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Axisymmetric vibration of an infinite piezolaminated multilayer hollow cylinder made of piezoelectric layers of 6 mm class and an isotropic LEMV (Linear Elastic Materials with Voids) layers is studied. The frequency equations are obtained for the traction free outer surface with continuity conditions at the interfaces. Numerical results are carried out for the inner, middle, and outer hollow piezoelectric layers bonded by LEMV (It is hypothetical material) layers and the dispersion curves are compared with that of a similar 3-layer model and of 3 and 5 layer models with inner, middle, and outer hollow piezoelectric layers bonded by CFRP (Carbon fiber reinforced plastics).

1. Introduction

Piezocomposite materials have drawn considerable attention in recent years due to their potential application in ultrasonic and underwater transducers [1, 2]. Piezocomposites have potential for higher electromechanical coupling coefficients, lower acoustic impedance, higher piezoelectric voltage constants, and higher hydrostatic coefficients compared to conventional dense materials. In addition, by changing the ceramic/polymer volume fractions, the material parameters of a composite transducer can be altered to meet specific requirements for different applications [3]. Piezocomposites exist in various connectivities [4], with 0–3 [5], 1–3 [6], 2–2 [7], and 3–3 [8] being the most common for transducer applications.

The 1–3 piezocomposite system has been studied extensively and various modelling and experimental studies have been reported in the literature [9, 10]. Although, 1–3 composites are highly useful for transducer applications, their production can be relatively expensive [6]. The 3–3 piezocomposites are a possible alternative, with comparable material properties and a relatively simple method of synthesis [8, 11]. Experimental studies on 3–3 piezoelectric structures indicate that they have a higher hydrostatic figure of merit [12–14] compared to dense PZT hydrophones of similar design [8, 15, 16].
Multilayer piezoelectric structures are widely applied as a smart structure in precise apparatus.
Multilayer piezoelectric ceramic displacement actuator is a typical smart composite structure and has wide application in precise apparatus [17, 18].

Damage detection and vibration control of a new smart board designed by mounting piezoelectric fibers with metal cores on the surface of a CFRP composite were studied by Takagi et al. [19]. Tanimoto [20] has discussed the passive damping of CFRP cantilever beam, surface bonded by piezoelectric ceramics.

The exact frequency equation for piezoelectric circular cylindrical shell of hexagonal (6 mm) class was first obtained by Paul [21]. Paul and Nelson [22-25] have studied free vibration of piezocomposite plate and cylinders by embedding LEMV-layer between piezoelectric layers.

A general frequency equation is derived for axisymmetric vibration of an infinite laminated hollow cylinder. Both the inner and outer surfaces are traction free and connected with electric layers.

2. Fundamental Equations and Method of Analysis

The cylindrical polar coordinate system \((r, \theta, z)\) is used for composite piezoelectric cylinder. The superscripts \(\ell = 1, 3, 5\) are taken to denote the inner solid, middle, and outer hollow piezoelectric cylinders, respectively.

The governing equations for hexagonal \((6 \text{ mm})\) class are Paul and Nelson (1996) [24].

\[
\begin{align*}
&c_{11}^\ell \left( u_{rr}^\ell + r^{-1} u_{r}^\ell - r^{-2} u_{rr}^\ell \right) + c_{44}^\ell u_{zz}^\ell + \left( c_{44}^\ell + c_{13}^\ell \right) w_{rz}^\ell + \left( e_{31}^\ell + e_{15}^\ell \right) \phi_{rz}^\ell = \rho^\ell \dot{u}_{\ell r}, \\
&\left( c_{44}^\ell + c_{13}^\ell \right) \left( u_{rz}^\ell + r^{-1} u_{r}^\ell \right) + c_{44}^\ell \left( w_{rr}^\ell + r^{-1} w_{r}^\ell \right) + c_{33}^\ell w_{zz}^\ell + e_{15}^\ell \left( \phi_{rr}^\ell + r^{-1} \phi_{r}^\ell \right) + e_{33}^\ell \phi_{zz}^\ell = \rho^\ell \dot{w}_{\ell r}, \\
&(e_{31}^\ell + e_{15}^\ell) \left( u_{rz}^\ell + r^{-1} u_{r}^\ell \right) + c_{44}^\ell \left( w_{rr}^\ell + r^{-1} w_{r}^\ell \right) + c_{33}^\ell w_{zz}^\ell - e_{11}^\ell \left( \phi_{rr}^\ell + r^{-1} \phi_{r}^\ell \right) - e_{33}^\ell \phi_{zz}^\ell = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \(u^\ell\), \(w^\ell\) are the displacement components along \(r, z\) directions; \(\phi^\ell\) the potentials and \(c_{ij}^\ell\): elastic constants, \(e_{ij}^\ell\): piezoelectric constants, \(\epsilon_{ij}^\ell\): dielectric constants, and \(\rho^\ell\): density of the materials.

The comma followed by superscripts denotes the partial differentiation with respect to those variables and \(t\) is the time.

The solution of (2.1) is taken in the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
&u^\ell = u_{r}^\ell \exp i(kz + pt), \\
&w^\ell = i \left( \frac{i}{h} \right) w^\ell \exp i(kz + pt), \\
&\phi^\ell = i \left( \frac{c_{44}^\ell}{h e_{33}^\ell} \right) \phi^\ell i(kz + pt), \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \(p\) is the angular frequency, \(k\) wave number, and “\(h\)” is the inner radius of the cylinder.
Substituting (2.2) along with the dimensionless variables $x = r/h$ and $ε = kh (k = 2\pi/\text{wave length})$ in (2.1) yields the following equation for the inner and outer cylinder.

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\partial_x^2 + F_1^ε & -F_2^ε & -F_3^ε \\
F_2^ε \partial_x^2 & \partial_x^2 + F_4^ε & (\partial_x^2 - F_5^ε) c_{44}^ε \\
F_3^ε \partial_x^2 & (\partial_x^2 - F_5^ε) - (k_{13}^ε)^2 \partial_x^2 + F_6^ε
\end{vmatrix}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
u^ε, \omega^ε, \phi^ε\end{array}\right) = 0,
\]

(2.3)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{c}_{ij}^ε &= \frac{c_{ij}}{c_{44}}, & \bar{e}_{ij}^ε &= \frac{e_{ij}}{e_{33}}, \\
(k_{13}^ε) &= \frac{(e_{33}^ε)^2}{(c_{44}^ε c_{11}^ε)}, & c^2 &= \frac{\rho^1 \rho^2}{c_{44}}, & \nabla^2 &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\
F_1^ε &= \frac{\rho^1}{\rho^2} (ch)^2 - \varepsilon^2 \bar{c}_{44}^ε, & F_2^ε &= \varepsilon \left(\bar{c}_{44}^ε + \bar{c}_{13}^ε\right), \\
F_3^ε &= \varepsilon \left(\bar{c}_{31}^ε + \bar{e}_{15}^ε\right), & F_4^ε &= \frac{\rho^1}{\rho^2} (ch)^2 - \left(\bar{c}_{44}^ε \varepsilon^2\right), \\
F_5^ε &= \varepsilon^2, & F_6^ε &= \left(k_{33}^ε\right) \varepsilon^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.4)

Equation (2.3) can be expressed as

\[
\left(\nabla^6 + P^ε \nabla^4 + Q^ε \nabla^2 + R^ε\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u^ε, \omega^ε, \phi^ε\end{array}\right) = 0,
\]

(2.5)

where

\[
P^ε = \bar{c}_{11}^ε \left[\left(k_{13}^{-2}^ε\right)^2 F_4^ε - F_6^ε - 2F_5^ε \bar{c}_{15}^ε\right] + F_1^ε \left[\left(k_{13}^{-2}^ε\right)^2 + \left(\bar{c}_{15}^ε\right)^2\right] \\
+ F_2^ε \left[\left(k_{13}^{-2}^ε\right)^2 F_2^ε + \bar{c}_{15}^ε F_3^ε\right] + \frac{F_3^ε \left(\bar{c}_{15}^ε F_2^ε - F_3^ε\right)}{\bar{c}_{11}^ε \left[\left(k_{13}^{-2}^ε\right)^2 + \left(\bar{c}_{15}^ε\right)^2\right].}
\]
The solutions of (2.5)

\[
\begin{align*}
Q^\ell &= \left( \frac{c_{11}}{c_{11}}(F_5^\ell)^2 - F_4^\ell F_6^\ell \right) + F_1^\ell \left[ (k_{13}^{-2})^\ell F_4^\ell - F_6^\ell - 2F_5^\ell e_{15}^\ell \right] \\
&\quad - F_2^\ell \left( F_2^\ell F_6^\ell + F_3^\ell F_5^\ell \right) - \frac{F_3^\ell (F_2^\ell F_5^\ell + F_3^\ell F_4^\ell)}{c_{11}^\ell \left[ (k_{13}^{-2})^\ell + (\vec{\sigma}_{15}^\ell)^2 \right]}, \\
R^\ell &= \frac{F_1^\ell \left[ (F_5^\ell)^2 - F_4^\ell F_6^\ell \right]}{c_{11}^\ell \left[ (k_{13}^{-2})^\ell + (\vec{\sigma}_{15}^\ell)^2 \right]},
\end{align*}
\]

(2.6)

The solutions of (2.5)

\[
\begin{align*}
u^\ell &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left[ A_j^\ell J_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) + B_j^\ell y_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) \right], \\
w^\ell &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} d_j^\ell \left[ A_j^\ell J_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) + B_j^\ell y_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) \right], \\
\phi^\ell &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} e_j^\ell \left[ A_j^\ell J_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) + B_j^\ell y_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(2.7)

Here \((\alpha_j^\ell)^2\) are the nonzero roots of

\[
(\alpha_j^\ell)^6 - P^\ell (\alpha_j^\ell)^4 + Q^\ell (\alpha_j^\ell)^2 - R^\ell = 0.
\]

(2.8)

The arbitrary constants \(d_j^\ell\) and \(e_j^\ell\) are given by

\[
F_2^\ell d_j^\ell + F_5^\ell e_j^\ell = F_1^\ell - c_{11}^{-1}(\alpha_j^\ell)^2 - \left[ e_{15}^\ell (\alpha_j^\ell)^2 + F_5^\ell \right] d_j^\ell + \left[ k_{13}^{-2}(\alpha_j^\ell)^2 + F_6^\ell \right] e_j^\ell = F_3^\ell (\alpha_j^\ell)^2.
\]

(2.9)

For isotropic LEMV materials, the governing equations are

\[
\mu^\ell \nabla^2 \bar{u}^\ell + \left( \lambda^\ell + \mu^\ell \right) \nabla \cdot \bar{u}^\ell = \rho^\ell \bar{u}_{\mu t},
\]

(2.10)

where

\(\bar{u}^\ell\) is the displacement vector,

\(\lambda^\ell = c_{12}, \mu^\ell = (c_{11} - c_{12})/2\) are Lame’s constants,

\(\rho^\ell\) is the mass density and \(t\) is the time.
The solution of (2.10) is taken as
\[ u^\ell = \left( u^\ell_r \right) \exp i(kz + pt), \]
\[ w^\ell = \left( i \frac{h}{\ell} \right) w^\ell \exp i(kz + pt). \]

Using the solution in (2.11) and the dimensionless variables \( x \) and \( \varepsilon \), equation (2.10) can be simplified as
\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\left( \lambda^\ell + 2\mu^\ell \right) \nabla^2 + F_1^\ell & -F_2^\ell \\
F_2^\ell \nabla^2 & \bar{\mu} \nabla^2 + F_4^\ell
\end{vmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
(u^\ell, w^\ell)
\end{pmatrix}
= 0,
\]
where
\[ \lambda^\ell = \frac{\lambda}{c_{44}^1}, \quad \mu^\ell = \frac{\mu}{c_{44}^1}, \]
\[ F_1^\ell = \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_1^1} \right) (ch)^2 - \bar{\mu} \varepsilon^2, \]
\[ F_2^\ell = \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_1^1} \right) (ch)^2 - \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_1^1} \right) (ch)^2 - \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_1^1} \right) \bar{\mu} \varepsilon^2. \]

The equation (2.12) can be written as
\[
\left( \nabla^4 + P^\ell \nabla^2 + Q^\ell \right) \begin{pmatrix}
(u^\ell, w^\ell)
\end{pmatrix}
= 0,
\]
where
\[ P^\ell = \left[ \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_1^1} \right) F_4^\ell F_1^\ell + F_2^\ell \left( \frac{F_1^\ell}{F_4^\ell} \right)^2 \right] \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 2\bar{\mu}} \right) \bar{\mu}, \]
\[ Q^\ell = \left[ \frac{F_1^\ell F_4^\ell}{\lambda} \right] \left( \frac{\lambda + 2\bar{\mu}}{\lambda} \right) \bar{\mu}. \]
The solutions of (2.14) are

\[ u^\ell = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left[ A_j^\ell J_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) + B_j^\ell y_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) \right], \]

\[ w^\ell = \sum_{j=1}^{3} d_j^\ell \left[ A_j^\ell J_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) + B_j^\ell y_n(\alpha_j^\ell x) \right], \]  

(2.16)

where \((\alpha_j^\ell)^2\) is the nonzero roots of

\[ (\alpha_j^\ell)^4 + p^\ell (\alpha_j^\ell)^2 - Q^\ell = 0. \]

(2.17)

And the arbitrary constants \(d_j^\ell\) are obtained from

\[ d_j^\ell = \frac{-\left(\lambda_j^\ell + 2\mu_j^\ell\right) \left(\alpha_j^\ell\right)^2 + F_1^\ell}{F_2^\ell}. \]

(2.18)

3. Boundary Interface Conditions and Frequency Equations

The frequency equations can be obtained by using the following boundary and interface conditions.

(i) On the traction free inner outer surface \(T_{rr}^\ell = T_{rz}^\ell = \phi^\ell = 0\) with \(\ell = 1, 5\).

(ii) At the interface between (outer and middle and middle and inner) cylinders \(T_{rr}^\ell = T_{rr} = T_{rz}, u^\ell = u, w^\ell = w, \phi^\ell = 0\), with \(\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\).

The frequency equation is obtained as a \(26 \times 26\) determinant equation by substituting the solutions in the boundary interface conditions. It is written as

\[ |(D_{ij})| = 0, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 26), \]  

(3.1)
and the nonzero elements by varying \( j \) from 1 to 3 and \( k \) varies from 1 to 2 are

\[
D(1, j) = 2\mu \left( \frac{\alpha_j}{x_0} \right) J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_0) + \left[ -(\bar{A} + 2\mu) (\alpha_j) \beta e^j_1 - \bar{\lambda} e^j_1 \right] + 2n(n-1) \left( \frac{\mu}{x_0} J_n(\alpha_j x_0) \right),
\]

\[
D(2, j) = (\varepsilon + d_j + \bar{e}_j) (\alpha_j) J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_0),
\]

\[
D(3, j) = e_j J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_0),
\]

At \( x_1 = \frac{h_1}{h} \),

\[
D(4, j) = 2\mu \left( \frac{\alpha_j}{x_1} \right) J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_1)
\]

\[
+ \left[ -(\bar{A} + 2\mu) (\alpha_j) \beta e^j_1 - \bar{\lambda} e^j_1 \right] + 2n(n-1) \left( \frac{\mu}{x_1^2} J_n(\alpha_j x_1) \right),
\]

\[
D(4, k + 6) = -2\mu \left( \frac{\alpha_j^2}{x_1} \right) J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_1) + \left[ -(\bar{A} + 2\mu) (\alpha_j^2) \beta e^{j+2} - \bar{\lambda} e^{j+2} \right] + 2n(n-1) \left( \frac{\mu}{x_1^2} J_n(\alpha_j x_1) \right),
\]

\[
D(5, j) = (\varepsilon + d_j^2 + \bar{e}_j^2 e_j^2) (\alpha_j) J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_1),
\]

\[
D(5, k + 6) = -\mu (\varepsilon + d_1) \alpha_j J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_1),
\]

\[
D(6, j) = -\left( \alpha_j \right) J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_1),
\]

\[
D(6, k + 6) = -d_j J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_1),
\]

\[
D(7, j) = d_j J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_1),
\]

\[
D(7, k + 6) = -d_j J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_1),
\]

\[
D(8, j) = e_j J_{n+1}(\alpha_j x_1),
\]

and the other elements \( D(i, j + 3) \) \((i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 8; j = 1, 2, 3) \) and \( D(i, k + 8) \) \((i = 4, 5, 6, 7; k = 1, 2) \) are obtained by replacing \( J_n \) and \( J_{n+1} \) by \( Y_n \) and \( Y_{n+1} \) in the above elements.

At the interface \( x = x_2 \), non zero elements along the following rows \( D(i, j) \) \((i = 9, 10, 11, 12, 13) \) \((j = 7, 8, 9, \ldots, 16) \) are obtained on replacing \( x_1 \) by \( x_2 \) and super script 1 by 2 in order. The non-zero elements at the second interface are, \( D(i, j) \) \((i = 14, 15, 16, 17, 18) \) \((j = 11, 12, 13, \ldots, 20) \) can be obtained by assigning \( x_3 \) for \( x_4 \) and superscript 4 for 3. The non zero
elements at the third layer are, \( D(k, j) \), \( (i = 19, 20, 21, 22, 23) \) and \( j = 17, 18, 19, \ldots, 26 \) are obtained on replacing \( x_4 \) by \( x_5 \). Similarly, at the outer surface \( x = x_5 \), the nonzero elements \( D(i, j) \), \( (i = 24, 25, 26) \) \( (j = 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26) \) can be had from the nonzero elements of the first four rows by assigning \( x_4 \) for \( x_0 \) and superscript 2 for 1. The frequency equations derived above are valid for different inner solid, middle and outer hollow materials of 6 mm class and arbitrary thickness of layers.

4. Piezocomposite Cylindrical Models

A three-layered Piezocomposite solid/hollow cylinder made of Ceramic-1/Adhesive/Ceramic-2 and a five-layered Piezocomposite solid cylinder made of Ceramic-1/Adhesive1/Ceramic-2/Adhesive2/Ceramic-3 considered for deriving frequency equations in various types of vibrations (Figure 1).

5. Numerical Results

The frequency equation (3.1) and corresponding equation are numerically evaluated for PZT4/CFRP/PZT4/CFRP/PZT4. Material Constants of CFRP bonding layer are taken from
first and second axisymmetric mode in Figure 2. The bold, discontinuous, and dotted lines for the real part of frequency against the dimensionless wave numbers are plotted for the dispersion curves in the axisymmetric vibrations of the piezolaminated-LEMV layer Model from Brelincourt et al. [25] are given in Tables 1 and 2. The attenuation in the case of piezocomposite with LEMV method. The complex frequencies for the axisymmetric waves in the first and second modes as the middle core is more when compared to CFRP-3 layer Model [24]. The elastic piezoelectric and dielectric constants of PZT4 are taken with middle core LEMV-5 layer [27].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave no ( (\varepsilon) )</th>
<th>With middle core LEMV ( (N = 0.33) ) [24]</th>
<th>With middle core CFRP-3 layer [27]</th>
<th>With middle core LEMV-5 layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3269 + i 0.0622</td>
<td>0.2779 + i 0.0022</td>
<td>0.2081 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4949 + i 0.1394</td>
<td>0.3515 + i 0.2519</td>
<td>0.4120 + i 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6768 + i 0.0011</td>
<td>0.7996 + i 0.0000</td>
<td>0.5230 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1933 + i 0.0023</td>
<td>1.0986 + i 0.0001</td>
<td>0.8310 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4775 + i 0.1255</td>
<td>1.3755 + i 0.0001</td>
<td>1.0000 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.6034 + i 0.2067</td>
<td>1.6998 + i 0.0016</td>
<td>1.2380 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.8824 + i 0.4089</td>
<td>2.0231 + i 0.0050</td>
<td>1.4000 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.0968 + i 0.0000</td>
<td>2.8000 + i 0.0000</td>
<td>1.6120 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2816 + i 0.9168</td>
<td>3.0000 + i 0.0000</td>
<td>1.8000 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Different values of complex frequencies for real wave numbers in the second axial mode of piezocomposite Hollow cylinder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave no ( (\varepsilon) )</th>
<th>With middle core LEMV ( (N = 0.33) ) [24]</th>
<th>With middle core CFRP-3 layer [4]</th>
<th>With middle core CFRP-5 layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3865 + i 0.0755</td>
<td>0.7015 + i 0.2708</td>
<td>0.3000 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5392 + i 0.1051</td>
<td>0.7581 + i 0.0019</td>
<td>0.5000 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7020 + i 0.0289</td>
<td>0.8230 + i 0.0083</td>
<td>0.6120 + i 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2581 + i 0.0000</td>
<td>1.1999 + i 0.0000</td>
<td>0.0728 + i 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4854 + i 0.0006</td>
<td>1.5999 + i 0.0000</td>
<td>0.8250 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.6464 + i 0.0015</td>
<td>1.9996 + i 0.0000</td>
<td>0.9280 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0180 + i 0.5477</td>
<td>2.3986 + i 0.0002</td>
<td>1.1000 + i 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.1510 + i 0.7166</td>
<td>2.7998 + i 0.0000</td>
<td>1.3000 + i 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.5692 + i 0.0038</td>
<td>3.0524 + i 0.1521</td>
<td>1.4000 + i 0.0007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ashby and Jones [28]. The elastic piezoelectric and dielectric constants of PZT4 are taken from Brelincourt et al. [29]. The roots of the frequency equations are calculated using Muller’s method. The complex frequencies for the axisymmetric waves in the first and second modes are given in Tables 1 and 2. The attenuation in the case of piezocomposite with LEMV (5-layer Model) as the middle core is more when compared to CFRP (3 layer model) [27]. Piezocomposite with LEMV (when \( N = 0.33 \)) [24] as core material. The dispersion curves for the real part of frequency against the dimensionless wave numbers are plotted for the first and second axisymmetric mode in Figure 2. The bold, discontinuous, and dotted lines indicate the dispersion curves in the axisymmetric vibrations of the piezolaminated-LEMV (5-layer model), piezolaminated-CFRP (3-Layer Model) [27] and piezolaminated-LEMV (with \( N = 0.33 \)) [24] cylinders.
6. Conclusion

The frequency equation for free axisymmetric vibration of piezolaminated multilayer hollow cylinder with isotropic CFRP bonding layers is derived. The numerical results are carried out for PZT4/LEMV/PZT4/LMV/PZT4 and are compared with piezolaminated-CFRP multilayer (3-layer) [27] hollow cylinder and piezolaminated-LEMV (3-layer) (With N = 0.33) [24] cylinder. It is observed from the numerical data that the attenuation effect in the present model with LEMV bonding layers is low when compared to the piezolaminated-LEMV (3-layer) (With N = 0.33) [24] cylinder and piezolaminated-CFRP multilayer (3-layer) [27] hollow cylinder. Also the damping effect in the present five-layered model is low when compared with three-layered CFRP hollow Piezocomposite models.
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