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ABSTRACT. Let \( \{ \alpha_t : t \in \mathbb{R} \} \) and \( \{ \beta_t : t \in \mathbb{R} \} \) be two commuting one-parameter groups of \(*\)-automorphisms of a von Neumann algebra \( M \) such that \( \alpha_t + \alpha_{-t} = \beta_t + \beta_{-t} \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). The purpose of this note is to provide a simple and short proof of the central decomposition result: \( \alpha_t = \beta_t \) on \( M_p \) and \( \alpha_t = \beta_{-t} \) on \( M(1-p) \) for a central projection \( p \in M \), without using the theory of spectral subspaces.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], A. Van Daele, L. Vanheeswijk and one of the authors proved that if \( \{ \alpha_t : t \in \mathbb{R} \} \) and \( \{ \beta_t : t \in \mathbb{R} \} \) are strongly continuous one-parameter groups of \(*\)-automorphisms of a von Neumann algebra \( M \) satisfying the equation: \( \alpha_t + \alpha_{-t} = \beta_t + \beta_{-t} \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), then \( M \) can be decomposed into subalgebras \( M_p \) and \( M(1-p) \) for a central projection \( p \) in \( M \) such that \( \alpha_t = \beta_t \) on \( M_p \) and \( \alpha_t = \beta_{-t} \) on \( M(1-p) \), \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

The proof of this result is very technical and fairly long. It depends on Arveson's theory of spectral subspaces ([2], [3]) and as such it lacks a proper emphasis on the decomposition itself. However, there are important situations where it is enough to consider the commuting automorphism groups (see, for instance [4], [5]). The purpose of this note is to provide a simple proof of this decomposition result for commuting automorphism groups without using the theory of spectral subspaces. We use simple algebraic techniques to obtain the proof. Of course, in doing so we lose the generality of the result but on the other hand we get a simple proof. For more details concerning the origin of this operator equation, its applications and related decomposition results, we refer to [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [4] and [5].
2. MAIN RESULTS

The following is an important decomposition result for commuting automorphisms ([10], [11]).

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let \( \alpha, \beta \) be commuting \(*\)-automorphisms of a von Neumann algebra \( M \) such that \( \alpha + \alpha^{-1} = \beta + \beta^{-1} \). Then there exists a central projection \( p \) in \( M \) such that \( \alpha = \beta \) on \( Mp \) and \( \alpha = \beta^{-1} \) on \( M(1-p) \).

In this paper, however, we use another version (Proposition 2.2) of the above result for the sake of clarity. The essential idea is that when we consider the \(*\)-automorphism \( \alpha \beta \) on \( M \), then by [12], \( N(\alpha \beta - I) + R(\alpha \beta - I) \) is \( \sigma \)-weakly dense in \( M \) where \( N(\alpha \beta - I) \) and \( R(\alpha \beta - I) \) denote, respectively, the null space and the range space of the operators under consideration. \( R(\alpha \beta - I) \subseteq N(\alpha - \beta) \) and the subalgebra \( L \) (say) generated by \( R(\alpha \beta - I) \) is a two-sided ideal in \( M \) and there exists a largest central projection \( p_0 \) in \( M \) such that \( L = Mp_0 \), \( Mp_0 \subseteq N(\alpha - \beta) \) and \( p_0 \) is \( \alpha, \beta \)-invariant (that is \( \alpha(p_0) = \beta(p_0) = p_0 \)). In other words, \( \alpha = \beta \) on \( Mp_0 \) (see for instance [10], [11]). Similarly, by considering the orthogonal ideal \( L^\perp \) (note that \( L^\perp \subseteq N(\alpha \beta - I) \)), we get a largest \( \alpha, \beta \)-invariant central projection \( q_0 \) in \( M \) such that \( \alpha = \beta^{-1} \) on \( Mq_0 \) and the orthogonality relation implies that

\[
(1 - p_0)(1 - q_0) = 0.
\]

For more details, we may refer to [10] and [11]. Thus we may have the following alternative version of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. Let \( \alpha, \beta \) be commuting \(*\)-automorphisms of a von Neumann algebra \( M \) such that \( \alpha + \alpha^{-1} = \beta + \beta^{-1} \). Among the projections \( p \in M \) (respectively \( q \in M \)) such that \( \alpha = \beta \) on \( Mp \) (respectively \( \alpha = \beta^{-1} \) on \( Mq \)) there exists a largest central projection \( p_0 \) in \( M \) (respectively \( q_0 \) in \( M \)) such that \( p_0 \) and \( q_0 \) are \( \alpha, \beta \)-invariant and \( (1 - p_0)(1 - q_0) = 0 \).

We now come to our main result.

THEOREM 2.3. Let \( \{ \alpha_t : t \in R \} \) and \( \{ \beta_t : t \in R \} \) be two commuting one-parameter groups of \(*\)-automorphisms of a von Neumann algebra \( M \) such that \( \alpha_t + \alpha_{-t} = \beta_t + \beta_{-t} \) for all \( t \in R \). Then there exists a central projection \( p \) in \( M \) such that

\[
\alpha_t(p) = \beta_t(p) = p \text{ for all } t \in R \text{ and } \alpha_t = \beta_t \text{ on } Mp \text{ and } \alpha_t = \beta_{-t} \text{ on } M(1-p).
\]

PROOF. By Proposition 2.2, let \( p_n, n \in N \), be the largest \( \alpha_{2^{-n}}, \beta_{2^{-n}} \)-invariant central projection in \( M \) such that

\[
\alpha_{2^{-n}} = \beta_{2^{-n}} \text{ on } Mp_n
\]
Similarly, we let $q_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the largest $\alpha_{2^{-n}}$, $\beta_{2^{-n}}$-invariant central projection in $M$ such that

$$\alpha_{2^{-n}} = \beta_{2^{-n}}$$

Then $(1 - p_n)(1 - q_n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $\{p_n\}$ is decreasing because

$$\alpha_{2^{-(n+1)}} = \beta_{2^{-(n+1)}}$$
on $\mathbb{M}_{p_{n+1}}$ implies that their squares $\alpha_{2^{-n}}$ and $\beta_{2^{-n}}$ coincide on $\mathbb{M}_{p_{n+1}}$ and by maximality $p_{n+1} < p_n$. Similarly the sequence $\{q_n\}$ is decreasing.

Put $p = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_n$ and $q = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n$. Then clearly $(1-p)(1-q) = 0$. Since $p_n$ is $\alpha_{2^{-n}}$, $\beta_{2^{-n}}$-invariant for $n > m$, it follows that $p$ is $\alpha_{2^{-m}}$, $\beta_{2^{-m}}$-invariant for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The density of the set $\{k2^{-m}: k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and the continuity imply that $p$ is $\alpha_t$, $\beta_t$-invariant for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly $q$ is $\alpha_t$, $\beta_t$-invariant. Also

$$\alpha_{k2^{-m}}(x) = \beta_{k2^{-m}}(x)$$
for $x \in \mathbb{M}_p \subseteq \mathbb{M}_m$. Again by the density of the set $\{k2^{-m}: k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we conclude that $\alpha_t = \beta_t$ on $\mathbb{M}_p$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Similarly, $\alpha_t = \beta_t$ on $\mathbb{M}_q$. As $(1-q)(1-p) = 0$ then $\mathbb{M}(1-p) \subseteq \mathbb{M}_q$ and this completes the proof of the theorem.
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