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ABSTRACT. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group acting on the upper half-plane $U$ and having signature 
$\{p, n, 0; \nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_n\}; \quad 2p - 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - \frac{1}{\nu_j}) > 0$.

Let $T(\Gamma)$ be the Teichmüller space of $\Gamma$. Then there exists a vector bundle $\mathcal{B}(T(\Gamma))$ of rank 
$3p - 3 + n$ over $T(\Gamma)$ whose fibre over a point $\Gamma \in T(\Gamma)$ representing $\Gamma_t$ is the space of bounded 
quadratic differentials $B_2(\Gamma_t)$ for $\Gamma_t$. Let $Hom(\Gamma,G)$ be the set of all homomorphisms from $\Gamma$ into 
the Möbius group $G$.

For a given $(\tau, \phi) \in \mathcal{B}(T(\Gamma))$ we get an equivalence class of projective structures and a 
conjugacy class of a homomorphism $\chi \in Hom(\Gamma,G)$. Therefore there is a well defined map 
$\Phi: \mathcal{B}(T(\Gamma)) \rightarrow Hom(\Gamma,G)/G$.

$\Phi$ is called the monodromy map. We prove that the monodromy map is a holomorphic local homeomorphism. The case $n = 0$ gives the previously known result by Earle, Hejhal and Hubbard.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely generated Fuchsian group acting on the upper half-plane $U$ such that $U/\Gamma$ 
is a Riemann surface of finite genus $p$ with a finite number of possible punctures and ramification 
points $n$ and with a finite number of possible analytic boundary curves $m$. Let $\{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n\}$ be 
the set of points on $U/\Gamma$ that are either punctures or ramification points. Let $\nu_j$ be the 
ramification index of $r^{-1}(z_j)$, where 

$$\pi: U \rightarrow U/\Gamma$$

is the natural projection map, and we set $\nu_i = \infty$ for punctures. Then the sequence 
$\{p, n, m, \nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_n\}$ is called the signature of the group $\Gamma$.

In this paper, we consider $\Gamma$ to be a Fuchsian group acting on the upper half-plane $U$ and 
having signature $\{p, n, 0, \nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_n\}; \quad 2p - 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - \frac{1}{\nu_j}) > 0$.

Let $T(\Gamma)$ be the Teichmüller space of $\Gamma$. Then there exists a vector bundle $\mathcal{B}(T(\Gamma))$ of rank 
$3p - 3 + n$ over $T(\Gamma)$ whose fibre over a point representing $\Gamma_t$ is the space of bounded quadratic 
differentials $B_2(\Gamma_t)$ for $\Gamma_t$. Let $Hom(\Gamma,G)$ be the set of all homomorphisms from $\Gamma$ into the 
Möbius group $G$. 


For a given \((t, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}(T(\Gamma))\) we get an equivalence class of projective structures and a conjugacy class of a homomorphism \(\psi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)\). Therefore there is a well defined map

\[ \Phi: \mathcal{H}(T(\Gamma)) \to \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G. \]

\(\Phi\) is called the monodromy map. We prove that the monodromy map is a holomorphic local homeomorphism.

The case \(n = 0\) gives the previously known result by Earle, Hejhal and Hubbard. Falting [6], Gallo and Porter [7] have similar results for \(n > 0\). The monodromy map restricted on each fibre is known to be injective by Kra [11]. As a generalization of this result for a Fuchsian group \(\Gamma\) with signature \((p, n, m, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n); n > 0, m > 0\), author has proven a uniqueness theorem in [15]. A similar result has been proven by Gallo and Porter [8].

In Section I, we discuss some well known interesting properties of Moebius transformations and with their help, we find the set of regular points in \(\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)\). This technical result is needed to prove the main result in Section II. In Section II, we prove that the monodromy map is a holomorphic local homeomorphism.

**SECTION I.** Let \(A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2, \ldots, A_p, B_p, C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n\) be a fixed set of generators of \(\Gamma\) satisfying the relations

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{p} [A_i, B_i] \prod_{j=1}^{n} C_j = I \quad \text{and} \quad C_j^{\nu_j} = I, \quad j = m + 1, \ldots, n,
\]

where \([A_i, B_i] = A_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1}\) and \(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_m\) are the parabolic generators and \(C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \ldots, C_n\) are elliptic generators with periods \(\nu_{m+1}, \nu_{m+2}, \ldots, \nu_n\) respectively.

A homomorphism \(\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)\) is completely determined by \(2p + n\) Moebius transformations

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi(A_i) &= S_i, \\
\chi(B_i) &= T_i, \\
\chi(C_j) &= W_j, 
\end{align*}
\]

\(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m; j = m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, n\) satisfying the relations

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{p} [S_i, T_i] \prod_{j=1}^{n} W_j = I \quad \text{and} \quad W_j^{\nu_j} = I, \quad j = m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Let \(P\) be the set of all parabolic transformations and \(E_j\) be the set of all elliptic transformations with a fixed multiplier \(K_j^{2
u_j} = K_j^{2p}\). Let \(\text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G)\) consist of homomorphisms preserving parabolic transformations and the multipliers of the elliptic transformations. Then for \(\chi \in \text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G)\),

\[
\chi(C_j) = W_j \in P, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m
\]

\[
W_j \in E_j, j = m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Hence \(\{S_1, T_1, S_2, T_2, \ldots, S_p, T_p, W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n\}\) is a point in \(G^{2p} \times P^m \times E_{m+1} \times E_{m+2} \times \cdots \times E_n\). We denote \(\{S_1, T_1, \ldots, S_p, T_p, W_1, \ldots, W_n\}\) by \(\{S_1, T_1, W_j\}\) and \(G^{2p} \times P^m \times E_{m+1} \times \cdots \times E_n\) by \(G_{2p, n}\) for short.

Following lemma of Gardiner and Kra [9], we show that \(P\) and each \(E_j\) are two-dimensional submanifolds of \(G\). We also determine the tangent space of \(P\) or \(E_j\) at any point.

At this point, let us introduce the adjoint representation \(u \mapsto u^A\) of \(SL(2, C)\) in \(\mathfrak{g}\), the Lie algebra of \(SL(2, C)\) (that is the tangent space of \(SL(2, C)\) at identity \(I\)) which is defined by

\[
u^A = Ad A(u), \quad u \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad A \in SL(2, C) \quad \text{where} \quad Ad A: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}\]

is the differential at \(I\) of the map \(SL(2, C) \ni A \mapsto A^{-1} \circ \chi \circ A \in SL(2, C)\).
Explicitly,
\[ u_A = \lim_{t \to 0} A^{-1} e^{tu} A = A^{-1} u A \]

A parabolic transformation with fixed point \( x \neq \infty \) can be written as an element of \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) as
\[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 + px & -pz^2 \\ p & 1 - px \end{pmatrix}; \quad p \neq 0, \]
which is unique up to multiplication by \(-1\) [14]. We consider the natural map
\[ \pi: SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \to G \]
which is two-to-one and unramified.

Each parabolic transformation corresponds to two matrices in \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \), one of which has trace 2 and the other has trace -2. Thus \( \pi^{-1}(P) \) consists of two disjoint sets \( P^+ \) and \( P^- \), where \( P^+ \) is the set of elements in \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) with trace 2\( \setminus \{1\} \),
\[ P^- \] is the set of elements in \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) with trace -2\( \setminus \{1\} \).

We prove the following lemma which has been proven by Gardiner and Kra in [9] in a slightly different manner. We shall adopt the calculations from [9].

**Lemma 1.1.** Let \( f: SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C} \) be the mapping defined by
\[ f(x) = \text{tr } x. \]
If \( u \in \ker (df)(B) \) with \( B \in P^+ \), then there exists a \( v \in \mathfrak{g} \) such that
\[ u = vB - v. \]

**Proof.** \( f \) is holomorphic. Let \( B \in P^+ \). Then there exists an \( A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) such that
\[ A^{-1}BA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]
We consider the function
\[ SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \ni B \mapsto A^{-1}BA \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}). \]
Since \( F \) is a holomorphic isomorphism,
\[ u \in \ker (d(f \circ F))(B) \iff (dF)(B)u \in \ker (df)(FB). \]
Moreover, for \( v \in \mathfrak{g}, B \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}), A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \)
\[ u = vB - v \iff uA = vB \circ A - vA = v_1^{-1}BA - v_1; \quad v_1 = vA, \]
and
\[ (dF)(B)(u) = uA. \]
Thus it suffices to assume that \( B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \). For \( u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}, \)
\[ (dF)(B)(u) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(Be^tu) - f(B)}{t} \]
\[ = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{t\begin{pmatrix} a & bt \\ 0 & 1 - at \end{pmatrix}}\right) - f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)}{t} \]
\[ = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 + at + pct & bt + p(1 - at) \\ ct & 1 - at \end{pmatrix}\right) - 2}{t} \]
\[ = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{2 + p(1 - at)}{t} \]
\[ = pc. \]
Thus if \( u \in \ker (df)(B), c = 0; \) that is, \( u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \). We check that there exists a \( v = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g} \) such that
\[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} = B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} B - \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} \]
since
\[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} B - \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} \]
We choose $c' = -\frac{b}{a}$, $a' = \frac{b - ap}{2p}$, and $b'$ arbitrarily. This completes the proof of the lemma.

In the above calculation for $(df)(B)$ with $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ we notice that, for $u \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(df)(B)(u) = pc.$$ 

Since $p \neq 0$, $c \neq 0$, $(df)(B)$ is surjective. Again the differential of the map $F: z \rightarrow A^{-1} z A$, $z \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is surjective. Hence $(df)(B)$ is surjective for any $B \in P^+$. Therefore, $df$ has maximal rank at each point of $P^+$; that is, $P^+$ is the set of regular points of $f$ in $f^{-1}(2)$ and hence $P^+$ is a submanifold of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ of dimension 2 by the implicit function theorem. Moreover, for $B \in P^+$,

$$T_B(P^+) = \ker (df)(B).$$

Hence from the above Lemma we conclude that

$$T_B(P^+) = \{ u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = v^B - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g} \}.$$

Similarly, we can show that $P^-$ is a submanifold of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ of dimension 2 and for $B \in P^-$,

$$T_B(P^-) = \{ u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = v^B - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g} \}.$$

Since $P^+$ and $P^-$ project to $P$ in $G$, $P$ is a submanifold of $G$ of dimension 2. Thus we prove the following:

**COROLLARY 1.** $P$ is a submanifold of $G$ of dimension 2. Moreover, for $g \in P$,

$$T_g(P) = \{ u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = v^g - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g} \}.$$ 

An elliptic transformation $g$ with the fixed points $x$ and $y$ can be written as

$$g(z) = \frac{z - x}{g(z) - y} = k^2 \frac{z - \frac{x}{k}}{\frac{y}{k} - y},$$

where $k^2$ is the multiplier of $g$, $k^2 \neq 1$. Choosing a positive square root of $k^2$, we write $k^2 = \frac{k}{1/k}$. Then solving the above equation we can write in the matrix form

$$g = \frac{1}{x - y} \begin{pmatrix} x/k - yk & xy(k - 1/k) \\ 1/k - k & zk - y/k \end{pmatrix}$$

which is unique up to multiplication by $-1$ [14]. If $k^2 = -1$, the above expression for $g$ is symmetric in $x$ and $y$.

Let $E$ be the set of all elliptic transformations with the multiplier $k^2$. Each elliptic transformation in $E$ corresponds to two matrices in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, one of which has trace $k + 1/k$, and the other has trace $-(k + 1/k)$. Hence if $k^2 \neq -1$, $\pi^{-1}(E)$ consists of two disjoint sets $E^+$ and $E^-$, where

$E^+$ the set of elements in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ with trace $k + 1/k$,

$E^-$ the set of elements in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ with trace $-(k + 1/k)$.

If $k^2 = -1$, $\pi^{-1}(E)$ is just one set; we denote it by $E^0$, where $E^0$ is the set of elements in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ with trace zero. As before, we have the following:

**LEMMA 1.2.** Let $f: SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the mapping defined by

$$f(z) = \text{tr}(z).$$

If $u \in \ker(df)(B)$, with $B \in E^+$, then there exists a $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$u = v^B - v.$$ 

**PROOF.** The idea of the proof is same as it is in the Lemma 1.1. Without loss of generality
we assume that \( B = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix} \). Then for \( u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g} \),

\[
(df)(B)(u) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f\left( \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 + at & bt \\ ct & 1 - at \end{pmatrix} + o(t) \right) - f\left( \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix} \right)}{t}
\]

\[
= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f\left( \begin{pmatrix} k(1 + at) & kbt \\ 1/k(1 - at) & l \end{pmatrix} + o(t) \right) - f\left( \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix} \right)}{t}
\]

\[
= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(k + 1/k) + at(k - 1/k) + o(t) - (k + 1/k)}{t}
\]

\[
= a(k - 1/k).
\]

Hence if \( u \in \ker(df)(B), a = 0; \) that is, \( u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). We check that there exists a \( v = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} \) such that

\[
B^{-1}vB - v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Since \( B = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix} \), \( B^{-1}vB - v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b'(k^2 - 1) \\ c' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). We choose \( b' = \frac{b'}{k^2 - 1}, c' = \frac{c'}{k^2 - 1}, a' \) arbitrarily. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Once again, we observe that \((df)(B)\) is surjective for \( B \in E^+, a \neq 0 \) and \( k^2 \neq 1 \). Hence at each point of \( E^+ \) \( df \) has maximal rank, and hence \( E^+ = f^{-1}(k + 1/k) \) is a submanifold of \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) of dimension 2. Moreover,

\[
T_B(E^+) = \ker(df)(B).
\]

Hence

\[
T_B(E^+) = \{ u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = vB - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g} \}.
\]

Similarly, we can prove the same results for \( E^- \) as well as for \( E^0 \). When \( k^2 \neq -1, E^+ \) and \( E^- \) are submanifolds of \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \). Since \( E^+ \) and \( E^- \) project to \( E \) in \( \mathbb{G} \), \( E \) is a submanifold of \( \mathbb{G} \). When \( k^2 = -1, E^0 \) is a submanifold of \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \). Hence \( E = E^0 / \pm I \) is a submanifold of \( \mathbb{G} \). Thus we prove the following.

**COROLLARY 2.** \( E \) is a submanifold of \( \mathbb{G} \) of dimension 2. Moreover, for \( g \in E \),

\[
T_g(E) = \{ u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = v^g - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g} \}.
\]

We introduce a function \( F \) on \( G_{2p, n} \) defined by

\[
F(S_i, T_i, W_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} [S_i, T_i] \prod_{j=1}^{n} W_j
\]

This is a complex analytic function from \( G_{2p, n} \) into \( \mathbb{G} \). The subset

\[
R = \{(S_i, T_i, W_j) \in G_{2p, n}; F(S_i, T_i, W_j) = I\}
\]

is then a complex analytic subvariety of \( G_{2p, n} \), the mapping

\[
Hom^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}) \ni \chi \rightarrow (\chi(A_j), \chi(B_i), \chi(C_j)) \in G_{2p, n}
\]

identifies \( Hom^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}) \) with this subvariety and thus establishes a complex structure on \( Hom^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}) \). \( G_{2p, n} \) is a complex analytic manifold of dimension \( 6p + 2n \). We show that the subset of \( Hom^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}) \) consisting of those homomorphisms \( \chi \) for which \( \chi(\Gamma) \) are non-elementary is the set of regular points in \( R \). The case when \( n = 0 \) has been discussed by Gunning in [10]. Following
Gunning we can find \( d_x F \) at \( \chi = (S_i, T_i, W_j) \in G_{2p, n} \). The tangent space of \( G_{2p, n} \) at the point \( \chi \) is denoted by \( T_\chi(G_{2p, n}) \). Then
\[
T_\chi(G_{2p, n}) \cong \mathfrak{g}^{2p} \times \prod_{j=1}^n g_{w_j},
\]
where \( g_{w_j} = T_{w_j}(P) \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) and \( g_{w_j} = T_{w_j}(E_j) \) for \( j = m + 1, \ldots, n \).

Let \((X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p, Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_p, Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n)\), denoted by \((X_i, Y_i, Z_j)\) for short, be a point in \( \mathfrak{g}^{2p} \times \prod_{j=1}^n g_{w_j} \).

Then by definition,
\[
d_\chi F(X_i, Y_i, Z_j) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(S_i t X_i, T_i t Y_i, W_j t Z_j) - f(S_i, T_i, W_j)}{t}.
\]
In other words, \( d_\chi f(X_i, Y_i, Z_j) \) is the coefficient of \( t \) in the Taylor expansion of 
\[F(S_i t X_i, T_i t Y_i, W_j t Z_j).\]

After a long calculation we find that
\[
d_\chi F(X_i, Y_i, Z_j) = \sum_{i=1}^p Ad S_i^{-1} T_i^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} [S_k, t_k] \left((I - Ad S_i) Y_i - (I - Ad T_i) X_i\right)
+ \sum_{j=1}^n Ad \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} W_k(Z_j).
\]
which is essentially same as the expression obtained in Gunning [10] except the second term.

We define an action of \( \Gamma \) on \( \mathfrak{g} \) as follows:
For \( u \in \mathfrak{g} \) and \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), we define
\[u \cdot \gamma = u \cdot \chi(\gamma) = Ad \chi(\gamma)(u).
\]

We rewrite the above expression in the following way,
\[
d_\chi F(X_i, Y_i, Z_j) = \sum_{i=1}^p (X_i \cdot (B_i I) + Y_i(I - A_i)) \cdot A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} [A_k, B_k] + \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} C_k.
\]
We want to check when \( d_\chi F \) is surjective. To do that we follow Ahlfors' method in ([2], 5). We introduce notations \( R_0 = I \) and 
\[
R_i = A_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} \cdots A_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1},
\]
\[
R_{p+j} = R_p C_j C_j \cdots C_j,
\]
\[
\lambda_i = R_i^{-1} B_i R_i^{-1},
\]
\[
\beta_i = R_i A_i^{-1} R_i^{-1},
\]
\[
\bar{C}_j = R_{p+j} C_j R_{p+j}^{-1} (1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq n).
\]
Then \( \lambda_i, \beta_i, \bar{C}_j \) are generators of \( \Gamma \). Moreover,
\[
d_\chi F(X_i, Y_i, Z_j) = \sum_{i=1}^p X_i \cdot A_i^{-1} R_i^{-1} (I - \lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^p Y_i \cdot B_i^{-1} R_i^{-1} (B_i I) + \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j \cdot R_p^{-1} j.
\]
We suppose that the map
\[
d_\chi F: \mathfrak{g}^{2p} \times \prod_{j=1}^n g_{w_j} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}
\]
is not surjective. Then there exists a nonzero linear functional \( \nu^* \) on \( \mathfrak{g} \) that vanishes on all the subspaces \( \mathfrak{g} \cdot (\lambda_i - I) \), \( \mathfrak{g} \cdot (\beta_i - I) \) and \( \mathfrak{g} \cdot (\bar{C}_j - I) \). If \( \nu^* \) annihilates \( \nu \cdot (A - I) \) and \( \nu \cdot (B - I) \) for all \( \nu \in \mathfrak{g} \), it annihilates \( \nu \cdot (AB - I) = \nu \cdot A(B - I) + \nu \cdot (A - I) \).
Since \( \{A_i, B_i, C_j\} \) is a system of generators of \( \Gamma \), it follows that \( v^* \) annihilates \( v \cdot (A - I) \) for all \( V \in \mathfrak{g} \) and all \( A \in \Gamma \).

We assume first that there is a loxodromic element \( \chi(A), A \in \Gamma \). We may take
\[
\chi(A)(z) = k^2 z; \quad |k^2| \neq 1.
\]
For \( v = \begin{pmatrix} p & -q \\ r & -p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}, v \cdot (A - I) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(kz - 1) \\ r(k^2 - 1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \)

Therefore, \( v^* \) must be a multiple of the linear functional that maps any \( v \) on its first entry. It follows that the first entry of \( v \cdot (B - I) \) is zero for all \( v \in \mathfrak{g} \) and all \( B \in \Gamma \). We take \( \chi(B)(z) = \alpha z + \beta / \gamma z + \delta \), and apply the above result on \( v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Then we get \( \alpha \beta = \gamma \delta = 0 \). This is true only when \( \chi(B) \) is a multiple of \( z \) or \( 1/z \).

Next, we assume that there is a parabolic element \( \chi(A), A \in \Gamma \). We take
\[
\chi(A)(z) = 2z + 1.
\]
Then for \( v = \begin{pmatrix} p & -q \\ r & -p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}, v \cdot (A - I) = \begin{pmatrix} -r & 2p - r \\ 0 & -r \end{pmatrix}. \)

Therefore, \( v^* \) must be a multiple of the linear functional that maps any \( v \) on its third entry. It follows that \( v \cdot (B - I) \) has zero third entry for all \( v \in \mathfrak{g}, B \in \Gamma \). As before, we assume that \( \chi(B)(z) = \alpha z + \beta / \gamma z + \delta \), and apply the above result on \( v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). We get \( \gamma = 0, \alpha^2 = 1 \). This is true only when \( \chi(B)(z) = z + \beta'; \beta' \neq 0 \).

Finally, we assume that there is no loxodromic or parabolic element in \( \chi(\Gamma) \); that is, all elements of \( \chi(\Gamma) \) are elliptic. Hence \( \chi(\Gamma) \) is finite.

Combining all these we conclude that \( d\chi \mathcal{F} \) is surjective if none of the following statements holds.

(i) \( \chi(\Gamma) \) is finite;

(ii) all elements of \( \chi(\Gamma) \) are multiples of \( z \) or \( 1/z \);

(iii) all elements of \( \chi(\Gamma) \) are of the form \( z - z + \beta, \beta \neq 0 \).

Thus we have the following.

PROPOSITION. Let \( R_0 \) be the subset of \( \text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G) \) consisting of those homomorphisms \( \chi \) for which \( \chi(\Gamma) \) is nonelementary; that is, \( \chi(\Gamma) \) is not a finite extension of an Abelian group. Then \( R_0 \) is a complex manifold of dimension \( 6p + 2n - 3 \).

REMARK. It follows from condition (iii) that the above proposition also holds when \( \chi(\Gamma) \) is some of the elementary groups.

SECTION 2.

DEFINITION. Let a group \( \Gamma \) act discontinuously on a domain \( \Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}} \). We denote by \( Q_2(\Omega, \Gamma) \) the complex vector space of quadratic differentials for \( \Gamma \); \( Q_2(\Omega, \Gamma) \) consists of functions \( \phi \), holomorphic on \( \Omega \) satisfying \( (\phi \circ \gamma)^2 = \phi \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \).

We denote by \( B_2(\Omega, \Gamma) \) the subspace of \( Q_2(\Omega, \Gamma) \) consisting of bounded quadratic differentials for \( \Gamma \); \( B_2(\Omega, \Gamma) \) consists of \( \phi \in Q_2(\Omega, \Gamma) \) for which
\[
\sup \left( |\lambda_{\Omega}^{-2} | \phi(z)| \right) < \infty
\]
where \( \lambda_{\Omega} \) is the Poincaré metric on \( \Omega \).

DEFINITION. A deformation of \( \Gamma \) is a pair \((f, \chi)\), where \( f \) is a holomorphic local homeomorphism of \( U \) into \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) and \( \chi \) is a homomorphism of \( \Gamma \) into \( G \), the group of all Moebius transformations, satisfying
\[
f \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma) \circ f \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.
\]
The local homeomorphism $f$ also describes a projective structure on the Riemann surface $U/\Gamma$ (provided $\Gamma$ is torsion free). We also call $f$ a projective structure on $U/\Gamma$. We call two projective structures $f$ and $g$ equivalent if $g = A f$ for some Moebius transformation $A$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of projective structures on $U/\Gamma$ and the space of quadratic differentials $Q_2(U,\Gamma)$.

**DEFINITION.** Let $w$ be a quasiconformal selfmap of $U$, normalized by the conditions $w(0) = 0, w(1) = 1$, and $w(\infty) = \infty$. $w$ is compatible with the group $\Gamma$ if $w \circ \gamma w^{-1}$ is conformal for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Two such quasi-conformal self maps of $U$, $w_1$ and $w_2$ are equivalent if they coincide on the real line.

The **Teichmüller space** $T(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma$ is the set of equivalence classes $[w]$ of normalized quasi-conformal self maps of $U$ which are $\Gamma$-compatible.

Let $L_\infty(U)$ denote the complex Banach space of bounded measurable functions $\mu$ on $U$. Let $L_\infty(U)_1$ be its open unit ball. Let $L_\infty(U,\Gamma)$ be the subspace of $L_\infty(U)$ consisting of $\mu$ satisfying $\mu(\gamma(z))\gamma'(z)/\gamma'(z) = \mu(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z$ in $U$.

Let $L_\infty(U,\Gamma)_1 = L_\infty(U)_1 \cap L_\infty(U,\Gamma)$. For every q.c. self map $w$ of $U$, its Beltrami coefficient, $\mu = w_2/w_1 \in L_\infty(U)_1$. Every $\mu \in L_\infty(U)_1$ determines a unique normalized self map $w$ of $U$ satisfying $w_z = \mu w_z$, Ahlfors [1]. We denote this $w$ by $w_\mu$. It is easy to check that $w_\mu$ is $\Gamma$-compatible if and only if $\mu \in L_\infty(U,\Gamma)$. $T(\Gamma)$ can be endowed with the quotient topology associated with the surjective map $\mu \rightarrow [w_\mu]$. $T(\Gamma)$ with this topology, can be realized as a bounded open set in $B_2(U^*,\Gamma)$. Since it is an open set in $B_2(U^*,\Gamma)$, $T(\Gamma)$ is a complex manifold modeled on $B_2(U^*,\Gamma)$ and has dimension $3p - 3 + n$ when $\Gamma$ is of type $(p,n,0)$.

We take $\mu \in L_\infty(U,\Gamma)_1$ and extend it to be zero on the rest of $\hat{U}$. There exists a unique q.c. self-map $w$ of $\hat{U}$ fixing $0,1,\infty$ which has Beltrami coefficient $\mu$ on $U$ and which is conformal on $U^*$, Ahlfors [1]. We denote this $w$ by $w^\mu$, Ahlfors [1]. Therefore, $w^\mu(U)$ depends only on $[w_\mu]$. We denote $w_1(U)$ by $D(t)$, where $t = [w_\mu] \in T(\Gamma)$. The boundary of $w_1(U)$ is $w_1(\hat{U})$. The group $w_1(\Gamma(w_\mu))^{-1}$ fixes this boundary which is a Jordan curve. Hence the group is quasi-Fuchsian. We denote $w_1(\Gamma(w_\mu))^{-1}$ by $\Gamma(t)$. The **Bers’ fibre space** $F(t)$ over $T(\Gamma)$ is the set of pairs $(t,z)$ with $t \in T(\Gamma), z \in D(t)$.

For each $t \in T(\Gamma)$, there exists a quasi-Fuchsian group $\Gamma(t)$ and a Jordan domain $D(t) = w_1(U)$.

To each $t$, we associate the complex vector space $B_2(D(t),\Gamma(t))$ of bounded quadratic differentials for $\Gamma(t)$. We form $T(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{t \in T(\Gamma)} B_2(D(t),\Gamma(t))$ as a fibre space over $T(\Gamma)$. $T(\Gamma)$ forms a complex vector bundle of rank $3p - 3 + n$ over $T(\Gamma)$. We denote the points of $T(\Gamma)$ by $(t,\phi(t))$ where $\phi(t) \in B_2(D(t),\Gamma(t))$.

Each $(t) \in B_2(D(t),\Gamma(t))$ determines a holomorphic local homeomorphism

$$f(z,t): D(t) \rightarrow \hat{C}$$

such that the Schwarzian derivative of $f, S(f) = ((f''/f')' - 1/2(f''/f')^2)$, is $\phi$. We notice that (i) $S(f \circ \gamma^t) = S f$, for $\gamma^t \in \Gamma(t)$, and hence (ii) $f \circ \gamma^t = \tilde{\gamma} \circ f$ for some $\tilde{\gamma} \in G$. Both (i) and (ii) follow from properties of Schwarzian derivatives. The map $\gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}$ determines a homomorphism $\chi_\gamma$ from $\Gamma(t)$ into $G$.

Let $\Theta^\mu: \gamma \rightarrow \gamma^t$ be the isomorphism of $\Gamma$ into $\Gamma(t)$ induced by $w^\mu$. We take $\chi = \chi_\gamma \circ \Theta^\mu$. Thus we get a homomorphism $\chi$ of $\Gamma$ into $G$ induced by $f \circ w^\mu$ and we have

$$f \circ w^\mu \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma) \circ f \circ w^\mu$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. (2.1)

For $A \in G$, $f$ and $A \circ f$ have the same Schwarzian derivative $\phi$. Since replacing $f$ by $A \circ f$ has the
effect of replacing \( x \) by \( A x A^{-1} \), we have a well defined map
\[
\Phi: \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma)) \to \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G.
\]
We call \( \Phi \) the monodromy map. We prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** The monodromy map is a holomorphic local homeomorphism.

We want to study the local behavior of \( \Phi \). For this purpose we fix the origin \( t_0 \in T(\Gamma) \) so that \( D(t_0) = U \) and \( \Gamma(t_0) = \Gamma \). We consider the vector space \( W \) of the functions \( \mu: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfying the following conditions.

\[
\mu(z) = (\text{Im } z)^2 \overline{\phi(z)}, \quad z \in U, \text{ for some } \phi \in B_2(U, \Gamma)
\]

for some \( \phi \in B_2(U, \Gamma) \) and outside \( U \).

Let \( W_1 \) be the subset of \( W \) consisting of \( \mu \) with \( \| \mu \|_\infty < 1 \). For each \( \mu \in W_1 \) there exists a unique quasi-conformal self map \( w = w^\mu \) of \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \), fixing \( 0, 1, \infty \), and such that \( w \) has the Beltrami coefficient \( \mu \) in \( U \). Moreover, \( w^\mu(U) \) is a Jordan domain and \( w^\mu(w^\mu)^{-1} \) is a quasi-Fuchsian group fixing \( w^\mu(U) \).

There exists a neighborhood \( W_0 \) of zero in \( W_1 \) which provides a local coordinate at \( t_0 \) in such a way that for every \( t \) in a sufficiently small neighborhood of \( t_0 \), \( D(t) = U \) for all \( t \) in \( W \) and \( \Gamma(t) = \Gamma \) for all \( t \) in \( W \). We choose \( W_0 \) so small that a point \( z_0 \in w^\mu(U) \) for all \( \mu \in W_0 \) whenever \( z_0 \in U \).

Now for \( \mu \in W_0 \) and \( \phi \in B_2(w^\mu(U), w^\mu(w^\mu)^{-1}) \), we consider the Schwarzian differential equation
\[
Sf = \left( \frac{f'''}{f'} \right) - 1/2 \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2 = \phi.
\]

(2.2)

Let \( g = g_\phi \) be the unique solution of (2.2) satisfying\n\[
\phi(z_0) = 0, \quad g'(z_0) = 1, \quad g''(z_0) = 0.
\]

(2.3)

Any function \( f \) satisfying \( Sf = \phi \) is given by \( f = A \circ g \) for some \( A \in G \). Hence for \( \mu \in W_0 \) and \( \phi \in B_2(w^\mu(U), w^\mu(w^\mu)^{-1}) \), we have from (2.1).

\[
A \circ g \circ w^\mu(\gamma(z)) = \chi(\gamma) \circ A \circ g \circ w^\mu(z) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad z \in U.
\]

We take \( h = A \circ g \circ w^\mu \). Then \( h \) is a \( C^\infty \)-function satisfying \( h(\gamma) = \chi(\gamma) \circ h \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \). Since \( g \) depends on \( \phi \) and \( w^\mu \) depends on the Beltrami coefficient \( \mu \), \( h \) is a function of \( A, \mu, \phi, \gamma \). Hence so is \( \chi \). We denote the map
\[
G \times \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma)) \ni (A, \mu, \phi) \mapsto \chi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)
\]

by \( \Phi^* \). We shall show that \( \Phi^* \) is holomorphic. To prove this we need some Lemmas which have been proved already in Earle [5]. These Lemmas do not need adjustment for the parabolic or elliptic elements in \( \Gamma \). Hence we state these lemmas without proofs.

**LEMA 2.1** (Earle [5]). Let \( A, \mu, \phi \) be functions of a complex variable \( r \) such that \( A(z, r) \in G, \mu(z, r) \in W_0 \) and \( \phi(z, r) \) is in \( B_2(w^\mu(U), w^\mu(w^\mu)^{-1}) \) for all \( r; |r| < \varepsilon \).

We assume that
\[
\begin{align*}
A(z, r) &= A_0(z) + rA(z) + o(r) \\
\mu(z, r) &= r\mu(z) + o(r) \\
\phi(z, r) &= \phi_0(z) + r\phi(z) + o(r),
\end{align*}
\]

(2.4)

where \( A_0(z) = A(z, 0), \phi_0(z) = \phi(z, 0) \) and the dot denotes the derivative with respect to \( r \) at \( r = 0 \). We set \( \mu_0(z) = \mu(z, 0) = 0 \).

Then \( h \) has a power series expansion
$$h(z, \tau) = h_0(z) + \tau h_1(z) + o(\tau), \text{ for } |\tau| < \epsilon \tag{2.5}$$

where $h_0(z) = h(z, 0)$ and $h_1(z) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \tau} |_{\tau = 0}$.

**Lemma 2.2 (Earle [5]).**

Let $h^* = \frac{\hat{h}}{\hat{h}_0}$. Then $h^* = 0 \iff \lambda = \mu = \phi = 0$.

With the help of Lemma 2.1 it can be proved that $\chi$ depends holomorphically on $A, \mu$ and $\phi$. To show this we need the following:

**Lemma 2.3 (Earle [5]).** Let $A, \mu, \phi$ satisfy (2.4) and let $h$ satisfy (2.5). Then $\chi(\gamma, \gamma) \in \Gamma$, has the following power series expansion

$$\chi(\gamma, \gamma) = \chi_0(\gamma) + \tau \chi_1(\gamma) + o(\tau) \text{ for } |\tau| < \epsilon \tag{2.6}$$

and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ where

$$\chi(\gamma)(h_0(z)) = (h_0(\gamma)(z))^{(h^*(\gamma)(z))^{-1} - h^*(z)), z \in U. \tag{2.7}$$

The Lemma 2.3 has the following

**Corollary 4.** $\chi(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ if and only if $h^* = 0$ in $U$.

We need some adjustments to prove the corollary for the presence of parabolic elements. We include the proof.

**Proof.** In (2.7) we use $h_0(\gamma) = \chi_0(\gamma) \circ h_0$ and we get

$$\frac{\chi(\gamma)(h_0(z))}{\chi_0(\gamma)(h_0(z))} = h_0(z)(h^*(\gamma(z))\gamma'(z)^{-1} - h^*(z)).$$

Since $\frac{\chi(\gamma)(z)}{\chi_0(\gamma)(z)}$ is a polynomial and $h_0(U)$ is open, $\chi(\gamma) = 0$ if $h^* = 0$ in $U$.

Now we assume that $\chi(\gamma) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $h^*(\gamma(z))\gamma'(z)^{-1} = h^*(z)$, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma, z \in U$. Hence $h^*$ is a $C^\infty(-1)$ differential for $\Gamma$. We shall show that $h^*$ is actually holomorphic in $U$ under the assumption, that $\chi(\gamma) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We intend to apply Stoke's theorem on $U/\Gamma$. Since $U/\Gamma$ has punctures, Stoke's theorem cannot be applied directly. We follow Bers [3] to handle this situation. $U/\Gamma$ has $m$ punctures. Thus one can construct a fundamental domain $D$ for $\Gamma$ containing $m$ cusped regions belonging to punctures.

We draw in each cusped region a smooth curve $C_s, s = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ so that (i) $C_s$ joins two points $\zeta_s$ and $\zeta_s'$ on $D$ which are identified by an element of $\Gamma$, and (ii) $C_s$ and $C_s'$ do not meet, for $s \neq s'$. In this manner we obtain a relatively compact subset $D^*$ of $D$ which is bounded by part of $D$ and the curves $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_m$.

For any $\phi \in B_2(U, \Gamma), h^* \phi$ is a $C^\infty$-differential for $\Gamma$.

Let $\phi$ be arbitrary. By Stoke's theorem we have

$$\int \int_{D^*} d(h^* \phi dz) = \int \partial D^* h^* \phi dz = \sum_{s=1}^{m} \int_{C_s} h^* \phi dz;$$

the integrals along two identified sides on $\partial D$ cancel each other, since $h^* \phi dz$ is $\Gamma$-invariant. The integral $\int \int_{D^*} d(h^* \phi dz) - \int \int_{D^*} d(h^* \phi dz)$ whenever $\zeta_s - a_s; a_s$ is the fixed point of the parabolic transformation $A_s$ identifying $\zeta_s$ and $\zeta_s'$. Hence we can show that

$$\int \int_D d(h^* \phi dz) = 0$$

by showing that $\lim_{\zeta_s \to a_s} \int_{C_s} h^* \phi dz = 0$, for $s = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

It suffices to assume that $s = 1, A_s(z) = z + 1$ and $a_s = \infty$. Then the cusped region belonging to $\infty$ is the region.
$U_c = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \text{Re} z < 1, \text{Im} z > c \}$

Hence

$$\int_{c_1} h^* dz = \int_0^1 h^*(z + ib) dz. \quad (2.8)$$

where $\zeta_1 = ib; b > c$, hence $\zeta'_1 = 1 + ib$. Since $\phi \in B_2(U, \Gamma), \phi(z + 1) = \phi(z)$ which implies that $\phi(z)$ has a Fourier series expansion

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad z \in U.$$ 

Since $\sup_{z \in U} \{(\text{Im} z)^2 | \phi(z) | \} < \infty, a_n = 0$ for $n \leq 0$. Hence $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$.

Therefore,

$$|\phi(z + ib)| < \text{Const} e^{-2\pi b}. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $h = f o w^\mu, h = f_0(z) w + \hat{f}$ and hence

$$h^* = \hat{\omega} + f^* \quad (2.10)$$

where $\hat{\omega}$ is given by the following integral (see Ahlfors [1], chap. V)

$$\hat{\omega}(z) = \frac{z(z-1)}{2\pi i} \int_{U} \frac{\hat{\mu}(\zeta) \zeta \wedge d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - 1)}$$

It is known (Kra [12], chap. IV) that

$$\hat{\omega}(z) = 0(|z| \log |z|) \text{ as } z \to \infty,$$

and hence

$$|\hat{\omega}(z + ib)| < \text{const}.(x^2 + y^2)\frac{1}{2} \log(x^2 + y^2) \text{ as } b \to \infty. \quad (2.11)$$

Finally, we shall find a growth condition on $f^*$. For this purpose, we study the behavior of $f^*$ in the cusped region $U_c$.

From (2.1) it follows that

$$f o w^\mu o A_1 o (w^\mu)^{-1} = \chi(A_1) o f. \quad (2.12)$$

Let $A_\tau = w^\mu o A_1 o (w^\mu)^{-1}$. Then $A_\tau$ is parabolic, since $A_1$ is parabolic. Since $w^\mu$ fixes 0,1 and $\infty, A_\tau$ fixes $\infty$, and takes 0 to 1.

Hence $A_\tau(z) = z + 1$ for all $\tau$. Moreover, $\chi(A_1)$ is parabolic if $A_1$ is parabolic by Kra [12]. Let $B_\tau(z) = \frac{1}{z - F_{\tau}}$, where $P_\tau$ is the fixed point of $\chi(A_1)$; and hence $\hat{B} = 0$.

Then

$$B_\tau o \chi(A_1) o B_{\tau}^{-1}(z) = z + b_\tau, \quad b_\tau \neq 0.$$ 

We replace $f$ by $B_\tau o f$ so that $\chi(A_1)$ is replaced by $B_\tau o \chi(A_1) o B_{\tau}^{-1}$, and we get from (2.12)

$$B_\tau o f o A_\tau = B_\tau o \chi(A_1) o B_{\tau}^{-1} o B_\tau o f. \quad (2.13)$$

We take $F = B_\tau o f$ and check that $F_0 = \frac{F}{F_0}$, since $\hat{B} = 0$.

From (2.13), we have

$$F o A_\tau(z) = B_\tau o \chi(A_1) o B_{\tau}^{-1} o F(z);$$

$$F(z + 1) = F(z) + b_\tau, z \in w^\mu(U).$$

Differentiating with respect to $z$ we get $F'(z + 1) = F'(z)$.

Therefore, $F'(z)$ is periodic in $z$ and has a Fourier series expansion

$$F'(z, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\tau) e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad z \in w^\mu(U). \quad (2.14)$$
Now we follow the arguments of Kra [13] keeping in mind that $F$ is a function in two variables $z$ and $r$. Thus from (2.14) we get

$$F'(z, r) = a_0(r) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(r)e^{2\pi ikz}$$

where $a_0(r) = b_r \neq 0$. (2.15)

Moreover,

$$b_r = a_0(r) = \int_{z_0}^{r+1} F'(z, r)dz, \text{ and}$$

$$a_k(r) = \int_{z_0}^{r+1} e^{-2\pi ikz} F'(z, r)dz, \text{ where } a_k(r) = \frac{a_k(r)}{2\pi ik}. (2.15)$$

Integrating (2.15) we get

$$F(z, r) = b_r z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(r)e^{2\pi ikz}, \text{ where } c_k(r) = \frac{a_k(r)}{2\pi ik}. (2.16)$$

$b_r$ and $c_k(r)$ are holomorphic in $r$, hence they have power series expansions in $r$ which are uniformly convergent in $\Delta_r = \{r; |r| < \varepsilon\}$. Thus from (2.16), taking derivative with respect to $r$ at $r = 0$, we get

$$F(z) = b_z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k e^{2\pi ikz}, z \in U.$$ 

We know that

$$B_r \circ \chi(A_1) \circ B_r^{-1}(z) = z + b_r; \text{ that is,}$$

$$B_r \circ \chi(A_1)(z) = B_r(z) + b_r.$$

Differentiating with respect to $r$ at $r = 0$ we get

$$B_0'(x_0(A_1))(z) \chi'(A_1) = B(z) + b = \hat{b}.$$ 

since $B = 0$. Thus $\chi'(A_1) = 0$ implies that $b = 0$, and we have

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k e^{2\pi ikz}, z \in U.$$ 

From (2.16), we also get

$$F_0'(z) = F'(z, 0) = b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(0)e^{2\pi ikz}, z \in U.$$ 

Hence

$$\frac{F(z)}{F_0(z)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k e^{2\pi ikz}(b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(0)e^{2\pi ikz})^{-1}}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k e^{2\pi ikz}}.$$ 

Hence we have

$$f^*(z) = F^*(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k e^{2\pi ikz}, z \in U. (2.17)$$

From (2.17) it follows that

$$|f^*(z + ib)| < \text{const.}e^{-2\pi b}. (2.18)$$

We recall that in the integral (2.8)

$$h^* \phi = (f^* + \hat{w})\phi = f^* \phi + \hat{w} \phi.$$ 

From (2.10), (2.11) and (2.18) we conclude that

$$|h^*(z + ib)\phi(z + ib)| < \text{const.}(e^{-4\pi b} + \frac{(z^2 + b^2)^{1/2}\log(z^2 + b^2)}{e^{2\pi b}}) \to 0 \text{ as } b \to \infty.$$
and hence
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{c_1} h^* \phi dz = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{c_1} h^*(x + ib)\phi(x + ib) = 0. \]

Thus we have
\[ \int_D d(h^* \phi dz) = 0; \text{ that is,} \]
\[ \int_D h^* \phi dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0. \]

From (2.10) we know that \( h^* = \bar{\omega} = \bar{\mu} \), hence we have
\[ \int_D \mu \phi dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0 \text{ for any } \phi \in B_2(U, \Gamma) \tag{2.19} \]

Since \( \bar{\mu} \in W \) for \( \mu \in W_0 \), we have that
\[ \bar{\mu}(z) = (Im z)^2 \bar{\phi}_0(z), z \in U, \text{ for some } \phi_0 \in B_2(U, \Gamma). \]

We now take \( \phi = \phi_0 \) in (2.19). Then we have
\[ \int_D (Im z)^2 |\phi_0(z)|^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0 \]
\[ \phi_0 = 0 \Rightarrow \mu = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\omega} = 0 \Rightarrow h^* = 0. \]

Hence \( h^* \) is holomorphic in \( U \). Furthermore, \( h^* = f^* \). Thus \( h^* \) is a \((-1)\) differential for \( \Gamma \).

Following Kra [13], we define
\[ \text{red ord}_p h^* = \frac{\text{ord}_p h^*}{|\Gamma_p|}, \text{ for } p \in U, \]

\(|\Gamma_p|\) is the order of the stabilizer of \( P \).

and for each cusp \( a_\gamma \) of \( \Gamma \), \( \text{red ord}_{a_\gamma} h^* = r \) if the Fourier series expansion of \( h^* \) at \( \infty \) is
\[ h^*(z) = \sum_{k = r}^{\infty} a_k e^{2\pi i k z}, a_r \neq 0, z \in U. \]

Since \( h^* \) is holomorphic in \( U \), \( \text{red ord}_p h^* \geq 0 \) if \( p \in U \). From (2.17)
\[ \text{red ord}_{a_\gamma} h^* \geq 1 \text{ for } s = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \]

Thus \( \sum_{p \in D_0} \text{red ord}_p h^* > 0 \), where \( D_0 \) is a fundamental set in \( U \) for \( \Gamma \). But
\[ \sum_{p \in D_0} \text{red ord}_p h^* = -(2p - 2) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{j} \right) \]

by Kra [13], and it is negative since \( 2p - 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{j} \right) > 0 \).

This contradiction leads to the conclusion that \( h^* = 0 \). This completes the proof of the corollary.

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** For an arbitrary point \( t \in T(\Gamma) \), there exists a map taking \( t \) to a given point \( t_0 \in T(\Gamma) \). This map is a holomorphic homeomorphism by Bers [4]. Hence it is sufficient to prove the theorem in a neighborhood of the origin \( t_0 \in T(\Gamma) \).

We have noticed earlier that, in a neighborhood of \( t_0 \), \( \Phi \) is induced by \( \Phi^* \). \( \Phi^* \) is holomorphic by the Lemma 2.3. The Lemma 2.2 and the Corollary of the Lemma 2.3 together imply that the differential of \( \Phi^* \) is injective. It is known that \( \chi \) preserves the parabolic elements and the
multipliers of the elliptic elements in $\Gamma$. Moreover, $x(\Gamma)$ is nonelementary by Kra [12]. Hence the image $\chi$ of $\Phi^*$ is a manifold point in $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ by the Theorem 1. Since $G \times \mathfrak{M}(T(\Gamma))$ and $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ have the same dimension $6p + 2n - 3$, $\Phi^*$ is a local homeomorphism. Replacing $(l, t, \phi)$ by $(A, t, \phi)$ in $G \times \mathfrak{M}(T(\Gamma))$ has the effect of conjugating $\chi$ by $A$. Hence we conclude that $\Phi$ is holomorphic and a local homeomorphism in a neighborhood of $t_0$. This completes the proof.
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