We analyze the representation of $A^n$ as a linear combination of $A^j$, $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$, where $A$ is a $k \times k$ matrix. We obtain a first-order asymptotic approximation of $A^n$ as $n \to \infty$, without imposing any special conditions on $A$. We give some examples showing the application of our results.
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1. Introduction

In a recent article [1], Abu-Saris and Ahmad showed how to compute the powers of a matrix without having to compute its eigenvalues. Their main result was the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** If $A$ is a $k \times k$ matrix with characteristic polynomial

$$P(x) = x^k + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j x^j,$$

(1.1)

then

$$A^n = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} b_j(n) A^j, \quad n \geq k,$$

(1.2)

where

$$b_j(k) = -a_j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k - 1, \quad b_{-1}(n) = 0, \quad n \geq k,$$

$$b_j(n + 1) = b_{j-1}(n) - a_j b_{k-1}(n), \quad n \geq k, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k - 1.$$

(1.3)

The purpose of this paper is to find an asymptotic representation for the numbers $b_j(n)$ as $n \to \infty$, which using (1.2) will give an asymptotic representation of $A^n$ for large $n$. Since the coefficients $b_j(n)$ depend only on $P(x)$, our estimates will be valid for similar matrices.
The asymptotic behavior of powers of matrices has been considered before by other authors. In [6, 7], Gautschi computed upper bounds for $A^n$ and $\|A^n\|$, where $\|A\|$ is a norm of $A$. Estimates of $\|A^n\|$ were also studied in [2, 3, 12, 15, 16].

In [5], Friedland and Schneider considered the matrix

$$B^{(m)} = A^m (I + \cdots + A^{q-1}), \quad m \geq 1,$$

(1.4)

where $A$ is a nonnegative matrix and $q$ is a certain positive integer. They proved a theorem on the growth of $B^{(m)}$ under the assumption that the spectral radius of $A$ is equal to one. Powers of nonnegative matrices were also analyzed by Lindqvist [9]. Rothblum [11] obtained Cesaro asymptotic expansions of $\sum_{i=0}^{N} A^i$, where $A$ is a complex matrix with spectral radius less than or equal to one.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we find an integral representation for the exponential generating function $G_j(z)$ of the coefficients $b_j(n)$. We obtain exact formulas for $G_j(z)$ and $b_j(n)$ in the special case of the matrix $A$ having $k$ distinct eigenvalues. We conclude the section with some examples.

In Section 3 we give an exact representation and a first-order asymptotic approximation for $b_j(n)$, as $n \to \infty$. We consider the cases of simple and multiple eigenvalues. Our formulas are relatively easy to implement and offer very accurate estimates of $b_j(n)$, and therefore of $A^n$, for large $n$. We present some examples for different cases of $P(x)$.

2. Generating function

In this section, we will find an exponential generating function for the coefficients $b_j(n)$. First, let us define the spectral radius $\rho(A)$ of the matrix $A$ by

$$\rho(A) = \max \{|\lambda| \mid P(\lambda) = 0\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $G_j(z)$ be defined by

$$G_j(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_j(n+k) \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then,

$$G_j(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} s^{k-j-1} \frac{P_j(s)}{P(s)} e^{zs} ds, \quad (2.3)$$

where $c > \rho(A)$ and

$$p_j(s) = \sum_{l=0}^{j} a_ls^l, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1, \quad (2.4)$$

$p_{-1}(s) = 0$, and $P(s)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $A$ defined in (1.1).

Proof. If we use (1.3) in (2.2), we obtain

$$G_j' = G_{j-1} - a_j G_{k-1}, \quad G_j(0) = -a_j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1, \quad (2.5)$$
with \( G_{-1}(z) = 0 \). Since (2.5) is a system of first order, linear ODEs with constant coefficients, we have [4]

\[
G_j(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} q_l(z) \exp(\mu_l z),
\]

(2.6)

for some polynomials \( q_l(z) \) and complex numbers \( \mu_l \).

Taking the Laplace transform of \( G_j(z) \),

\[
L_j(s) = \int_0^\infty G_j(z) e^{-zs}dz
\]

(2.7)

(which by (2.6) is well defined) in (2.5), we get

\[
sL_j + a_j = L_{j-1} - a_j L_{k-1}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k - 1,
\]

(2.8)

and \( L_{-1}(z) = 0 \).

The system of linear equations (2.8) has a unique solution given by

\[
L_j(s) = -s^{k-j-1} \frac{p_j(s)}{P(s)} P_j'(\lambda_l) e^{\lambda_l z}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k - 1,
\]

(2.9)

where \( p_j(s) \) is defined in (2.4). Inverting the Laplace transform in (2.9), the theorem follows.

\[\Box\]

Remark 2.2. Since

\[
\lim_{|s| \to \infty} L_j(s) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k - 1,
\]

(2.10)

we can replace the Bromwich contour in (2.3) with a circle \( \gamma \) of radius \( R > \rho(A) \) positively oriented (i.e., counterclockwise), centered at the origin [8]

\[
G_j(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} s^{k-j-1} \frac{p_j(s)}{P(s)} e^{zs} ds.
\]

(2.11)

Corollary 2.3. If

\[
P(s) = (s - \lambda_1)(s - \lambda_2) \cdots (s - \lambda_k),
\]

(2.12)

where the eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \) are all distinct, then

\[
G_j(z) = -\sum_{l=1}^{k} (\lambda_l)^{k-j-1} \frac{p_j(\lambda_l)}{P'(\lambda_l)} \exp(\lambda_l z),
\]

(2.13)

and

\[
b_j(n) = -\sum_{l=1}^{k} (\lambda_l)^{n-j-1} \frac{p_j(\lambda_l)}{P'(\lambda_l)}.
\]

(2.14)
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Proof. Applying the residue theorem to (2.11), we obtain

\[ G_j(z) = - \sum_{P(\lambda)=0} \text{Res} \left[ s^{k-j-1} \frac{P_j(s)}{P(s)} e^{zs}; \lambda \right] \]

(2.15)

which in turn gives (2.13) after computing

\[ \text{Res} \left[ s^{k-j-1} \frac{P_j(s)}{P(s)} e^{zs}; \lambda \right] = \lim_{s \to \lambda_l} s^{k-j-1} \frac{P_j(s)}{P(s)} e^{zs} \frac{(s-\lambda_l)}{P'(\lambda_l)}, \]

(2.16)

where in the last step we have used L'Hopital's theorem.

Writing (2.13) as

\[ G_j(z) = - \sum_{l=1}^{k} (\lambda_l)^{k-j-1} \frac{P_j(\lambda_l)}{P'(\lambda_l)} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\lambda_l)^n \frac{z^n}{n!} \]

(2.17)

and changing the order of summation, we have

\[ G_j(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left[ - \sum_{l=1}^{k} (\lambda_l)^{n+k-j-1} \frac{P_j(\lambda_l)}{P'(\lambda_l)} \right] \frac{z^n}{n!}, \]

(2.18)

which implies that

\[ b_j(n+k) = - \sum_{l=1}^{k} (\lambda_l)^{n+k-j-1} \frac{P_j(\lambda_l)}{P'(\lambda_l)}. \]

(2.19)

Example 2.4. In [1] the authors considered the following examples.

1. \( P(x) = x^3 - 7x^2 + 16x - 12 = (x - 2)^2(x - 3). \)

Using (2.9) we have

\[ L_0(s) = \frac{12s^2}{(s - 2)^2(s - 3)}, \]

\[ L_1(s) = -\frac{16s^2 + 12s}{(s - 2)^2(s - 3)}, \]

\[ L_2(s) = \frac{7s^2 - 16s + 12}{(s - 2)^2(s - 3)}, \]

(2.20)

and after inverting, we obtain

\[ G_0(z) = -12(8 + 4z)e^{2z} + 108e^{3z}, \]

\[ G_1(z) = 4(23 + 10z)e^{2z} - 108e^{3z}, \]

\[ G_2(z) = -4(5 + 2z)e^{2z} + 27e^{3z}. \]

(2.21)
Expanding in series, we get

\[G_0(z) = -96 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^n z^n}{n!} - 48 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^{n-1} n z^n}{n!} + 108 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{3^n z^n}{n!}\]  

(2.22)

and from (2.2), we conclude that

\[b_0(n + 3) = -96 \times 2^n - 48 \times 2^{n-1}n + 108 \times 3^n\]  

(2.23)

or

\[b_0(n) = -96 \times 2^{n-3} - 48 \times 2^{n-4}(n - 3) + 108 \times 3^{n-3}\]  

\[= -3(1 + n) \times 2^n + 4 \times 3^n.\]  

(2.24)

Similar calculations give

\[b_1(n) = \left(4 + \frac{5}{2}n\right) \times 2^n - 4 \times 3^n,\]  

\[b_2(n) = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}n\right) \times 2^n + 3^n,\]  

(2.25)

in agreement with the results shown in [1].

(2) \(P(x) = x^3 - 5x^2 + 6x = x(x - 2)(x - 3).\)

We can apply (2.14) directly and obtain

\[b_0(n) = 0,\]  

\[b_1(n) = \frac{3}{2} \times 2^n - \frac{2}{3} \times 3^n,\]  

\[b_2(n) = -\frac{1}{2} \times 2^n + \frac{1}{3} \times 3^n.\]  

(2.26)

(3) \(P(x) = x^5 - 5x^4 + 10x^3 - 20x^2 - 15x - 4 = (x - 4)(x^4 - x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 1).\)

Although (as the authors noted) MAPLE is unable to compute the zeros of \(P(x)\) exactly, it can provide us with very accurate numerical approximations

\[\lambda_1 = 4,\]  

\[\lambda_2 = 0.8090169944 + 2.489898285i,\]  

\[\lambda_3 = 0.8090169944 - 2.489898285i,\]  

\[\lambda_4 = -0.3090169944 + 0.2245139883i,\]  

\[\lambda_5 = -0.3090169944 - 0.2245139883i.\]  

(2.27)
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On the other hand, if Mathematica is used (thanks to one of the anonymous referees for bringing this point to our attention), the roots can be determined exactly:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 &= 4, \\
\lambda_{2,3} &= \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{4} \pm \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{25 + 11\sqrt{5}}, \\
\lambda_{4,5} &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{4} \pm \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{25 - 11\sqrt{5}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using (2.27) or (2.28) in (2.14), we get

\[
b_j(n) = \frac{C_j}{305} 4^n - \sum_{l=2}^{5} (\lambda_l)^{n-j-1} \frac{p_j(\lambda_l)}{P'(\lambda_l)} \quad (2.29)
\]

with

\[
C_0 = 1, \quad C_1 = 4, \quad C_2 = 6, \quad C_3 = -1, \quad C_4 = 1. \quad (2.30)
\]

Note that for \(0 \leq j \leq 4\), we have

\[
\sum_{l=2}^{5} (\lambda_l)^{n-j-1} \frac{p_j(\lambda_l)}{P'(\lambda_l)} = O(\lambda_2^n) = O(2.618^n) \quad (2.31)
\]

as \(n \to \infty\).

Example 2.5. Let \(A\) be the matrix

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.32)
\]

with characteristic polynomial

\[
P(x) = x^2 + 1 = (x - i)(x + i). \quad (2.33)
\]

Using (2.14), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
b_0(n) &= \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right), \\
b_1(n) &= \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right),
\end{align*} \quad (2.34)
\]

and from (1.2) we get

\[
A^n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right) & 2\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right) \\ -\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right) & \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.35)
\]
In particular, we have

\[
A^n = \begin{cases}
  I, & n \equiv 0(4), \\
  A, & n \equiv 1(4), \\
  -I, & n \equiv 2(4), \\
  -A, & n \equiv 3(4),
\end{cases}
\]  

(2.36)

where \( I \) denotes the identity matrix.

**Example 2.6.** This example appeared in [10]. Let \( A \) be the matrix

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
  1 & 1 \\
  1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(2.37)

with characteristic polynomial

\[
P(x) = x^2 - x - 1 = (x - \alpha)(x - \beta),
\]  

(2.38)

where

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{5}), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sqrt{5}).
\]  

(2.39)

Then, from (2.14), we have

\[
b_0(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\alpha^{n-1} - \beta^{n-1}) = f_{n-1},
\]  

(2.40)

\[
b_1(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\alpha^n - \beta^n) = f_n,
\]  

where \( f_n \) is the \( n \)th Fibonacci number. Thus,

\[
A^n = \begin{pmatrix}
  f_n + f_{n-1} & f_n & f_n \\
  f_n & f_{n-1} & f_{n-1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  f_{n+1} & f_n \\
  f_n & f_{n-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

(2.41)

### 3. Asymptotic analysis

We begin by finding an integral representation of the coefficients \( b_j(n) \).

**Lemma 3.1.** The numbers \( b_j(n) \) can be represented as

\[
b_j(n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} s^{n-j-1} \frac{p_j(s)}{P(s)} \, ds,
\]  

(3.1)

where \( \mathcal{C} \) is a circle of radius \( R > \rho(A) \) positively oriented centered at the origin, and the polynomials \( p_j(s) \) were defined in (2.4).

**Proof.** Since the power series

\[
e^{zs} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{s^n z^n}{n!}
\]  

(3.2)
converges uniformly on \(|s| \leq R\), we can interchange integration and summation \([13]\) in (2.11) and obtain

\[
G_j(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left[ -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} s^{n+k-j-1} \frac{p_j(s)}{P(s)} \, ds \right] \frac{z^n}{n!}.
\]

(3.3)

Then, (2.2) implies that

\[
b_j(k+n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} s^{n+k-j-1} \frac{p_j(s)}{P(s)} \, ds, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1,
\]

(3.4)

and the result follows. \(\square\)

**Remark 3.2.** An alternative method for approximating the coefficients \(b_j(n)\) is to write (3.1) as

\[
b_j(n) = -\frac{R^{n-j}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(\text{it}(n-j)\right) \frac{p_j(\text{Re}^{it})}{P(\text{Re}^{it})} \, dt
\]

(3.5)

with \(R > \rho(A)\) and to compute the integral (3.5) numerically. This approach offers the advantage of avoiding the computation of the eigenvalues of \(A\).

We now have all the necessary elements to establish our main theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let

\[
\rho(A) = |\lambda| > |\lambda_2| > \cdots > |\lambda_r|
\]

be the eigenvalues of the matrix \(A\), that is,

\[
P(x) = (x-\lambda)^m(x-\lambda_2)^{m_2}\cdots(x-\lambda_r)^{m_r},
\]

(3.7)

with \(r \leq k\). Then,

\[
b_j(n) \sim -\lambda^{n-m-j} \frac{p_j(\lambda)}{P^{(m)}(\lambda)} n^{m-1} m, \quad n \to \infty,
\]

(3.8)

where

\[
P^{(m)}(\lambda) = \frac{d^m P}{d \lambda^m} \bigg|_{\lambda = \lambda}.
\]

(3.9)

**Proof.** To find an asymptotic approximation of (3.1), we will use a modified version of Darboux’s method \([14]\). From (3.7) we have

\[
s^{n-j-1} \frac{p_j(s)}{P(s)} \sim \frac{p_j(\lambda)}{g(\lambda)} \frac{s^{n-j-1}}{(s-\lambda)^m}, \quad s \to \lambda,
\]

(3.10)

where

\[
g(x) = (x-\lambda_2)^{m_2}\cdots(x-\lambda_r)^{m_r}.
\]

(3.11)
Using the binomial theorem, we have
\[
    s^{n-j-1} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{n-j-1}{l} (s-\lambda)^l \lambda^{n-j-1-l}.
\]

Therefore,
\[
    b_j(n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{p_j(s)}{P(s)} ds \sim -\frac{p_j(\lambda)}{g(\lambda)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{s^{n-j-1}}{(s-\lambda)^m} ds
\]
\[
    = -\frac{p_j(\lambda)}{g(\lambda)} \left( \frac{n-j-1}{m-1} \right) \lambda^{n-j-m} \sim -\frac{p_j(\lambda)}{g(\lambda)} \frac{n^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \lambda^{n-j-m}, \quad n \to \infty. 
\]

To find the value of \(g(\lambda)\), we use L’Hopital’s theorem,
\[
    g(\lambda) = \lim_{s \to \lambda} \frac{P(s)}{(s-\lambda)^m} = \lim_{s \to \lambda} \frac{P^{(m)}(s)}{m!} = \frac{P^{(m)}(\lambda)}{m!} 
\]
(3.14)

Replacing (3.14) in (3.13), we obtain (3.8).

**Remark 3.4.** Note that when \(m = 1\), we recover the leading term in (2.14).

If more than one eigenvalue has absolute value equal to the spectral radius of \(A\), the asymptotic behavior of \(b_j(n)\) can be obtained by adding the contributions from each eigenvalue. We state this formally in the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** If
\[
    \rho(A) = |\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = \cdots = |\lambda_r|, \quad (3.15)
\]
with respective multiplicities \(m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_r\), then
\[
    b_j(n) \sim -\sum_{l=1}^{r} (\lambda_l)^{n-m_l-j} \frac{P_j(\lambda_l)}{P^{(m_l)}(\lambda_l)} \frac{n^{m_l-1}}{m_l!}, \quad n \to \infty. \quad (3.16)
\]

In the case when
\[
    m_1 = \cdots = m_d > m_{d+1} \geq m_{d+2} \geq \cdots \geq m_r, \quad (3.17)
\]
on one has
\[
    b_j(n) \sim -n^{m_1-1} m_1 \sum_{l=1}^{d} (\lambda_l)^{n-m_l-j} \frac{P_j(\lambda_l)}{P^{(m_l)}(\lambda_l)}, \quad n \to \infty. \quad (3.18)
\]

Therefore, in the case of several eigenvalues located on the circle \(|s| = \rho(A)\), the dominant term in (3.16) will correspond to the eigenvalue(s) with the greatest multiplicity.

**Example 3.6.** We now consider the case of more than one eigenvalue having absolute value equal to \(\rho(A)\). Let
\[
    P(x) = x^4 + x^3 - 15x^2 - 9x + 54 = (x-2)(x-3)(x+3)^2. \quad (3.19)
\]
In this case, $\lambda_1 = -3$, $m_1 = 2$, $\lambda_2 = 3$, $m_2 = 1$, and $k = 4$. From (3.18), we have

\[ b_0(n) \sim \frac{1}{5} n(-3)^n, \quad b_1(n) \sim \frac{1}{10} n(-3)^n, \quad b_2(n) \sim \frac{1}{45} n(-3)^n, \quad b_3(n) \sim -\frac{1}{90} n(-3)^n. \] (3.20)

The exact values are

\[ b_0(n) = \frac{1}{5} n(-3)^n + \frac{21}{50} (-3)^n - \frac{1}{2} 3^n + \frac{27}{25} 2^n, \]
\[ b_1(n) = \frac{1}{10} n(-3)^n - \frac{83}{300} (-3)^n - \frac{1}{12} 3^n + \frac{9}{25} 2^n, \]
\[ b_2(n) = \frac{1}{45} n(-3)^n + \frac{2}{225} (-3)^n + \frac{1}{9} 3^n - \frac{3}{25} 2^n, \]
\[ b_3(n) = -\frac{1}{90} n(-3)^n + \frac{11}{900} (-3)^n + \frac{1}{36} 3^n - \frac{1}{25} 2^n. \] (3.21)

As we observed before, the main contribution comes from the eigenvalue of maximum multiplicity, in this case $\lambda_1 = -3$.

**Example 3.7.** Finally, let us consider the case of complex eigenvalues of multiplicity greater than one located on the circle $|s| = \rho(A)$. Let

\[ P(x) = x^5 - 9x^4 + 34x^3 - 66x^2 + 65x - 25 \]
\[ = (x - 1)(x - (2 + i))^2 [x - (2 - i)]^2. \] (3.22)

In this case, $\lambda_1 = 2 + i$, $m_1 = 2$, $\lambda_2 = 2 - i$, $m_2 = 2$, and $k = 5$. From (3.18), we obtain

\[ b_0(n) \sim \frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{5})^n n[\cos(\theta n) - 7\sin(\theta n)], \]
\[ b_1(n) \sim -\frac{1}{10} (\sqrt{5})^n n[2\cos(\theta n) - 39\sin(\theta n)], \]
\[ b_2(n) \sim -\frac{1}{10} (\sqrt{5})^n n[2\cos(\theta n) + 31\sin(\theta n)], \]
\[ b_3(n) \sim \frac{1}{10} (\sqrt{5})^n n[2\cos(\theta n) + 11\sin(\theta n)], \]
\[ b_4(n) \sim -\frac{1}{20} (\sqrt{5})^n n[\cos(\theta n) + 3\sin(\theta n)], \] (3.23)

with

\[ \theta = \arctan\left(\frac{1}{2}\right). \] (3.24)
The exact values are

\[ b_0(n) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{5} \right)^n \left[ (n - 21) \cos(\theta n) + (-7n + 22) \sin(\theta n) \right] + \frac{25}{4}, \]

\[ b_1(n) = -\frac{1}{10} \left( \sqrt{5} \right)^n \left[ 2(n - 50) \cos(\theta n) + (-39n + 125) \sin(\theta n) \right] - 10, \]

\[ b_2(n) = -\frac{1}{10} \left( \sqrt{5} \right)^n \left[ (2n + 65) \cos(\theta n) + (31n - 100) \sin(\theta n) \right] + \frac{13}{2}, \]

\[ b_3(n) = \frac{1}{10} \left( \sqrt{5} \right)^n \left[ 2(n + 10) \cos(\theta n) + (11n - 35) \sin(\theta n) \right] - 2, \]

\[ b_4(n) = -\frac{1}{20} \left( \sqrt{5} \right)^n \left[ (n + 5) \cos(\theta n) + (3n - 10) \sin(\theta n) \right] + \frac{1}{4}. \]

(3.25)
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Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service
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