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ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the dependence of the vector valued conditional expectation (for both single valued and set valued random variables), on the \( \sigma \)-field and random variable that determine it. So we prove that it is continuous for the \( L^1(X) \) convergence of the sub-\( \sigma \)-fields and of the random variables. We also present a sufficient condition for the \( L^1(X) \)-convergence of the sub-\( \sigma \)-fields. Then we extend the work to the set valued conditional expectation using the Kuratowski-Mosco (K-M) convergence and the convergence in the \( \Delta \)-metric. We also prove a property of the set valued conditional expectation.
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1) INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to study the dependence of the vector valued conditional expectation (for single valued and multivalued random variables), on the two quantities that define it. Namely on the sub-\( \sigma \)-field and on the random variable.

Let \( (\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \) be a complete probability space and \( X \) a Banach space. The following are well known for \( X \)-valued random variables:

(a) If \( f \in L^1(X) \), \( \{\Sigma_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) is an increasing (decreasing) sequence of sub-\( \sigma \)-fields and \( \Sigma = \bigvee_{n \geq 1} \Sigma_n \), then \( \underline{E} f \rightarrow \underline{E} f \) in \( L^1(X) \) and also \( \mu \)-a.e.
This theorem, known in the literature as the "Neveu–Ionescu Tulcea theorem", can be found in Neveu [8], proposition v–2–6. It is a particular case of the "martingale convergence theorem" (see Metivier [7], corollary 11.8).

(b) If \( f_n \xrightarrow{s} f \) in \( L^1(X) \) and \( \Sigma_0 \) is a sub-\( \sigma \)-field of \( \Sigma \),

\[
E \Sigma_0 f_n \xrightarrow{s} E \Sigma_0 f \quad \text{in } L^1(X).
\]

This is a consequence of the fact that the vector valued conditional expectation is a continuous, linear operator on \( L^1(X) \) (see Neveu [8]).

Combining (a) and (b) above, it is easy to see that the following is true:

(c) If \( \{\Sigma_n, \hat{\Sigma}_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) are sub-\( \sigma \)-fields of \( \Sigma \) as in (a) and \( f_n \xrightarrow{s} f \) in \( L^1(X) \),

\[
E \Sigma_n f_n \xrightarrow{s} E \Sigma f \quad \text{in } L^1(X).
\]

In this paper, we examine what happens if the sequence \( \{\Sigma_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) of sub-\( \sigma \)-fields of \( \Sigma \) is not necessarily monotone increasing. Our work extends those of D.N. Nghiem [9] and Fetter [3], who dealt with single valued random variables with values in \( \mathbb{R} \).

2) PRELIMINARIES

Let \((\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\) be a complete probability space and \( X \) a separable Banach space.

We will be using the following notations:

\[ P_f(c)(X) = \{A \subseteq X: \text{nonempty, closed, (convex)}\} \]

and \( P_{(w)k}(c)(X) = \{A \subseteq X: \text{nonempty, (w–) compact, (convex)}\} \).

Also if \( A \in 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\} \), we define \( |A| = \sup \{\|x\|: x \in A\} \) ("norm" of \( A \)), \( \sigma(x^*, A) \)

\[ = \sup \{x^*: x \in A\} \quad \text{support function of } A \]

and for every \( z \in X \), \( d(z, A) \)

\[ = \inf \{\|z-x\|: x \in A\} \quad \text{distance function from } A \).

A multifunction \( F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X) \) is said to be measurable, if for all \( z \in X \), \( \omega \mapsto d(z,F(\omega)) \) is measurable. Other equivalent definitions of measurability of a multifunction can be found in Wagner [14].

By \( S^1_F \) we will denote the set of integrable selectors of \( F(\cdot) \). So:

\[ S^1_F = \{f(\cdot) \in L^1(X): f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \mu-a.e.\} \].

It is easy to show that \( S^1_F \) is nonempty if and only if \( \inf\{\|x\|: x \in F(\omega)\} \in L^1_+ \). We say that \( F(\cdot) \) is integrably bounded if and only if \( \omega \mapsto |F(\omega)| \) is an \( L^1_+ \)-function. In
this case $S_1^F \neq \emptyset$. Also using $S_1^F$ we can define a set valued integral for $F(\cdot)$ by setting
\[
\int_{\Omega} F = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f : f \in S_1^F \right\}.
\]

Let $\Sigma_0$ be a sub-$\sigma$-field of $\Sigma$ and let $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$ be a measurable multifunction s.t. $S_1^F \neq \emptyset$. Following Hiai-Umegaki [4], we define the set valued conditional expectation of $F(\cdot)$ with respect to $\Sigma_0$, to be the $\Sigma_0$-measurable multifunction $E_0^\Sigma F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$ for which we have:

\[
S_1^{\Sigma_0} F = \text{cl} \left\{ E_0^\Sigma f : f \in S_1^F \right\}
\]

the closure taken in the $L^1(X)$-norm. If $F(\cdot)$ is integrably bounded (resp. convex valued), then so is $E_0^\Sigma F(\cdot)$.

On $P_f(X)$ we can define a (generalized) metric, known as the Hausdorff metric, by setting:

\[
h(A,B) = \max\{\text{sup}\{d(a,B), a \in A\}, \text{sup}\{d(b,A), b \in B\}\}.
\]

Recall that $(P_f(X), h)$ is a complete metric space. Similarly on the space of all $P_f(X)$-valued, integrably bounded multifunctions, we can define a metric $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ be setting $\Delta(F,G) = \int_{\Omega} h(F(\omega), G(\omega)) \text{d}\mu(\omega)$. As usual, we identify $F_1(\cdot)$ and $F_2(\cdot)$, if $F_1(\omega) = F_2(\omega) \mu$-a.e.. The space of $P_f(X)$-valued, integrably bounded multifunctions, together with $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a complete metric space.

A multifunction $M: \Sigma \rightarrow P_{wkc}(X)$ is a set valued measure, if for all $x \in X^*$, $A \rightarrow \sigma(x^*, M(A))$ is a signed measure.

Also let us recall a notion of convergence of sets that we will be using in the sequel. So let $\{A_n, A\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. We set:

\[
s-\lim A_n = \{x \in X : x_n \xrightarrow{s} x, x_n \in A_n, n \geq 1\}
\]
and
\[
w-\lim A_n = \{x \in X : x_k \xrightarrow{w} x, x_k \in A_{n_k}, n_1 \leq n_2 < ... < n_k < ...\}.
\]

Here $s$ denotes the strong topology on $X$ and $w$ the weak topology. We say that the $A_n$'s converge to $A$ is the Kuratowski–Mosco sense, denoted by $A_n \overset{K-M}{\rightarrow} A$, if

\[
w-\lim A_n = A = s-\lim A_n.
\]
Finally, let us recall two notions of convergence of sub-$\sigma$-fields of $\Sigma$. The first was used by Nghiem [9]. So we say that $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ $L^1(X)$-converges to $\hat{\Sigma}$ (denoted by $\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{L^1(X)} \hat{\Sigma}$) if and only if for every $f \in L^1(X)$, $E_n f \xrightarrow{s} E f$ in $L^1(X)$. If to each $\Sigma_n$, we associate $E_n^g(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(X))$, then we see that this convergence of the sub-$\sigma$-fields is the convergence of the corresponding continuous, linear operators in the strong operator topology on $\mathcal{L}(L^1(X))$ (pointwise convergence).

The second notion was used by Fetter [3]. So we may say that $\lim \Sigma_n = \hat{\Sigma}$ if and only if $\liminf \Sigma_n = \hat{\Sigma} = \limsup \Sigma_n = \hat{\Sigma}$.

3) SINGLE VALUED CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a complete probability space, $\{\Sigma_n, \hat{\Sigma}\}_{n\geq 1}$ sub-$\sigma$-fields of $\Sigma$ and $X$ a Banach space.

**THEOREM 3.1:** If $\lim \Sigma_n = \hat{\Sigma}$, then $\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{L^1(X)} \hat{\Sigma}$

**PROOF:** Let $K_n = \bigvee_{k=n}^{\infty} \Sigma_k$ and $L_n = \bigwedge_{k=n}^{\infty} \Sigma_k$. Clearly $\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n = \hat{\Sigma}$ and for every $n \geq 1$, we have $L_n \subseteq \Sigma_n \subseteq K_n$. Let $f \in L^1(X)$. We have:

$$||E_n^f - E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f||_1 \leq ||E_n^f - L_n^f||_1 + ||L_n^f - E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f||_1$$

$$= ||E_n^f - K_n f||_1 + ||K_n f - E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f||_1 + ||E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f - E_n^f||_1.$$

Recall that the vector valued conditional expectation is an $L^1(X)$-contraction. So we have:

$$||E_n^f - E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f||_1 \leq ||E_n^f - K_n f||_1 + ||K_n f - E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f||_1.$$ 

So finally we have:

$$||E_n^f - E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f||_1 \leq 2||E_n^f - E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f||_1 + ||E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f - E_n^f||_1 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$ 

from the "Neveu–Ionescu Tulcea theorem" (see section 1). Therefore $\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{L^1(X)} \hat{\Sigma}$ as claimed by the theorem.

**THEOREM 3.2:** If $\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{L^1(X)} \hat{\Sigma}$ and $f_n \xrightarrow{s} f$ in $L^1(X)$,

then $E_n^f \xrightarrow{s} E_{\hat{\Sigma}} f$ in $L^1(X)$. 
**Proof:** For every $n \geq 1$, we have:

\[
\|E^n f_n - E^n f\|_1 \leq \|E^n f_n - E^n f\|_1 + \|E^n f - E^n f\|_1 \\
\leq \|f_n - f\|_1 + \|E^n f - E^n f\|_1.
\]

But by hypothesis $\|f_n - f\|_1 \to 0$ and $\|E^n f - E^n f\|_1 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus finally we have that $\|E^n f_n - E^n f\|_1 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Q.E.D.

Next we will determine a sufficient condition for the $L^1(X)$-convergence of a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of sub-$\sigma$-fields of $\Sigma$.

For this we will need a stronger hypothesis on the Banach space $X$. So assume that $X$ is strictly convex.

**Theorem 3.3:** If for every $A \in \Sigma$ and for every $x \in X$, $\{E^n \chi_A(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is $L^1(X)$-convergent,

then there exists $\hat{\Sigma}$ a sub-$\sigma$-field of $\Sigma$ s.t. $\Sigma_n \overset{L^1(X)}{\to} \hat{\Sigma}$.

**Proof:** For every $n \geq 1$, set $T_n(f) = E^n f_n, f \in L^1(X)$. Then $T_n \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(X))$ and $\|T_n\| \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Clearly from our hypothesis, given any simple function $s(\cdot)$, $\{T_n(s)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is $L^1(X)$-convergent. We claim that for every $f \in L^1(X)$, $\{T_n(f)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is $L^1(X)$-convergent too. To this end this $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We can find $s(\cdot)$ simple function s.t. $\|f - s\|_1 < \epsilon$. Also there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ s.t. for $n, m \geq n_0$, we have $\|T_n(s) - T_m(s)\| < \epsilon/3$. So finally for $n, m \geq n_0$ we have:

\[
\|T_n(f) - T_m(f)\|_1 \leq \|T_n(f) - T_n(s)\|_1 + \|T_n(s) - T_m(s)\|_1 + \|T_m(s) - T_m(f)\|_1 \\
\leq 2 \|f - s\|_1 + \|T_n(s) - T_m(s)\| < \epsilon.
\]

Therefore $\{T_n(f)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is $L^1(X)$-Cauchy, thus it converges in $L^1(X)$ to $T(f)$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(X))$ (see Kato [5], p. 150). It is easy to see that $T(\cdot)$ is idempotent and $L^1(X)$-contractive. So invoking the result of Landers-Rogge [6], we deduce that there exists $\hat{\Sigma}$ a sub-$\sigma$-field of $\Sigma$ s.t. $T = E^{\hat{\Sigma}}$. Hence $\Sigma_n \overset{L^1(X)}{\to} \hat{\Sigma}$ as claimed by the theorem.

4) **Set Valued Conditional Expectation**

Here we extend the work of section 3 to set valued random variables.
In this section $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a complete probability space and $X$ a separable Banach space. Additional hypotheses will be introduced as needed.

We start with an interesting observation concerning set valued conditional expectations.

**THEOREM 4.1:** If $X^*$ is separable, $\Sigma_0$ is a sub-$\sigma$-field of $\Sigma$ and
\[ F: \Omega \to \mathcal{P}_{wk}(X) \]
integrably bounded,
\[ \Sigma_0 \mathbb{E} F(\omega) \in \mathcal{P}_{wk}(X) \mu-a.e. \]

**PROOF:** From the corollary to proposition 3.1 of [10], we have that for all $A \in \Sigma_0$,
\[ M(A) = \int_A F(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \{ \int_A f(\omega) d\mu(\omega); f \in \mathcal{S}_F^1 \} \in \mathcal{P}_{wk}(X). \]
Using theorem 2.2 of Hiai-Umegaki [4], we have:
\[ \sigma(x^*, M(A)) = \int_A \sigma(x^*, F(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \]

\[ \Rightarrow A \to \sigma(x^*, M(A)) \text{ is a signed measure}, \]

\[ \Rightarrow M(\cdot) \text{ is a set valued measure}. \]

Apply theorem 3 of Costé [1] to get $G: \Omega \to \mathcal{P}_{wk}(X) \Sigma_0$-integrably bounded s.t.
\[ M(A) = \int_A G(\omega) d\mu(\omega) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \int_A \Sigma_0 F(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \int_A G(\omega) d\mu(\omega) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \int_A \sigma(x^*, \Sigma_0 F(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) = \int_A \sigma(x^*, G(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \sigma(x^*, \Sigma_0 F(\omega)) = \sigma(x^*, G(\omega)) \]

for all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N(x^*)$, $\mu(N(x^*)) = 0$.

Let $\{x_n^*\}_{n \geq 1}$ be dense in $X^*$ and let $N = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} N(x_n^*)$, $\mu(N) = 0$. Let $x^* \in X^*$ and pick $\{x_k^*\}_{k \geq 1}$ s.t. $x_k^* \xrightarrow{s} x^*$. Then for $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$, we have:
\[ |\sigma(x^*, \Sigma_0 F(\omega)) - \sigma(x^*, G(\omega))| \]

\[ \leq |\sigma(x^*, \Sigma_0 F(\omega)) - \sigma(x_k^*, \Sigma_0 F(\omega))| + |\sigma(x_k^*, G(\omega)) - \sigma(x^*, G(\omega))| \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty. \]

So for all $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$, $\mu(N) = 0$, we have:
\[ \sigma(x^*, \Sigma_0 F(\omega)) = \sigma(x^*, G(\omega)) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \Sigma_0 F(\omega) = G(\omega) \in \mathcal{P}_{wk}(X) \mu-a.e.. \]
Next we will derive a set valued version of theorem 3.2. For this we will need the following simple lemmata.

**Lemma 4.1:** If $E_0$ is a sub-$\sigma$-field of $\Sigma$, $f \in L^1(X)$ and $v \in L^\infty(\Sigma_0, X^*)$

\[ \int_\Omega (f(\omega), v(\omega)) \, d\mu(\omega) = \int_\Omega (\sum_0 f(\omega), v(\omega)) \, d\mu(\omega). \]

**Proof:** Let $v(\omega) = \chi_A(\omega)x^*$ with $A \subseteq \Sigma_0$ and $x^* \in X^*$. Then we have:

\[ \int_\Omega (\sum_0 f(\omega), \chi_A(\omega)x^*) \, d\mu(\omega) = \int_A (\sum_0 f(\omega), x^*) \, d\mu(\omega) \]

So the lemma is true for countably valued $v(\cdot)$ belonging in the Lebesgue–Bochner space $L^\infty(\Sigma_0, X^*)$. But from corollary 3, p. 42, of Diestel–Uhl [2], we know that these functions are dense in $L^\infty(\Sigma_0, X^*)$. So by a simple density argument, we conclude that the lemma holds for all $v \in L^\infty(\Sigma_0, X^*)$.

**Q.E.D.**

**Lemma 4.2:** If $E_0$ is a sub-$\sigma$-field of $\Sigma$, $f \in L^1(\Sigma_0, X)$ and $v \in L^\infty(X^*)$

\[ \int_\Omega (f(\omega), v(\omega)) \, d\mu(\omega) = \int_\Omega (f(\omega), \sum_0 v(\omega)) \, d\mu(\omega). \]

**Proof:** As in lemma 4.1, we can check that the result holds for $f(\cdot)$ being a simple function. But recall that simple functions are dense in $L^1(\Sigma_0, X)$. So the lemma follows by density.

**Q.E.D.**

From Diestel–Uhl [2], theorem 1, p. 98, we know that if $X^*$ is separable, then $[L^1(X)]^* = L^\infty(X^*)$ and if $f \in L^1(X)$, $v \in L^\infty(X^*)$, then their duality brackets are defined by $<f,v> = \int_\Omega (f(\omega), v(\omega)) \, d\mu(\omega)$.

**Theorem 4.2:** If $X^*$ is separable, then $[L^1(X)]^* = L^\infty(X^*)$ and if $f \in L^1(X)$, $v \in L^\infty(X^*)$, then their duality brackets are defined by $<f,v> = \int_\Omega (f(\omega), v(\omega)) \, d\mu(\omega)$.

**Proof:** First let $g \in S^1_{E_F}$. From proposition 3.1 of [10], we know that $S^1_{E_F}$ is $w$–compact in $L^1(X)$. So $S^1_{E_F} = E^1_{E_F}$. Thus $g = E^1 f$, $f \in S^1_{E_F}$.
Since by hypothesis $S_{F_n}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_{F_n}^1$, we can find $f_n \in S_{F_n}^1$ s.t. $f_n \xrightarrow{s} f$ in $L^1(X)$. Then theorem 3.2 tells us that $E_n^1 f_n \xrightarrow{s} \tilde{E}^1 f$ in $L^1(X)$ and for every $n \geq 1$, $E_n^1 f_n \in S_{e_n f_n}^1$. Therefore we deduce that:

$$S_{E_F}^1 \xrightarrow{s-lim} S_{e_n f_n}^1$$

(1)

Next let $g \in w-\lim S_{E_n f_n}^1$. By definition, we can find $g_k \in S_{e_k f_k}^1$ s.t.

$$g_k \xrightarrow{w} g \text{ in } L^1(X).$$

Also $g_k = E_n^1 f_k$, $f_k \in S_{F_n k}^1$. Since by hypothesis $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} F_n^k$ is $w-$compact in $L^1(X)$, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $f_k \xrightarrow{w} f$ in $L^1(X)$. Since $S_{F_n k}^1 \xrightarrow{K-M} S_{F_n}^1$, we get that $f \in S_{F_n}^1$. Let $v(\cdot) \in L^\infty(X^*) = [L^1(X)]^*$ and denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the duality brackets for the pair $(L^1(X), L^\infty(X^*))$.

From lemmata 4.1 and 4.2 we have:

$$\langle \sum_n f_n, v \rangle = \langle \sum_n f_n, E_n v \rangle = \langle f_n, \sum_n E_n v \rangle.$$ 

By hypothesis we have $E_n v \xrightarrow{a} \hat{E}^n v$ in $L^1(X)$. Also note that for all $n \geq 1$

$$\|E_n v(\omega)\| \leq \sum_n \|v(\omega)\| \leq \|v\|_\infty \mu-a.e.\text{.}$$

So invoking lemma 4.2 of [12], we get:

$$\langle f_n, \sum_n E_n v \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, \hat{E}^n v \rangle.$$ 

Again through lemmata 4.1 and 4.2 we get:

$$\langle f, \hat{E}^n v \rangle = \langle \hat{E}^n f, \hat{E}^n v \rangle = \langle \hat{E}^n f, v \rangle.$$ 

Thus for every $v \in L^\infty(X^*) = [L^1(X)]^*$, we have:

$$\langle \sum_n f_n, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sum_n \hat{E} f_n, v \rangle \Rightarrow E_n f_n \xrightarrow{w} E^1 f \text{ in } L^1(X) \text{ and } f \in S_{F_n}^1.$$
So \( g = \sum_{n} g_{n} \in S^{1}_{E^{F}} \). Therefore we have:

\[
\limsup_{n} s_{n} g_{n} \subseteq \sum_{n} s_{n} g_{n} \in S^{1}_{E^{F}} \tag{2}
\]

From (1) and (2) above and since we always have \( s_{n} = \lim_{n} s_{n} \), we conclude that \( s_{n} g_{n} \in S^{1}_{E^{F}} \) as \( n \to \infty \).

\[Q.E.D.\]

**REMARKS:**

(a) If for all \( n \geq 1 \), \( F_{n} \to F \in P_{wkc}(X) \) and is integrably bounded, then the hypotheses of theorem 4.2 are automatically satisfied. Similarly if for all \( n \geq 1 \), \( F_{n}(\omega) \subseteq W(\omega) \mu\text{-a.e.} \) with \( W \in P_{wkc}(X) \) integrably bounded.

(b) Conditions that guarantee that \( s_{n} \to s \) can be found in [11] (theorem 4.4).

Finally by strengthening the hypotheses on \( F_{n}(\cdot) \) and dropping the separability requirement on \( X^{*} \), we can prove convergence in the \( \Delta(\cdot, \cdot) \) metric.

**THEOREM 4.3:** If \( \lim F_{n} = F \in \Omega \to P_{wc}(X) \) are integrably bounded multifunctions s.t. \( F_{n} \to F \), then \( \Delta(\Sigma F_{n}, \Sigma F) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

**PROOF:** Using Rådstrom's embedding theorem [13] (theorem 2), we can embed \( P_{wc}(X) \) isometrically as a convex cone in a separable Banach space \( X \). Then \( F_{n}(\cdot), F(\cdot) \) can be viewed as \( X \)-valued random variables and \( \Sigma F_{n}(\cdot), \Sigma F(\cdot) \) are \( P_{wc}(X) \)-valued, integrably bounded. Then if by \( j(\cdot) \) we denote the embedding of \( P_{wc}(X) \) in \( X \), theorem 3.6 of Hiai–Umegaki [4] and theorem 3.1 of this paper, tell us that

\[
\Delta(\Sigma F_{n}, \Sigma F) = \|j(\Sigma F_{n}) - j(\Sigma F)\|_{L^{1}(X)} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

**Q.E.D.**
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