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Abstract. Fixed point theorems for generalized Lipschitzian semigroups are proved in $p$-uniformly convex Banach spaces and in uniformly convex Banach spaces. As applications, its corollaries are given in a Hilbert space, in $L^p$ spaces, in Hardy space $H^p$, and in Sobolev spaces $H^{k,p}$, for $1 < p < \infty$ and $k \geq 0$.

Keywords and phrases. Semitopological semigroup, submean, generalized Lipschitzian semigroup, $p$-uniformly convex Banach space, uniformly normal structure.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10.

1. Introduction. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space $E$. A mapping $T : K \to K$ is said to be Lipschitzian mapping if for each $n \geq 1$, there exists a positive real number $k_n$ such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in K$. A Lipschitzian mapping is said to be nonexpansive if $k_n = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$, uniformly $k$-Lipschitzian if $k_n = k$ for all $n \geq 1$, and asymptotically nonexpansive if $\lim_n k_n = 1$, respectively. These mappings were first studied by Geobel and Kirk [6] and Geobel, Kirk, and Thele [8]. Lifshitz [10] showed that in a Hilbert space $H$, a uniformly $k$-Lipschitzian mapping $T$ with $k < \sqrt{2}$ has a fixed point. Downing and Ray [3] and Ishihara and Takahashi [9] verified that Lifshitz's theorem is valid for uniformly Lipschitzian semigroup in Hilbert spaces.

Mizoguchi and Takahashi [14] introduced the notion of a submean on an appropriate space and, using a submean, they proved a fixed point theorem for uniformly Lipschitzian semigroup in a Hilbert space. Recently, Tan and Xu [21] generalized the result of Mizoguchi and Takahashi [14] to a Banach space setting and, also, proved a new fixed point theorem for uniformly $k$-Lipschitzian semigroup in a uniformly convex Banach space.

Now, we consider the following class of mappings, which we call generalized Lipschitzian mapping whose $n$th iterate $T^n$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq a_n \|x - y\| + b_n (\|x - T^n x\| + \|y - T^n y\|) + c_n (\|x - T^n y\| + \|y - T^n x\|)$$

for each $x, y \in K$ and $n \geq 1$, where $a_n, b_n, c_n$ are the nonnegative constants such that there exists an integer $n_0$ such that $b_n + c_n < 1$ for all $n \geq n_0$. 
This class of generalized Lipschitzian mappings are more general than nonexpansive, asymptotically nonexpansive, Lipschitzian, and uniformly \( k \)-Lipschitzian mappings and it can be seen by taking \( b_n = c_n = 0 \).

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems for generalized Lipschitzian semigroups in \( p \)-uniformly convex Banach spaces and in uniformly convex Banach spaces. Next, we give its corollaries in a Hilbert space, in \( L^p \) spaces, in Hardy space \( H^p \), and in Sobolev spaces \( H^{k,p} \), for \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( k \geq 0 \). Our results improve and extend results from [9, 14, 21, 22].

2. Preliminaries. Let \( p > 1 \) and denote by \( \lambda \) the number in \([0,1]\) and by \( w_p(\lambda) \) the function \( \lambda \cdot (1 - \lambda)^p + \lambda^p \cdot (1 - \lambda) \). The functional \( \| \cdot \|^p \) is said to be uniformly convex (cf. Zalinescu [24]) on the Banach space \( E \) if there exists a positive constant \( c_p \) such that, for all \( \lambda \in [0,1] \) and \( x, y \in E \), the following inequality holds:

\[
\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y\|^p \leq \lambda \|x\|^p + (1 - \lambda) \|y\|^p - w_p(\lambda) \cdot c_p \cdot \|x - y\|^p.
\]  

Xu [23] proved that the functional \( \| \cdot \|^p \) is uniformly convex on the whole Banach space \( E \) if and only if \( E \) is \( p \)-uniformly convex, i.e., there exists a constant \( c_p > 0 \) such that the modulus of convexity (see [7]) \( \delta_{E}(\epsilon) \geq c_p \cdot \epsilon^p \) all \( 0 \leq \epsilon \leq 2 \).

Let \( G \) be a semitopological semigroup, i.e., a semigroup with a Hausdorff topology such that, for each \( a \in G \), the mapping \( t \rightarrow a \cdot t \) and \( t \rightarrow t \cdot a \) from \( G \) onto itself are continuous. A semitopological semigroup \( G \) is left reversible if any two closed right ideals of \( G \) have nonempty intersection. In this case, \( (G, \leq) \) is a directed system when the binary relation “\( \leq \)” on \( G \) is defined by \( a \leq b \) if and only if \( \{a\} \cup \overline{aG} \supseteq \{b\} \cup \overline{bG} \), where \( \overline{D} \) is the closure of set \( D \). Examples of left reversible semigroups include commutative and all left amenable semigroups.

Let \( m(G) \) be the Banach space of bounded real valued functions on \( G \) with the supremum norm. Suppose \( X \) is a subspace of \( m(G) \) containing constants. Following Mizoguchi and Takahashi [14], we say that a real valued function \( \mu \) on \( X \) is a submean on \( X \) if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( \mu(f + g) \leq \mu(f) + \mu(g) \) for all \( f, g \in X \);
(ii) \( \mu(\alpha f) = \alpha \mu(f) \) for all \( f \in X \) and \( \alpha \geq 0 \);
(iii) if \( f, g \in X \) with \( f \leq g \), then \( \mu(f) \leq \mu(g) \); and
(iv) \( \mu(c) = c \) for every constant \( c \).

If \( \mu \) is a submean on \( X \) and \( f \in X \), then we denote by either \( \mu(f) \) or \( \mu_t(f(t)) \), according to time and circumstances, the value of \( \mu \) at \( f \). For \( a \in G \) and \( f \in m(G) \), we define \( (l_a f)(t) = f(at) \) and \( (r_a f)(t) = f(ta) \) for all \( t \in G \). Let \( X \) be a subspace of \( m(G) \) containing constants which is \( l_G \)-invariant, i.e., \( l_a(X) \subseteq X \) for all \( a \in G \). Then a submean \( \mu \) on \( x \) is said to be left invariant if \( \mu(f) = \mu(l_a f) \) for every \( a \in G \) and \( f \in X \). A right invariant submean is defined similarly. A submean is called invariant if it is left and right invariant. Let \( K \) be a closed convex subset of a Banach space \( E \). Then a collection \( \mathcal{F} = \{T_s : s \in G\} \) of mappings of \( K \) into itself is said to be a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup on \( K \) if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( T_s T_t x = T_{s+t} x \) for all \( s, t \in G \) and \( x \in K \);
(ii) for each \( x \in K \), the mapping \( t \rightarrow T_t x \) from \( G \) into \( K \) is continuous; and
(iii) for each $s \in G$

$$\|T_s x - T_s y\| \leq a_s \|x - y\| + b_s (\|x - T_s x\| + \|y - T_s y\|) + c_s (\|x - T_s y\| + \|y - T_s x\|),$$

for $x, y \in K$, where $a_s, b_s, c_s > 0$ such that there exists a $t_1 \in G$ such that $b_s + c_s < 1$ for all $s \geq t_1$.

The following lemma is needed to prove the main result:

**Lemma 1** [22, Lem. 2.1]. Let $E$ be a $p$-uniformly convex Banach space, $K$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, and $\{x_t : t \in G\}$ a bounded family of elements of $E$. Also, suppose that for every $x$ in $K$, the function $f$ on $G$, defined by

$$f(t) = \|x_t - x\|^p, \quad t \in G,$$

belongs to $X$. Set

$$r(x) = \mu_t \|x_t - x\|^p, \quad x \in K$$

and

$$r = \inf \{r(x) : x \in K\}.$$

Then there exists a unique point $z$ in $K$ such that

$$r + c_p \|z - x\|^p \leq r(x)$$

for all $x$ in $K$, where $c_p$ is the constant appearing in (3).

3. Main results. Now, we prove the first result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a $p$-uniformly convex Banach space $E$, $X$ an $l_G$-invariant subspace of $m(G)$ containing constants which has left invariant submean $\mu$, and $\mathcal{S} = \{T_s : s \in G\}$ a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup on $K$. Suppose that there exists an $x_0$ in $K$ such that $\{T_s x_0 : x \in G\}$ is bounded and that, for every $u, v \in K$, the function $f$ on $G$ defined by

$$f(t) = \|T_t u - v\|^p, \quad t \in G,$$

and the function $g$ on $G$ defined by

$$g(t) = 2^{p-1} (\alpha^p_t + \beta^p_t), \quad t \in G$$

belong to $X$. Then, if $2^{p-1} \{\mu_t (\alpha^p_t + \beta^p_t)\} < 1 + c_p$, where $\alpha_t = (a_t + b_t + c_t)/(1 - b_t - c_t)$, $\beta_t = (2b_t + 2c_t)/(1 - b_t - c_t)$, and $c_p$ is the constant appearing in (3), there exists a $z \in K$ such that $T_s z = z$ for all $s \in G$. 
Proof. Since \( \{T_s x_0 : s \in G\} \) is bounded, it follows that \( \{T_s x : s \in G\} \) is bounded for every \( x \in K \). By Lemma 1, we inductively construct a sequence \( \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) in \( K \) in the following manner:

\[
\mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p = \min_{y \in K} \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - y||^p
\]

for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \). It follows from Lemma 1 that

\[
c_p ||x_n - y||^p \leq \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - y||^p - \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p
\]

for all \( y \in K \) and \( n \geq 1 \). Since \( T \) is generalized Lipschitzian, we get, after a simple calculation,

\[
||T_t x - T_s y|| \leq \alpha_s ||x - y|| + \beta_s ||y - T_s y||
\]

for each \( x, y \in K \) and \( s \in G \), where \( \alpha_s = (a_s + b_s + c_s)/(1 - b_s - c_s) \) and \( \beta_s = (2b_s + 2c_s)/(1 - b_s - c_s) \). By putting \( y = T_s x_0 \) into (12), we have

\[
c_p ||x_n - T_s x_0||^p \leq \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - T_s x_0||^p - \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p
\]

\[
= \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - T_s x_n||^p - \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p
\]

\[
= \mu_t ||T_t T_s x_{n-1} - T_s x_n||^p - \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p
\]

\[
\leq \mu_t \left[ \alpha_s ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n|| + \beta_s ||x_n - T_s x_n|| \right]^p - \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p
\]

or

\[
(c_p - 2^{p-1} \beta_s^p) ||x_n - T_s x_0||^p \leq (2^{p-1} \alpha_s^p - 1) \cdot \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p.
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
\mu_s ||x_n - T_s x_0||^p \leq A \cdot \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p,
\]

where \( A = (2^{p-1} \alpha_s^p - 1)/(c_p - 2^{p-1} \beta_s^p) < 1 \) by the assumption of the theorem. Since

\[
\mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p \leq \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p
\]

by (11), it follows from (13) that

\[
\mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p \leq A \cdot \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p
\]

\[
\leq A^n \mu_t ||T_t x_0 - x_0||^p.
\]

Noticing that

\[
||x_n - x_{n-1}||^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left( ||x_n - T_t x_{n-1}||^p + ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}||^p \right),
\]

we get

\[
||x_n - x_{n-1}||^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left( \mu_t ||x_n - T_t x_{n-1}||^p + \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}||^p \right)
\]

\[
\leq 2^p \mu_t ||T_t x_{n-1} - x_n||^p
\]

\[
\leq 2^p A^{n-1} \mu_t ||T_t x_0 - x_0||^p,
\]
which shows that \( \{ x_n \} \) is a Cauchy sequence and, hence, convergent. Let \( z = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \). Then, for each \( s \in G \), we have

\[
\| z - T_s z \|^p \leq \left( \| z - x_n \| + \| x_n - T_s x_n \| + \| T_s x_n - T_s z \| \right)^p \\
\leq \left( (1 + \alpha_s) \| z - x_n \| + (1 + \beta_s) \| x_n - T_s x_n \| \right)^p \\
\leq 2^{p-1} \left[ (1 + \alpha_s)^p \| z - x_n \| + (1 + \beta_s)^p \cdot A \cdot \mu_t \| x_n - T_s x_n \|^p \right] \\
\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

(21)

Therefore, \( T_s z = z \) for all \( s \in G \) and the proof is complete.

Let \( E \) be a Banach space, \( K \) a nonempty closed convex subset of \( E \), and \( G \) an unbounded subset of \( [0, \infty) \) such that

\[
t + h \in G \quad \text{for all} \quad t, h \in G
\]

(22)

and

\[
t - h \in G \quad \text{for all} \quad t, h \in G \quad \text{with} \quad t > h
\]

(23)

(e.g., \( G = [0, \infty) \) or \( G = N \), the set of nonnegative integers). Suppose \( \mathcal{G} = \{ T_s : s \in G \} \) is a generalized uniformly Lipschitzian semigroup on \( K \), i.e., a family of self-mappings of \( K \) satisfying the conditions:

(i) \( T_{s+h} x = T_s T_h x \) for all \( s, h \in G \) and \( x \in K \);

(ii) for each \( x \in K \), the mappings \( s \to T_s x \) from \( G \) onto \( K \) is continuous when \( G \) has the relative topology of \( [0, \infty) \); and

(iii)

\[
\| T_s x - T_s y \| \leq a \| x - y \| + b \left( \| x - T_s x \| + \| y - T_s y \| \right) + c \left( \| x - T_s y \| + \| y - T_s x \| \right)
\]

(24)

for all \( x, y \) in \( K \) and \( s \) in \( G \), where \( a, b, c \) are nonnegative constants such that \( b + c < 1 \).

For the rest of this paper, \( \lim_t \) and \( \overline{\lim_t} \) always stand for \( \lim_{t \to \infty, t \in G} \) and \( \overline{\lim}_{t \to \infty, t \in G} \) respectively.

The normal structure coefficient \( N(E) \) of \( E \) is defined (cf. [2]) by

\[
N(E) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\text{diam} K}{r_K(K)} : K \text{ is a bounded convex subset of } E \text{ consisting of more than one point} \right\}
\]

(25)

where \( \text{diam} K = \sup \{ \| x - y \| : x, y \in K \} \) is the diameter of \( K \) and \( r_K(K) = \inf_{x \in K} \sup_{y \in K} \| x - y \| \) is the Chebyshev radius of \( K \) relative to itself. \( E \) is said to have uniformly normal structure if \( N(E) > 1 \). It is known that a uniformly convex Banach space has the uniformly normal structure and for a Hilbert space \( H \), \( N(H) = \sqrt{2} \).

Recently, Pichugov [15] (cf. Prus [17]) showed that

\[
N(L^p) = \min \{ 2^{1/p}, 2^{(p-1)/p} \}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.
\]

(26)

Some estimate for normal structure coefficient in other Banach spaces may be found in [18].
Suppose $E$ is a uniformly convex Banach space. Then it is easily seen that the equation

$$\xi^2 \delta_E^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \tilde{N}(E) = 1$$

has a unique solution $\xi > 1$, where $\tilde{N}(E) = N(E)^{-1}$.

Now, recall the definition of an asymptotic center. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space $E$ and $\{x_t : t \in G\}$ be a bounded family of elements of $E$. Then the asymptotic radius and asymptotic center of $\{x_t\}_{t \in G}$ with respect to $K$ are the number

$$r_K(\{x_t\}) = \inf_{y \in K} \lim_{t} \| x_t - y \|$$

and the (possibly empty) set

$$A_K(\{x_t\}) = \left\{ y \in K : \lim_{t} \| x_t - y \| = r_K(\{x_t\}) \right\},$$

respectively. It is easy to see that if $E$ is reflexive, then $A_K(\{x_t\})$ is nonempty bounded closed and convex and if $E$ is uniformly convex, then $A_K(\{x_t\})$ consists of a single point.

We need the following lemma to prove our next theorem.

**Lemma 2** [22, Lem. 3.4]. Let $E$ be a Banach space with uniformly normal structure. Then for every bounded family $\{x_t : t \in G\}$ of elements of $E$, there exists $y$ in $\overline{co}(\{x_t : t \in G\})$ such that

$$\lim_{t} \| x_t - y \| \leq \tilde{N}(E) A(\{x_t\}),$$

where $\overline{co}(D)$ is the closure of the convex hull of $D \subseteq E$ and

$$A(\{x_t\}) = \lim_{t} \left( \sup \{ ||x_i - x_j|| : t \leq i, j \in G \} \right)$$

is the asymptotic diameter of $\{x_t\}$.

Now, we are in position to prove our next theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space, $K$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, and $\mathcal{S} = \{T_s : s \in G\}$ a generalized uniformly Lipschitzian semigroup on $K$ with $(\alpha + \beta) < \xi$, where $\xi > 1$ is the unique solution of (27), $\alpha = (a + b + c)/(1 - b - c)$ and $\beta = (2b + 2c)/(1 - b - c)$. Suppose there is an $x_0$ in $K$ such that $\{T_s x_0 : s \in G\}$ is bounded. Then there exists $z$ in $K$ such that $T_s z = z$ for all $s$ in $G$.

**Proof.** By induction, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}_0^\infty$ in $K$ in the following manner:

$$x_{n+1} = A_K(\{T_s x_n : t \in G\})$$

for $n = 0, 1, \ldots$, i.e., $x_{n+1}$ is the unique point in $K$ such that

$$\lim_{t} \| T_t x_n - x_{n+1} \| = \inf_{y \in K} \lim_{t} \| T_t x_n - y \|. $$
Write \( r_n = r_K(\{T_t x_n\}_{t \in G}) \). Then by Lemma 2, we have
\[
r_n = \lim_{t} \|T_t x_n - x_{n-1}\|
\leq \tilde{N}(E) \cdot A \left( \{T_t x_n\}_{t \in G} \right)
= \tilde{N}(E) \lim_{t} \left( \sup_{i \leq j} \|T_i x_n - T_j x_n\| : t \leq i, j \in G \right)
\leq \tilde{N}(E) (\alpha + \beta) \cdot d(x_n),
\]
that is,
\[
r_n \leq (\alpha + \beta) \cdot \tilde{N}(E) d(x_n), \tag{35}
\]
where \( d(x_n) = \sup \{\|T_t x_n - x_n\| : t \in G\} \). We may assume that \( d(x_n) > 0 \) for all \( n \geq 0 \).
Let \( n \geq 0 \) be fixed and let \( \epsilon > 0 \) be small enough. First, choose \( j \in G \) such that
\[
\|T_j x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| > d(x_{n+1}) - \epsilon \tag{36}
\]
and then choose \( s_0 \) in \( G \) so large that
\[
\|T_s x_n - x_{n+1}\| < r_n + \epsilon \tag{37}
\]
and
\[
\|T_s x_n - T_j x_{n+1}\| \leq \alpha \|T_{s-j} x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \beta \|T_j x_n - x_n\| \leq (\alpha + \beta)(r_n + \epsilon) \tag{38}
\]
for all \( s \geq s_0 \). It, then, follows that
\[
\|T_s x_n - \frac{1}{2}(x_{n+1} + T_j x_{n+1})\| \leq (\alpha + \beta)(r_n + \epsilon) \left( 1 - \delta_E \left( \frac{d(x_{n+1}) - \epsilon}{(\alpha + \beta)(r_n + \epsilon)} \right) \right) \tag{39}
\]
for \( s \geq s_0 \) and, hence,
\[
r_n \leq \lim_{s} \left\|T_s x_n - \frac{1}{2}(x_{n+1} + T_j x_{n+1})\right\|
\leq (\alpha + \beta)(r_n + \epsilon) \left( 1 - \delta_E \left( \frac{d(x_{n+1}) - \epsilon}{(\alpha + \beta)(r_n + \epsilon)} \right) \right) \tag{40}
\]
Taking the limit as \( \epsilon \to 0 \), we get
\[
r_n \leq (\alpha + \beta) \cdot r_n \left( 1 - \delta_E \left( \frac{d(x_{n+1})}{(\alpha + \beta)r_n} \right) \right) \tag{41}
\]
which together with (35) leads to the conclusion
\[
d(x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta)^2 \tilde{N}(E) \delta_E^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(\alpha + \beta)} \right) d(x_n). \tag{42}
\]
Hence,
\[
d(x_n) \leq A d(x_{n-1}) \leq A^n d(x_0), \tag{43}
\]
where \( A = (\alpha + \beta)^2 N(E) \delta^{-1} (1 - (1/(\alpha + \beta))) < 1 \) by assumption. Noticing that

\[
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq \lim_{t \to 0} \|T_ix_n - x_{n+1}\| + \lim_{t \to 0} \|T_ix_n - x_n\|
\]

\( \leq r_n + d(x_n) \leq 2d(x_n) \),

we see from (43) that \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence and, hence, strongly convergent. Let

\[
z = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n.
\]

Then we have, for each \( s \in G \),

\[
\|z - Tsz\| \leq \|z - x_n\| + \|Ts\|\|x_n - x_n\| + \|Ts - Ts\|z\|
\]

\( \leq (1 + \alpha)\|z - x_n\| + (1 + \beta)d(x_n) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

This completes the proof.

As a consequence of Theorem 2, we have the following result.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( K \) be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space \( E \) and let \( T : K \to K \) be a generalized uniformly Lipschitzian mapping with \( (\alpha + \beta) < \xi \) (\( \xi \) is as in Theorem 2). Then \( T \) has a fixed point.

If we take \( b = c = 0 \) in Theorem 2, then we have the following result from Theorem 2:

**Corollary 2** [22, Thm. 3.5]. Let \( E \) be a uniformly convex Banach space, \( K \) a nonempty closed convex subset of \( E \), and \( \mathcal{F} = \{T_s : s \in G\} \) a uniformly \( k \)-Lipschitzian semigroup on \( K \) with \( k < \xi \), where \( \xi > 1 \) is the unique solution of (27). Suppose there is an \( x_0 \) in \( K \) such that \( \{T_s x_0 : s \in G\} \) is bounded. Then there exists \( z \) in \( K \) such that \( T_sz = z \) for all \( s \) in \( G \).

4. Some applications. Since a Hilbert space \( H \) is 2-uniformly convex and the following equality holds:

\[
\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 = \lambda\|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 - \lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|^2
\]

for all \( x, y \) in \( H \) and \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \).

By Theorem 1 and (46), we immediately obtain the following:

**Corollary 3.** Let \( E \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space \( H \), \( X \) be an \( l^2 \)-invariant subspace of \( m(G) \) containing constants which has left invariant submean \( \mu \), and \( \mathcal{F} = \{T_s : s \in G\} \) be a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup on \( K \). Suppose that there exists an \( x_0 \) in \( K \) such that \( \{T_s x_0 : s \in G\} \) is a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup on \( K \). Suppose that there exists an \( x_0 \) in \( K \) such that \( \{T_s x_0 : s \in G\} \) is bounded and that for every \( u, v \) in \( K \), then the function \( f \) on \( G \) defined by

\[
f(t) = \|T_t u - v\|^2, \quad t \in G
\]

and the function \( g \) on \( G \) defined by

\[
g(t) = 2(\alpha_t^2 + \beta_t^2), \quad t \in G
\]

belong to \( X \). Then, if \( \{\mu_t(\alpha_t^2 + \beta_t^2)\} < 1 \), where \( \alpha_t = (a_t + b_t + c_t)/(1 - b_t - c_t) \) and \( \beta_t = (2b_t + 2c_t)/(1 - b_t - c_t) \), there exists \( z \) in \( K \) such that \( T_sz = z \) for all \( s \) in \( G \).
If \(1 < p \leq 2\), then we have for all \(x, y\) in \(L^p\) and \(\lambda \in [0,1]\)
\[
\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 \leq \lambda\|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 - \lambda(1 - \lambda)(p - 1)\|x - y\|^2
\]  
(49)

(the inequality (49) is contained in [12, 20]).

Assume that \(2 < p < \infty\) and \(t_p\) is the unique zero of the function \(g(x) = -x^{p-1} + \beta_s x + \lambda\) in \((1, \infty)\). Let
\[
c_p = (p - 1)(1 + t_p)^{2 - p} = \frac{1 + t_p^{-1}}{(1 + t_p)^{p-1}}.
\]  
(50)

Then we have the following inequality
\[
\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^p \leq \lambda\|x\|^p + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^p - w_p(\lambda) \cdot c_p \cdot \|x - y\|^p
\]  
(51)

for all \(x, y\) in \(L^p\) and \(\lambda \in [0,1]\). (The inequality (51) is essentially due to Lim [11].)

By Theorem 1 and inequality (49) and (51), we immediately obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4.** Let \(K\) be a closed convex subset of an \(L^p\) space, \(1 < p < \infty\), \(X\) be an \(l_G\)-invariant subspace of \(m(G)\) containing constants which has a left invariant submean \(\mu\), and \(F = \{T_s : s \in G\}\) be a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup on \(K\). Suppose that \(\{T_s x_0 : s \in G\}\) is bounded for some \(x_0 \in K\) and that for every \(u, v\) in \(K\), the functions \(f\) and \(g\) on \(G\) defined as in Theorem 1 belong to \(X\). If \(\mu_s(\alpha_s^2 + \beta_s^2) < p\) when \(1 < p \leq 2\) and \(\mu_s(\alpha_s^{p-1} + \beta_s^{p-1}) < 1 + c_p\) when \(p > 2\), where \(\alpha_s = (a_s + b_s + c_s)/(1 - b_s - c_s)\) and \(\beta_s = (2b_s + 2c_s)/(1 - b_s - c_s)\), then there exists \(z \in K\) such that \(T_s z = z\) for all \(s \in G\).

Let \(H^p, 1 < p < \infty\), denote the Hardy space [5] of all functions \(x\) analytic in the unit disk \(|z| < 1\) of the complex plane and such that
\[
\|x\| = \lim_{r \to 1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |x(re^{i\theta})|^p \, d\theta \right)^{1/p} < \infty.
\]  
(52)

Now, let \(\Omega\) be an open subset of \(\mathbb{R}^n\). Denote by \(H^{k,p}(\Omega)\), \(k \geq 0, 1 < p < \infty\), the Sobolev space [1, p. 149] of distribution \(x\) such that \(D^\alpha x \in L^p(\Omega)\) for all \(|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \leq k\) equipped with the norm
\[
\|x\| = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \int_\Omega |D^\alpha x(\omega)|^p \, d\omega \right)^{1/p}.
\]  
(53)

Let \((\Omega_\alpha, \Sigma_\alpha, \mu_\alpha), \alpha \in \wedge\), be a sequence of positive measure spaces, where index set \(\wedge\) is finite or countable. Given a sequence of linear subspaces \(X_\alpha\) in \(L^p(\Omega_\alpha, \Sigma_\alpha, \mu_\alpha)\), we denote by \(L^q, 1 < q < \infty\) and \(q = \max\{2, p\}\) [13], the linear space of all sequences \(x = \{x_\alpha \in X_\alpha : \alpha \in \wedge\}\) equipped with the norm
\[
\|x\| = \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \wedge} \|x_\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^q \right)^{1/q},
\]  
(54)
where \( \| \cdot \|_{p,\alpha} \) denotes the norm in \( L^p(\Omega_\alpha, \Sigma_\alpha, \mu_\alpha) \).

Finally, let \( L_p = (S_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1) \) and \( L_q = (S_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2) \), where \( 1 < p < \infty \), \( q = \max\{2, p\} \) and \( (S_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i) \) are positive measure spaces. Denote by \( L_q(L_p) \) the Banach spaces \([4, III.2.10]\) of all measurable \( L_p \)-value function \( x \) on \( S_2 \) such that

\[
\| x \| = \left( \int_{S_2} \| x(s) \|_p^q \mu_2(ds) \right)^{1/q}.
\]

These spaces are \( q \)-uniformly convex with \( q = \max\{2, p\} \) \([16, 19]\) and the norm in these spaces satisfies

\[
\| \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \|_q \leq \lambda \| x \|_q + (1 - \lambda)\| y \|_q - d \cdot w_q(\lambda) \cdot \| x - y \|_q
\]

with a constant

\[
d = d_p = \begin{cases} 
\frac{p-1}{8} & \text{for } 1 < p \leq 2 \\
\frac{1}{p-2p} & \text{for } 2 < p < \infty.
\end{cases}
\]

Now, from Theorem 1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 5.** Let \( K \) be a closed convex subset of the space \( E \), where \( E = H^p \), or \( E = H^{k,p}(\Omega) \), or \( E = L_q,p \), or \( E = L_q(L_p) \), and \( 1 < p < \infty \), \( q = \max\{2, p\} \), \( k \geq 0 \), \( X \) be an \( l_\zeta \)-invariant subspace of \( m(G) \) containing constants which has a left invariant submean \( \mu \), and \( \mathcal{F} = \{ T_s : s \in G \} \) be a generalized Lipschitzian semigroup on \( K \). Suppose that \( \{T_s x_0 : s \in G\} \) is bounded for some \( x_0 \in K \) and that for every \( u, v \) in \( K \), the functions \( f \) and \( g \) on \( G \) defined as in Theorem 1 belong to \( X \). If \( 2^{q-1} \mu_s(\alpha_s^q + \beta_s^q) < 1 + d \), where \( \alpha_s = (a_s + b_s + c_s)/(1 - b_s - c_s) \) and \( \beta_s = (2b_s + 2c_s)/(1 - b_s - c_s) \), then there exists \( z \in K \) such that \( T_s z = z \) for all \( s \in G \).

**Acknowledgement.** The second author was supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, Korea, 1997, Project No. BSRI-97-1405.

**References**


