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ABSTRACT. For every homomorphism \( \phi \) of a graph \( G \) there exists a contraction \( \theta_\phi \) on \( \bar{G} \), the complement of \( G \). Here we study the graph equation \( \phi(G) = \theta_\phi(\bar{G}) \). In the course of our work we show that Hadwiger's Conjecture is true for every self-complementary graph.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

By a graph of order \( n \) we mean a set \( V(G) \) of \( n \) vertices together with a set \( E(G) \) of unordered pairs of distinct vertices in \( V(G) \) called edges. A graph \( G \) is isomorphic to a graph \( H \) if there is a bijection from \( V(G) \) onto \( V(H) \) which preserves both adjacency and non-adjacency, in which case we will write \( G \cong H \). A graph with the property that \( G \cong \bar{G} \), where \( \bar{G} \) denotes the complement of \( G \), is called self-complementary.

An elementary homomorphism of a graph \( G \) is the identification of two non-adjacent vertices of \( G \), and a homomorphism is a sequence of elementary homomorphisms. Thus a homomorphism of a graph \( G \) onto a graph \( H \) is a function \( \phi \) from \( V(G) \) onto \( V(H) \) such that whenever \( u \) and \( v \) are adjacent in \( G \), \( \phi(u) \) and \( \phi(v) \) are adjacent in \( H \). Likewise, an elementary contraction of a graph \( G \) is the identification of two adjacent vertices of \( G \), and a contraction is a sequence of elementary contractions. Thus for every homomorphism \( \phi \) of \( G \) there is a contraction \( \theta_\phi \) of \( \bar{G} \) that we may construct as follows: \( \phi \) is a sequence of elementary homomorphisms \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n \) each of which identifies two non-adjacent vertices in \( G \), so we let \( \theta_\phi \) be the sequence of elementary contractions \( \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n \) such that \( \theta_1 \) identifies the same vertices in \( \bar{G} \) that \( \epsilon_1 \) identifies in \( G \).

Recently [1] the graph equation \( \phi(\bar{G}) = \theta_\phi(\bar{G}) \) was studied. Here we consider a similar equation, namely,

\[ \phi(G) = \theta_\phi(\bar{G}). \] (1.1)

We will employ the following notation as the need arises: \( \rho_G(u) \) will denote the valency of the vertex \( u \) in \( G \) and \( A_G(u) \) will be the set of all vertices in \( G \) that are...
adjacent to \( u \). Thus \( p^*_G(u) = |A^*_G(u)| \), where \( |A^*_G(u)| \) is the cardinality of the set \( A^*_G(u) \). As usual \( \chi(G) \) will denote the chromatic number of \( G \).

2. SOME GENERAL RESULTS.

**THEOREM 1.** There is no graph \( G \) of order \( n > 1 \) such that (1.1) is satisfied for every homomorphism \( \phi \) of \( G \).

If \( G \) is not self-complementary then the identity homomorphism and its related null contraction suffice to satisfy the theorem. We will postpone the remainder of the proof until section 3, where we restrict our attention to results on self-complementary graphs.

**THEOREM 2.** If there exists a homomorphism \( \phi \) of \( G \) that satisfies (1.1) then:
(a) \( \overline{G} \) must be connected.
(b) \( \overline{G} \) cannot be a tree of order \( n \geq 5 \).

**PROOF.** (a) If \( \overline{G} \) is not connected, then no contraction of \( \overline{G} \) is connected. However, \( \overline{G} \) not connected implies \( G \) is connected, thus every homomorphic image of \( G \) will be connected.

(b) If \( \overline{G} \) is a tree of order \( n \geq 5 \) then \( G \) and every homomorphic image of \( G \) contains \( K_3 \), the complete graph on three vertices, as a subgraph. But every contraction of \( \overline{G} \) will be a tree and so cannot contain \( K_3 \) as a subgraph.

**THEOREM 3.** If \( \chi(G) = 2 \) and \( \overline{G} \) is connected then there exists a homomorphism \( \phi \) such that \( \phi(G) = K_2 = \theta^*_\phi(\overline{G}) \).

**PROOF.** Since \( \overline{G} \) is connected, the image of \( \overline{G} \) under any contraction will be connected. Thus every contraction of \( \overline{G} \) onto two points must have \( K_2 \) as its image. From [3] we know that there exists a homomorphism \( \phi \) of \( G \) such that \( \phi(G) = K_\chi(G) \).

Hence, using this homomorphism and its related contraction, we have \( \phi(G) = K_2 = \theta^*_\phi(\overline{G}) \).

Since every homomorphism is a sequence of elementary homomorphisms, we now turn our attention to the equation

\[
\epsilon(G) = \theta_{\epsilon}(\overline{G}),
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is an elementary homomorphism.

**THEOREM 4.** If \( G \) satisfies (2.1) then
\[
\chi(\overline{G}) - 2 \leq \chi(G) \leq \chi(\overline{G}) + 1.
\]

**PROOF.** In [3] Harary et. al. proved the following inequalities:

\[
\chi(G) \leq \chi(\epsilon(G)) \leq \chi(G) + 1
\]

\[
\chi(\overline{G}) - 1 \leq \chi(\theta_{\epsilon}(\overline{G})) \leq \chi(\overline{G}) + 1.
\]

Since (2.1) holds we have \( \chi(\epsilon(G)) = \chi(\theta_{\epsilon}(\overline{G})) \), and so from the first inequality \( \chi(\theta_{\epsilon}(\overline{G})) \) equals either \( \chi(G) \) or \( \chi(G) + 1 \). Putting these values into the second inequality above yields:

\[
\chi(\overline{G}) - 1 \leq \chi(G) \leq \chi(\overline{G}) + 1
\]

\[
\chi(\overline{G}) - 2 \leq \chi(G) \leq \chi(\overline{G}),
\]
which completes the proof.

The following result will be needed in the next section.

**LEMMA 1.** Let $G$ be of order $n$ and $\varepsilon$ an elementary homomorphism that identifies $u_1$ and $u_2$ in $G$. If (2.1) holds then

$$|A_G(u_1) \cap A_G(u_2)| = |A_G(u_1) \cap A_G(u_2)| + 1 + 2|E(G)| - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

**PROOF.** If $\varepsilon$ identifies $u_1$ and $u_2$ in $G$ we have $|E(\varepsilon(G))| = |E(G)| - |A_G(u_1) \cap A_G(u_2)|$, since when an elementary homomorphism $\varepsilon$ is applied to a graph $G$, $\varepsilon(G)$ will lose one edge for each vertex which is adjacent to both vertices that were identified, and all other edges will remain in $\varepsilon(G)$. Likewise, by the same reasoning as above, $|E(\varepsilon(G))| = |E(G)| - |A_G(u_1) \cap A_G(u_2)| - 1$, where the extra edge removed is the one which was contracted. Now if (2.1) holds both $\varepsilon(G)$ and $\varepsilon(G)$ must contain the same number of edges. Thus

$$|E(G)| - |A_G(u_1) \cap A_G(u_2)| = |E(G)| - |A_G(u_1) \cap A_G(u_2)| - 1,$$

where $|E(G)| = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - |E(G)|$, which proves the lemma.

3. **SELF-COMPLEMENTARY GRAPHS.**

We first summarize some results from [4], [5] and [6] that will be needed. Let $S$ be a self-complementary graph. If $S$ is of order $n$ then $n \equiv 0, 1(\text{mod } 4)$. Suppose $f$ is an isomorphism such that $f(S) = S$. If $n \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4)$ then $f$ has no fixed vertex, that is $f(u) \neq u$ for all $u \in V(S)$. However if $n \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4)$ there exists precisely one fixed vertex for $f$, and moreover any such $S$ can be constructed by appropriately adding this fixed vertex, of valency $\frac{n-1}{2}$, to a self-complementary graph of order $n-1$. Lastly there are regular self-complementary graphs of degree $\frac{n-1}{2}$ if and only if $n \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4)$.

We will assume throughout this section that $S$ is nontrivial, that is $n > 1$. The following sequence of four lemmas will complete the proof of Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 2.** If $S$ is of order $n \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4)$ then there exist vertices $u_1, u_2 \in V(S)$ such that $u_1 u_2 \notin E(S)$ and $\rho_S(u_1) = \rho_S(u_2)$.

**PROOF.** Since $n \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4)$, any given valency that occurs in $S$ will occur an even number of times, [4]. Thus there are vertices $u_1, u_2 \in V(S)$ such that $\rho_S(u_1) = \rho_S(u_2)$. Now let $f(S) = S$, then $\rho_S(u_1) = \rho_S(u_2)$ implies $\rho_S(f(u_1)) = \rho_S(f(u_2))$ and also $\rho_S(f(u_1)) = \rho_S(f(u_2))$. But $u_1 u_2 \notin E(S)$ if and only if $f(u_1) f(u_2) \notin E(S)$ and so $u_1 u_2$ or $f(u_1) f(u_2)$ satisfy the lemma.

**REMARK.** For any self-complementary graph $S$ of order $n$, $|E(S)| = \frac{n(n-1)}{4}$. Thus from Lemma 1, if $\varepsilon$ is an elementary homomorphism of $S$ which identifies the vertices $u_1$ and $u_2$ and $\varepsilon(S) = \varepsilon(S)$, then $|A_S(u_1) \cap A_S(u_2)| = |A_S(u_1) \cap A_S(u_2)| + 1$.

**LEMMA 3.** If $S$ is of order $n \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4)$ then there is an elementary homomorphism $\varepsilon$ of $S$ such that $\varepsilon(S) \neq \varepsilon(S)$.

**PROOF.** Using Lemma 2 choose $u_1, u_2 \in V(S)$ such that $u_1 u_2 \notin E(S)$ but $\rho_S(u_1) = \rho_S(u_2)$. Now for any $u \in V(S)$ that is distinct from $u_1$ and $u_2$, $u$ can be adjacent to both $u_1$ and $u_2$, neither $u_1$ nor $u_2$, or adjacent to one and not the other.
Thus we have

\[A_s(u_1) = \{u_2, \ldots, a_{k_1}, b_1, \ldots, b_k\}\]
\[A_s(u_2) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{k_1}, c_1, \ldots, c_k\}\]

\[A_s^{-1}(u_1) = \{u_2, d_1, \ldots, d_{k_2}, c_1, \ldots, c_k\}\]
\[A_s^{-1}(u_2) = \{u_1, d_1, \ldots, d_{k_2}, b_1, \ldots, b_k\}\]

where \(k_1 = |A_s(u_1) \cap A_s(u_2)|\) and \(k_2 = |A_s^{-1}(u_1) \cap A_s^{-1}(u_2)|\). Let \(\epsilon\) identify \(u_1\) and \(u_2\) and suppose \(\epsilon(S) = \theta_{\epsilon}(S)\), so that from the preceding Remark \(k_1 = k_2 + 1\). Then

\[n-1 = \rho_s(u_1) + \rho_s^{-1}(u_1) = (k_1 + 1) + (k_2 + 1 + 1) = 2k_1 + 2k_2\]

Hence \(n = 2k_1 + 2k_2 + 1 \neq 0 (\text{mod} 4)\), a contradiction.

We now consider those self-complementary graphs of order \(n \equiv 1 (\text{mod} 4)\) and let \(v\) be the fixed vertex, of valency \(n-1\), under the isomorphism \(\rho(S) = S\).

**LEMMA 4.** Let \(S\) be of order \(n \equiv 1 (\text{mod} 4)\) and \(\epsilon\) be an elementary homomorphism that identifies any \(u \in V(S)\) with \(v\). If \(\epsilon(S) = \theta_{\epsilon}(S)\) then \(S\) is regular.

**PROOF.** Let \(\rho(S) = S\), then for any \(u \in V(S)\) distinct from \(v\), \(\rho(u') \neq E(S)\) while \(\rho_s(u') = \rho_s(f(u'))\).

Suppose \(S\) is not regular, then there is a vertex \(u \in V(S)\) such that \(\rho_s(u') \neq \rho_s(f(u'))\).

We have, as in the proof of Lemma 3,

\[A_s(v) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{k_1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1\over 2} - k_1\}\]
\[A_s(u) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{k_1}, c_1, \ldots, c_k\}\]

\[A_s^{-1}(v) = \{u, d_1, \ldots, d_{k_2}, c_1, \ldots, c_k\}\]
\[A_s^{-1}(u) = \{v, d_1, \ldots, d_{k_2}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1\over 2} - k_1\}\]

where \(k_1 = |A_s(u) \cap A_s(v)|\) and \(k_2 = |A_s^{-1}(u) \cap A_s^{-1}(v)|\). But \(\rho_s(v) = n-1\) and so \(\ell = n-1 - (k_2 + 1)\), and \(k_1 = k_2 + 1\) since \(\epsilon(S) = \theta_{\epsilon}(S)\). Hence

\[\rho_s(u) = k_1 + \ell = k_1 + n-1 - (k_2 + 1) = n-1 - k_1\]

a contradiction.

**LEMMA 5.** If \(S\) is a regular self-complementary graph then there is an elementary homomorphism \(\epsilon\) such that \(\rho(S) \neq \theta_{\epsilon}(S)\).

**PROOF.** First note that \(S \vee v\) is self-complementary, with \(n-1\) vertices of valency \(n-1\) and \(n-1\) vertices of valency \(n-3\). Thus there are \(n-1\) edges joining every vertex of valency \(n-1\) to the vertices of valency \(n-3\), and these must appear in either \(S \vee v\) or \(\overline{S \vee v}\). Since \(S \vee v = \overline{S \vee v}\) these edges must be equally divided between \(S \vee v\) and its complement. When \(v\) is added to \(S \vee v\) to form \(S\) it is adjacent to every vertex of valency \(n-3\). Thus if \(u \in V(S \vee v)\) such that \(\rho_{S \vee v}(u) = n-1\), we have

\[|A_s(u) \cap A_s(v)| = n-1 - 4\]

Now \(S\) is regular of degree \(n-1\) and we let \(\epsilon\) identify \(u\), as described above, and \(v\). Assume \(\epsilon(S) = \theta_{\epsilon}(S)\) and \(u' \in V(\epsilon(G))\) is the image of \(u\) and \(v\) under \(\epsilon\). Then

\[\rho_\epsilon(u) = \rho_s(u) + \rho_s(v) - |A_s(u_1) \cap A_s(u_2)| = \frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{4} = 3\left(\frac{n-1}{4}\right),\]
since every vertex adjacent to both \( u \) and \( v \) in \( S \) will only account for one edge incident to \( u \) in \( \bar{c}(S) \). Similarly

\[
\rho_{\bar{c}(S)}(u') = \rho_S(u) + \rho_S(v) - |A_S(u) \cap A_S(v)| - 2,
\]

where the 2 is subtracted since the edge contracted under \( \theta_{\bar{c}} \) is incident to both \( u \) and \( v \). However since \( c(S) = \theta_{\bar{c}}(\bar{S}) \) we must have \( |A_S(u) \cap A_S(v)| = |A_S(u) \cap A_S(v)| + 1 \), and so

\[
\rho_{\bar{c}(S)}(u') = \frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{4} - 1 = \frac{3(n-1)}{4} - 1.
\]

Every other \( \bar{u} \in \bar{V}(\theta_{\bar{c}}(\bar{S})) \) has valency \( \rho_S(\bar{u}) = \frac{n-3}{2} \) or \( \rho_S(\bar{u}) = \frac{n-1}{2} \) depending on whether or not \( \bar{u} \in \bar{A}_S(u) \cap \bar{A}_S(v) \). Therefore \( \rho_{\bar{c}(S)}(u') > \rho_{\theta_{\bar{c}}(\bar{S})}(\bar{u}) \) for every vertex \( \bar{u} \) in \( \theta_{\bar{c}}(\bar{S}) \), and hence \( c(S) \neq \theta(\bar{S}) \) since isomorphisms must preserve valencies.

Now that the proof of Theorem 1 is complete we will show that for every self-complementary graph there is a homomorphism \( \phi \) which satisfies (1.1).

**Lemma 6.** Let \( S \) be of order \( n \) and \( f(S) = \bar{S} \). Then in the set \( V = \{(u_{1\bar{f}},u_{2\bar{f}}) \mid u_{1\bar{f}} \in V(S), i=1\ldots,n\} \) precisely \( m = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \) are non-adjacent pairs of distinct vertices in \( S \).

**Proof.** Let \( u \in V(S) \) such that \( f(u) \neq u \). Then \( u \) and \( f(u) \) are adjacent in \( S \) if and only if \( f(u) \) and \( f(f(u)) \) are non-adjacent in \( S \). Now \( f \) is an isomorphism, and so \( f(u) \) and \( f(f(u)) \) must also be distinct vertices of \( S \). Hence for every pair of distinct non-adjacent vertices in \( S \), there is a pair of adjacent vertices in \( V \) and conversely. Thus there must be \( m = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \) pairs of distinct non-adjacent vertices in \( V \).

**Theorem 5.** Let \( S \) be of order \( n \) and \( m = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \). There exists a homomorphism \( \phi \) of \( S \) such that \( \phi(S) = \bar{K}_{n-m} = \theta_{\phi}(\bar{S}) \).

**Proof.** For each of the \( m \) pairs of distinct non-adjacent vertices provided by Lemma 6, there exists an elementary homomorphism \( e_{\phi} \) of \( S \) that identifies \( u_{1\bar{f}} \) and \( f(u_{1\bar{f}}) \).

Let \( \phi = e_{\phi_{1\bar{f}}} \times e_{\phi_{2\bar{f}}} \times \ldots \times e_{\phi_{m\bar{f}}} \) so that both \( \phi(S) \) and \( \theta_{\phi}(\bar{S}) \) will have \( n-m \) vertices.

Suppose \( \phi_{\phi}(S) \neq K_{n-m} \), then there are vertices \( u_{1\bar{f}}, u_{2\bar{f}} \in V(\phi(S)) \) such that \( u_{1\bar{f}}u_{2\bar{f}} \notin E(\phi(S)) \). Let \( \phi_{-1}(u_{1}) = \{a_{1},a_{2}\} \) and \( \phi_{-1}(u_{2}) = \{b_{1},b_{2}\} \). Thus \( a_{1} \neq b_{2} \), \( f(a_{1}) = a_{2} \) and \( f(b_{1}) = b_{2} \) where \( f(S) = \bar{S} \). Now \( u_{1\bar{f}}u_{2\bar{f}} \notin E(\phi(S)) \) implies \( a_{1}b_{1} \notin E(S) \) and so \( f(a_{1})f(b_{1}) = a_{1}b_{1} \notin E(S) \). But then \( f(f(a_{1}))f(b_{1}) = a_{1}b_{2} \in E(S) \) and so \( u_{1\bar{f}}u_{2\bar{f}} \in E(\phi(S)) \), a contradiction. Thus \( \phi(S) = \bar{K}_{n-m} \). By an argument virtually identical to the one just given it follows that \( \theta_{\phi}(\bar{S}) = K_{n-m} \), which proves the theorem.

From [3] we know that the smallest homomorphic image of any graph \( G \) is a complete graph of order \( \chi(G) \). Thus from Theorem 5 we have \( \chi(S) \leq n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \) for every self-complementary graph \( S \) of order \( n \). However if a graph is contractable to a complete graph of order \( t \), then it has a complete contraction of order \( k \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq t \), also from [3]. Hence Theorem 5 shows that every self-complementary graph satisfies Hadwiger's Conjecture [2], that is, every self-complementary graph \( S \) has a complete contraction of order \( \chi(S) \).


It would certainly be desirable to find some simple necessary and sufficient
conditions on the graph \( G \), and perhaps on \( \overline{G} \), to ensure the existence of a homomorphism \( \phi \) such that the graph equation \( \phi(G) = \theta(\overline{G}) \) holds. Given that such conditions can be found, we would like to be able to construct the appropriate homomorphisms for which the equation holds or perhaps enumerate those homomorphisms of \( G \) that satisfy the equation. All of these problems remain open.
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