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We consider Poisson’s equation in an n-dimensional exterior domain $G$ ($n \geq 2$) with a sufficiently smooth boundary. We prove that for external forces and boundary values given in certain $L^q(G)$-spaces there exists a solution in the homogeneous Sobolev space $S^{2,q}(G)$, containing functions being local in $L^q(G)$ and having second-order derivatives in $L^q(G)$. Concerning the uniqueness of this solution we prove that the corresponding nullspace has the dimension $n+1$, independent of $q$.
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1. Introduction. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an exterior domain with a smooth boundary $\partial G$ of class $C^2$. We consider Poisson’s equation concerning some scalar function $u$:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } G, \quad u|_{\partial G} = \Phi. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $f$ is given in $G$ and $\Phi$ is the boundary value prescribed on $\partial G$. As usual, $\Delta$ denotes the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

It is well known that in unbounded domains the treatment of differential equations causes special difficulties, and that the usual Sobolev spaces $W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are not adequate in this case: even for the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we find [4] that the operator $\Delta: W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to W^{m-2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not a Fredholm operator in general, as it is in the case of bounded domains. Thus in exterior domains, (1.1) have mostly been studied in connection with weight functions. Either (1.1) has been solved in weighted Sobolev spaces directly [8, 13, 15], or it has first been multiplied by some weights and then solved in standard Sobolev spaces [20].

It is the aim of the present paper to prove the solvability of (1.1) in the homogeneous Sobolev spaces $S^{2,q}(G)$ ($1 < q < \infty$) of the following type [5, 12]. Let $L^q(G)$ be the space of functions defined almost everywhere in $G$ such that the norm

$$\|f\|_{q,G} := \left( \int_G |f(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q} \quad (1.2)$$

is finite. Then $S^{2,q}(G)$ is the space of all functions being local in $L^q(\mathbb{G})$ and having all second-order distributional derivatives in $L^q(G)$. We show that for $f \in L^q(G)$ and some boundary value $\Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$ (see the notations below) there exists always a solution $u \in S^{2,q}(G)$. Concerning the uniqueness of this solution, we prove that the space of all $u \in S^{2,q}(G)$ satisfying (1.1) with $f = 0$ and $\Phi = 0$ has the dimension $n+1$,
independent of \( q \). This also holds for the case \( n = 2 \). Similar results in slightly different spaces have been investigated by completely different methods in [17].

Throughout this paper, \( G \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) \((n \geq 2)\) is an exterior domain, that is, a domain whose complement is compact. Let \( \overline{G} \) denote its closure in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \partial G \) its boundary, which we assume to be of class \( C^2 \) [1, page 67].

In the following, all function spaces contain real-valued functions. Let \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be any domain with a compact boundary \( \partial D \) of class \( C^2 \), or let \( D = \mathbb{R}^n \). Besides the spaces \( L^q(D) \), we need the well-known functions spaces \( C^\infty(D) \), \( C^\infty_0(D) \), and the space \( C^\infty_0(\overline{D}) \), containing the restrictions \( f \big|_{\overline{D}} \) of functions \( f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

We call a function \( u \) local in \( L^q(\overline{D}) \) \((1 < q < \infty)\) and write \( u \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\overline{D}) \) if \( u \in L^q(D \cap B) \) for every open ball \( B \subset \mathbb{R}^n \). Note that this space does not coincide with the usual space \( L^q(D) \) in general (except for \( D = \mathbb{R}^n \)). For \( D \neq \mathbb{R}^n \) we find \( L^q(D) \subset L^q_{\text{loc}}(\overline{D}) \subset L^q_{\text{loc}}(D) \) and, if \( D \) is bounded, \( L^q(D) = L^q_{\text{loc}}(\overline{D}) \) and \( L^q(D) \subset L^q_{\text{loc}}(D) \).

By \( W^{m,q}(D) \) \((m = 0,1,2; W^{0,q}(D) = L^q(D))\) we mean the usual Sobolev space of functions \( u \) such that \( D^\alpha u \in L^q(D) \) for all multi-indices \( \alpha = (\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n = \{0,1,\ldots\}^n \) with \( |\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \leq m \) [1]. Here we used

\[
D^\alpha u = D_1^{\alpha_1} D_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots D_n^{\alpha_n} u, \quad D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (i = 1,\ldots,n; \ x = (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{1.3}
\]

The spaces \( W^{m,q}_{\text{loc}}(D) \) and \( W^{m,q}_{\text{loc}}(\overline{D}) \) are defined analogously.

We need the fractional-order space \( W^{2-1/q,q}_{\text{loc}}(\partial D) \), which contains the trace \( u|_{\partial D} \) of all \( u \in W^{2,q}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) [1, page 216]. The norm in \( W^{2-1/q,q}_{\text{loc}}(\partial D) \) is denoted by \( \| \cdot \|_{2-1/q,q,\partial D} \).

The term \( \nabla u = (D_j u)_{j=1,\ldots,n} \) is the gradient of \( u \) and \( \nabla^2 u = (D_i D_j u)_{i,j=1,\ldots,n} \) means the system of all second-order derivatives of \( u \). For these terms we define the seminorms

\[
\| \nabla u \|_{q,D} := \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \| D_k u \|_{q,D}^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad \| \nabla^2 u \|_{q,D} := \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^n \| D_j D_k u \|_{q,D}^q \right)^{1/q}, \tag{1.4}
\]

and introduce for \( m = 1,2 \) and \( 1 < q < \infty \) the homogeneous Sobolev spaces

\[
S^{m,q}(D) = \{ u \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\overline{D}) \mid \| \nabla^m u \|_{q,D} < \infty \}. \tag{1.5}
\]

Finally, concerning the norms and seminorms, we sometimes omit the domain of definition if it is obvious and use \( \| \cdot \|_q \) or \( \| \cdot \|_{2-1/q,q} \) instead of \( \| \cdot \|_{q,D} \) or \( \| \cdot \|_{2-1/q,q,\partial D} \), for example.

**2. Potential theory.** Besides the Poisson equation (1.1) we also consider the special case of Laplace’s equation with Dirichlet boundary condition

\[
-\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in} \ G, \quad u|_{\partial G} = \Phi. \tag{2.1}
\]

These equations have mostly been studied with methods of potential theory (see, e.g., [9, 18]). We collect some well-known facts in this section.
Let $E_n$ ($n \geq 2$) in the following denote the fundamental solution of the Laplacian such that $-\Delta E_n(x) = \delta(x)$, where $\delta$ is Dirac’s distribution in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is well known that

$$E_2(x) = -\frac{\ln |x|}{\omega_2}, \quad E_n(x) = \frac{|x|^{2-n}}{(n-2)\omega_n} \quad (n \geq 3),$$

(2.2)

where $\omega_n$ is the area of the $(n-1)$-dimensional unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an exterior domain with boundary $\partial G$ of class $C^2$, and let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi \in C(\partial G)$ be given. Then there is at most one $u \in C^\infty(G) \cap C(\overline{G})$ satisfying (2.1) in $G$, if we require in addition for $|x| \to \infty$:

$$u(x) - a \ln |x| = O(1) \quad (n = 2), \quad u(x) = O(|x|^{2-n}) \quad (n \geq 3),$$

(2.3)

$$\nabla^m u(x) = O(|x|^{2-n-m}) \quad (n \geq 2; \ m = 1, 2).$$

(2.4)

**Proof.** Let $u = u^1 - u^2$ be the difference of two solutions $u^1$ and $u^2$ with the required decay properties above. Define the bounded domain $G_r = G \cap B_r(O)$, where $B_r(O) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes an open ball with center at zero and radius $r$ such that $\partial G \subset B_r(O)$. Thus in $G_r$ we may apply Green’s first identity, obtaining

$$\int_{G_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx = \int_{\partial B_r} (\partial_N u) u \, du,$$

(2.5)

because the boundary integral over $\partial G$ vanishes. Here $N$ denotes the outward (with respect to $G_r$) unit normal vector on the boundary $\partial B_r = \partial B_r(O)$ and $\partial_N u$ is the normal derivative of $u$. Now due to the decay properties of $u$, the right-hand side in (2.5) tends to zero as $r \to \infty$. This is obvious if $n \geq 3$. For $n = 2$, using the expansion theorem for harmonic functions at infinity [18, page 523], we find $u(x) = O(1)$ and $\nabla u(x) = O(|x|^{-2})$ as $|x| \to \infty$, which implies the assertion above, too. It follows that $\nabla u = 0$ in $G$, hence $u = 0$ in $G$ because $u$ vanishes on the boundary $\partial G$. This proves the uniqueness.

To show the existence of a solution with the required decay properties, we use the boundary integral equations’ method. We define the single-layer potential

$$(E^n \Theta)(x) = \int_{\partial G} E_n(x-y) \Theta(y) \, dy \quad (x \notin \partial G),$$

(2.6)

the double-layer potential

$$(D^n \Theta)(x) = -\int_{\partial G} \partial_N(x-y) E_n(x-y) \Theta(y) \, dy \quad (x \notin \partial G),$$

(2.7)

and the normal derivative of the single-layer potential

$$(H^n \Theta)(x) = -\int_{\partial G} \partial_N(x-y) E_n(x-y) \Theta(y) \, dy \quad (x \notin \partial G).$$

(2.8)

Here and in the following, $N = N(z)$ is the outward (with respect to the bounded domain $G_b = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{G}$) unit normal vector in $z \in \partial G$, and $\Theta \in C(\partial G)$ is the unknown source density.
Then we have the continuity relation

\[(E^n \Theta)^e = (E^n \Theta)^i = E^n \Theta \text{ on } \partial G\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

and, due to the regularity of the boundary, the jump relations

\[D^n \Theta - (D^n \Theta)^e = (D^n \Theta)^i - D^n \Theta = \frac{1}{2} \Theta \text{ on } \partial G, \hspace{1cm} (2.10)\]

\[H^n \Theta - (H^n \Theta)^e = (H^n \Theta)^i - H^n \Theta = -\frac{1}{2} \Theta \text{ on } \partial G. \hspace{1cm} (2.11)\]

Here the indices \(e\) and \(i\) stand for the limits from the exterior domain \(G\) and the interior domain \(G_b := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{G}\), respectively.

Now we first assume \(n \geq 3\). Following [3, 11] (here for the case of Helmholtz’s equation), for the solution of (2.1) we choose in \(G\) the mixed ansatz

\[u = D^n \Theta - \alpha E^n \Theta \quad (0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}) \hspace{1cm} (2.12)\]

consisting of a double- and a single-layer potential. Then by means of (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain the second-kind Fredholm boundary integral equation

\[\Phi = -\frac{1}{2} \Theta + D^n \Theta - \alpha E^n \Theta \text{ on } \partial G \hspace{1cm} (2.13)\]

for the unknown source density \(\Theta \in C(\partial G)\). To see that (2.13) is uniquely solvable for all boundary values \(\Phi \in C(\partial G)\), let \(0 \neq \Psi\) be a solution of the homogeneous adjoint integral equation

\[0 = -\frac{1}{2} \Psi + H^n \Theta - \alpha E^n \Theta \text{ on } \partial G. \hspace{1cm} (2.14)\]

By (2.9) and (2.11), this implies \(\alpha (E^n \Psi)^i = (H^n \Psi)^i = -\partial_N (E^n \Psi)^i\), and Green’s first identity yields

\[\int_{G_b} |\nabla (E^n \Psi)|^2 \, dx = \int_{\partial G} (E^n \Psi)^i (\partial_N E^n \Psi)^i \, d\sigma = -\alpha \int_{\partial G} |E^n \Psi|^2 \, d\sigma, \hspace{1cm} (2.15)\]

hence \(E^n \Psi = 0\) in \(\overline{G_b}\). This implies \((E^n \Psi)^e = 0\) using (2.9), and the uniqueness statement above yields \(E^n \Psi = 0\) in \(G\), too. Thus \(E^n \Psi = 0\) in the whole \(\mathbb{R}^n\), which implies \((H^n \Psi) = 0\) in \(G\) and in \(G_b\), and we obtain \(\Psi = 0\) by (2.11), as asserted. This proves the existence in the case \(n \geq 3\) by the Fredholm alternative theorem.

Now let \(n = 2\). As in [10] (for the case of Stokes’ equations) we use in \(G\) the ansatz

\[u = -a \frac{\omega_2}{|\partial G|} E^2 1 + D^2 \Theta - \alpha E^2 M \Theta - \beta \Theta M \quad (0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ 0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{R}). \hspace{1cm} (2.16)\]
Here $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is the prescribed constant from (2.3), $|\partial G| := \int_{\partial G} do$ is the surface area, $E^2 1$ is the single-layer potential with constant density $\Psi = 1$, and the projector $M$ is defined by

$$
\Theta \rightarrow M\Theta := \Theta - \Theta_M
$$

(2.17)

with the surface mean value

$$
\Theta_M := \frac{1}{|\partial G|} \int_{\partial G} \Theta(y) do,
$$

(2.18)

which implies

$$
(M\Theta)_M = \frac{1}{|\partial G|} \int_{\partial G} (\Theta(y) - \Theta_M) do = \Theta_M - \Theta_M = 0.
$$

(2.19)

This ansatz indeed satisfies the prescribed decay condition $u(x) - a \ln|x| = O(1)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, which can be seen as follows:

$$
-a \frac{\omega_2}{|\partial G|} E^2 1(x) = a \frac{1}{|\partial G|} \int_{\partial G} \ln|x-y| do_y = a \ln|x| + a \frac{1}{|\partial G|} \int_{\partial G} \frac{|x-y|}{|x|} do_y
$$

(2.20)

$$
= a \ln|x| + o(1) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.
$$

For the other terms, we find

$$
D^2 \Theta(x) = \int_{\partial G} \frac{(x-y) \cdot N(y)}{\omega_2 |x-y|^2} \Theta(y) do_y = O(|x|^{-1}),
$$

$$
E^2 M\Theta(x) = \frac{1}{\omega_2} \int_{\partial G} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} (M\Theta)(y) do_y + \frac{1}{\omega_2} \ln|x| \int_{\partial G} (M\Theta)(y) do_y do_y
$$

(2.21)

$$
= \frac{1}{\omega_2} \int_{\partial G} \ln \frac{|x|}{|x-y|} (M\Theta)(y) do_y = o(1),
$$

and finally $\Theta_M = O(1)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, which implies the required decay condition (2.3).

Now using (2.9) and (2.10) again, we obtain the second-kind Fredholm boundary integral equation

$$
\Phi + \frac{a \omega_2}{|\partial G|} E^2 1 = -\frac{1}{2} \Theta + D^2 \Theta - \alpha E^2 M\Theta - \beta \Theta_M \quad \text{on } \partial G.
$$

(2.22)

To see that (2.22) has a unique solution $\Theta \in C(\partial G)$ for all boundary values $\Phi \in C(\partial G)$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let $0 \neq \Psi$ solve the homogeneous adjoint integral equation

$$
0 = -\frac{1}{2} \Psi + H^2 \Psi - \alpha ME^2 \Psi - \beta \Psi_M \quad \text{on } \partial G.
$$

(2.23)
Because for any constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $-(1/2)c + D^2c = 0$ [18, page 511] and $E^2Mc = 0$, we find
\[
0 = \left\langle c, -\frac{1}{2}\Psi + H^2\Psi - \alpha ME^2\Psi - \beta \Psi_M \right\rangle
= \left\langle -\frac{1}{2}c + D^2c - \alpha E^2Mc, \Psi \right\rangle - \beta \left\langle c, \Psi_M \right\rangle
= -\beta \left\langle c, \Psi_M \right\rangle,
\]
(2.24)
where here
\[
\left\langle \psi, \phi \right\rangle := \int_{\partial G} \psi(y)\phi(y)\,dy
\]
(2.25)
denotes the corresponding duality. It follows that $\Psi_M = 0$ and $M\Psi = \Psi$, hence $\Psi$ is a solution of
\[
0 = -\frac{1}{2}\Psi + H^2\Psi - \alpha ME^2\Psi \quad \text{on } \partial G,
\]
(2.26)
too. Using (2.11), this implies
\[
(H^2\Psi)^i = -\frac{1}{2}\Psi + H^2\Psi = \alpha ME^2\Psi \quad \text{on } \partial G,
\]
(2.27)
and from Green’s first identity, we obtain
\[
\int_{G_b} |\nabla E^2\Psi| \, dx = \int_{\partial G} E^2\Psi \cdot \partial_n E^2\Psi \, dy = -\int_{\partial G} E^2\Psi \cdot (H^2\Psi)^i \, dy
\]
\[
= -\alpha \int_{\partial G} E^2\Psi \cdot ME^2\Psi \, dy = -\alpha \int_{\partial G} |ME^2\Psi|^2 \, dy.
\]
(2.28)
Since $\alpha > 0$, it follows that $ME^2\Psi = 0$ on $\partial G$, which means $E^2\Psi = (E^2\Psi)_M = \text{const.}$ on $\partial G$. By Lemma 2.1, this implies $E^2\Psi = \text{const.}$ in $G_b$, hence $(H^2\Psi)^i = 0$ on $\partial G$ and thus, using (2.11) again, $(H^2\Psi)^e = \Psi$ on $\partial G$. On the other hand, $ME^2\Psi$ is a solution of the exterior problem (2.1) with $\Phi = 0$ on $\partial G$ satisfying the decay condition (2.3) (prescribe $a = 0$) due to $\Psi_M = 0$. By Lemma 2.1 this implies $E^2\Psi = (E^2\Psi)_M = \text{const.}$ in $G$, hence $(H^2\Psi)^e = \Psi = 0$ on $\partial G$, as asserted. Thus the following theorem is proved.

\textbf{Theorem 2.2.} Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an exterior domain with boundary $\partial G$ of class $C^2$, and let $\Phi \in C(\partial G)$ be given. In addition, if $n = 2$, let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Then there is one and only one function $u \in C^\infty(G) \cap C(\overline{G})$ satisfying (2.1) in $G$ and the decay conditions (2.3). This solution admits in $G$ the following representation: if $n \geq 3$, then for any $\alpha$ with $0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
\[
u = D^n\Theta - \alpha E^n\Theta,
\]
(2.29)
where $\Theta \in C(\partial G)$ is the uniquely determined solution of the boundary integral equation
\[
\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\Theta + D^n\Theta - \alpha E^n\Theta \quad \text{on } \partial G.
\]
(2.30)
If \( n = 2 \), then for any \( \alpha, \beta \) with \( 0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[
    u = -a \frac{\omega_2}{|\partial G|} E^2 1 + D^2 \Theta - \alpha E^2 M \Theta - \beta \Theta_M. \tag{2.31}
\]

Here \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) is the above-given constant appearing in (2.3), \( E^2 1 \) is the single-layer potential with constant density \( \Psi = 1 \), and the projector \( M \) is defined by \( \Theta \rightarrow M \Theta := \Theta - \Theta_M \) with the surface mean value \( \Theta_M := (1/|\partial G|) \int_{\partial G} \Theta(y) \, dy \), where \( \Theta \in C(\partial G) \) is the uniquely determined solution of the boundary integral equation
\[
    \Phi + a \frac{\omega_2}{|\partial G|} E^2 1 = -\frac{1}{2} \Theta + D^2 \Theta - \alpha E^2 M \Theta - \beta \Theta_M \quad \text{on } \partial G. \tag{2.32}
\]

### 3. Extension to homogeneous Sobolev spaces.

The first theorem ensures the solvability of Laplace’s equation (2.1) in the homogeneous spaces \( S^{2,q}(G) \), defined by (1.5), in the case \( n = 2 \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) be an exterior domain with boundary \( \partial G \) of class \( C^2 \), and let \( \Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G), 1 < q < \infty \), and \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) given. Then there is one and only one function \( u \in S^{2,q}(G) \cap C^\infty(G) \) satisfying (2.1) and the decay conditions (2.3) for \( n = 2 \).

**Proof.** Because for \( n = 2 \) we have \((2 - 1/q) q = 2q - 1 > 1 = n - 1 \), and Sobolev’s Lemma [1] implies \( \Phi \in C(\partial G) \), we can apply Theorem 2.2, obtaining a uniquely determined function \( \Theta \in C(\partial G) \) satisfying the boundary integral equation (2.32). The function \( u \in C^\infty(G) \cap C(G) \) defined by (2.31) fulfills (2.1) as well as the decay condition (2.3) for \( n = 2 \), as shown above. Because the uniqueness has been established in Lemma 2.1, it remains to show \( u \in S^{2,q}(G) \).

To do so, let \( G_r := G \cap B_r(0) \) as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. We obtain \( u \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G_r) \), because \( u \in C^\infty(G) \) implies \( u \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial B_r) \) (see [7, page 238]), and because \( u = \Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G) \) on \( \partial G \). Due to \( u \in C^\infty(G_r) \cap C(\overline{G_r}) \) this implies \( u \in W^{2-1/q,q}(G_r) \) (see [7, page 232], which is based on [16, page 184]), and it remains to estimate the second-order derivatives of \( u \) for \( |x| \geq r \).

Using (2.31), we see that \( |D_k D_j u(x)| \leq c_r |x|^{-2} \) for all \( x \) with \( |x| \geq r \) \((k,j = 1,2)\), which gives \( D_k D_j u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_r) \) for all \( 1 < q < \infty \). Thus \( u \in S^{2,q}(G) \) as asserted and the theorem is proved.

The preceding arguments could be used for the case \( n \geq 3 \) and \( q > n/2 \) as well, because, due to \((2 - 1/q) q > n - 1 \), Sobolev’s lemma [1] would imply \( \Phi \in C(\partial G) \) as for \( n = 2 \). The case \( n \geq 3 \) and \( q \leq n/2 \), however, would not be included. Therefore, to prove the next theorem we use another approach which works for any \( q \) with \( 1 < q < \infty \) and any \( n \geq 3 \).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) \((n \geq 3)\) be an exterior domain with boundary \( \partial G \) of class \( C^2 \), and let \( \Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G), 1 < q < \infty \), be given. Then there is one and only one function \( u \in S^{2,q}(G) \cap C^\infty(G) \) satisfying (2.1) and the decay conditions (2.3) for \( n \geq 3 \).

**Proof.** To prove uniqueness, let \( u = u^1 - u^2 \) be the difference of two solutions \( u^1 \) and \( u^2 \) with the required decay properties above. Define the bounded domain \( G_r = G \cap B_r(O) \), where \( B_r(O) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) denotes an open ball with center at zero and radius \( r \).
such that \( \partial G \subset B_r(O) \). From the local regularity theory, we find \( u \in W^{2,2}_{\text{loc}}(G) \). Thus in \( G_r \) we may apply Green’s first identity, and the uniqueness follows as in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

To prove existence, for \( \Theta \in L^q(\partial G) \), we set

\[
T^q \Theta := D^n \Theta - \alpha E^n \Theta \quad (0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}).
\]  

Then an easy calculation using Hölder’s inequality shows that \( T^q : L^q(\partial G) \to L^q(\partial G) \) is well defined and bounded. Now let \( \Theta \in L^q(\partial G) \) be a solution of

\[
-\frac{1}{2} \Theta + T^q \Theta = 0.
\]

Then we find \( \Theta \in L^p(\partial G) \) for some \( p > n - 1 \). To see this we use the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality \([19, \text{page } 119]\) obtaining in case of \( 1 < q < n - 1 \) that \( T^q \Theta \in L^s(\partial G) \) with

\[
\|T^q \Theta\|_{s,\partial G} \leq c_q \|\Theta\|_{q,\partial G} \left(\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n-1}\right).
\]

Here we find \( s > q \), and repeating this procedure a finite number of times, we obtain \( \Theta \in L^p(\partial G) \) for some \( p > n - 1 \). Next we show that \( \Theta \) is bounded on \( \partial G \). Since \( \partial G \in C^2 \) we have

\[
|\Theta(x)| = 2 |T^q \Theta(x)| \leq c \int_{\partial G} |x-y|^{2-n} |\Theta(y)| \, dy \leq c \left(\int_{\partial G} |x-y|^{(2-n)p'} \, dy \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\partial G} |\Theta(y)|^p \, dy \right)^{1/p},
\]

where the first integral on the right-hand side is finite due to \((n-2)p' < n - 1\) since \( p > n - 1 \) \((1/p + 1/p' = 1)\). Now from the boundedness of \( \Theta \) we obtain that \( T^q \Theta \) is continuous on \( \partial G \) (cf. \([9, \text{page } 42]\) for \( n = 3 \)), and \( (3.2) \) implies the continuity of \( \Theta \). Thus Theorem 2.2 implies

\[
\left\{ \Theta \in L^q(\partial G) \mid -\frac{1}{2} \Theta + T^q \Theta = 0 \right\} = \left\{ \Theta \in C(\partial G) \mid -\frac{1}{2} \Theta + D^n \Theta - \alpha E^n \Theta = 0 \right\} = \{0\}.
\]

Moreover, using a suitable cutoff procedure we obtain that the operator \( T^q : L^q(\partial G) \to L^q(\partial G) \) is compact, and applying the Fredholm alternative and the open mapping theorem we find that for any \( \Phi \in L^q(\partial G) \) there is one and only one \( \Theta \in L^q(\partial G) \) satisfying \( \Phi = -(1/2) \Theta + T^q \Theta \) on \( \partial G \) and the estimate

\[
\|\Theta\|_{q,\partial G} \leq c_q \left\| -\frac{1}{2} \Theta + T^q \Theta \right\|_{q,\partial G} = c_q \|\Phi\|_{q,\partial G}.
\]

Now we return to (2.1). Because of \( \Phi \in W^{2-1/q,4}(\partial G) \) there are functions \( \Phi_k \in C^2(\partial G) \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), such that

\[
\|\Phi_k - \Phi\|_{2-1/q,4,\partial G} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.
\]
Let $\Theta_k$ be the solution of the boundary integral equation (2.30) with $\Phi$ replaced by $\Phi_k$, corresponding to Theorem 2.2. Then this implies

$$\Phi_k = -\frac{1}{2} \Theta_k + T^q \Theta_k.$$  
(3.8)

Moreover, let $\Theta \in L^q(\partial G)$ denote the unique solution of

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{2} \Theta + T^q \Theta.$$  
(3.9)

Then, using (3.6),

$$\|\Theta - \Theta_k\|_{q,\partial G} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to 0.$$  
(3.10)

For $x \in G$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$u_k(x) = D^n \Theta_k(x) - \alpha E^n \Theta_k(x),$$  
$$u(x) = D^n \Theta(x) - \alpha E^n \Theta(x).$$  
(3.11)

Then, as shown above, $u_k \in C_\infty(G) \cap C(G)$ satisfies (2.1) with $\Phi = \Phi_k$, and, in particular, $u_k \in C^2(\partial G_r)$, where $G_r = G \cap B_r(0)$. Thus we conclude that $u_k \in W^{2,q}(G_r)$ with the following estimate:

$$\|u_k - u_l\|_{2,q,G_r} \leq c_{q,r} \left(\|u_k - u_l\|_{2-1/q,q,\partial G} + \|u_k - u_l\|_{2-1/q,q,\partial B_r}\right),$$  
(3.12)

(see [6, page 340], which is based on [16, page 184]). Because $u_k - u_l = \Phi_k - \Phi_l$ on $\partial G$, the first term on the right-hand side of (3.12) tends to zero as $k,l \to \infty$. For the second term we find

$$\|u_k - u_l\|_{2-1/q,q,\partial B_r} \leq c_{q,r} \left(\|\Theta_k - \Theta_l\|_{q,\partial G}\right)$$  
(3.13)

(cf. [7, page 238]). Thus, due to (3.10), $u_k$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W^{2,q}(G_r)$. Moreover, Hölder’s inequality together with (3.10) shows that for any $x \in G$ we have $u_k(x) \to u(x)$ as $k \to \infty$, hence $u \in W^{2,q}(G_r)$ with $\|u - u_k\|_{2,q,G_r} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. This implies $\|u - u_k\|_{2-1/q,q,\partial G} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, and because $u_k = \Phi_k$ on $\partial G$, (3.7) yields $u = \Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$ on $\partial G$. Because $u \in C^\infty(G)$ with $\Delta u = 0$ in $G$, and because $u$ satisfies the decay properties (2.3) for $n \geq 3$, the second-order derivatives $D_k D_j u(x)$ ($k,j = 1,\ldots,n$) for all $x$ with $|x| \geq r$ can be estimated as in the case $n = 2$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.1). Thus $u \in S^{2,q}(G)$ and the theorem is proved. \qed

The next theorem ensures the solvability of Poisson’s equation (1.1) in the spaces $S^{2,q}(G)$, defined by (1.5).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an exterior domain with boundary $\partial G$ of class $C^2$, and let $1 < q < \infty$. Then for every $f \in L^q(G)$ and $\Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$ there exists some $u \in S^{2,q}(G)$ satisfying the Poisson equation (1.1) in $G$.

**Proof.** Setting $f = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus G$ we obtain some function $\tilde{f} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\tilde{f}|_G = f$ in $G$. Let $\tilde{f}_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote a sequence such that $\tilde{f}_i \to \tilde{f}$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $i \to \infty$. Consider
now for fixed $i$ the equation $-\Delta \tilde{u}_i = \tilde{f}_i$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We can solve it by convolution with $E_n$ (see (2.2)), obtaining in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the representation

$$
\tilde{u}_i(x) = (E_n * \tilde{f}_i)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_n(x-y)\tilde{f}_i(y)dy.
$$

(3.14)

Moreover, by the theorem of Calderón and Zygmund [4], for the second-order derivatives we obtain the estimate $\|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_i\|_q \leq c\|\tilde{f}_i\|_q$ with some constant $c$ independent of $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies $\|\nabla^2 (\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_k)\|_q \to 0$ as $i, k \to \infty$.

Next consider a sequence of open balls $(B_j)_j$ with $B_j \subset B_{j+1}$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j = \mathbb{R}^n$. We define the space

$$
\mathcal{P} = \{P: x \mapsto P(x) = a + b \cdot x \mid b, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, a \in \mathbb{R}\}
$$

(3.15)

of linear functions $P: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. Then by the generalized Poincaré inequality (cf. [12, page 22] or [14, page 112]) we obtain for every $v \in S^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the estimate

$$
\|v\|_{L^q(B_j)/\mathcal{P}} := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \|v + P\|_{L^q(B_j)} \leq c_j\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^q(B_j)\mathbb{R}^n}^2
$$

(3.16)

with some constants $c_j > 0$. Because $\tilde{u}_i \in S^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we conclude that $(\tilde{u}_i)_i$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^q(B_j)/\mathcal{P}}$ on the left-hand side of (3.16) for fixed $j = 1$. This implies the existence of linear functions $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $(\tilde{u}_i + P_i)_i$ is Cauchy sequence in $L^q(B_1)$. Repeating this argument now for $j = 2$, there exist linear functions $Q_i \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\tilde{u}_i + Q_i$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(B_2)$, hence in $L^q(B_1)$, and using the representation

$$
P_i(x) = \alpha_i + \beta_i \cdot x, \quad Q_i(x) = \gamma_i + \delta_i \cdot x,
$$

(3.17)

we obtain that $(\alpha_i - y_i)_i$ and $(\beta_i - \delta_i)_i$ are Cauchy sequences in $\mathbb{R}$ and in $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively. From this we find that $(P_i - Q_i)_i$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(B_2)$, and thus also $(\tilde{u}_i + P_i)_i = (\tilde{u}_i + Q_i)_i + (P_i - Q_i)_i$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(B_j)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. Thus we can find some $\tilde{u} \in S^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$
(\tilde{u}_i + P_i) \to \tilde{u} \text{ in } L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \|\nabla^2 (\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}_i)\|_{q,\mathbb{R}^n} \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.
$$

(3.18)

Moreover, $\tilde{u}$ satisfies $-\Delta \tilde{u} = \tilde{f}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the estimate $\|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}\|_q \leq c\|\tilde{f}\|_q$. Since $\tilde{u} \in W^{2,q}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we make use of the usual trace theorem [1, page 217] that $\tilde{u}|_{\partial G} \in W^{2-1/q, q}(\partial G)$. Following Lemma 2.1 there is a function $w \in S^{2,q}(G)$ satisfying the equations

$$
-\Delta w = 0 \text{ in } G, \quad w|_{\partial G} = \tilde{u}|_{\partial G} - \Phi,
$$

(3.19)

where $\Phi \in W^{2-1/q, q}(\partial G)$ is the prescribed boundary value. Now setting $u = \tilde{u}|_G - w$, we obtain the desired solution and the theorem is proved. \qed
Because functions $u \in S^{2,q}(G)$ have no suitable decay properties at infinity, in general we cannot expect uniqueness for the solution of (1.1) constructed in Theorem 3.1. Thus we consider in $G$ the homogeneous equations and define the nullspace of (1.1) by

$$N_q(G) = \{ u \in S^{2,q}(G) | -\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } G, \ u|_{\partial G} = 0 \}. \quad (3.20)$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ be an exterior domain with boundary $\partial G$ of class $C^2$, and let $1 < q < \infty$. Then for the dimension $\dim N_q(G)$ of the nullspace defined in (3.20), $\dim N_q(G) = n + 1$ independent of $q$.

**Proof.** Consider the space $\mathcal{P}$ of linear functions defined in (3.15). Because for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $P(x) = a + b \cdot x$ with some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and some vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we find $\dim \mathcal{P} = n + 1$. Let $u^P$ denote the uniquely determined solution of the equation

$$-\Delta u = 0, \quad u|_{\partial G} = -P|_{\partial G} \quad (3.21)$$

with $P \in \mathcal{P}$, according to Lemma 2.1. Here in the case $n = 2$ we require

$$u(x) - a \ln |x| = O(1) \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty, \quad (3.22)$$

where the constant $a$ is chosen from $P(x) = a + b \cdot x$. Setting

$$M_q(G) = \{ u^P + P|_{\overline{G}} | P \in \mathcal{P} \}, \quad (3.23)$$

we obtain $M_q(G) \subset N_q(G)$, obviously. Furthermore, we have $\dim M_q(G) = \dim \mathcal{P} = n + 1$, which can be shown as follows. Let $P(x) = a + b \cdot x$ and let $u^P + P|_{\overline{G}} = 0$ in $\overline{G}$. Then from the decay properties of $u^P$ and $\nabla u^P$ established in Lemma 2.1 we find $a = 0$ and $b = 0$, hence $P = 0$. Here in the case $n = 2$ we obtain $a = 0$ due to the special choice of the number $a$ in (3.22). Together with the uniqueness statement in Lemma 2.1, this means that, if $B$ is a basis of $\mathcal{P}$, then

$$B_q(G) = \{ u^P + P|_{\overline{G}} | P \in B \} \quad (3.24)$$

is a basis of $M_q(G)$. Thus it remains to show that

$$N_q(G) \subset M_q(G). \quad (3.25)$$

To do so, we first determine the nullspace

$$N_q(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ u | u \in S^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with } -\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \}. \quad (3.26)$$

From $\Delta u = 0$, hence $\Delta \nabla^2 u = 0$ with $D^2_{jk} u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($j, k = 1, \ldots, n$) we obtain $\nabla^2 u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, which implies $u = P$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Thus we have shown that

$$N_q(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{P}. \quad (3.27)$$
Now let \( u \in N_q(G) \). We extend \( u \) on the whole space obtaining a function \( \tilde{u} \in S^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( \tilde{u}|_{\mathcal{G}} = u \) [1, page 83]. Moreover, this function satisfies in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) the identity \( -\Delta \tilde{u} = \tilde{f} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \), where the function \( \tilde{f} \) has a compact support in the bounded domain \( \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{G} \). Consider the equation

\[-\Delta w = \tilde{f} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n.
\] (3.28)

Again, it can be solved by convolution with the fundamental solution \( E_n \) of the Laplacian: we obtain \( w = E_n \ast \tilde{f} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and the Calderón-Zygmund theorem implies \( D^j_k w \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \) for all \( 1 < s \leq q \) \((j,k = 1, \ldots, n)\). Here we used \( \tilde{f} \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \) for all \( 1 < r \leq q \) due to its compact support. Now using a well-known estimate of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev-type [2, page 242] we find \( w \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \) for some \( s \geq q \), hence \( w \in L^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Thus we have constructed some solution \( w \) of (3.28) such that \( w \in S^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Setting \( W = \tilde{u} - w \), we obtain \( W \in N_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \), and (3.27) leads to \( \tilde{u} = w + P \) for some \( P \in \mathbb{P} \). Because \( \tilde{u}|_{\mathcal{G}} = 0 \) and since \( \tilde{u}|_{\mathcal{G}} = u \), we find \( u \in M_q(G) \), which proves (3.25) and thus the theorem.

\[ \square \]
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