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ABSTRACT. We prove a number of results concerning the existence of common fixed points of a family of maps satisfying certain contractive conditions in metric and Banach spaces. Results dealing with the structure of the set of common fixed points of such maps are also given. Our work is an improvement upon the previously known results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], we established a number of results concerning common fixed points of three selfmaps on a metric space using sequences which were not necessarily obtained as sequences of iterates of certain maps satisfying Hardy-Rogers [2] type contractive conditions. The maps under consideration also satisfied a condition weaker than commutativity. It is worth noting that, in Jungck [3] and in all generalizations of Jungck's theorem, a family of commuting maps has been considered. More recently, Jungck [4] introduced a further generalization of commutativity. This concept is also more general than that of weak commutativity studied by Sessa [5].

In this paper, we wish to continue our work begun in [1]. Our contractive conditions are motivated by a recent paper of Fisher [6]. We also exploit the idea of compatible pair of maps as used by Jungck [4]. The structure of the set common fixed points in strictly convex Banach spaces is also studied.
2. PRELIMINARIES

This Section contains definitions to be used in the sequel. Let A and S be two selfmaps of a metric space \((X,d)\). In [1], we introduced the following definition.

**Definition 1.** A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in \(X\) is said to be asymptotically \(A\)-regular with respect to \(S\) if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Ax_n, Sx_n) = 0.
\]

Further, due to symmetry, we may also say that \(\{x_n\}\) is asymptotically \(S\)-regular with respect to \(A\).

In [5], Sessa generalized a result of Das and Naik [7] using the following definition.

**Definition 2.** The pair \(\{A, S\}\) is said to be weakly commuting if

\[
d(ASx, SAx) \leq d(Ax, Sx) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.
\]

A commuting pair is a weakly commuting pair. There are examples in [1] to show that the converse is false.

The following is due to Jungck [4].

**Definition 3.** The pair \(\{A, S\}\) is said to be compatible if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } \{x_n\} \text{ is a sequence in } X \text{ such that } \lim_{n \to \infty} A(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} S(x_n) = t \text{ for some point } t \in X.
\]

A weakly commuting pair is a compatible pair. A compatible pair is not necessarily weakly commuting, as is shown in the examples of [4].

3. COMMON FIXED POINTS OF THREE MAPPINGS

Extending a well known contractive condition of Hardy and Rogers [2], in [1] we considered three selfmaps \(A, S\) and \(T\) of \((X,d)\) satisfying the following inequality:

\[
(i) \quad d(Ax, Ay) \leq a_1 \cdot d(Sx, Ax) + a_2 \cdot d(Tx, Ax) + a_3 \cdot d(Sy, Ay) + a_4 \cdot d(Ty, Ay) + a_5 \cdot d(Sx, Ay) + a_6 \cdot d(Sy, Ax) + a_7 \cdot d(Tx, Ay) + a_8 \cdot d(Tx, Ty) + a_9 \cdot d(Ty, Ty)
\]

for all \(x, y \in X\), where \(a_h = a_h (x, y), h=1, \ldots, 10\), are nonnegative functions of \(x^2 \) into \([0, +\infty)\). Adding to (i) the inequality obtained from (i) by interchanging the roles of \(x\) and \(y\), we deduce that

\[
(ii) \quad d(Ax, Ay) < b_1 (x, y) \cdot d(Sx, Ax) + b_1 (y, x) \cdot d(Sy, Ay) + b_2 (x, y) \cdot d(Tx, Ax) + b_2 (y, x) \cdot d(Ty, Ay) + b_3 (x, y) \cdot d(Sx, Ax) + b_3 (y, x) \cdot d(Sy, Ax) + b_4 (x, y) \cdot d(Tx, Ay) + b_4 (y, x) \cdot d(Ty, Ax) + b_5 (x, y) \cdot d(Tx, Tx) + b_5 (y, x) \cdot d(Ty, Ty)
\]

for all \(x, y \in X\), where \(b_j, j=1, \ldots, 5\), are real nonnegative functions defined by
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(ii) \[ 2b_1(x, y) = a_1(x, y) + a_3(y, x), \]
\[ 2b_2(x, y) = a_2(x, y) + a_4(y, x), \]
\[ 2b_3(x, y) = a_5(x, y) + a_6(y, x), \]
\[ 2b_4(x, y) = a_7(x, y) + a_8(y, x), \]
\[ 2b_5(x, y) = a_9(x, y) + a_{10}(y, x) \]
for all \( x, y \) in \( X \). Generalizing the well-known results of Jungck [3] and Fisher [8], [9], we proved the following result in [1].

**Theorem 1.** Let \( A, S \) and \( T \) be three selfmaps of a complete metric space \( (X, d) \) satisfying condition (i) for all \( x, y \) in \( X \). If

(i) \[ \max \{ \sup_{x, y \in X} (b_3 + b_4 + b_5 + b_6 + b_7), \]
\[ \sup_{x, y \in X} (b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + b_5), \]
\[ \sup_{x, y \in X} (b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4) < 1, \]

where \( b_j \) is bounded and \( b_j(x, y) = b_j(y, x) \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \) are defined as in (ii),

(v) \( S \) and \( T \) are continuous,

(vi) \( \{A, S\} \) and \( \{A, T\} \) are weakly commuting pairs,

(vii) there exists an asymptotically \( A \)-regular sequence \( \{x_n\} \) with respect to both mappings \( S \) and \( T \), then \( A, S \) and \( T \) have a unique common fixed point. Further, \( A \) is continuous at the fixed point if

\[ \sup_{x, y \in X} (b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + b_5) < 1. \]

**Remark 1.** In [1], we supposed that \( b_2 \) is also bounded, but this assumption is clearly implied by the condition (iv).

Further, it is easily seen that Theorem 1 holds if one assumes the compatibility of the pairs \( \{A, S\} \) and \( \{A, T\} \) instead of assumption (vi).

By weakening condition (iv), changing (v) and (vi), retaining (ii) and (vii), we are able to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( A, S \) and \( T \) be three selfmaps of a complete metric space \( (X, d) \) satisfying condition (ii) for all \( x, y \) in \( X \), where \( b_j \geq 0 \) and \( b_j(x, y) = b_j(y, x) \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \). If

(iv') \[ \sup_{x, y \in X} (b_3 + b_4 + b_5 + b_6 + b_7) < 1, \]

and \( b_1, b_2, b_5, b_6 \) are bounded,

(vii) \( A \) is continuous,

(vi') \( \{A, S\} \) and \( \{A, T\} \) are compatible pairs,

and if (vii) holds, then \( A \) has a fixed point.

**Proof.** As in [1], we prove that \( \{X_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, we have on using (i),

\[ (1 - b_3 - b_4 - b_5) \cdot d(A x_n, A x_m) \leq (b_1 + b_3 + b_5) \cdot d(S x_n, A x_n) \]
\[ + (b_1 + b_3 + b_5) \cdot d(S x_m, A x_m) \]
where $b_j = b_j(x_n, x_n)$ and $b'_j = b'_j(x_n, x_n)$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 5$. Then we deduce that $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence by (iv') and (vii). Since $X$ is complete, $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to a point $z$. As $d(S_n, z) \leq d(S_n, A_n) + d(A_n, z)$, the sequence $(S_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges also to $z$. Since $A$ is continuous, the sequences $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, $(AS_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(AT_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converge to $A$. Using (vi'), we obtain

$$d(S_n, A) \leq d(S_n, A_n) + d(A, A_n),$$

which implies that $(SA_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $A$. Similarly, one proves that $(TA_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $A$. Further, condition (ii) yields

$$d(A_n, A) \leq \max \{d(S_n, A), d(S_n, A_n)\},$$

where $b_j = b_j(x_n, x_n)$ and $b'_j = b'_j(x_n, x_n)$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 5$. Then

$$d(A, z) = \limsup_n d(A_n, A_n),$$

which means $A = z$ and thus $z$ is a fixed point of $A$.

**Remark 2.** The above theorem assures the existence of a fixed point $z$ of $A$. However, in general, $z$ is not necessarily a fixed point of either $S$ or of $T$ as is shown in the following example.

**Example 1.** Let $X = [0, 1]$ with the Euclidean metric $d$. Define $A, S, T : X \times X$ by putting

$$A(x) = x/16 \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 1/16 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ x/16 & \text{if } x \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad T(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ x/2 & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $A$ is continuous but $S$ and $T$ are discontinuous at zero.

Now,

$$d(SA_0, SA_0) = 1/16 - 1/256 = 15/256 < 1/16 = d(A_0, S_0).$$
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\[ d(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{32} = \frac{15}{32} < \frac{1}{2} = d(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}). \]

Further, \( ASx = SAx = x/256 \) and \( ATx = Tax = x/32 \) for all \( x \in X - \{0\} \). Hence \( \{A, S\} \) and \( \{A, T\} \) are compatible pairs since \( A \) weakly commutes with \( S \) and \( T \) for all \( x \in X \).

Furthermore,

\[ d(AX, SY) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } 0 = x = y, \\
\frac{1}{16} y = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{2} y = \frac{1}{8} \cdot d(Ax, Ty) & \text{if } 0 = x < y, \\
\frac{1}{16} x = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{2} x = \frac{1}{8} \cdot d(Ay, Tx) & \text{if } 0 = y < x, \\
\frac{1}{16} (x-y) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (x-y) < \frac{1}{8} \cdot (\frac{1}{2} x - \frac{1}{15} y) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot d(Tx, Sy) & \text{if } 0 < y < x, \\
\frac{1}{16} (y-x) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (y-x) < \frac{1}{8} \cdot (\frac{1}{2} y - \frac{1}{15} x) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot d(Ty, Sx) & \text{if } 0 < x < y. 
\end{cases} \]

Thus condition (ii) is satisfied if we assume \( b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0 \) and \( b_4 = b_5 = 1/8 \). Taking a sequence \( \{x_n\} \), \( x_n \neq 0 \) for any positive integer \( n \), in \( X \) converging to zero, it is easily seen that

\[ \lim_{n} d(AX_n, SX_n) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n} d(AX_n, TX_n) = \lim_{n} \frac{7x_n}{16} = 0. \]

Thus all the assumptions of Theorem 2 hold and zero is a fixed point of \( A \), but it is not a fixed point of \( S \) and \( T \).

The next theorem assures the existence of a fixed point of \( S \).

THEOREM 3. Let \( A, S \) and \( T \) be three selfmaps of a complete metric space \((X, d)\) satisfying condition (ii) for all \( x, y \in X \). If conditions (iv') and (vii) hold and \( S \) is continuous, then \( S \) has a fixed point provided that the pairs \( \{A, S\} \) and \( \{S, T\} \) are compatible, \( b_j > 0 \) and \( b'_j(x, y) = b_j(y, x) \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \).

PROOF. As in Theorem 2, one shows that the sequences \( \{AX_n\}, \{SX_n\} \) and \( \{TX_n\} \) converge to a point \( z \). Since \( S \) is continuous, the sequences \( \{SAx_n\}, \{S^2x_n\} \) and \( \{STx_n\} \) converge to the point \( Sz \). Using the compatibility of the pairs \( \{A, S\} \) and \( \{S, T\} \), it is immediately seen that the sequences \( \{ASx_n\} \) and \( \{TSx_n\} \) converge also to \( Sz \). Now, applying the condition (ii), we obtain that

\[ d(ASx_n, Ax_n) < (b_1 + b'_1 + b_2 + b'_2) \cdot \max \{d(S^2x_n, ASx_n), d(Sx_n, Ax_n)\}, \]

\[ d(TSx_n, ASx_n), d(Tx_n, Ax_n) \}

\[ + (b_3 + b'_3 + b_4 + b'_4 + b_5) \cdot \max \{d(S^2x_n, AX_n), d(Sx_n, ASx_n), d(TSx_n, Ax_n), d(Tx_n, ASx_n), d(S^2x_n, Tx_n), d(Sx_n, TSx_n)\}, \]

where \( b_j = b_j(Sx_n, x_n) \) and \( b'_j = b'_j(Sx_n, x_n) \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \). Hence
and this gives $Sz=z$, i.e. $z$ is a fixed point of $S$.

**Remark 3.** A result analogous to Theorem 3 can be obtained using the continuity of $T$ instead of $S$ and the compatibility of the pairs $(A,T)$ and $(S,T)$.

We now give an example showing that $T$ can have a fixed point $z$ which is not a fixed point of $A$ and of $S$.

**Example 2.** Let $X = [0,1]$ with the Euclidean metric $d$ and $A, S, T : X \times X$ be defined by $T = x/2$ for all $x$ in $X$ and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$A x$</th>
<th>$S x$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1$ if $x = 0$,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1/4$ if $x = 0$,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x/16$ if $x \neq 0$,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x/2$ if $x \neq 0$.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then we have that

$$d(A T 0, T A 0) = 1 - 1/2 = 1/2 < 1 = d(A 0, T 0).$$

and

$$d(S T 0, T S 0) = 1/4 - 1/8 = 1/8 < 1/4 = d(S 0, T 0).$$

Since $A T x = T x = x/32$ and $S T x = T x = x/4$ for all $x$ in $X - \{0\}$, we note that $T$ weakly commutes with $A$ and $S$ on the whole space $X$. Hence $(A, T)$ and $(S, T)$ are compatible pairs and furthermore,

$$d(A x, A y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 = x = y, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{16} & \text{if } 0 = x < y, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{16} & \text{if } 0 = y < x, \\ \frac{1}{16} |x - y| & \text{if } 0 \neq x, 0 \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Then condition (ii) holds by assuming $b_2 = 1$, $b_5 = 1/8$, $b_1 = b_3 b_4 = 0$. Taking a sequence $(x_n)$, $x_n \neq 0$ for any positive integer $n$, in $X$ converging to zero, we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(A x_n, S x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(A x_n, T x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 7 x_n/16 = 0.$$ 

It is clear that $T$ is continuous and $A$ and $S$ are discontinuous at zero, that is a fixed point of $T$, but $A$ and $S$ do not have fixed points.

**Remark 4.** If one assumes the additional hypothesis that $S$ (resp. $A$) is continuous in Theorem 2 (resp. Theorem 3), one concludes that $A$ and $S$ have a common fixed point. Indeed, the sequence $(S A x_n)$ (resp. $(A S x_n)$) converges to $A z = z$ (resp. $S z = z$).
Since S (resp. A) is continuous, the sequence \( \{SA_n\} \) (resp. \( \{AS_n\} \)) converges also to \( Sz \) (resp. \( Az\)) and then \( Sz=Az=z \). In general, \( z \) is not a fixed point of \( T \), as is shown in the following example.

**EXAMPLE 3.** Let \( X = [0,1] \) with the Euclidean metric \( d \) and \( A,S,T : \ X \times X \) be defined by \( Ax=x/16, Sx=x/2 \) for all \( x \) in \( X \) and \( Tx=1/4 \) if \( x=0 \), \( Tx=x/8 \) if \( x \neq 0 \). Since

\[
d(TAO,ATO) = 1/4 - 1/64 = 15/64 \quad \text{<} \quad 1/4 = d(AO,TO)
\]

and \( ATx=TAx=x/128 \) for all \( x \) in \( X - \{ 0 \} \), we find that \( A \) weakly commutes with \( T \) on the whole space \( X \), while \( A \) commutes with \( S \) since \( ASx=SAx=x/32 \) for all \( x \) in \( X \). Hence condition (vi') holds. Furthermore,

\[
d(Ax,Ay) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } O=x=y, \\
\frac{1}{16} y = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{2} y = \frac{1}{8} \cdot d(Sy, Ax) & \text{if } O=x<y, \\
\frac{1}{16} x = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{2} x = \frac{1}{8} \cdot d(Sx, Ay) & \text{if } O=y<x, \\
\frac{1}{16} (x-y) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (x-y) < \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{1}{2} x - \frac{1}{8} y \right) = \frac{1}{8} d(Sx, Ty) & \text{if } 0<y<x, \\
\frac{1}{16} (y-x) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (y-x) < \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{1}{2} y - \frac{1}{8} x \right) = \frac{1}{8} d(Sy, Tx) & \text{if } 0<x<y.
\end{cases}
\]

Then condition (ii) holds by assuming \( b_3=b_5=1/8, b_1=b_2=b_4=0 \). Let \( \{ x_n \} \) be a sequence in \( X \) such that \( x_n \neq 0 \) for any positive integer \( n \) and converging to zero. We have that

\[
\lim_{n} d(Ax_n, Sx_n) = \lim_{n} 7x_n/16=0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n} d(Ax_n, Tx_n) = \lim_{n} nx_n/16=0
\]

Here we point out that \( A \) and \( S \) are continuous but \( T \) is discontinuous at zero. Then all the assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and \( A \) and \( S \) have zero as common fixed point, but it is not a fixed point of \( T \).

Note that

\[
d(TSO, STO) = 1/4 - 1/8 = 1/8 < 1/4 = d(TO, SO)
\]

and \( TSx=STx=x/16 \) for all \( x \) in \( X - \{ 0 \} \). Thus \( S \) and \( T \) weakly commute and thus all the assumptions of Theorem 3 are also satisfied.

4. FURTHER EXAMPLES

Of course, if we suppose in Theorem 2 (resp. Theorem 3) additionally the continuity of both mappings \( S \) and \( T \) (resp. \( A \) and \( T \)), we conclude that \( z \) is a common fixed point of \( A,S,T \) and moreover \( z \) is also unique. Indeed, as proved in [1], we have, if \( w \) is another common fixed point, using condition (ii),

\[
d(w,z)=d(Aw,Az) < \sup_{x,y \in X} (b_3+b_1+b_4+b_3+b_5+b_4) \cdot d(w,z),
\]

which implies \( w=z \) by (iv').
We now give an example of three discontinuous maps which satisfy (ii), but have no fixed points.

**EXAMPLE 4.** Let \( X = [0,1] \) with the Euclidean metric \( d \) and \( A, S, T : X \rightarrow X \) be defined by putting

\[
A_{x} = \begin{cases} 
 1/8 & \text{if } x = 0, \\
 1/8 & \text{if } x \neq 0,
\end{cases} \quad S_{x} = \begin{cases} 
 1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\
 x/2 & \text{if } x \neq 0,
\end{cases} \quad T_{x} = \begin{cases} 
 1/2 & \text{if } x = 0, \\
 x/2 & \text{if } x \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Now,

\[
d(AS_{0}, SA_{0}) = \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{16} = \frac{1}{16} < \frac{7}{8} = 1 - \frac{1}{8} = d(S_{0}, A_{0}),
\]

\[
d(TS_{0}, ST_{0}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{2} = d(S_{0}, T_{0}),
\]

\( A_{0} = T_{0} = 1/16 \) and \( A_{x} + T_{x} = A_{x} + S_{x} + x/16, S_{x} + T_{x} = S_{x} + T_{x} = x/4 \) for all \( x \) in \( X - \{0\} \). Thus each of the pairs \( \{A, S\}, \{S, T\} \) and \( \{A, T\} \) is compatible. Further, we have that

\[
d(A_{x}, A_{y}) = \begin{cases} 
 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\
 \frac{1}{8} \cdot (1-y) < \frac{1}{4} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2} y) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot d(S_{x}, T_{y}) & \text{if } x < y, \\
 \frac{1}{8} \cdot (1-x) < \frac{1}{4} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2} x) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot d(S_{y}, T_{x}) & \text{if } y < x, \\
 \frac{1}{8} |x-y| = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot |x-y| = \frac{1}{4} \cdot d(S_{x}, T_{y}) & \text{if } x, y \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

By choosing a sequence \( \{x_{n}\} \), \( x \neq 0 \) for any positive integer \( n \), in \( X \) converging to zero, we deduce that

\[
\lim_{n} d(A_{x_{n}}, S_{x_{n}}) = \lim_{n} d(ax_{n}, Tx_{n}) = \lim_{n} 3x_{n}/8 = 0.
\]

By assuming \( b_{1} = b_{2} = b_{3} = b_{4} = 0, b_{5} = 1/4 \) we find that all the assumptions of Theorems 1, 2, 3 hold except the continuity of \( A, S \) and \( T \). Here none of the mappings has a fixed point.

The condition of compatibility is also necessary in Theorems 1, 2, and 3, as is shown in the following example:

**EXAMPLE 5.** Let \( X = [0, + \rightarrow] \) with the Euclidean metric \( d \) and define \( A, S = T : X \rightarrow X \) by setting

\[
A_{x} = \frac{1}{8} x + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad S_{x} = \frac{1}{2} x + 1
\]

for all \( x \) in \( X \). Obviously the sequences \( \{A_{x_{n}}\} \) and \( \{S_{x_{n}}\} \) converge to one iff \( \{x_{n}\} \) converges to zero, but

\[
\lim_{n} d(AS_{x_{n}}, SA_{x_{n}}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{3}{8}.
\]

So \( \{A, S\} \) is not a compatible pair and further, we have that
for all $x,y$ in $X$ and

$$\lim_{n} d(Ax_n, Sx_n) = \lim_{n} \frac{3}{8} x_n = 0 \text{ iff } \lim_{n} x_n = 0.$$  

Now, by choosing a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $X$ converging to zero and by taking $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 0$, $b_5 = 1/4$, we see that all the assumptions of Theorems 1, 2, and 3 hold except the compatibility of the pair $\{A, S\}$. Clearly, $A$ and $S$ do not have common fixed points.

The condition (vii) is also necessary in Theorems 1, 2, 3. We show this in the next example.

**EXAMPLE 6.** Let $X = [1, + \infty)$ with the Euclidean metric $d$ and consider $A$ and $S = T$ as in Example 5. Then

$$\lim A(x) = \lim S(x) = + \infty$$

and this means that $A$ and $S$ do not converge to an element $t$ of $X$. Then the condition of compatibility is satisfied vacuously, but condition (vii) does not hold because the point zero does not belong to $X$. Thus all the assumptions of Theorems 1, 2, 3 hold except condition (vii) but $A$ and $S$ do not have common fixed points.

5. FIXED POINTS OF FOUR MAPPINGS

Recently, Fisher [6] partially extended the result of [7] to obtain the following theorem:

**THEOREM 4.** Let $A, B, S$, and $T$ be four selfmaps of a complete metric space $(X, d)$ satisfying the inequality

$$(viii) \quad d(Ax, By) \leq \max \{d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), d(Sx, Ty)\}$$

for all $x, y$ in $X$, where $0 \leq c < 1$. If

(i) one of $A, B, S$, and $T$ is continuous,

(x) $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are commuting pairs,

(xi) $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$,

then $A, B, S$, and $T$ have a unique common fixed point $z$. Further, $z$ is the common unique fixed point of $A$ and $S$ and of $B$ and $T$.

**REMARK 5.** As pointed out by Massa [10], condition (viii) is equivalent to the following

$$d(Ax, By) \leq a_1 \cdot d(Ax, Sx) + a_2 \cdot d(By, Ty) + a_3 \cdot d(Sx, Ty)$$

for all $x, y$ in $X$, where $a_h(x, y)$, $h = 1, 2, 3$ are nonnegative functions from $X^2$ into $[0, + \infty)$ such that

$$\sup_{x, y \in X} (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) < 1.$$  

The above remark and some recent contractive conditions involving four mappings
studied by several authors, e.g. Chang [11], Fisher and Sessa [12], Kasahara and Singh [13] lead to the following extension of (viii):

\[(x_{11})\quad d(Ax, By) < a_1 \cdot d(Ax, Sx) + a_2 \cdot d(By, Ty) + a_3 \cdot d(Sx, By) + a_4 \cdot d(Ty, Ax) + a_5 \cdot d(Sx, Ty)\]

for all \(x, y\) in \(X\), where \(a_h = a_h(x, y)\) for any \(h = 1, \ldots, 5\). It is not hard to prove the following generalization of Theorem 4 using ideas from [12].

**THEOREM 5.** Let \(A, B, S, T\) be four selfmaps of a complete metric space \((X, d)\) satisfying condition \((x_{11})\) for all \(x, y\) in \(X\). If \(a_h > 0\) for any \(h = 1, \ldots, 5\) and

\[
\sup_{x, y \in X} (a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5) < 1,
\]

then \((x')\) \((A, S)\) and \((B, T)\) are compatible pairs

and \((ix), (xi)\) hold, then \(A, B, S, T\) have a unique common fixed point, which is unique common fixed point of \(A\) and \(S\) and of \(B\) and \(T\).

**REMARK 6.** Chang [11], studying an analogous contractive condition, assumes the continuity of both mappings \(S\) and \(T\), the commutativity of the pairs \((A, S), (A, T), (B, S), (B, T)\) and

\[(xi')\quad A(X) \cup B(X) \subseteq S(X) \cap T(X)\]

It is immediately seen that Chang's result holds under the weaker assumptions \((ix), (x')\) and \((xi)\). Clearly \((xi)\) is more general than \((xi')\) since

\[
B(X) \subseteq A(X) \cup B(X) \subseteq S(X) \cap T(X) \subseteq S(X)
\]

and

\[
A(X) \subseteq A(X) \cup B(X) \subseteq S(X) \cap T(X) \subseteq T(X).
\]

**REMARK 7.** Theorem 5 can also be seen as a special case of Theorem 1 of [14].

Using the main ideas of the present work and those contained in a recent paper due to Rhoades and Sessa [15], we establish another result replacing the condition \((xi)\) by the existence of two asymptotically regular sequences, by weakening condition \((x)\) and imposing an additional condition.

**THEOREM 6.** Let \(A, B, S, T\) be four selfmaps of a complete metric space \((X, d)\) satisfying condition \((x_{11})\) for all \(x, y\) in \(X\). Further, suppose that \(a_h > 0\) for any \(h = 1, \ldots, 5\) and

\[(x_{11})\quad \max \{\sup_{x, y \in X} (a_3 + a_4 + a_5), \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_1 + a_5), \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_2 + a_3)\} < 1,
\]

\[(xiv) S\) is continuous,

\[(xv) d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, Sx) \text{ for all } x \text{ in } X,
\]

\[(xvi)\] \((A, S)\) is a compatible pair,
(xvii) there exist an asymptotically $A$-regular sequence \( \{x_n\} \) with respect to $S$ and an asymptotically $B$-regular sequence \( \{y_n\} \) with respect to $T$.

Then $A, B, S$ and $T$ have a unique common fixed point, which is also a unique common fixed point of $A$ and $S$ and of $B$ and $T$.

**Proof.** From (xii), we have for any positive integers $m, n$:

\[
d(Ax_m, By_n) < a_1 d(Ax_m, Sx_m) + a_2 d(By_n, Ty_n) + a_3 \left[ d(Ax_m, Sx_m) + d(Ax_m, By_n) \right] + a_4 \left[ d(By_n, Ty_n) + d(Ax_m, By_n) \right] + a_5 \left[ d(Ax_m, Sx_m) + d(Ax_m, By_n) + d(By_n, Ty_n) \right],
\]

where $a_j = a_j(x_m, y_n)$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 5$. Then

\[
(1-a_3-a_4-a_5) d(Ax_m, By_n) < (a_1+a_3+a_5) d(Ax_m, Sx_m) + (a_2+a_4+a_5) d(By_n, Ty_n).
\]

Since

\[
d(Ax_m, Ax_n) < d(Ax_m, By_n) + d(Ax_n, By_n),
\]

we obtain the inequality

\[
d(Ax_m, Ax_n) < \frac{(a_1+a_3+a_5) d(Ax_m, Sx_m)}{1 - \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_3+a_4+a_5)} + \frac{(a_2+a_4+a_5) d(By_n, Ty_n)}{1 - \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_3+a_4+a_5)}
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{a_2+\alpha_4+a_5}{1 - \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_3+a_4+a_5)} + \frac{a_2+a_3+a_5}{1 - \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_2+a_3+a_5)} \right] \cdot d(By_n, Ty_n),
\]

where $a_j = a_j(x, y)$ and $a_j' = a_j(x, y)$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 5$. Now by (xvii), we deduce that \( \{Ax_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence, which has a limit $z$ (say). As

\[
d(Sx_n, z) < d(Ax_n, Sx_n) + d(Ax_n, z),
\]

we see that the sequence \( \{Sx_n\} \) also converges to $z$. Similarly, it can be proved that the sequences \( \{By_n\} \) and \( \{Ty_n\} \) also converge to $z$. By (xiv), both the sequences \( \{S^2x_n\} \) and \( \{SAx_n\} \) converge to $Sz$. By condition (xvi), the sequence \( \{ASx_n\} \) also converges to $Sz$. 
Again by (xii), we have
\[
d(AS^n, By^n) < (a_1 + a_2) \cdot \max \{d(AS^n, S^n), d(By^n, Ty^n)\} \\
+ (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) \cdot \max \{d(S^n, By^n), d(AS^n, Ty^n)\},
\]
\[
d(S^n, Ty^n),
\]
where \( a_j = a_j(S^n, y^n) \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, S \). Hence
\[
d(Sz, z) = \limsup_n d(AS^n, By^n)
\]
\[
< \limsup_n (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) \cdot d(Sz, z)
\]
\[
< \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) \cdot d(Sz, z),
\]
whence \( Sz = z \) by (xiii).

Due to (xii), we obtain
\[
d(Az, By^n) < (a_1 + a_4) \cdot \max \{d(Az, Sz), d(Ty^n, Az)\} \\
+ (a_2 + a_3 + a_5) \cdot \max \{d(By^n, Ty^n), d(Sz, By^n), d(Sz, Ty^n)\},
\]
where \( a_j = a_j(z, y^n) \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \). Then
\[
d(Az, z) = \limsup_n d(Az, By^n) < \limsup_n (a_1 + a_4) \cdot d(Az, z)
\]
\[
< \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_1 + a_4) \cdot d(Az, z),
\]
which implies \( Az = z \) by (xiii). From (xv), we deduce that
\[
d(z, Tz) < d(z, Sz) = 0,
\]
i.e. \( Tz = z \). Further, (xii) implies that
\[
d(z, Bz) = d(Az, Bz) < a_1 \cdot d(Az, Sz) + a_2 \cdot d(Bz, Tz)
\]
\[
+ a_3 \cdot d(Sz, Bz) + a_4 \cdot d(Tz, Az) + a_5 \cdot d(Sz, Tz)
\]
\[
< \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_2 + a_3) \cdot d(z, Bz),
\]
where \( a_j = a_j(z, z) \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \). By (xiii), we have that \( Bz = z \), i.e. \( z \) is a fixed point of \( A, B, S \) and \( T \). Let \( w \) be another common fixed point of \( A \) and \( S \). From (xii), we get
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\[ d(w,z) = d(Aw,Bz) \leq a_1 d(Aw,Sw) + a_2 d(Bz,Tz) \]
\[ + a_3 d(Sw,Bz) + a_4 d(Tz,Aw) + a_5 d(Sw,Tz) \]
\[ \leq \sup_{x,y \in X} (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) d(z,w), \]

where \( a_j = a_j(w,z) \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \). So \( z = w \) by (xiii) and similarly, one would prove that \( z \) is the unique common fixed point of \( B \) and \( T \). This completes proof.

6. SOME REMARKS ON THEOREM 6.

REMARK 8. A result analogous to Theorem 6 can be formulated supposing \( T \) continuous, "\( d(x,Sx) \leq d(x,Tx) \) for all \( x \in X \)" and requiring the pair \( \{B,T\} \) to be compatible.

REMARK 9. The sum \( (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5) \) may exceed 1, in contrast to Hardy and Rogers [2] where \( (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5) < 1 \).

REMARK 10. Note that if one does not assume the condition "\( d(x,Tx) \leq d(x,Sx) \) for all \( x \in X \)" in Theorem 6, then this theorem need no longer be true. Examining the proof of Theorem 6, one concludes only that \( S \) and \( A \) have a common fixed point \( z \), but in general, \( z \) need not be a fixed point of either \( A \) or of \( T \). This is seen in the following example borrowed from Fisher [6].

EXAMPLE 7. Let \( X = [0,1] \) with the Euclidean metric \( d \) and define \( Ax = 0, Sx = x \) for all \( x \in X \) and

\[
Bx = \begin{cases} 
1/4 & \text{if } x = 0, \\
x/4 & \text{if } x \neq 0,
\end{cases} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\
x & \text{if } x \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that \( A \) commutes with \( S \) and \( S \) is continuous, whereas \( d(0,T0) = 1 > 0 = d(0,S0) \).
Thus condition (xv) is not satisfied at the point zero. Further, we have for all \( x \in X \),

\[
d(Ax, By) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{4} = \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } y = 0, \\
\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{4} y = \frac{1}{3} \cdot (y - \frac{1}{4} y) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot d(By,Ty) & \text{if } y \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

For any sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converging to zero, we have that

\[
\lim_{n} d(Ax_n, Sx_n) = \lim_{n} x_n = 0
\]

and, by choosing a sequence \( \{y_n\}, y_n \neq 0 \), for any positive integer \( n \), we get

\[
\lim_{n} d(By_n, Ty_n) = \lim_{n} \frac{3}{4} y_n = 0.
\]

Then all the assumptions of Theorem 6 are satisfied with
\( a_1 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0 \) and \( a_2 = 1/3 \), except condition (xv), and zero is the common fixed point of \( A \) and \( S \) but zero is not a fixed point of \( B \) and of \( T \).
REMARK 11. If, in Theorem 6, one also assumes the continuity of $T$, the compatibility of the pair \{B,T\} and hypothesis (xv) is dropped, then the conclusion of Theorem 6 still holds. Note that this remark does not apply to Example 7, where, even if $B$ commutes with $T$, $T$ is discontinuous at zero.

Assuming the continuity of $A$, the following result holds.

**THEOREM 7.** Let $A,B,S$ and $T$ be four selfmaps of a complete metric space satisfying condition (xii) for all $x,y$ in $X$. If $a_h \geq 0$ for any $h=1,\ldots,5$ and

\[
\sup_{x,y \in X} (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) < 1,
\]

if $a_1, a_2$ are bounded and if (xvi), (xvii) hold, then $A$ has a fixed point provided that $A$ is continuous.

**PROOF.** As in the proof of Theorem 6, one proves that the sequences 
\[
\{A^2x_n\}, \{Sx_n\}, \{By_n\}, \{Ty_n\}
\]
converge to a point $z$. Since $A$ is continuous, the sequences \{A^2x_n\} and \{ASx_n\} converge to $Az$. Using (xvi), it is seen that the sequence \{SAx_n\} converges to $Az$. Then,

\[
d(AAx_n,By_n) \leq (a_1 + a_2) \cdot \max\{d(A^2x_n,SAx_n),d(By_n,Ty_n)\} + (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) \cdot \max\{d(SAx_n,By_n),d(Ty_n,A^2x_n),d(SAx_n,Ty_n)\},
\]

where $a_j = a_j(Ax_n, y_n)$ for any $j=1,\ldots,5$. Then

\[
d(Az,z) \leq \limsup_n d(A^2x_n,By_n) \leq \limsup_n (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) \cdot d(Az,z),
\]

giving thereby $Az = z$.

**REMARK 12.** Theorem 7 assures the existence of a fixed point $z$ of $A$, but in general $z$ is not a fixed point of $B,S$ and $T$ as is shown in the following example.

**EXAMPLE 8.** Let $x = [0,1]$ with the Euclidean metric $d$ and define $Ax = x/8$ for all $x$ in $X$. Define $B,S,T$: $X \times X$ as

\[
Bx = \begin{cases} 
1/2 & \text{if } x = 0, \\
x/4 & \text{if } x \neq 0,
\end{cases}
\quad
Sx = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\
x/2 & \text{if } x \neq 0,
\end{cases}
\quad
Tx = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\
x & \text{if } x \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Since

\[
d(ASx,SAx) = 1 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{7}{8} < 1 = d(Ax,SAx)
\]

and $SAx = 3x/16$ for all $x$ in $X - \{0\}$, $A$ is weakly commuting with $S$ on the whole space $X$ and hence condition (xvi) holds. Note that $A$ is continuous and condition (xvii) is satisfied by choosing sequences \{x_n\} and \{y_n\}, $x_n \neq 0$ and $y_n \neq 0$ for any positive integer $n$, both converging to zero. Indeed, we have,

\[
\lim_n d(Ax_n, Sx_n) = \lim_n 3x_n/8 = 0, \quad \lim_n d(By_n, Ty_n) = \lim_n 3y_n/4 = 0.
\]
Now we verify condition (xi). We have

\[
d(Ax, By) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 1 \cdot d(By, Ty) & \text{if } x=y=0, \\
\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{8} x = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} x\right) < \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8} x\right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot d(Ty, Ax) & \text{if } x\neq 0, y=0, \\
\frac{1}{4} y < \frac{1}{2} y = \frac{1}{2} \cdot d(Ty, Ax) & \text{if } x=0, y\neq 0, \\
\left|\frac{x}{8} - \frac{y}{4}\right| = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left|\frac{x}{2} - y\right| = \frac{1}{4} \cdot d(Sx, Ty) & \text{if } x\neq 0, y\neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Then (xvii) holds by assuming \(a_1=a_3=0, a_2=1, a_4=1/2, a_5=1/4\). Thus all the conditions of Theorem 7 hold and zero is a fixed point of A but it is not a fixed point of B, S and T.

6. RESULTS IN BANACH SPACES.

We first prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete metric space and \(K\) be a closed subset of \(X\). Let \(A, B, S\) and \(T\) be four selfmaps of \(K\) satisfying condition (xi) for all \(x, y\) in \(K\). If \(a_i>0\) for any \(i=1,\ldots,5\),

\[(xiii') \quad \max \left\{ \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_1+a_4), \sup_{x, y \in X} (a_2+a_3) \right\} < 1, \]

\(a_5\) is bounded, (xiv) and (xv) hold, then the set \(F\) of the common fixed points of \(A, B, S\) and \(T\) is closed.

**Proof.** Let \(\{x_n\}\) be a Cauchy sequence in \(F\) with limit \(x \in K\). Then, from (xii)

\[
d(x, Ax, x) < d(x, x)+d(Ax, Bx, x)
\]

\[
< d(x, x)+a_1 \cdot d(Ax, Sx)+a_2 \cdot d(Bx, Tx, x)
\]

\[
+ a_3 \cdot d(Sx, Bx, x)+a_4 \cdot d(Ax, Tx, x)+a_5 \cdot d(Sx, Tx, x)
\]

\[
< (1+a_4) \cdot d(x, x)+a_1 \cdot [d(x, Ax)+d(x, x)+d(x, Sx)]
\]

\[
+ a_4 \cdot d(x, Ax)+(a_3+a_5) \cdot d(x, Sx),
\]

where \(a_j=a_j(x, x)\) for any \(j=1,\ldots,5\). So

\[
(1-a_1-a_4) \cdot d(x, Ax) < (1+a_4+a_4) \cdot d(x, x) + (a_1+a_3+a_5) \cdot d(x, Sx).
\]

Since

\[
d(x, Sx, x) = d(Sx, Sx),
\]

letting \(n \to \infty\) and using (xiii') and (xiv), we have \(x=Ax\). As
we have \( x = Sx \). From (xv), \( x = T x \). Using (xii) again, we deduce that

\[
d(x, \overline{Bx}) = d(Ax, \overline{Bx}) - d(Ax, Sx) + a_2 \cdot d(Bx, T x) + a_3 \cdot d(Sx, Bx) + a_4 \cdot d(Tx, Ax) + a_5 \cdot d(Sx, Tx)
\]

where \( a_j = a_j(x, x) \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \). By (xiii'), \( x = Bx \) and hence \( F \) is closed.

**THEOREM 8.** Let \( X \) be a strictly convex Banach space, \( K \) be a closed convex subset of \( X \), \( A, B, S, T \) be four selfmaps of \( K \) satisfying condition (xii) for all \( x, y \) in \( K \). If

\[
\sup_{x, y \in X} (a_1 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5) < 1,
\]

(xiii'), (xiv) and (xv) hold, then the set \( F \) of the common fixed points of \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) is closed and convex provided that \( S \) is linear and \( a_h > 0 \) for any \( h = 1, \ldots, 5 \).

**PROOF.** Since (xiii'') implies that \( a_5 \) is bounded, \( F \) is closed by the Lemma. Let \( x_1, x_2 \) be points of \( F \) and \( x = (x_1 + x_2)/2 \). Since \( K \) is convex, \( x \) belongs to \( K \). Since \( S \) is linear, we have

\[
x = \frac{Sx_1 + Sx_2}{2} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} = x,
\]

i.e. \( x \) is a fixed point of \( S \). Without loss of generality, we may assume that

\[
\|x_2 - Ax\| \leq \|x_1 - Ax\|.
\]

Then

\[
\|Sx - Ax\| = \|x - Ax\| < \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\|x_1 - Ax\| + \|x_2 - Ax\|) < \|x_1 - Ax\|.
\]

Using (xii), we get

\[
\|x_1 - Ax\| = \|Ax - Bx_1\| < a_1 \cdot \|Ax - Sx_1\| + a_2 \cdot \|Bx_1 - T x_1\|
\]

\[
+ a_3 \cdot \|Sx - Bx_1\| + a_4 \cdot \|T x_1 - Ax\| + a_5 \cdot \|Sx - T x_1\|
\]

\[
< (a_1 + a_4) \cdot \|x_1 - Ax\| + (a_3 + a_5) \cdot \|x - x_1\|,
\]

where \( a_j = a_j(x, x) \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \). This implies that

\[
(1 - a_1 - a_4) \cdot \|x_1 - Ax\| < (a_3 + a_5) \cdot \|x - x_1\|.
\]

If follows from (xiii'') that

\[
\|x_1 - Ax\| < \|x - x_1\| = \|x_1 - x_2\|/2.
\]
As $X$ is strictly convex, $x_1-Ax$ and hence $Ax$, must lie on the line segment joining $x_1$ and $x_2$. The above inequalities imply that $A$ is the midpoint, i.e. $Ax=x$. Further, $x=Tx$ from (xv) and using (xii), it is easily seen that $x=Bx$. Therefore $x$ is in $F$ and hence $F$ is midpoint convex. Since $F$ is closed, it is convex.

**Remark 13.** An analogous result can be obtained as noted in Remark 8. Results similar to Theorem 8, but established under different contractive conditions, can be found in [1] and [6].
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