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With the help of a continuation theorem based on Gaines and Mawhin’s coincidence degree, easily verifiable criteria are established for the existence of multiple positive periodic solutions of delayed predator-prey systems with type IV functional responses on time scales. Our results not only unify the existing ones but also widen the range of applications.

1. Introduction

As was pointed out by Berryman [1], the dynamic relationship between predators and their prey has long been and will continue to be one of the dominant themes in both ecology and mathematical ecology due to its universal existence and importance. At first sight, these problems may appear to be simple mathematically. However, in fact, they are often very challenging and complicated. Also, Zhen and Ma [2] argued that the environmental fluctuation is important in an ecosystem, and more realistic models require the inclusion of the effect of environmental changes, especially environmental parameters which are time dependent and periodically changing (e.g., seasonal changes, food supplies, etc.). Hence, just as pointed out by Freedman and Wu [3] and Kuang [4], it would be of great interest and importance to study the existence of periodic solutions for systems with periodic delay. Much progress has been made in this direction (see, e.g., [5–8] and the references cited therein).

In 1959, in order to describe behavior of different kinds of species, Holling [9] proposed three types of functional response functions. However, some authors [10] have also described a type IV functional response that is humped and that declines at high prey densities. This decline may occur due to prey group defense or prey toxicity. Recently, Chen
[11] has studied the following periodic predator-prey system with a type IV functional response:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= x(t) \left[ b_1(t) - a_1(t)x(t - \tau_1(t)) - \frac{c(t)y(t - \sigma(t))}{(x^2(t)/n) + x(t) + a} \right], \\
\dot{y}(t) &= y(t) \left[ -b_2(t) + \frac{a_2(t)x(t - \tau_2(t))}{(x^2(t - \tau_2(t))/n) + x(t - \tau_2(t)) + a} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1)

where \(c, \sigma, a_i, b_i, \) and \(\tau_i (j = 1,2)\) are continuous \(\omega\)-periodic functions with \(c(t) \geq 0, \sigma(t) \geq 0, a_i(t) \geq 0, \) and \(\tau_i \geq 0, \int_0^\omega c(t) dt > 0, \) and \(\int_0^\omega b_i(t) dt > 0,\) \(n\) and \(a\) are positive constants. The growth functions \(b_i\) may change sign, since the environment fluctuates randomly. Under bad conditions, \(b_i\) may be negative.

Considering that discrete time models governed by difference equations are more appropriate than continuous ones when the populations have nonoverlapping generations, Zhang et al. [12] studied the following discrete time predator-prey system:

\[
\begin{align*}
x(k + 1) &= x(k) \exp \left[ b_1(k) - a_1(k)x(k - \tau_1(k)) - \frac{c(k)y(k - \sigma(k))}{(x^2(k)/n) + x(k) + a} \right], \\
y(k + 1) &= y(k) \exp \left[ -b_2(k) + \frac{a_2(k)x(k - \tau_2(k))}{(x^2(k - \tau_2(k))/n) + x(k - \tau_2(k)) + a} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

(1.2)

where, for \(i = 1, 2, b_i : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}, c, a_i : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^+, \tau_i, \sigma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}^+\) are all \(\omega\)-periodic.

On the other hand, recently, Bohner et al. [13] pointed out that it is unnecessary to explore the existence of periodic solutions of some continuous and discrete population models in separate ways. One can unify such studies in the sense of dynamic equation on general time scales. The theory of calculus on time scales, which has recently received a lot of attention, was initiated by Hilger in his Ph.D. Thesis in 1988 [14] in order to unify continuous and discrete analysis. Although there has been much research activity concerning the oscillation (nonoscillation) of solutions and periodic solution of differential equation on time scales (or measure chains) (see, e.g., [15–29]), there are few results dealing with multiple periodic solutions of predator-prey systems with time delay.

Motivated by the above work, we consider the following system on time scales \(\mathbb{T}\):

\[
\begin{align*}
x^\Delta(t) &= b_1(t) - a_1(t) \exp \{ x(t - \tau_1(t)) \} - \frac{c(t) \exp \{ y(t - \tau(t)) \}}{\exp \{ 2x(t) \}/n + \exp \{ x(t) \} + a}, \\
y^\Delta(t) &= -b_2(t) + \frac{a_2(t) \exp \{ x(t - \tau_2(t)) \}}{\exp \{ 2x(t - \tau_2(t)) \}/n + \exp \{ x(t - \tau_2(t)) \} + a},
\end{align*}
\]

(1.3)

where, for \(i = 1, 2, b_i : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}, c, a_i, \tau_i, \tau : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+\) are \(\omega\)-periodic functions. \(n\) and \(a\) are positive constants.

The main purpose of this paper is to derive a set of easily verifiable sufficient conditions for the existence of multiple positive periodic solutions of (1.3). The method used here will be the coincidence degree theory developed by Gaines and Mawhin [30].

In (1.3), set \(z_1(t) = \exp \{ x(t) \}, z_2(t) = \exp \{ y(t) \}.\) If \(\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R},\) then (1.3) reduces to (1.1). Also, if \(\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z},\) then (1.3) becomes (1.2). Thus, our results also show that it is unnecessary to
explore the existence of periodic solutions of continuous and discrete population models in separate ways. One can unify such studies in the sense of dynamic equations on time scales.

The paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminary results such as the calculus on time scales and the continuation theorem in coincidence degree theory. In Section 3, we prove our main result.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give a short introduction to the time scales calculus and recall the continuation theorem from coincidence degree theory.

First, let us present some foundational definitions and results from the calculus on time scales, for proofs and further explanation and results, we refer to the paper by Hilger [14].

Definition 2.1. A time scale is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset \( \mathbb{T} \) of the real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \). The set \( \mathbb{T} \) inherits the standard topology of \( \mathbb{R} \).

Definition 2.2. For \( t \in \mathbb{T} \), one defines the forward jump operator \( \sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T} \) by

\[
\sigma(t) := \inf \{ s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t \},
\]

while the backward jump operator \( \rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T} \) is defined by

\[
\rho(t) := \sup \{ s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t \}.
\]

In this definition we put \( \inf \emptyset = \sup \mathbb{T} \) (i.e., \( \sigma(t) = t \) if \( \mathbb{T} \) has a maximum \( t \)) and \( \sup \emptyset = \inf \mathbb{T} \) (i.e., \( \rho(t) = t \) if \( \mathbb{T} \) has a minimum \( t \)), where \( \emptyset \) denotes the empty set. If \( \sigma(t) > t \), we say that \( t \) is right-scattered, while if \( \rho(t) < t \) we say that \( t \) is left-scattered. Points that are right-scattered and left-scattered at the same time are called isolated. Also, if \( t < \sup \mathbb{T} \) and \( \sigma(t) = t \), then \( t \) is called right-dense, and if \( t > \inf \mathbb{T} \) and \( \rho(t) = t \), then \( t \) is called left-dense. Points that are right-dense and left-dense at the same time are called dense.

Definition 2.3. A function \( f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) is said to be rd-continuous if it is continuous at right-dense points in \( \mathbb{T} \) and its left-sided limits exist (finite) at left-dense points in \( \mathbb{T} \). The set of rd-continuous functions is denoted by \( C_{rd} = C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}) = C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \).

Definition 2.4. Suppose \( f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a function, and let \( t \in \mathbb{T} \). Then one defines \( f^\Delta(t) \), the delta-derivative of \( f \) at \( t \), to be the number (provided it exists) with the property that, given any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there is a neighborhood \( U \) of \( t \) (i.e., \( U = (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T} \)) for some \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[
\left| \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(s)}{\sigma(t) - s} - f^\Delta(t) \right| \leq \epsilon |\sigma(t) - s| \quad \forall s \in U.
\]

Thus, \( f \) is said to be delta-differentiable if its delta-derivative exists. The set of functions \( f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) that are delta-differentiable and whose delta-derivative are rd-continuous functions is denoted by \( C_{rd}^1 = C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}) = C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \).
Definition 2.5. A function $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a delta-antiderivative of $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ provided $F^\Delta = f(t)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then, one writes

$$\int_r^s f(t) \Delta t := F(s) - F(r) \quad \forall s, r \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.6. One says that a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is $\omega$-periodic, if $t \in \mathbb{T}$ implies $t + \omega \in \mathbb{T}$.

Lemma 2.7. Every rd-continuous function has an antiderivative.

Lemma 2.8. If $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, $a, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f, g \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T})$, then

(i) $\int_a^b [\alpha f(t) + \beta g(t)] \Delta t = \alpha \int_a^b f(t) \Delta t + \beta \int_a^b g(t) \Delta t$;

(ii) if $f(t) \geq 0$ for all $a \leq t < b$, then $\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t \geq 0$;

(iii) if $|f(t)| \leq g(t)$ on $[a, b) := \{t \in \mathbb{T} : a \leq t < b\}$, then $|\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t| \leq \int_a^b g(t) \Delta t$.

For convenience, one now introduces some notations to be used throughout this paper. Let

$$\kappa = \min\{[0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}\}, \quad I_\omega = [\kappa, \kappa + \omega] \cap \mathbb{T}, \quad g^\omega = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} g(t),$$

$$g^! = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{T}} g(t), \quad \overline{g} = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{I_\omega} g(t) \Delta t = \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa + \omega} g(t) \Delta t, \tag{2.5}$$

where $g \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T})$ is an $\omega$-periodic real function.

In order to obtain the existence of positive periodic solutions of (1.3), for the reader’s convenience, we will summarize in the following a few concepts and results from [30] that will be basic for this paper.

Let $X$, $Z$ be normed vector spaces, $L : \text{Dom} L \subset X \rightarrow Z$ a linear mapping, and $N : X \rightarrow Z$ a continuous mapping. The mapping $L$ will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $\dim \text{Ker} L = \text{Codim} \text{Im} L < +\infty$ and $\text{Im} L$ is closed in $Z$. If $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, there exist continuous projectors $P : X \rightarrow X$ and $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ such that $\text{Im} P = \text{Ker} L$, $\text{Im} L = \text{Ker} Q = \text{Im} (I - Q)$. It follows that $L | \text{Dom} L \cap \text{Ker} P : (I - P)X \rightarrow \text{Im} L$ is invertible. We denote the inverse of that map by $K_P$. Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $X$; the mapping $N$ will be called $L$-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ if $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_P(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact. Since $\text{Im} Q$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ker} L$, there exists an isomorphism $f : \text{Im} Q \rightarrow \text{Ker} L$.

Lemma 2.9 (continuation theorem). Let $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero, and let $N$ be $L$-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. Suppose

(a) for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, every solution $x$ of $Lx = \lambda Nx$ is such that $x \notin \partial \Omega$;

(b) $QNx \neq 0$ for each $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L$ and

$$\deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L, 0\} \neq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Then the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution lying in $\text{Dom} L \cap \overline{\Omega}$. 

Now, we give a lemma which will be useful in our following proof. The proofs of the lemmas can be found in [13].

**Lemma 2.10.** Let $t_1, t_2 \in I_\omega$ and $t \in T$. If $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\omega$-periodic, then

$$f(t) \leq f(t_1) + \int_{I_\omega} |f_s| \Delta s, \quad f(t) \geq f(t_2) - \int_{I_\omega} |f_s| \Delta s. \quad (2.7)$$

### 3. Existence of Periodic Solutions

The goal of this section is to establish sufficient conditions on the existence of periodic solution for system (1.3), where, for $i = 1, 2$, $b_i, c, a_i, \tau_i, \tau$ are rd-continuous functions. Firstly, we always assume that

$$\overline{a_2} > \overline{b_2} \left(1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}\right) \exp\left(\left|\overline{b_1}\right| + \overline{b_1}\right) \omega. \quad (H_1)$$

For further convenience, we define the following six positive numbers:

$$l_\pm = n\left[\overline{a_2} - \overline{b_2}\right] \pm n^2\left[\overline{a_2} - \overline{b_2}\right] \exp\left(\left|\overline{b_1}\right| + \overline{b_1}\right) \omega \right)^2 - 4n\overline{a_2} \overline{b_2} \exp\left(\left|\overline{b_1}\right| + \overline{b_1}\right) \omega \right)^2,$$

$$u_\pm = \frac{n\left[\overline{a_2} - \overline{b_2}\right] \pm n^2\left[\overline{a_2} - \overline{b_2}\right] \exp\left(\left|\overline{b_1}\right| + \overline{b_1}\right) \omega \right)^2 - 4n\overline{a_2} \overline{b_2} \exp\left(\left|\overline{b_1}\right| + \overline{b_1}\right) \omega \right)^2}{2\overline{b_2} \exp\left(\left|\overline{b_1}\right| + \overline{b_1}\right) \omega \right)^2}.$$

It is easy to show that

$$l_- < v_- < u_- < u_+ < v_+ < l_+. \quad (3.2)$$

We now come to the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.1.** In addition to $(H_1)$, assume further that

$$\overline{a_1} l_+ \exp\left(\left|\overline{b_1}\right| + \overline{b_1}\right) \omega < \overline{b_1} \quad (H_2)$$

holds and the system (1.3) has at least two $\omega$-periodic solutions.
Proof. In order to apply Lemma 2.9 (continuation theorem) to (1.3), we first define

\[
X = Z = \left\{ (x,y)^T \mid x,y \in C_{rd}, \ x(t + \omega) = x(t), \ y(t + \omega) = y(t) \right\},
\]

\[
\| (x,y)^T \| = \max_{t \in I_\omega} |x(t)| + \max_{t \in I_\omega} |y(t)|
\]

(3.3)

for any \((x,y) \in X\) (or \(Z\)). Then \(X, Z\) are both Banach spaces when they are endowed with the above norm \(\| \cdot \|\).

For \([x \ y] \in X\), we define

\[
N \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N_1(t) \\ N_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1(t) - a_1(t) \exp \{ x(t - \tau_1(t)) \} - \frac{c(t) \exp \{ y(t - \tau(t)) \}}{\exp \{ 2x(t) \} / n + \exp \{ x(t) \} + a} \\ -b_2(t) + \frac{a_2(t) \exp \{ x(t - \tau_2(t)) \}}{\exp \{ 2x(t - \tau_2(t)) \} / n + \exp \{ x(t - \tau_2(t)) \} + a} \end{bmatrix},
\]

(3.4)

Then, it follows that

\[
\ker L = \left\{ (x,y)^T \in X \mid (x,y)^T = (h_1,h_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{T} \right\},
\]

\[
\text{Im } L = \left\{ (x,y)^T \in X \mid \int_{I_\omega} x(t) \Delta t = 0, \ \int_{I_\omega} y(t) \Delta t \ t \in \mathbb{T} \right\}
\]

is closed in \(Z\),

\[
\dim \ker L = 2 = \text{Codim Im } L,
\]

and \(P, Q\) are continuous projectors such that

\[
\text{Im } P = \ker L, \hspace{1cm} \ker Q = \text{Im } L = \text{Im}(I - Q).
\]

(3.6)

Therefore, \(L\) is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to \(L\)) \(K_P : \text{Im } L \to \ker P \cap \text{Dom } L\) reads

\[
K_P \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{I_\omega} x(s) \Delta s - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{I_\omega}^{x+\omega} x(s) \Delta s \Delta t \\ \int_{I_\omega} y(s) \Delta s - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{I_\omega}^{x+\omega} y(s) \Delta s \Delta t \end{bmatrix}.
\]

(3.7)
Thus,

\[
QN \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} \left[ b_1(s) - a_1(s) \exp\{x(t - \tau_1(s))\} - \frac{c(s) \exp\{y(s - \tau(s))\}}{\exp\{2x(s)\}/n + \exp\{x(s)\} + a} \right] \Delta s \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} \left[ -b_2(s) + \frac{a_2(s) \exp\{x(t - \tau_2(s))\}}{\exp\{2x(s - \tau_2(s))\}/n + \exp\{x(s - \tau_2(s))\} + a} \right] \Delta s \end{array} \right],
\]

\[
K_P(I - Q)N \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} N_1(s) \Delta s - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} N_1(s) \Delta s \Delta t - \left( t - \kappa - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} (t - \kappa) \Delta t \right) N_1(t) \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} N_2(s) \Delta s - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} N_2(s) \Delta s \Delta t - \left( t - \kappa - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{x}^{x+\omega} (t - \kappa) \Delta t \right) N_2(t) \end{array} \right].
\]

(3.8)

Obviously, \(QN\) and \(K_P(I - Q)N\) are continuous. It is not difficult to show that \(K_P(I - Q)N(\bar{\Omega})\) is compact for any open bounded set \(\bar{\Omega} \subset Z\) by using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. Moreover, \(QN(\bar{\Omega})\) is clearly bounded. Thus, \(N\) is \(L\)-compact on \(\bar{\Omega}\) with any open bounded set \(\Omega \subset Z\).

Now we reach the position to search for an appropriate open bounded subset \(\Omega\) for the application of the continuation theorem (Lemma 2.9). Corresponding to the operator equation \(Lx = \lambda Nx, Ly = \lambda Ny, \lambda \in (0, 1)\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= \lambda \left[ b_1(t) - a_1(t) \exp\{x(t - \tau_1(t))\} - \frac{c(t) \exp\{y(t - \tau(t))\}}{\exp\{2x(t)\}/n + \exp\{x(t)\} + a} \right], \\
\dot{y}(t) &= \lambda \left[ -b_2(t) + \frac{a_2(t) \exp\{x(t - \tau_2(t))\}}{\exp\{2x(t - \tau_2(t))\}/n + \exp\{x(t - \tau_2(t))\} + a} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(3.9)

Suppose that \((x(t), y(t))^T \in X\) is a solution of system (3.9) for a certain \(\lambda \in (0, 1)\). Integrating (3.2) over the set \(I_\omega\), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{b}_1\omega &= \int_{I_\omega} \left[ a_1(t) \exp\{x(t - \tau_1(t))\} + \frac{c(t) \exp\{y(t - \tau(t))\}}{\exp\{2x(t)\}/n + \exp\{x(t)\} + a} \right] \Delta t, \\
\bar{b}_2\omega &= \int_{I_\omega} \left[ \frac{a_2(t) \exp\{x(t - \tau_2(t))\}}{\exp\{2x(t - \tau_2(t))\}/n + \exp\{x(t - \tau_2(t))\} + a} \right] \Delta t.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.10) (3.11)
It follows from (3.9)–(3.11) that

$$\int_{I_0} |x^1(t)| \Delta t < \int_{I_0} |b_1(t)| \Delta t + \int_{I_0} \left[ a_1(t) \exp \{ x(t - \tau_1(t)) \} + \frac{c(t) \exp \{ y(t - \tau(t)) \}}{\exp \{ 2x(t) \} / n + \exp \{ x(t) \} + a} \right] \Delta t$$

$$= \left( |b_1| + \bar{b}_1 \right) \omega,$$

(3.12)

$$\int_{I_0} |y^2(t)| \Delta t < \int_{I_0} |b_2(t)| \Delta t + \int_{I_0} \left[ \frac{a_2(t) \exp \{ x(t - \tau_2(t)) \}}{\exp \{ 2x(t - \tau_2(t)) \} / n + \exp \{ x(t - \tau_2(t)) \} + a} \right] \Delta t$$

$$= \left( |b_2| + \bar{b}_2 \right) \omega.$$

(3.13)

Note that \((x(t), y(t))^T \in X\), then there exist \(\xi_i, \eta_i \in I_0, \ i = 1, 2\), such that

$$x(\xi_i) = \min_{t \in I_0} x(t), \quad x(\eta_i) = \max_{t \in I_0} x(t),$$

$$y(\xi_2) = \min_{t \in I_0} y(t), \quad y(\eta_2) = \max_{t \in I_0} y(t).$$

(3.14)

Then, By (3.11) and (3.14), we have

$$\bar{b}_2 \omega \leq \int_{I_0} \left[ \frac{a_2(t) \exp \{ x(\eta_i) \}}{\exp \{ 2x(\xi_i) \} / n + \exp \{ x(\xi_i) \} + a} \right] \Delta t = \frac{\bar{a}_2 \omega \exp \{ x(\eta_i) \}}{\exp \{ 2x(\xi_i) \} / n + \exp \{ x(\xi_i) \} + a},$$

(3.15)

that is,

$$x(\eta_1) \geq \ln \left[ \frac{\bar{b}_2 \exp \{ 2x(\xi_1) \} / n + \exp \{ x(\xi_1) \} + a}{\bar{a}_2} \right].$$

(3.16)

According to (3.12), (3.16), and Lemma 2.10, we derive

$$\int_{I_0} |x^1(t)| \Delta t > \ln \left[ \frac{\bar{b}_2 \exp \{ 2x(\xi_1) \} / n + \exp \{ x(\xi_1) \} + a}{\bar{a}_2} \right] - \left( |b_1| + \bar{b}_1 \right) \omega.$$

(3.17)

In particular, we have

$$x(\xi_1) > \ln \left[ \frac{\bar{b}_2 \exp \{ 2x(\xi_1) \} / n + \exp \{ x(\xi_1) \} + a}{\bar{a}_2} \right] - \left( |b_1| + \bar{b}_1 \right) \omega$$

(3.18)

or

$$\frac{\bar{b}_2}{\bar{a}_2} \exp \{ 2x(\xi_1) \} - \left( |b_1| + \bar{b}_1 \right) - \left( |b_1| + \bar{b}_1 \right) \exp \{ x(\xi_1) \} + \bar{b}_2 a < 0.$$
According to \( (H_1) \), we have

\[
\ln l_- < x(\xi_1) < \ln l_+.
\] (3.20)

Similarly, we also can obtain

\[
x(\eta_1) < \ln u_- \quad \text{or} \quad x(\eta_1) < \ln u_+.
\] (3.21)

From (3.12) and (3.20) and Lemma 2.10, one has

\[
x(t) \leq x(\xi_1) + \int_{t_0}^t \left| x' \right| \Delta t < \ln l_+ + \left( |b_1| + \bar{b}_1 \right) \omega \overset{\text{def}}{=} M_1.
\] (3.22)

This, combined with (3.10) and (3.14), gives

\[
\bar{b}_1 \omega \geq \frac{\bar{c} \omega \exp \left\{ y(\xi_2) \right\}}{\exp \{2M_1\}/n + \exp \{M_1\} + a'},
\] (3.23)

\[
\bar{b}_1 \omega \leq \frac{\bar{c} \omega \exp \left\{ y(\eta_2) \right\}}{a}.
\] (3.24)

It follows from (3.23) that

\[
y(\xi_2) \leq \ln \left\{ \frac{\bar{b}_1 \left( \exp \{2M_1\}/n + \exp \{M_1\} + a \right)}{\bar{c}_1} \right\}.
\] (3.25)

This, together with (3.13) and Lemma 2.10, yields

\[
y(t) \leq y(\xi_2) + \int_{t_0}^t \left| y' \right| \Delta t < \ln \left\{ \frac{\bar{b}_1 \left( \exp \{2M_1\}/n + \exp \{M_1\} + a \right)}{\bar{c}_1} \right\} + \left( |b_2| + \bar{b}_2 \right) \omega \overset{\text{def}}{=} M_2.
\] (3.26)

Moreover, because of \((H_2)\), it follows from (3.24) that

\[
y(\eta_2) \geq \ln \frac{a \left[ \bar{b}_1 - \bar{c}_1 I, \exp \left\{ \left( \left| b_1 \right| + \bar{b}_1 \right) \omega \right\} \right]}{\bar{c}_2}.
\] (3.27)

This, together with (3.13) and Lemma 2.10 again, yields

\[
y(t) \geq y(\eta_2) - \int_{t_0}^t \left| y' \right| \Delta t \geq \ln \frac{a \left[ \bar{b}_1 - \bar{c}_1 I, \exp \left\{ \left( \left| b_1 \right| + \bar{b}_1 \right) \omega \right\} \right]}{\bar{c}_2} - \left( |b_2| + \bar{b}_2 \right) \omega \overset{\text{def}}{=} m_2.
\] (3.28)
It follows from (3.26) and (3.28) that

$$\max_{t \in I_\omega} |y(t)| < \max \{ |m_2|, |M_2| \} \overset{\text{def}}{=} M. \quad (3.29)$$

Obviously, ln $l_s$, ln $u_s$, $M_1$, and $M$ are independent of $\lambda$.

Now, let us consider $QNz_1$ with $z_1 = (x, y)^T \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that

$$QNz_1 = \begin{bmatrix} b_1\omega - a_1\omega \exp(x) - \frac{\bar{c}\omega \exp(y)}{\exp(2x)/n + \exp(x) + a} \\ -\bar{b}_2\omega + \frac{\bar{c}a_2\omega \exp(x)}{\exp(2x)/n + \exp(x) + a} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.30)$$

By virtue of $(H_1)$ and $(H_2)$, we can show that $QNz_1 = 0$ has two distinct solutions $z_{11} = (\ln v_-, \ln((b_1 - a_1v_-)(v_2/n + v_- + a)/\bar{c}))^T$ and $z_{12} = (\ln v_+, \ln((b_1 - a_1v_+)(v_2/n + v_+ + a)/\bar{c}))^T$.

Choose $C > 0$ such that

$$C > \max \left\{ \left| \frac{b_1 - a_1v_-}{\bar{c}} \right|, \left| \frac{b_1 - a_1v_+}{\bar{c}} \right| \right\}. \quad (3.31)$$

Let

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ (x, y) \in X \mid x(t) \in (\ln l_-, \ln u_-), \max_{t \in I_\omega} |y(t)| < M + C \right\},$$

$$\Omega_2 = \left\{ (x, y) \in X \mid \min_{t \in I_\omega} x(t) \in (\ln l_-, \ln l_+), \max_{t \in I_\omega} x(t) \in (\ln u_+, M_1) \text{ and } \max_{t \in I_\omega} |y(t)| < M + C \right\}. \quad (3.32)$$

Then both $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ are bounded open subsets of $X$. It follows from (3.2) and (3.31) that $z_{11} \in \Omega_1$ and $z_{12} \in \Omega_2$. With the help of (3.2), (3.20)–(3.22), (3.29), and (3.31), it is easy to see that $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$, and $\Omega_i$ satisfy the requirement (a) in Lemma 2.9 for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, $QNz \neq 0$ for $z \in \partial \Omega_i \cap \text{Ker } L$. A direct calculation shows that

$$\deg \{ JQN_\omega, \Omega_i \cap \text{Ker } L, 0 \} = (-1)^i \neq 0. \quad (3.33)$$

Here, $J$ can be the identity mapping since $\text{Im } P = \text{Ker } L$. So far, we have proved that $\Omega_i$ verifies all the requirements in Lemma 2.9. Hence (1.3) has at least two $\omega$-periodic solutions. This completes the proof. \qed

Remark 3.2. In (1.3), set $z_1(t) = \exp\{x(t)\}$, $z_2(t) = \exp\{y(t)\}$. When $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then (1.3) reduces to (1.1). Also, if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then (1.3) becomes (1.2). Hence, our result unifies the main results of [11, Theorem 2.2] and [12, Theorem 2.1]. Moreover, our result will also be useful when
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