leq P \left( \max_{m \leq n \leq b_\varepsilon m} (1 - U_{nn}) > \varepsilon' \right), \quad \varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\theta^2}. \] \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

Since \( U_{nn} \) is equivalent in distribution to \( e^{-\delta/n} \), where \( \delta \) has the standard exponential distribution

\[ P \left( \max_{m \leq n \leq b_\varepsilon m} (1 - U_{nn}) > \varepsilon' \right) = P \left( \max_{m \leq n \leq b_\varepsilon m} (1 - e^{-\delta/n}) > \varepsilon' \right) \]

\[ \leq P(1 - e^{-\delta/m} > \varepsilon') \]

\[ = P \left( \frac{\delta}{m} > -\ln(1 - \varepsilon') \right) \leq P \left( \frac{\delta}{m} > \varepsilon' \right) \approx e^{-m\varepsilon'}. \] \hspace{1cm} (4.10)
**Lemma 4.3.** Let $S_{k,n}$ be defined by (i). Then, for $p > 1$,

$$E|S_{k,n}|^p = O(1). \quad (4.11)$$

**Proof.** We have

$$E|S_{k,n}|^p = \theta^n E \left| (U_{k+n,k+n} - 1)(n + k) - k(U_{k,k} - 1) \right|^p \leq C \theta \left[ E((n + k)(1 - U_{k+n,k+n})) + E(k(1 - U_{k,k})) \right]^p, \quad (4.12)$$

where $C = \max(2^{p-1}, 1)$ by the $c_r$ inequality (cf. [4, page 155]).

Now, we can write

$$E \left| n(1 - U_{nn}) \right|^p = p \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} P(n(1 - U_{nn}) > x) \, dx, \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$P(n(1 - U_{nn}) > x) = p \left( U_{nn} \leq 1 - \frac{x}{n} \right) = \left( 1 - \frac{x}{n} \right)^n \leq e^{-x}. \quad (4.14)$$

Consequently,

$$E(n(1 - U_{nn}))^p \leq P \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} e^{-x} \, dx = p \Gamma(p) = \Gamma(p + 1). \quad (4.15)$$

Thus, it readily follows that

$$E|S_{k,n}|^p = O(1) \quad (4.16)$$

as $n$ becomes large.

The proof for (iii) of Theorem 4.1 is now complete by using Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3.

Next, we present a lemma giving the exact distribution of $S_{k,n}$ which might be of interest elsewhere and from which we can also assert (4.6).

**Lemma 4.4.** We have

$$F_{S_{k,n}}(y) = P(S_{k,n} \leq y)$$

$$= \begin{cases} k \int_0^{1+\gamma/n} u^{k-1} \left( 1 + \frac{k(u-1) + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} \right)^n \, du, & -n\theta < y < 0, \\ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{k\theta} \right)^k + k \int_0^{1-\gamma/k\theta} \left( 1 + \frac{k(u-1) + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} \right)^n \, du, & 0 < y < k\theta. \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

**Proof.** Note that we can write $S_{k,n}$ as

$$S_{k,n} = \theta \left[ (n+k) \max(U_{kk}, \tilde{U}_{nn}) - kU_{kk} - n \right], \quad (4.18)$$

where $U_{kk} = \max(U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ and $\tilde{U}_{nn} = \max(U_{k+1}, \ldots, U_{k+n})$. Thus
\[ P \left( \frac{S_{k,n}}{\theta} \leq \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) = P \left( \frac{S_{k,n}}{\theta} \leq \frac{\gamma}{\theta} , U_{kk} \geq \tilde{U}_{nn} \right) + P \left( \frac{S_{k,n}}{\theta} \leq \frac{\gamma}{\theta} , U_{kk} < \tilde{U}_{nn} \right) \]

\[ = P \left( n(U_{kk} - 1) \leq \frac{\gamma}{\theta} , U_{kk} < \tilde{U}_{nn} \right) \]

\[ + P \left( n(\tilde{U}_{nn} - 1) + k(\tilde{U}_{nn} - U_{kk}) \leq \frac{\gamma}{\theta} , U_{kk} < \tilde{U}_{nn} \right) \]

\[ = k \int_0^1 u^{k-1} P \left( n(\tilde{U}_{nn} - 1) \leq \frac{\gamma}{\theta} , U_{kk} \leq u \right) du \]

\[ + k \int_0^1 u^{k-1} P \left( n(\tilde{U}_{nn} - 1) + k(\tilde{U}_{nn} - U_{kk}) \leq \frac{\gamma}{\theta} , U_{kk} > u \right) du \]

\[ = k \int_0^1 u^{k+n-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma}{n\theta} \right) du \]

\[ + k \int_0^1 u^{k-1} P \left( u < \tilde{U}_{nn} \leq \frac{ku + n + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} \right) du \]

\[ = k \left[ \min \left( 1, 1 + \frac{\gamma}{n\theta} \right) \right]^{k+n} \]

\[ + k \int_0^{\min(1, 1+y/n\theta)} \left\{ \left[ \min \left( 1, \frac{ku + n + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} \right) \right] - u \right\} u^{k-1} du \]

\[ = k \left[ \min \left( 1, \frac{ku + n + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} \right) \right]^{k+n} u^{k-1} du. \] (4.19)

Now

\[ \frac{ku + n + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} > 1 \quad \text{if} \quad u > 1 - \frac{2}{k\theta}, \]

\[ < 1 \quad \text{otherwise}. \] (4.20)

Next we consider the cases \( \gamma > 0 \) and \( \gamma < 0 \).

**Case 1.** Let \( \gamma > 0 \). Then

\[ P(S_{k,n} \leq \gamma) = k \int_0^{1-\gamma/k\theta} u^{k-1} \left( \frac{ku + n + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} \right)^n du + k \int_{1-\gamma/k\theta}^1 u^{k-1} du \]

\[ = 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{k\theta} \right)^k + k \int_0^{1-\gamma/k\theta} \left( 1 + \frac{k(u-1) + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} \right)^n u^{k-1} du. \] (4.21)

**Case 2.** Let \( \gamma < 0 \). Then

\[ P(S_{k,n} \leq \gamma) = k \int_0^{1+y/n\theta} u^{k-1} \left( 1 + \frac{k(u-1) + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} \right)^n du. \] (4.22)

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4.

**Corollary 4.5.** The probability density function of \( S_{k,n} \) is given by

\[ f_{S_{k,n}}(y) = \frac{k}{n\theta} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma}{n\theta} \right)^{n+k-1} + \frac{kn}{(n+k)\theta} \int_0^{1+y/n\theta} u^{k-1} \left( 1 + \frac{k(u-1) + \gamma/\theta}{n+k} \right)^{n-1} du \] (4.23)
if \( y < 0 \) and by
\[
f_{S_{k,n}}(y) = \frac{kn}{(n+k)\theta} \int_0^{1-y/k\theta} \left( 1 + \frac{k(u-1) + y/\theta}{n+k} \right)^{n-1} u^{k-1} du \tag{4.24}
\]
if \( y > 0 \).

**Corollary 4.6.** \( E|S_{k,n}|^p = O(1) \) for all \( p \).

**Proof.** We can write
\[
E \left| \frac{S_{k,n}}{\theta} \right|^p = \frac{k}{n} \int_{-n}^0 |v|^p \left( 1 + \frac{v}{n} \right)^{n+k-1} dv + \frac{kn}{n+k} \int_{-n}^0 |v|^p \left[ \int_0^{1+v/n} u^{k-1} \left( 1 + \frac{k(u-1) + v}{n+k} \right)^{n-1} du \right] dv + \frac{kn}{n+k} \int_0^k v^p \left[ \int_0^{1-v/k} u^{k-1} \left( 1 + \frac{k(u-1) + v}{n+k} \right)^{n-1} du \right] dv
\]
\[
= I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
\]
where \( I_1, I_2, I_3 \) denote the three terms in the above equation. Let \( v/n = -s \),
\[
I_1 = kn^p \int_0^1 s^p (1-s)^{n+k-1} ds = kn^p \Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+k)\Gamma(n+k+p+1) \sim kn^p \Gamma(p+1)(n+k)^{p-1}
\]
and
\[
I_2 = \frac{kn^{p+1}}{n+k} \int_0^1 s^p \left[ \int_0^{1-s} u^{k-1} \left( 1 + \frac{k(u-1) - ns}{n+k} \right)^{n-1} du \right] ds.
\]
Now since \((k(u-1) - ns)/(n+k) \leq 1-s\),
\[
I_2 \leq \frac{kn^{p+1}}{n+k} \int_0^1 s^p (1-s)^{n+k-1} ds = \frac{n^{p+1} \Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+k)}{(n+k)\Gamma(n+k+p+1)} = O((n+k)^{-1}).
\]
Next since \(1 + [k(u-1) + v]/(n+k)^{-1} \leq 1\),
\[
I_3 \leq \frac{n}{n+k} \int_0^1 s^p (1-s)^k ds = \frac{n}{n+k} k^{p+1} \int_0^1 s^p (1-s)^k ds = O(1).
\]
Thus, \( E|S_{k,n}/\theta|^p = O(1) \).

**Concluding Remark.** In order to solve the dual problem of estimating \( \xi \) when \( X \) is distributed uniformly on \((\xi, 1)\), change \( \theta \) to \( 1 - \xi \) and \( X_{nn} \) to \( 1 - X_{1n} \), where \( X_{1n} = \min(X_{11}, \ldots, X_n) \).
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