ABSTRACT. Contour integral and distributional orthogonality of sieved ultraspherical polynomials are established for values of the parameters outside the natural range of orthogonality by positive measures on the real line. A general representation theorem for moment functionals is included.
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1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES.

A (normalized) moment functional $L$ (Ahkiezer [1], Chihara [9], Shohat and Tamarkin [17], Stone [18]) is a complex linear map of the space $\mathbb{C}[x]$ of complex polynomials into the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers such that $L(1) = 1$. A sequence $\{P_n(x) : n \geq 0\}$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ with $P_n(x)$ of degree $n$ and $P_0(x) = 1$ is orthogonal with respect to $L$ if

$$L(P_n(x)P_m(x)) = \lambda_n \delta_{mn}, \ m, n \geq 0,$$

(1.1)

with

$$\lambda_0 = 1; \ \lambda_n \neq 0, \ n > 0.$$  

(1.2)

The functional $L$ is also called an orthogonality functional for $\{P_n(x)\}$. It is well known (Chihara [9], Chaps. I, II) that $\{P_n(x)\}$ is orthogonal with respect to $L$ if and only if there are numbers $A_n, B_n, C_n$ such that

$$A_nC_{n+1} \neq 0, \ n \geq 0,$$

(1.3)

and

$$nP_n(x) = A_nP_{n+1}(x) + B_nP_n(x) + C_nP_{n-1}(x), \ n \geq 0,$$

(1.4)
with \( P_{-1}(x) = 0, P_0(x) = 1 \). Furthermore, \{P_n(x)\} determines \( \mathcal{L} \) uniquely by

\[
\mathcal{L}(1) = 1; \quad \mathcal{L}(P_n(x)) = 0, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

and the relationship

\[
\lambda_n = \mathcal{L}(P_n^2(x)) = \frac{C_1 \cdots C_n}{A_0 A_{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

holds.

The functional \( \mathcal{L} \) can be represented by means of a positive measure \( \mu \) supported by the real line, i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{L}(P(x)) = \int P(x) d\mu(x),
\]

if and only if \( A, B, C \) are real numbers and

\[
A_n C_{n+1} > 0, \quad n \geq 0.
\]

This is known as Favard's theorem (Chihara [9], Chap. II). The measure \( \mu \) is called an orthogonality or a spectral measure for \{\( P_n(x) \)\}, and \{\( P_n(x) \)\} is said to be orthogonal with respect to \( \mu \).

For most systems of polynomials defined by a recurrence relation (1.4), such as those of Laguerre and Jacobi, the recurrence coefficients depend on certain numerical parameters \( \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \ldots, \), and (1.8) holds for these parameters within certain ranges (called their natural ranges), but breaks down for most values of them. However, (1.3) usually holds for all \( \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \ldots, \) except, perhaps, for countably many.

This has motivated the search for other types of representations of \( \mathcal{L} \). (Krall [14] and Morton and Krall [15]) have discussed representations of \( \mathcal{L} \) in terms of distributions supported by the real line for several classical systems. Recently, Ismail, et al. [13] have given representations of \( \mathcal{L} \) for some systems of polynomials in terms of measures supported by curves of the complex plane and have used those representations to derive distributional representations of \( \mathcal{L} \). They prove in each case that

\[
\mathcal{L}(P(x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C P(z)X(z) dz,
\]

where \( C \) is a positively oriented closed contour of the plane contained in the domain of analyticity of \( X(z) \), the limit function of the continued fraction

\[
\frac{1}{1 - \frac{A_0 C_1}{z - B_0} - \frac{A_1 C_2}{z - B_1} - \frac{A_2 C_3}{z - B_2} - \cdots},
\]

of the polynomials \{\( P_n(x) \)\}, is a representation of \( \mathcal{L} \). For example, for the ultraspherical polynomials \{\( C_n^\alpha(x) \)\}, the special case \( \alpha = \beta = \lambda = 1/2 \) of the Jacobi polynomials, the recurrence coefficients are (Rainville [16], Chap. 17)

\[
A_n = \frac{n + 1}{2(n + \lambda)}, \quad B_n = 0, \quad C_n = \frac{n + 2\lambda - 1}{2(n + \lambda)}, \quad n \geq 0,
\]

and (1.8) holds if and only if \( (n + \lambda)(n + \lambda + 1)(n + 2\lambda) > 0, \quad n \geq 0 \), which amounts to \( \lambda \neq 0, \lambda > -1/2 \). However, (1.3) holds as long as \( 2\lambda \neq 0 \) and is not a negative integer. Under this assumption, the continued fraction of \{\( C_n^\alpha(x) \)\} converges to

\[
X^\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{z \pm 1} \, _2F_1 \left( \frac{1, \lambda + \frac{1}{2}}{2\lambda + 1}, \frac{2}{1 \pm z} \right),
\]

where

\[
_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!},
\]

is the hypergeometric function, and \( (a)_n = a(a+1)...(a+n-1), \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\).
provided that \(|z \pm 1| > 2\). Here \(\mathcal{F}_1\) stands for the hypergeometric series (Rainville [16], Chap. 4)

\[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} a, b \\ c \end{array} \mid \xi \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{n!(c)_n} \xi^n, \quad |\xi| < 1. \]  
(1.13)

where \((a)_0 = 1, (a)_n = a(a+1)\ldots(a+n-1), \ n \geq 1\) (so that \(c\) in (1.13) can not be zero nor a negative integer). On the other hand (Rainville [16], p. 279)

\[ C_m^\lambda(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(2\lambda)_{m+k}(x-1)^k}{k!(m-k)!(\lambda + 1/2)_k}, \ m \geq 0. \]

Hence, if \(\mathcal{L}_0\) is defined by (1.9) with \(X(z) = X^\lambda(z)\) and \(C\) a positively oriented closed contour in \(|z \pm 1| > 2\) containing \pm 1 in its interior, a simple calculation, which takes into account that \((2\lambda)_{m+n} = (2\lambda)_m(2\lambda + m)_n\) and \((-1)^n(-m)_n = m!/(m-n)!\), shows that

\[ \mathcal{L}_0(C_m^\lambda(x)) = \frac{(2\lambda)_m}{m!} \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} -m, 2\lambda + m \\ -2\lambda + 1 \end{array} \mid 1 \right). \]

and the Chu–Vandermonde sum

\[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} -m, b \\ c \end{array} \mid 1 \right) = \frac{(c-b)_m}{(c)_m} \]  
(1.14)

(Rainville [16], p. 69) then implies that \(\mathcal{L}_0(1) = 1, \mathcal{L}_0(C_m^\lambda(x)) = 0, \ m > 0\). Hence, \(\mathcal{L}_0\) is, in view of (1.5), the orthogonality functional of \(\{C_m^\lambda(x)\}\).

In section 2 we will prove that representation (1.9) responds to a general situation, implying that a check up as above is unnecessary. Now we derive from (1.9) a distributional representation of \(\mathcal{L}_0\). For \(|z \pm 1| > 2\),

\[ X^{\alpha,\beta}(z) = 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)} \frac{1}{z-1} \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} 1, \alpha+1 \\ \alpha+2 \end{array} \mid \frac{2}{1-z} \right) \]

is the continued fraction limit function of the system \(\{P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(z)\}\) of Jacobi polynomials (Szegö [19], §4.61). Then (Szegö [19], (4.1.4)) \(X^{\alpha,\beta}(-z) = -X^{\beta,\alpha}(z)\) and

\[ \int_C P(z)(1-z)^m X^{\alpha,\beta}(z) \, dz = \int_C P(z) X^{\alpha+m,\beta}(z) \, dz, \]  
(1.15)

\[ \int_C P(z)(1+z)^m X^{\alpha,\beta}(z) \, dz = \int_C P(z) X^{\alpha,\beta+m}(z) \, dz \]

for any polynomial \(P(z)\), any positively oriented contour in \(|z \pm 1| > 2\) containing \([-1,1]\) in its interior, and any integer \(m \geq 0\). Thus,

\[ \int_C P(z)(1-z^2)^m X^{\alpha,\beta}(z) \, dz = \int_C P(z) X^{\alpha+m,\beta+m}(z) \, dz \]  
(1.16)

Recalling that \((\alpha)_n = \Gamma(\alpha+n)/\Gamma(\alpha)\), and Legendre’s duplication formula (Rainville [16], p. 24), we see that

\[ X^\lambda(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda+1/2)} X^{\lambda-1/2,\lambda-1/2}(z), \ |z \pm 1| > 2. \]  
(1.17)

Since \(\varphi(x)(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta \, dx\), where \(\varphi\) is the characteristic function of \([-1,1]\), is, provided \(\alpha, \beta > -1\), the orthogonality measure of \(\{P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(z)\}\) (Rainville [16], p. 258; Szegö [19], §4.3), then

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C P(z) X^{\alpha,\beta}(z) \, dz = \int_{-1}^{1} P(x)(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta \, dx. \]
In particular,

\[ L_0(P(x)) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \int_{-1}^{1} P(x)(1-x^2)^{\lambda-1/2} \, dx, \quad \lambda > -1/2. \quad (1.18) \]

Now, for \( P(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x] \) and \( m \geq 0 \) an integer, we have

\[ \frac{P(x)}{(1-x^2)^m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{(x-\xi_i)^j} + R(x): \quad \alpha_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{m-j}}{(m-j)!} \frac{d^{m-j}}{dx^{m-j}} \left[ \frac{P(x)}{(x+\xi_i)^m} \right](\xi_i) \]

where \( \xi_0 = -1, \xi_1 = 1 \) and \( R(x) \) is a polynomial. and (1.15), (1.17) then yield

\[ L_0(P(x)) = 2^m \frac{(\lambda + 1/2)_m}{(2\lambda + 1)_m} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{m-j}}{j!} \frac{(\lambda + 1/2)_j}{(2\lambda + m + 1)_j} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left[ \frac{P(x)}{(x+\xi_i)^m} \right](\xi_i) + \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \int_{C} R(z)X^{\lambda+m-1/2}\lambda+m-1/2(z) \, dz. \]

**THEOREM 1.** Provided \( 2\lambda \neq 0 \) is not a negative integer and \( \lambda > -m-1/2 \), where \( m \geq 0 \) is an integer, we have the distributional representation \( L_0 = T_{01} + T_{02} \) where, for any test function \( \varphi \),

\[ T_{01}(\varphi) = \frac{2^m}{(2\lambda + 1)_m} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{m-j}}{j!} \frac{(\lambda + 1/2)_j}{(2\lambda + m + 1)_j} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left[ \frac{P(x)}{(x+\xi_i)^m} \right](\xi_i) \]

and

\[ T_{02}(\varphi) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_m(x)(1-x^2)^{\lambda+m-1/2} \, dx, \]

with \( \xi_0 = -1, \xi_1 = 1 \) and

\[ \varphi_m(x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{(1-x^2)^m} - \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{m-j}}{j!} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left[ \frac{P(x)}{(x+\xi_i)^m} \right](\xi_i) \frac{1}{(x-\xi_i)^{m-j}} \]

The distributions \( T_{01} \) and \( T_{02} \) have compact support on the real line and can act on polynomials.

We will show in this work that representation

\[ L_0(P(x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} P(z)X^{\lambda}(z) \, dz \]

of \( L_0 \) can be “lifted” to contour integral representations of the orthogonality functionals \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) of the sieved ultraspherical polynomials of the first and second kinds. Then, from such representations, we will derive distributional representations of \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \).

The monic sieved ultraspherical polynomials of the first kind, \( \{p_n^\lambda(x)\} \), and of the second kind, \( \{q_n^\lambda(x)\} \), satisfy the blocks of recurrence relations

\[ xy_{nk+j} = y_{nk+j+1} + a_n^{(j)}(p_{nk+j-1}), \quad n \geq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1, \]

with \( y_{-1} = 0, \ y_0 = 1 \). The coefficients \( a_n^{(j)} \) are

\[ a_n^{(0)} = \frac{n}{4(n+\lambda)}, \quad a_n^{(1)} = \frac{n+2\lambda}{4(n+\lambda)}, \quad a_n^{(j)} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad j = 2, 3, \ldots, k - 1, \quad n \geq 0, \]

for \( \{p_n^\lambda(x)\} \), and

\[ a_n^{(0)} = \frac{n}{4(n+\lambda)}, \quad a_n^{(k-1)} = \frac{n+2\lambda+1}{4(n+\lambda+1)}, \quad a_n^{(j)} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 2, \quad n \geq 0, \]
for \( \{q_n(x)\} \). It is assumed that \( k \geq 2 \) is an integer.

Sieved ultraspherical polynomials were introduced by Al-Salam et al. [2]. Generalizations and interpretations of the sieving process are in Charris and Ismail [5, 7], Charris et al [8], while Charris and Ismail [6]. Ismail [11 12] contain specific examples of sieved polynomial systems. Geronimo and Van Assche [10] also present an interesting approach to sieved orthogonal polynomials.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we prove a general result about representations (1.9), and some lemmas, related to the Chebyshev polynomials, which will be needed in the sequel. Sections 3 and 4 contain contour integral representations of \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{L}_2 \), and Section 5, their distributional representations.

In closing this section we observe that since \( B_n = 0 \) for all \( n \geq 0 \) in (1.11), then

\[
C_n^{\lambda}(-x) = (-1)^n C_n^{\lambda}(x), \quad n \geq 0.
\]

It is convenient to assume that \( C_n^{\lambda}(x) = 0 \). Now let

\[
C_n^{\lambda}(z; 1) = \frac{1}{2 \lambda} \mathcal{L}_0 \left( \frac{C_n^{\lambda}(z) - C_n^{\lambda}(z)}{x - z} \right), \quad n \geq 0.
\]

Then \( \{C_n^{\lambda}(x; 1)\} \) is a system of polynomials (Chihara [9], Chap. III), called the numerator polynomials of \( \{C_n^{\lambda}(x)\} \). Since

\[
\int_C \frac{X^\lambda(z)}{z - 1} \, dz = \int_C \frac{X^\lambda(z)}{z + 1} \, dz = 0
\]

for any closed contour of \( |z \pm 1| > 2 \) containing \( \pm 1 \) in its interior, as follows from (1.12), then

\[
2\lambda C_n^{\lambda}(\pm 1; 1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{C_{n+1}^{\lambda}(z)}{z \mp 1} X^\lambda(z) \, dz, \quad n \geq 0
\]

Relation (1.23) also implies that

\[
C_n^{\lambda}(-x; 1) = (-1)^n C_n^{\lambda}(x; 1), \quad n \geq 0.
\]

2. BASIC RESULTS.

Theorem 26.2, p. 112, of Wall [20] ensures that (1.10) is uniformly convergent on \( |z| \leq M' \) for all \( M' > M \), provided that (1.3) and

\[
|B_n| \leq M/3, \quad |A_n C_{n+1}| \leq M^2/9, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...
\]

hold.

Hence, \( X(z) \) is analytic in \( |z| > M \). We claim that

THEOREM 2. If \( \{P_n(x)\} \) is determined by (1.4), and (1.3), (2.1) hold, the moment functional \( \mathcal{L} \) of \( \{P_n(x)\} \) is represented by (1.9) for any positively oriented closed contour \( C \) of \( |z| > M \) containing 0 in its interior.

PROOF. Because of the uniform convergence to \( X(z) \) of (1.10), we may assume that the zeros of all the \( P_n(x) \)'s are interior to \( C \). From the general theory of continued fractions (Askey and Ismail [3], Chihara [9], Wall [20]) it follows that

\[
X(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{P_n^*(z)}{P_n(z)}, \quad |z| > M,
\]

where \( \{P_n^*(x)\} \) is the system of polynomials determined by (1.4) for \( n \geq 1 \) and \( P_0^*(x) = 0, P_1^*(x) = 1/A_0 \). Induction on (1.4) readily shows that

\[
P_{n+1}(x)P_n(x) - P_{n+1}(x)P_n^*(x) = \frac{\lambda_n}{A_n}, \quad n \geq 0.
\]
where \( \lambda_n \) is given by (1.6). Relation (2.3) is known as Abel’s formula (Ahkiezer [1], Chihara [9]). Since

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C P_n^*(z) \frac{P_{n+m}(z)}{P_{n+m}(z)} \, dz = 1, \quad n \geq 1,
\]

and for \( m \geq 1 \)

\[
\int_C P_n(z) P_{n+m}(z) \, dz = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_C P_n(z) \left[ \frac{P_{k+k}(z)}{P_{k+k}(z)} \right] \, dz + \int C P_n^*(z) \, dz
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{n+k-1} \int_C \frac{P_n(z)}{P_{n+k}(z) P_{n+k-1}(z)} \, dz
\]

\[
= 0,
\]

then

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C X(z) \, dz = 1; \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C P_n(z) X(z) \, dz = 0, \quad n \geq 1,
\]

(2.4)

and the assertion follows. \( \square \)

For \( |z| > M \) we have the Laurent expansion (see Wall [20], pp. 192-211)

\[
X(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_n}{z^{n+1}}
\]

(2.5)

where

\[
u_0 = 1; \quad u_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C z^n X(z) \, dz, \quad n \geq 0.
\]

(2.6)

Hence,

\[
\lim_{z \to \infty} z X(z) = 1.
\]

(2.7)

We have

THEOREM 3. Assume (1.3) and (2.1) hold, and that the moment functional \( \mathcal{L} \) of \( \{P_n(x)\} \) has the representation

\[
\mathcal{L} (P(z)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C P(z) Y(z) \, dz
\]

(2.8)

where \( Y(z) \) is analytic on \( |z| > M \), \( \lim_{z \to \infty} Y(z) = 0 \), and \( C \) is a positively oriented closed contour in \( |z| > M \) containing 0 in its interior. Then \( Y(z) = X(z) \) for all \( |z| > M \).

PROOF. Since

\[
\int_C P_n(z)(X(z) - Y(z)) \, dz = 0, \quad n \geq 0,
\]

and \( \{P_n(z)\} \) is an algebraic basis of \( \mathbb{C}[z] \), also

\[
\int_C z^n(X(z) - Y(z)) \, dz = 0, \quad n \geq 0.
\]

Thus, if

\[
X(z) - Y(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad |z| > M,
\]

is the Laurent expansion of \( X(z) - Y(z) \), then

\[
a_{-n-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C z^n(X(z) - Y(z)) \, dz = 0, \quad n \geq 0,
\]

and \( X(z) - Y(z) \) is an entire function vanishing at \( \infty \). Hence \( X(z) = Y(z) \) for \( |z| > M \). \( \square \)
The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, \( \{T_n(x)\} \), and of the second kind, \( \{U_n(x)\} \), both satisfy the recurrence relation 2x\(y_n = y_{n+1} + y_{n-1}, n \geq 1 \), but \( T_0(x) = 1, T_1(x) = x \) while \( U_0(x) = 1, U_1(x) = 2x \). We also assume that \( T_{-1}(x) = U_{-1}(x) = 0 \).

For \( i \) an integer, let \( \cos \) be the restriction map of \( \cos \) to \( \Omega_i = (i\pi, (i + 1)\pi) \times \mathbb{R} \), where \( \mathbb{R} \) denotes the real line. If \( \Omega \) is the complex plane cut along \( (-\infty, -1] \) and \([1, +\infty) \), \( \cos \), maps \( \Omega_i \) conformally onto \( \Omega \). We denote with \( \cos^{-1} \) the analytic inverse of \( \cos \), on \( \Omega \). Clearly

\[
T_k(z) = \cos(k \cos^{-1}(z)), \quad U_k(z) = \frac{\sin((k + 1) \cos^{-1}(z))}{\sin(\cos^{-1}(z))}, \quad z \in \Omega.
\] (2.9)

For \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1 \), let

\[
L_i(z) = \cos \left( \frac{1}{k} \cos^{-1}(z) \right), \quad z \in \Omega.
\] (2.10)

Then \( T_k(L_i(z)) = z \), \( z \in \Omega \), so that \( L_i \) is a branch in \( \Omega \) of the multi-valued function \( T_k^{-1}(z) \). The following results will be needed in the sequel. In all of them we assume \( k \geq 2 \).

**Lemma 1.** For each \( z \) in \( \Omega \) and each polynomial \( P(x) \) in \( \mathbb{C}[x] \) of degree \( m \geq 0 \),

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P(L_i(z)) \text{ is a polynomial in } z \text{ of degree at most } \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor,
\]

where \( \lfloor a \rfloor \) denotes the largest integer \( \leq a \).

**Proof.** Partial fractions and the geometric series give for \( |x| \) large that

\[
\frac{U_{k-1}(x)}{T_k(x) - z} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1/k}{x - L_i(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_i^n(z) \right\} x^{-n-1}.
\]

For \( |x| \) large we also have

\[
\frac{U_{k-1}(x)}{T_k(x) - z} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_{k-1}(x)}{T_k^{j+1}(x)} z^j,
\]

and long division gives

\[
\frac{U_{k-1}(x)}{T_k^{j+1}(x)} = \sum_{n=jk}^{\infty} a_{n,j} x^n.
\]

Hence

\[
\frac{U_{k-1}(x)}{T_k(x) - z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(z) x^{n+1}
\]

where \( p_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} a_{n,j} z^j \). Thus \( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} L_i^n(z) = kp_n(z) \), and the assertion follows. \( \square \)

Now let \(-1 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_{k-1} < 1 \) be the roots of \( U_{k-1}(x) \). For \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1 \), let

\[
A_j = \sum_{T_k(\xi_i) = 1} \frac{U_j(\xi_i)}{U_{k-1}(\xi_i)}, \quad B_j = \sum_{T_k(\xi_i) = -1} \frac{U_j(\xi_i)}{U_{k-1}(\xi_i)}.
\] (2.11)

Then

**Lemma 2.** For \( j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1 \),

\[
A_j + B_j = 0, \quad j \neq k - 2; \quad A_{k-2} + B_{k-2} = \frac{1}{2}.
\] (2.12)

**Proof.** Partial fractions decomposition gives for large \( |x| \) that

\[
\frac{U_j(x)}{U_{k-1}(x)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{U_j(\xi_i)}{U_{k-1}^{\prime}(\xi_i)} \cdot \frac{1}{x - \xi_i} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} U_j^{\prime}(\xi_i) x^n \right\} \frac{1}{x^{n+1}}.
\]
Since the coefficient of $1/x$ in the long division of $U_j(x)$ by $U_{k-1}(x)$ is 0 if $j \neq k-2$ and $1/2$ if $j = k-2$, the assertion follows. □

**Lemma 3.** For $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$,

$$A_{k-j-2} = -A_j, \quad B_{k-j-2} = B_j. \quad (2.13)$$

**Proof.** This is a consequence of the trigonometric identity $U_{k-j-2}(x) = U_{k-1}(x)T_{j+1}(x) - U_j(x)T_k(x)$. □

**Lemma 4.** For $z \in \Omega$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$,

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{U_j(L_i(z))}{U_{k-1}^2(L_i(z))} = \frac{A_j}{z-1} + \frac{B_j}{z+1} \quad (2.14)$$

**Proof.** Partial fraction decomposition gives for $|x|$ large that

$$\frac{U_j(x)}{U_{k-1}(x)(T_k(x) - z)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{U_j(\xi_i)}{U_{k-1}(\xi_i)(T_k(\xi_i) - z)(x - \xi_i)} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{U_j(L_i(z))}{kU_{k-1}^2(L_i(z))(x - L_i(z))}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{U_j(\xi_i)\xi_i^n}{U_{k-1}(\xi_i)(T_k(\xi_i) - z)} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{U_j(L_i(z))L_i^n(z)}{kU_{k-1}^2(L_i(z))} \right\} \frac{1}{x^{n+1}}.$$  

Since $k \geq 2$, long division on the left hand side of the above equality gives 0 for the coefficient of $1/x$, and (2.14) follows at once. □

The relationships

$$L_i'(z) = \frac{1}{kU_{k-1}(L_i(z))}, \quad (T_j(L_i(z)))' = \frac{jU_{j-1}(L_i(z))}{kU_{k-1}(L_i(z))}, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad (2.15)$$

and the trigonometric identity

$$U_{j-i}(x) = U_i(x)U_j(x) - U_{i-1}(x)U_{j+1}(x), \quad j \geq i \geq 0, \quad (2.16)$$

will be also needed.

Now let $\gamma$ be the positively oriented ellipse

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1, \quad a^2 - b^2 = 1, \quad a > 1, \quad (2.17)$$

so that, for some $y_0 > 0$, $a = \cosh y_0, b = \sinh y_0$. Observe that $[-1,1]$ is in the interior of $\gamma$. For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$, let $\gamma_i = L_i \circ \gamma$ be the $i^{th}$-lifting of $\gamma$ through $T_k$. The $\gamma_i$'s piece together onto the ellipse $\tilde{\gamma}$ determined by

$$\frac{x^2}{A^2} + \frac{y^2}{B^2} = 1 \quad (2.18)$$

where $A = \cosh y_0/k, B = \sinh y_0/k$. If $|z-1| > 2$ for $z$ on $\gamma$, then $|T_k(z) - 1| > 2$ for $z$ on $\tilde{\gamma}$. This follows from $|T_k(L_i(z)) - 1| = |z-1|$. If $f$ is continuous on $\tilde{\gamma}$ then

$$\int_{\gamma_i} f(z) \, dz = \frac{1}{k} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(L_i(z))}{U_{k-1}(L_i(z))} \, dz, \quad \int_{\gamma} f(z) \, dz = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{\gamma_i} f(z) \, dz. \quad (2.19)$$

For $f$ continuous on $\gamma$ we also have

$$\int_{\gamma} f(T_k(z))U_{k-1}(z) \, dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) \, dz. \quad (2.20)$$
SIEVED ULTRASPHERICAL POLYNOMIALS

Sinc is simple and positively oriented. Our the lifting of γ through T_k. If C is any positively oriented contour of |T_k(z) - 1| > 2 containing [-1,1] in its interior and f is analytic on this set, then
\[ \int_C f(z) \, dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) \, dz, \]
provided that \(|z - 1| > 2 \) on γ.

3. CONTOUR INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF \( \mathcal{L}_1 \)

We will write \( p_n(x) \) instead of \( p_n^\lambda(x) \) to denote the \( n^{th} \) sieved ultraspherical polynomial of the first kind. Results in Al-Salam et al. [2], Charris and Ismail [5-7] or Charris et al. [8] yield
\[ n! \{ (n+\lambda)U_{n+1}(T(z)) + (n+2\lambda)U_{n+1}(T(x)) \} \]
\[ (3.1) \]
\[ = \frac{n!}{2\pi k+1} \left\{ (n+1)U_{n+1}(T(z)) + (n+2\lambda)U_{n+1}(T(z)) \right\} \]
for \( n \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \). In particular, \( p_{nk}(x) = \frac{n!}{2\pi k+1} C_n^\lambda(T_k(x)), n \geq 0. \)

For \( x \) in \( \mathbb{C} \) such that \(|T_k(z) - 1| > 2, (1.12) \) and results in the above references, which were established for the positive definite case, i.e., when (1.8) holds, suggest that
\[ \Phi(z) = U_{k-1}(z)X^\lambda(T_k(z)) = \frac{U_{k-1}(z)}{T_k(z) - 1} \binom{1, \lambda + \frac{1}{2}}{2\lambda + 1, 1 - \frac{2}{T_k(z)}} \]
\[ (3.3) \]
is the limit of the continued fraction of \( \{p_n(x)\} \). That this is so follows from Theorem 3 and from the next theorem.

THEOREM 4. Let
\[ \mathcal{L}(P(x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C P(z)\Phi(z) \, dz \]
where \( C \) is a positively oriented contour of \(|T_k(z) - 1| > 2 \) containing [-1,1] in its interior. Then \( \mathcal{L} \) is the moment functional \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) of \( \{p_n(x)\} \).

PROOF. We may assume that \( C \) is the lifting through \( T_k \) of an ellipse γ in \(|z - 1| > 2 \), as in (2.17), containing [-1,1] in its interior. That \( \mathcal{L}(1) = 1 \) follows from
\[ \int_C \Phi(z) \, dz = \int_C U_{k-1}(z)X^\lambda(T_k(z)) \, dz = \int_{\gamma} X^\lambda(z) \, dz \]
Now, from (2.15) and (2.19),
\[ \int_C U_{j-1}(z)C_{n+1}(T_k(z))X^\lambda(T_k(z)) \, dz = \int_{\gamma} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} U_{j-1}(L_l(z))C_{n+1}(z)X^\lambda(z) \, dz \]
\[ = \frac{1}{j} \int_{\gamma} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} T_j(L_l(z)) \right) C_{n+1}(z)X^\lambda(z) \, dz, \]
so that, in view of Lemma 1, the integral vanishes if \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1 \). If \( j = k \) it also vanishes, as it reduces to \( 2\pi i \mathcal{L}_0(C_{n+1}(x)) \). Noting that \( k - j - 1 < k \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), we also have that
\[ \int_C U_{k-j-1}(z)C_n(T_k(z))X^\lambda(T_k(z)) \, dz = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, k, \]
4 CONTOUR INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF $\mathcal{L}_2$

We write $q_n(x)$ to denote $q_n^\lambda(x)$. Results established in Charris and Ismail [5-7] or Charris et al. [8] show that

$$
q_{n+k+j}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n k+j} \{ U_j(x) C_n^{\lambda+1}(T_k(x)) + U_{k-j-2}(x) C_{n-1}^{\lambda+1}(T_k(x)) \},
$$

(4.1)

$n \geq 0, j = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1$. In particular,

$$
q_{n+1,k-1}(x) = \frac{n!}{2(n+1)(\lambda + 1)n} U_{k-1}(x) C_n^{\lambda+1}(T_k(x)), n \geq 0.
$$

(4.2)

Hence, for $|z - 1| > 2$,

$$
Y^\lambda(z) := X^{\lambda+1}(z) = \frac{1}{z + 1} \frac{2}{\Gamma(1, \lambda + \frac{3}{2} 2\lambda + 3)} \left( \frac{2}{z \pm 1} \right)
$$

(4.3)

is the limit of the continued fraction of $\{ C_n^{\lambda+1}(z) \}$ and, for $|T_k(z) - 1| > 2$, $\Psi(z)$, given by

$$
\Psi(z) = 2 \left\{ \frac{U_{k-2}(z)}{U_{k-1}(z)} + \frac{1 + 2\lambda Y^\lambda(T_k(z))}{2(1 + \lambda) \frac{U_{k-1}(z)}{U_{k-1}(z)}} \right\},
$$

(4.4)

will be that of $\{q_n(x)\}$. This will follow from Theorem 5 below. Relation (4.4) is suggested by results in the above references for the positive definite case. To simplify the notation, let

$$
Q_{n+k+j}(x) = \frac{2^{n+k+j}(\lambda + 1)n}{n!} q_{n+k+j}(x), n \geq 0, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1.
$$

and define

$$
\mathcal{L}(P(x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C P(z) \Psi(z) \, dz, \quad P(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x],
$$

(4.5)

where $C$ is a positively oriented closed contour of $|T_k(z) - 1| > 2$ containing $[-1,1]$ in its interior. We claim that

THEOREM 5. If $\mathcal{L}$ is given by (4.5) then $\mathcal{L}(1) = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}(q_n(x)) = 0$ if $n \geq 1$. Hence, if $2\lambda \neq 0$ and is not a negative integer, $\mathcal{L}$ is the orthogonality functional $\mathcal{L}_2$ of $\{q_n(x)\}$.

PROOF. For each $n \geq 0$ and $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1$, let

$$
I(n,j) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C U_j(z) C_n^{\lambda+1}(T_k(z)) \Psi(z) \, dz.
$$

As before, we may assume that $C$ is the lifting through $T_k$ of an ellipse $\gamma$ in $|z - 1| > 2$ as in (2.17). We have

$$
\mathcal{L}(Q_{n+k+j}(x)) = I(n,j) + I(n-1, k-j-2).
$$

Now, for $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq k - 2$, we obtain, from (2.19) and (4.4), that

$$
I(n,j) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_\gamma \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{U_{k-2}(L_i(z)) U_j(L_i(z))}{k U_{k-1}(L_i(z))} \right\} C_n^{\lambda+1}(z) \, dz
$$

$$
+ \frac{2\lambda + 1}{2(\lambda + 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_\gamma \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{U_j(L_i(z))}{k U_{k-1}^2(L_i(z))} \right\} C_n^{\lambda+1}(z) Y^\lambda(z) \, dz.
$$

Using (2.16) and observing that

$$
j \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{U_{j+1}(L_i(z))}{k U_{k-1}(L_i(z))} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (T_j(L_i(z)))' = 0
$$
as follows from (2.15) and Lemma 1, we obtain, by means of Lemma 4, that

\[ I(n, j) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \left\{ A_{k-j-2} \int_{\gamma} \frac{C_{n+1}^{(\lambda+1)}(z)}{z-1} \, dz + B_{k-j-2} \int_{\gamma} \frac{C_{n+1}^{(\lambda+1)}(z)}{z+1} \, dz \right\} \]

\[ + A_j \frac{2\lambda + 1}{2(\lambda + 1)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{C_{n+1}^{(\lambda+1)}(z)}{z-1} Y^\lambda(z) \, dz + B_j \frac{2\lambda + 1}{2(\lambda + 1)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{C_{n+1}^{(\lambda+1)}(z)}{z+1} Y^\lambda(z) \, dz \]

Cauchy’s formula and (1.23), (1.25), (1.26) then imply that

\[ A_{n+1}(n, 0, y) = 2 \{ (A_{k-j-2} + (-1)^n B_{k-j-2}) C_n^{(\lambda+1)}(1) + (A_j + (-1)^n B_j) (2\lambda + 1) C_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(1; 1) \} \]

which, in view of (2.13) and the obvious relationship \((2\lambda + 1) C_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(1; 1) = C_n^{(\lambda+1)}(1) - 1\), reduces to

\[ I(n, j) = -2(A_j + (-1)^n B_j) \]

Also \(I(n-1, k-j-2) = -2(A_{k-j-2} + (-1)^n B_{k-j-2})\), so that, using (2.13) again, we obtain

\[ I(Q_{n+k+2}(x)) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-2. \]

As for the case \(n \geq 1, j = k-1, \) i.e., of \(Q_{(n+1)k-1}(x)\), we obtain, from (4.2), that

\[ L(Q_{(n+1)k-1}(x)) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_C U_{k-2}(z) C_n^{(\lambda+1)}(T_k(z)) \, dz + \frac{1}{\pi i} \frac{2\lambda + 1}{2(\lambda + 1)} \int_{\gamma} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_i(z) \right)' C_n^{(\lambda+1)}(z) Y^\lambda(z) \, dz \]

which, in view of Lemma 1 and the analyticity of \(U_{k-2}(z) C_n^{(\lambda+1)}(T_k(z))\), also vanishes. Since finally \(I(0, j) = 2(A_{k-j-2} + B_{k-j-2}) = 0\) if \(j \neq 0\) and \(I(0, 0) = 1\), we conclude that \(L(1) = 1\) and \(L(q_n(x)) = 0\) if \(n > 0\). \(\square\)

REMARK 1. With

\[ \tilde{\Psi}(z) = \frac{2\lambda + 1}{\lambda + 1} \frac{Y^\lambda(T_k(z))}{U_{k-1}(z)}, \quad |T_k(z) - 1| > 2, \]

we obtain the alternative representation

\[ L_2(P(z)) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{U_{k-2}(\xi_i)}{U_{k-2}'(\xi_i)} P(\xi_i) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C P(z) \tilde{\Psi}(z) \, dz, \]

where \(\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_{k-1}\) are the roots of \(U_{k-1}(z)\).

5. DISTRIBUTIONAL REPRESENTATIONS OF \(L_1\) AND \(L_2\).

From the contour integral representations of \(L_1\) and \(L_2\) it is easy to derive distributional representations of these functionals.

Let, as before, \(-1 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_{k-1} < 1\) be the roots of \(U_{k-1}(x)\), and let \(\xi_0 = -1, \xi_k = 1.\) Then \(\xi_0, \xi_k\) are simple roots of \(T_k^2(x) - 1\), while for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1\), \(\xi_i\) is a double root. Hence, for \(m \geq 0\) an integer and \(P(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x],\)

\[ \frac{P(x)}{(1 - T_k^2(x))^m} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{(x - \xi_i)^j} + R(x); \quad \alpha_{ij} = \frac{1}{(l_i - j)!} \frac{d^{l_i-j}}{dz^{l_i-j}} \left[ \frac{(x - \xi_i)^{l_i}}{(1 - T_k^2(x))^m} P(x) \right] (\xi_i), \]

with \(l_0 = l_k = m, \ l_i = 2m\) for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1,\) and \(R(x),\) a polynomial.

Since

\[ L_1(P(x)) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_C P(z) \chi^{\lambda-1/2, \lambda-1/2}(T_k(z)) U_{k-1}(z) \, dz \]

(5.1)
follows from (1.17), then, provided \( 2\lambda \) is not an integer \( \leq 0 \),

\[
\mathcal{L}_1(P(x)) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} A_{ij} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left[ \frac{(x - \xi_i)^j}{1 - T_k(x)^m} P(x) \right] (\xi_i) + \\
+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \int_C R(z) U_{k-1}(z) X^{\lambda+m-1/2,\lambda+m-1/2}(T_k(z)) \, dz 
\]

where

\[
A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{U_{k-1}(z)(1 - T_k^2(z))^m}{(z - \xi_i)^{l_i-j}} X^\lambda(T_k(z)) \, dz 
\]  

(5.2)

for \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k \) and \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, l_i - 1 \). Observe that \( A_{ij} \) is independent of \( P(x) \), and also of \( C \), as long as \( C \) is contained in \(|T_k(z) - 1| > 2\).

Now assume \( C \) is the lifting through \( T_k(x) \) of an ellipse (2.17), \( \gamma \), in \(|z - 1| > 2\). Then

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C R(z) U_{k-1}(z) X^{\lambda+m-1/2,\lambda+m-1/2}(T_k(z)) \, dz 
\]

(5.3)

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi i k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_G R(L_i(z)) X^{\lambda+m-1/2,\lambda+m-1/2}(z) \, dz 
\]

(5.4)

\[
= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{-1}^{1} R(L_i(z))(1 - x^2)^{\lambda+m-1/2} \, dx 
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{-1}^{\xi_i+1} R(x)(1 - T_k^2(x))^{\lambda+m-1/2} U_{k-1}(x) \, dx 
\]

\[
= \int_{-1}^{1} R(x) U_{k-1}(x)^{2(\lambda+m)}(1 - x^2)^{\lambda+m-1/2} \, dx. 
\]

Hence

**THEOREM 6.** If \( 2\lambda \neq 0 \) is not a negative integer and \( \lambda > -m - 1/2 \), where \( m \geq 0 \) is an integer, the functional \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) has the distributional representation \( \mathcal{L}_1 = T_{11} + T_{12} \), where, for any test function \( \varphi \),

\[
T_{11}(\varphi) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} A_{ij} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left[ \frac{(x - \xi_i)^j}{1 - T_k(x)^m} \varphi(x) \right] (\xi_i) 
\]

(5.3)

with \( A_{ij} \) given by (5.2), \( l_0 = l_k = m, l_i = 2m \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1 \), and

\[
T_{12}(\varphi) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_m(x) U_{k-1}(x)^{2(\lambda+m)}(1 - x^2)^{\lambda+m-1/2} \, dx 
\]

(5.4)

with

\[
\varphi_{m}(x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{(1 - T_k^2(x))^m} - \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left[ \frac{(x - \xi_i)^j}{1 - T_k^2(x)} \varphi(x) \right] (\xi_i) 
\]

(5.5)

Both \( T_{11} \) and \( T_{12} \) are compactly supported on the real line and can act on polynomials. As for \( \mathcal{L}_2 \), observe that, from (4.4),

\[
\Psi(z) = z \frac{U_{k-2}(z)}{U_{k-1}(z)} + \\
+ \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - T_k(z)} + \frac{1}{1 + T_k(z)} \right] \cdot (1 - z^2) U_{k-1}(z) X^{\lambda+1/2,\lambda+1/2}(T_k(z)) 
\]
and simple calculations with the series involved show that

\[
\Psi(z) = 2z + \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \left[ X^{\lambda - 1/2, \lambda + 1/2}(T_k(z)) + X^{\lambda + 1/2, \lambda - 1/2}(T_k(z)) \right] (1 - z^2) U_{k-1}(z).
\]

Hence

**THEOREM 7.** If \(2\lambda \neq 0\) is not a negative integer and \(\lambda > -m - 1/2\), where \(m > 0\) is an integer, the functional \(L_2\) can be represented in the form \(L_2 = T_{21} + T_{22}\), where, for any test function \(\varphi\),

\[
T_{21}(\varphi) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} B_{ij} \int_1 \frac{d^l}{(1 - T_k(x))^m} \varphi(x) (\xi_i)
\]

with

\[
B_{ij} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{(1 - z^2)^{m+1} U_{k-1}^{m+1}(z)}{(z - \xi_i)^{l_i-j}} \left\{ X^{\lambda - 1/2, \lambda + 1/2}(T_k(z)) + X^{\lambda + 1/2, \lambda - 1/2}(T_k(z)) \right\} dz
\]

and \(l_i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, k\), as in Theorem 6, and where

\[
T_{22}(\varphi) = \frac{2\Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_m(x) U_{k-1}(z)^{2(\lambda + m)}(1 - x^2)^{\lambda + m + 1/2} dx,
\]

with \(\varphi_m(x)\) as in (5.5). Both distributions \(T_{21}\) and \(T_{22}\) have compact support on the real line and can act on polynomials.

**REMARK 2.** If \(k = 1\) is allowed in Theorem 6, \(T_1\) and \(T_{12}\) reduce to \(T_{01}\) and \(T_{02}\), respectively.

**REMARK 3.** If \(\lambda > -1/2\), i.e., if we can assume \(m = 0\) in Theorems 6 and 7, then \(T_1 = T_{21} = 0\) and \(T_{12}, T_{22}\) reduce, respectively, to the orthogonality measures of the sieved ultraspherical polynomials of the first and second kinds (as given in Al-Salam et al. [2]).

**REMARK 4.** When \(\lambda \leq -1/2\), so that \(m > 0\), \(T_0, T_{11}\) and \(T_{21}\) measure the contributions to the orthogonality of the points in \([-1, 1]\) where \((1 - x^2)^{1/2}, |U_{k-1}(x)|^{2\lambda(1 - x^2)^{\lambda - 1/2}}\) and \(|U_{k-1}(x)|^{2\lambda(1 - x^2)^{\lambda + 1/2}}\) become infinite.

It seems rather difficult to obtain distributional representations of \(L_1\) and \(L_2\) by the procedures in Krall [14], Morton and Krall [15].
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