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ABSTRACT. Some properties of property Q are stated, some new results are proved and implications to totally metacompact and totally paracompact are obtained.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

An open cover has property Q [1] if when \( \{O_i : i \in N\} \) is a sequence of distinct members of the cover and \( p_i, q_i \) are points of \( O_i \) and \( \{p_i\} \) has limit \( p \), then \( \{q_i\} \) has limit \( p \). A topological space has property Q if each open cover has an open refinement having property Q. A topological space is metacompact if each open cover has a point finite refinement that covers the space. A topological space is totally paracompact (totally metacompact) if each open base contains a locally finite (point finite) subcover. A basis is a uniform base if each infinite collection from the basis containing a point is a basis at the point. All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff topological spaces. Some previous results pertaining to property Q are:

THEOREM 1. [1] A complete Moore space that satisfies property Q is a metric space.

THEOREM 2. [2] A space that satisfies property Q is metacompact.

THEOREM 3. [2] A first countable space that satisfies property Q is paracompact.

THEOREM 4. [3] A developable space is metrizable if and only if it satisfies property Q.

It follows that a countably compact space satisfying property Q is compact and that an M-space satisfying property Q is metric.

2. RESULTS.

DEFINITION 1. A basis \( \beta \) is a Q base if \( \beta \) satisfies property Q.

LEMMA 1. If the space \( X \) has a Q base, then \( X \) has a uniform base.

PROOF. If \( X \) has the discrete topology, then the lemma is true. Therefore let \( Y \) be the set of nondiscrete points of \( X \) and \( B \) be a Q base for \( Y \). For any infinite subcollection \( \beta \) of \( B \) containing a point \( p \), we need to show that \( \beta \) is a basis at \( p \). Suppose not, then there is an open set \( O \) containing \( p \) that contains no member of \( \beta \). Select a countably infinite subcollection \( \{B_i : i \in N\} \) of \( \beta \) containing \( p \), and choose points \( \{p_i\} \) from distinct members of \( \{B_i\} \) but not...
in \( O \). Then \( \{ p_i \} \) must have sequential limit \( p \) because \( \beta \) has property Q. This is a contradiction.

**THEOREM 5.** A space is metric if and only if it is a regular space with a Q base.

**PROOF.** Note that a regular space with a uniform base is developable [4]. And a regular developable space satisfying property Q is metric [3].

Conversely a metric space has a Q base. For each integer \( n \), use locally finite refinements of balls with diameters less than \( 1/n \).

**DEFINITION 2.** A topological space is totally Q if each open base contains a subcover satisfying property Q.

**THEOREM 6.** If \( X \) is totally Q, then \( X \) is totally metacompact.

**PROOF.** Let \( B \) be a basis for \( X \). Then there is a subcollection \( \beta \) of \( B \) covering \( X \) and having property Q. Well order \( \beta \) and let \( B_1 \) be the first member in this ordering. And let \( B_n \) be the first member of the well ordering that contains a point not in \( \cup_{\beta < n} B_\beta \). Claim \( \{ B_n \} \) is point finite. Suppose that \( p \) is point in infinitely many members of \( \{ B_n \} \), then we pick a countably infinite subsequence of sets \( \{ B_{n_i} \} \) from \( \{ B_n \} \) each containing \( p \). Let \( p_i \) be a point in \( B_{n_i} \), then from each \( B_{n_i} \) we choose a point \( p_i \) not in \( \cup_{j < i} B_{n_j} \). Then \( \{ p_i \} \) has \( p \) as sequential limit by property Q but \( B_{n_i} \) is an open set containing \( p \) but no point of \( \{ p_i : i > 1 \} \) a contradiction.

The converse of Theorem 6 is not true. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be one-point compactifications of discrete spaces of size \( \omega \) and \( \omega_1 \), then the space \( X \times Y - \{ (\omega, \omega_1) \} \) with the product topology is totally metacompact but not totally Q.

**THEOREM 7.** A first countable, totally Q space \( X \) is totally paracompact.

**PROOF.** Let \( B \) be a basis for \( X \). By Theorem 6 there is a subcollection \( \beta \) of \( B \) that is point finite and minimal (minimal in the sense that if \( b \) is in \( \beta \) then \( b \) is not a subset of any other member of \( \beta \)).

Claim \( \beta \) is locally finite. Suppose not, then there is a point \( p \) of \( X \) so that each open set containing \( p \) intersects infinitely many members of \( \beta \). Let \( B_\alpha \) be one of the finitely many members of \( \beta \) containing \( p \). Let \( \{ O_i \} \) be a countable basis at \( p \). Then for each natural number \( i \), choose \( B_i \) in \( \beta \) such that \( B_i \cap O_i \) is not empty, and the \( B_i \)'s are distinct members of \( \beta \) which are also different from \( B_\alpha \). For each \( i \), choose \( p_i \) in \( B_i \cap O_i \) and \( q_i \) in \( (B_i - B_\alpha) \). Since \( \{ p_i \} \) has sequential limit point \( p \); therefore, \( \{ q_i \} \) must have sequential limit point \( p \) by property Q. This is a contradiction; hence, \( \{ B_{n_i} \} \) is a locally finite subcollection of \( \beta \).

Example 2.14 in [3] is an example of a totally Q space that is not totally paracompact. In [5] it is proved that a locally compact space is paracompact if and only if it is mesocompact. It is not true that a locally compact space is paracompact if and only if it satisfies property Q.

**EXAMPLE.** A locally compact property Q space that is not paracompact.

Let \( \beta \omega \) and \( \beta \omega_1 \) be the Stone-Čech compactifications of discrete spaces of size \( \omega \) and \( \omega_1 \). Then the space

\[
\beta \omega \times \beta \omega_1 - (\beta \omega - \omega) \times (\beta \omega_1 - \omega_1),
\]

with the topology inherited as a subspace of the product space \( \beta \omega \times \beta \omega_1 \), has the desired property.

An open cover has strong property Q if it has property Q and when \( \{ p_i \} \) has cluster point \( p \), then \( \{ q_i \} \) has cluster point \( p \). A topological space is strong property Q if each open cover has a refinement satisfying strong property Q.

**THEOREM 8.** A regular, locally compact, strong property Q space is paracompact.

**PROOF.** First note that a regular, locally compact, strong property Q space is metacompact. And suppose we have a regular, locally compact, strong property Q space that is not paracompact. Then there is an open cover \( O \) and a point \( p \) so that every open refinement of \( O \) is not locally finite at \( p \). Let \( R \) be a point finite minimal open refinement of \( O \) satisfying strong property Q. Let \( C \) be an open set containing \( p \) so that \( C \) is a subset of some member of \( R \) and the closure of \( C \) is compact. Let \( G \) be an open set containing \( p \) so that the closure of \( G \) is a subset of \( C \). The
set $G$ must intersect infinitely many members of $R$ and each member of $R$ that intersects $G$ must have a point in the complement of $C$ (otherwise $R$ would not be minimal). Hence, sequences $\{p_i\}$ and $\{q_i\}$ exist with $p_i$ in $G$ and $q_i$ not in $C$ and $\{p_i\}$ must have a cluster point that can not be a cluster point of $\{q_i\}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, the space must be paracompact.

QUESTION When does totally $Q$ imply totally paracompact?
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