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1. Introduction

Financial market models with heterogeneous interacting agents have proven to be quite successful in the recent past. For instance, these nonlinear dynamical systems have the potential to replicate some important stylized facts of financial markets—such as the emergence of bubbles and crashes—quite well and thereby help us to understand what is going on in these markets. For pioneering contributions and related further developments see Day and Huang [1], Kirman [2], Chiarella [3], de Grauwe et al. [4], Huang and Day [5], Lux [6, 7], Brock and Hommes [8], Chiarella and He [9, 10], Farmer and Joshi [11], Chiarella et al. [12], Hommes et al. [13], among others. Very recent surveys of this topic are provided by Hommes [14], LeBaron [15], Lux [16], Westerhoff [17], and Chiarella et al. [18].
The seminal model of Day and Huang [1] reveals that nonlinear interactions between technical and fundamental traders may lead to complex bull and bear market fluctuations. The dynamics of this model, which is due to the iteration of a one-dimensional cubic map, may be understood with the help of bifurcation analysis. A typical route to complex dynamics may, for instance, first display a pitchfork bifurcation, followed by a cascade of period-doubling bifurcations for each of two coexisting equilibria. As a result, cycles of various periods and then chaotic dynamics may emerge within two different regions. The two chaotic areas may eventually merge via a homoclinic bifurcation. If that is the case, we observe apparently random switches between bull and bear markets.

In this paper we develop and explore a nonlinear model in which the stock markets of two countries, say H(ome) and A(broad), are linked via and with the foreign exchange market. So far, most of these models focus on one speculative market and not much is known about the implications of market interactions. A few exceptions include Westerho [19], Chiarella et al. [20] and Westerhoff and Dieci [21]. The reason for the markets’ coupling is quite natural. Note first that stock market traders who invest abroad have to consider potential exchange rate adjustments when they enter a speculative position. In addition, these agents obviously need foreign currency to conduct their transactions. We assume that there are two types of traders in the foreign exchange market. Fundamental traders believe that the exchange rate converges toward its fundamental value, and even expect that the strength of mean reversion increases with the mispricing. Although such trading behavior tends to have a stabilizing impact on markets, it also brings nonlinearity into the model. Technical traders optimistically (pessimistically) continue to submit buying (selling) orders when prices are high (low), and thereby tend to destabilize the markets. In the absence of stock market traders who invest abroad, the three markets evolve independently of each other. In particular, the exchange rate is driven by a one-dimensional nonlinear law of motion, and complicated bull and bear market dynamics, as observed in Day and Huang [1], may emerge.

To make matters as simple as possible, we assume that stock market traders only rely on a (linear) fundamental trading rule. If we allow stock market traders from country A to become active in country H, then the stock market H and the foreign exchange market are linked and coevolve in a two-dimensional nonlinear dynamical system. Our model turns into a three-dimensional dynamical system if stock market traders from country H also invest in country A. The expansion of the trading activity of stock market speculators, via the introduction of international connections, therefore results in a gradual increase of the dimension of the dynamical system. As it turns out, the bull and bear dynamics which originate in the foreign exchange market spill over into the stock markets. However, there is now also a feedback from the stock markets to the foreign exchange market, which makes the dynamics even more intricate.

A related model of interacting markets with a similar nonlinear structure was recently investigated by Dieci and Westerhoff [22] (in Dieci and Westerhoff [22], nonlinearity arises due to agents switching among linear competing trading rules), who focus on the nature of the (stabilizing or destabilizing) impact of international connections on the whole system, both in terms of local stability of the fundamental equilibrium and with regard to the amplitude of price fluctuations (in this respect, similar results on the steady-state properties hold for the present model, too). The present paper is devoted to a quite different topic, namely the dynamic analysis of the global (homoclinic) bifurcations that mark the transition from a situation with multiple equilibria to one with chaotic dynamics across bull and bear market regions, similar to that highlighted by Day and Huang [1]. As a matter of fact, not much is known about such kind of dynamics in high-dimensional systems, nor
about the appropriate methodology to understand their global behavior. For this reason, the dynamic analysis of our model is carried out stepwise, by introducing different levels of interaction between markets, rendering it possible to highlight similarities and differences in the structure of the aforementioned global bifurcations across dynamical systems of increasing dimension.

The two-dimensional and the full three-dimensional cases of the present model can thus be regarded as generalizations of the one-dimensional model by Day and Huang [1]. This allows us to discover and analyze the typical bull and bear dynamics in a higher dimensional context, by naturally extending the approach and techniques adopted for the one-dimensional case. Our findings and methodology may also prove to be useful for researchers of different areas interested in homoclinic bifurcations for dynamical systems of dimensions larger than one.

Let us describe in greater detail the key dynamic features of the model. As is well known, the typical bull and bear dynamics that emerge from the Day and Huang [1] model is basically due to a sequence of local and global bifurcations involving multiple coexisting equilibria, in particular homoclinic bifurcations of repelling steady states. Such bifurcations (as well as the global structure of the basins of attraction) are closely related to the noninvertibility of the one-dimensional cubic map used by Day and Huang [1], and to the role played by the so-called critical points (local extrema). Such kind of dynamics has been studied in depth for one-dimensional maps arising from a range of economic applications (see, e.g., Dieci et al. [23], He and Westerhoff [24]), often leading to analytical results. The same dynamic phenomena characterize the dynamics of the independent foreign exchange market in the one-dimensional subcase of our model. By introducing foreign traders in one of the stock markets, the level of integration increases, and stock price $H$ turns out to coevolve with the exchange rate, in a two-dimensional dynamical system. At this stage, the goal of our analysis is thus to show the existence of similar dynamic scenarios and global bifurcations, and to understand their mechanisms in a two-dimensional context, via a mixture of analytical and numerical tools. Some relevant differences with the 1D case are due to the fact that certain symmetry properties are lost once interactions are introduced. However, the basic mechanisms behind the onset of the typical bull and bear scenario are preserved, and are still given by homoclinic bifurcations of unstable (saddle) equilibria, now revealed numerically and graphically via contacts between different kinds of invariant sets. Following Mira et al. [25] we call contact bifurcation any contact between two closed invariant sets of different kinds. A contact bifurcation may have several different dynamic effects, depending on the nature of the invariant sets. We recall that a homoclinic bifurcation of a cycle appears due to a contact between the stable and unstable set of an unstable cycle, followed by transverse intersections (i.e., followed by the existence of points which belong both to the stable and to the unstable set). The existence of a homoclinic trajectory leads to the existence of an invariant set on which the map is purely chaotic. There is not a unique homoclinic bifurcation, as also when a cycle is already homoclinic, further contacts and crossing can occur, leading to new homoclinic trajectories, and thus to new sets with chaotic behaviors. Moreover, since the dynamics is still represented by a noninvertible map of the plane, the tool of the critical curves will prove to be useful in fully understanding the global dynamics, including the disconnected and complex structures of the basins of attraction.

Finally, the three-dimensional case, obtained by removing any restriction on trading activities across different countries, can be understood, via numerical experiments, due to the knowledge of the dynamics occurring in the one- and two-dimensional cases. We will see that the global bifurcations due to contacts between different invariant sets are still present,
leading to dynamics which are the natural extension to a three-dimensional space of those occurring also in the two-dimensional one.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we derive the dynamic model, by describing the behavior of the two stock markets (Sections 2.1 and 2.2, resp.) and the foreign exchange market (Section 2.3). In Section 3 we perform a full dynamic analysis of the one-dimensional case. In Section 4 we consider the two-dimensional case. In particular in Section 4.1 we focus on the conditions for the local asymptotic stability of the fundamental steady state and on the onset of a situation of bistability. We also show how, by increasing a relevant parameter, bistability turns into coexistence of two periodic or chaotic attractors. In Section 4.2 we describe in detail the sequence of homoclinic bifurcations that lead to the existence of a unique attractor covering two previously disjoint regions of the phase space, and to the associated bull and bear dynamics. In Section 5 we will consider the full three-dimensional model. In this case the analytical results are quite poor, but we can study the dynamics by numerical experiments, which show how the same kind of local and global bifurcations observed in the lower dimensional cases also occur in higher dimension, leading to similar results for the state variables of the model. Section 6 concludes this paper. Mathematical details are contained in four appendices.

2. The Model

This section is devoted to the description of the three-dimensional discrete-time dynamic model of internationally connected markets, which will then be analyzed in the lower dimensional subcases before exploring some of its properties in the full three-dimensional model.

We consider two stock markets which are linked via and with the foreign exchange market. The foreign exchange market is modeled in the sense of Day and Huang [1]; that is, we consider nonlinear interactions between technical traders (or chartists) and fundamental traders (or fundamentalists). The fraction of technical and fundamental traders is fixed, but fundamentalists rely on a nonlinear trading rule. The stock markets are denoted by the superscript $H$ (ome) and $A$ (broad). For the sake of simplicity, we assume that only fundamental traders are active in the stock markets, with fixed proportions and linear trading rules. Two kinds of connections exist among the markets: first, stock market traders who trade abroad base their demand on both expected stock price movements and expected exchange rate movements. Second, in order to conduct their business they generate transactions of foreign currencies and consequent exchange rate adjustments. In each market, the price adjustment process is simply modeled by a linear price impact function. The latter may be interpreted as the stylized behavior of risk-neutral market makers, who stand ready to absorb the imbalances between buyers and sellers and then adjust prices in the direction of the excess demand.

In the following subsections we describe each market in detail.

2.1. The Stock Market in Country $H$

Let us start with a description of the stock market in country $H$. According to the assumed price impact function, the stock price in country $H$ ($P^H$) at time step $t + 1$ is quoted as

$$ P_{t+1}^H = P_t^H + a^H \left( D_{F,t}^{HH} + D_{F,t}^{HA} \right), $$

(2.1)
where \( a^H \) is a positive price adjustment parameter and \( D^{HH}_{F,t}, D^{HA}_{F,t} \) reflect the orders placed by fundamental traders from countries \( H \) and \( A \) investing in country \( H \), respectively. For instance, if buying orders exceed selling orders, prices go up.

The orders placed by fundamental traders from country \( H \) are given by

\[
D^{HH}_{F,t} = b^H \left( F^H - P^H_t \right),
\]

(2.2)

where \( b^H \) is a positive reaction parameter and \( F^H \) is the fundamental value of stock \( H \). Fundamentalists seek to profit from mean reversion. Hence, these traders submit buying orders when the market is undervalued (and vice versa).

Fundamental traders from abroad may benefit from a price correction in the stock market and in the foreign exchange market. Denote the fundamental value of the exchange rate by \( F^S \) and the exchange rate by \( S \), then their orders can be written as

\[
D^{HA}_{F,t} = c^A \left[ F^A - P^A_t \right] + \gamma^A \left( F^S_t - S^H \right),
\]

(2.3)

where \( c^A \geq 0, \gamma^A > 0 \). Suppose, for instance, that both the stock market and the foreign exchange market are undervalued. Then the foreign fundamentalists take a larger buying position than the national fundamentalists (assuming equal reaction parameters). However, if the foreign exchange market is overvalued, they become more cautious (and may even enter a selling position).

### 2.2. The Stock Market in Country \( A \)

Let us now turn to the stock market in country \( A \). We have a set of equations similar to those for stock market \( H \). The new stock price \( P^A_{t+1} \) at time \( t+1 \) is set as follows:

\[
P^A_{t+1} = P^A_t + a^A \left( D^{AA}_{F,t} + D^{AH}_{F,t} \right),
\]

(2.4)

with \( a^A > 0 \). The orders placed by the fundamentalists from country \( A \) investing in stock market \( A \) amount to

\[
D^{AA}_{F,t} = b^A \left( F^A - P^A_t \right),
\]

(2.5)

where \( b^A > 0 \) and \( F^A \) is the fundamental price of stock \( A \). The orders placed by fundamentalists from country \( H \) investing in stock market \( A \) are given as

\[
D^{AH}_{F,t} = c^A \left[ \left( F^A - P^A_t \right) + \gamma^A \left( \frac{1}{F^S_t} - \frac{1}{S^H_t} \right) \right],
\]

(2.6)

where \( c^A \geq 0, \gamma^A > 0 \). Note that the latter group takes the reciprocal values of the exchange rate and its fundamental value into account.
2.3. The Foreign Exchange Market

Let us now consider the dynamics of the exchange rate \( S \), here defined as the price of one unit of currency \( H \) in terms of currency \( A \). The exchange rate adjustment in the foreign exchange market is proportional to the excess demand for currency \( H \). The excess demand, in turn, depends not only on the stock traders who are active abroad, but also on foreign exchange speculators. The latter group of agents consists of technical and fundamental traders. The exchange rate for period \( t + 1 \) is

\[
S_{t+1} = S_t + d \left( P^H_t D^{HA}_t - \frac{P^A_t}{S_t} D^{AH}_t + D^S_{C,t} + D^S_{F,t} \right),
\]  

(2.7)

where \( d \) is a positive price adjustment parameter. Note that the stock orders placed by the stock traders are given in real units, so that these traders’ demand for currency is the product of stock orders times stock prices. In particular, \( P^A_t D^{AH}_t \) is the demand for currency \( A \) generated by investors from country \( H \) trading in stock market \( A \), resulting in a demand for currency \( H \) (of the opposite sign), given by \(-\left(P^A_t / S_t \right) D^{AH}_t \).

The orders submitted by technical and fundamental speculators in the foreign exchange market are denoted by \( D^S_{C,t} \) and \( D^S_{F,t} \), respectively. Following Day and Huang [1], the orders placed by chartists are formalized as

\[
D^S_{C,t} = e \left( S_t - F^S \right).
\]  

(2.8)

Since \( e \) is a positive reaction parameter, (2.8) implies that chartists believe in the persistence of bull or bear markets. For instance, if the exchange rate is above its fundamental value, the chartists are optimistic and continue buying foreign currency.

Fundamentalists seek to exploit misalignments using a nonlinear trading rule

\[
D^S_{F,t} = f \left( F^S - S_t \right)^3,
\]  

(2.9)

where \( f \) is a positive reaction parameter. As long as the exchange rate is close to its fundamental value, fundamentalists are relatively cautious. But the larger the mispricing, the more aggressive they become. Day and Huang [1] argue that such behavior is justified by increasing profit opportunities. Both the potential for and the likelihood of mean reversion are expected to increase with the mispricing.

3. The 1D Case

The complete dynamic model is given by (2.1) (combined with (2.2) and (2.3)), (2.4) (with (2.5) and (2.6)), and (2.7) (with (2.8) and (2.9)), and is represented by a 3D nonlinear dynamical system. In the most simple situation, stock market traders are not allowed to trade
abroad; that is, \( c^H = c^A = 0 \). In this case, stock prices are independent of each other and of the exchange rate. The structure of the system is as follows:

\[
P_{t+1}^H = G^H(P_t^H),
\]

\[
P_{t+1}^A = G^A(P_t^A),
\]

\[
S_{t+1} = G^S(S_t),
\]

which is made up of three independent equations, the first two of which are linear, while the third is cubic. It is easy to check that the two linear systems admit the respective fundamental prices as unique steady states, which are globally stable, provided that reaction parameters are not too large, namely, \( a^H b^H < 2, a^A b^A < 2 \). The third equation, expressed in deviations from fundamental value, \( x = (S - F^S) \), becomes

\[
x_{t+1} = \phi(x_t) = x_t(1 + de) - dfx_t^3,
\]

and the equilibrium condition \( \phi(x) = x \) for the exchange rate is the following:

\[
x(e - fx^2) = 0,
\]

which always gives three equilibria for any positive value of parameters \( e \) and \( f \). The exchange rate dynamics produced by the third equation is similar to that described in the model by Day and Huang [1]. In our setting, the fundamental steady state; that is, the origin \( O \) (\( x = 0 \)), is always unstable (\( \phi'(0) = 1 + de > 1 \)), while the symmetric steady states \( \bar{x}_- := -\sqrt{e/f} \) and \( \bar{x}_+ := \sqrt{e/f} \) are both stable for \( de < 1 \). In the following, the chartist demand coefficient, \( e \), will be chosen as the bifurcation parameter.

Map (3.2) is symmetric with respect to the origin (\( \phi(x) = -\phi(-x) \)), so that the bifurcations of the symmetric fixed points and cycles occur at the same value of \( e \). The map is bimodal: it has a local minimum at \( x^m_{-1} = -\sqrt{(1 + de)/3df} \), at which the function assumes a value \( x^m_{-} = -2(1 + de)/3\sqrt{(1 + de)/3df} \); and by symmetry, a local maximum at \( x^M_{-1} = +\sqrt{(1 + de)/3df} \), at which the function assumes a value \( x^M_{-} = 2(1 + de)/3\sqrt{(1 + de)/3df} \) (we use the notation \( x^m_{i+1} := \phi(x^m_{i}) \) and \( x^M_{i+1} := \phi(x^M_{i}) \)). This allows us to obtain two symmetric absorbing intervals bounded by the critical values and their images:

\[
I^- = [x^m_{0}, x^m_{1}] \quad \text{and} \quad I^+ = [x^M_{0}, x^M_{1}].
\]

The set of initial conditions generating bounded trajectories is the interval whose borders are the points of an unstable 2-cycle \( (a_-, a_+) \) (see Figure 1(a)). By taking an initial condition (i.e. henceforth) below \( a_- \) or above \( a_+ \), the exchange rate diverges, while in the other cases it converges to one of the attractors located in the absorbing intervals. The immediate basin of attraction of the positive fixed point \( \bar{x}_+ \) is bounded by the fundamental steady state and by its positive rank-1 preimage, \( B^+_0 := ]O, O^+_1[. \) The immediate basin is not the only interval whose points generate trajectories converging to the positive steady state. In fact, \( B^+_0 \) has a preimage formed by negative values, \( B^+_{-1}, \) which has a preimage \( B^+_{-2} \) inside interval \( ]O^+_{-1}, a_+[. \) The
Figure 1: Stable non-fundamental steady states. (a) and its enlargement (b) are obtained using the following set of parameters: $d = 0.35$, $e = 2.687$, and $f = 0.7$.

Figure 2: Basins of attraction. In (a) the immediate basin of the steady state $\bar{x}_r$ and its rank-1 and rank-2 preimages are represented in blue. In (b) an enlargement of the interval between $O_{-1}$ and $\alpha_r$ with the alternance of intervals belonging to the basin of attraction of $\bar{x}_r$ (in blue) and $\bar{x}_-$(in green) are shown. The parameters are as in Figure 1.

The latter, in turn, has a preimage in the negative values, and so on (Figure 2(a)), thus forming an infinite sequence of intervals, which are all part of the basin of attraction of $\bar{x}_r$ and that accumulate at the points of the unstable 2-cycle $(\alpha_r, \alpha_r)$. Such intervals alternate on the real line with the intervals belonging to the basin of $\bar{x}_-$, determined in a similar way. The borders of the intervals are given by the preimages of the fundamental steady state (Figure 2(b)). The union of the infinitely many intervals is the basin of attraction of $\bar{x}_r$:

$$ B^+ := B_0^+ \cup B_{-1}^+ \cup B_{-2}^+ \cup ... $$

and an analogous (and symmetric) explanation holds for the basin of the negative steady state, $B^-$. 

Figure 3: Periodic and chaotic attractors. In (a) a stable 2-cycle is obtained using the same set of parameters of Figure 1 except for $e = 3.483$. In (b) the chaotic attractor is obtained with $e = 3.7436$.

Figure 4: Homoclinic bifurcation of $x^-$. The two chaotic intervals around $x_-$ merge into a unique chaotic interval for $e \approx 3.89$. The remaining parameters are as in Figure 3.

For $de > 1$, steady states $x_-$ and $x_+$ become unstable via flip-bifurcation (as $\phi'(x_-) = \phi'(x_+) = 1 - 2de = -1$ for $de = 1$). By increasing the value of $e$, the dynamics show a cascade of flip bifurcations, finally leading to chaos (Figure 3). In these cases, $B^+$ and $B^-$ are the basins of attraction of the periodic cycles or the chaotic intervals located in $\Gamma^+$ and $\Gamma^-$, respectively. For $e = 3.89$, the chaotic intervals included in $\Gamma^+$ merge into a unique chaotic interval (Figure 4). The same happens for the chaotic intervals in $\Gamma^-$, for the symmetry properties of the map. This is a remarkable global bifurcation, namely, a homoclinic bifurcation of $x_-$ (and symmetrically $x_+$), occurring when the third iterate of the critical point merges with the unstable fixed point. Before this bifurcation, the asymptotic dynamics can only consist of cycles of even periods, whereas cycles of odd periods will appear after it. Moreover, this is the first parameter value at which the dynamics is chaotic on one interval (in the sense of chaos of full measure on an interval).
Figure 5: Homoclinic bifurcation of $O$. The two chaotic intervals around $x_1$ and around $x_2$ merge into a unique chaotic interval for $e \approx 4.5659$. The remaining parameters are as in Figure 4.

Figure 6: Bifurcation diagram versus parameter $e$ for the one-dimensional model, under the basic parameter setting: $d = 0.35$ and $f = 0.7$. The homoclinic bifurcation of the two symmetric fixed points occurs at $e = 3.89$, the reunion of the two disjoint intervals, homoclinic bifurcation of the origin, at $e = 4.5659$, while the final bifurcation occurs at $e = e_f = 5.75$.

Figures 3 and 4, which are restricted to the upper right branch of the map, describe the dynamics and the structure of the attractors around the steady state $x_1$. To understand the global dynamics, we must consider the other portion, too. The global structure of the basins is similar to that described above (Figure 2) for the case of coexisting stable steady states; that is, each basin consists of infinitely many intervals with the unstable two-cycle $(a_-, a_+)$ as the limit set. Thus taking the i.c. on the right or the left of the origin is not a sufficient condition for convergence to the attractor on that side. For the points close to the two-cycle $(a_-, a_+)$ in particular it is almost impossible to say whether there will be convergence to the attractor on the right or on the left. However, the two attractors (and their basins) will merge together for higher values of the parameter $e$. A further rise in the value of $e$ takes $x_1^{m}$ and $x_1^{M}$ increasingly
closer to the fundamental steady state, and increasingly closer to each other. As long as \( x^m < 0 \) and \( x^M > 0 \), the two absorbing intervals are still separated, but at \( e = (3\sqrt{3}/2 - 1)(1/d) \), \( x^m \) and \( x^M \) merge in \( x = 0 \). Each trajectory starting from interval \( x^m, x^M \) now covers the whole interval \( I^- \cup I^+ = [x^M, x^m] \) (homoclinic bifurcation of \( O \)). The basin of the enlarged invariant interval \( I^- \cup I^+ \) is the whole interval \( B :=]a_-, a_+[ \) (Figure 5).

Put differently, the two disjoint symmetric attractors exist as long as each unimodal part of the map behaves as the standard logistic map, \( x_{i+1} = f_\mu(x_i) = \mu x_i(1 - x_i) \), for \( 3 < \mu < 4 \). The global bifurcation occurring in the logistic map at \( \mu = 4 \) (first homoclinic bifurcation of the origin \( O \)) followed by diverging trajectories, is replaced here by a homoclinic bifurcation leading to the reunion of the two chaotic attractors. This is better illustrated in the bifurcation diagram in Figure 6. An i.c. in the immediate basin on the right tends to the attractor on the positive side (in red in Figure 6), while an i.c. in the immediate basin on the left tends to the attractor on the negative side (in blue in Figure 6). At the homoclinic bifurcation of the origin we observe their reunion: there is a unique attractor (in green in Figure 6) and any point belonging to interval \( B :=]a_-, a_+[ \) tends toward it.

This kind of dynamics persists as long as the chaotic interval is inside the repelling two cycle; that is, \( [x^m, x^M] \subset]a_-, a_+[ \). It is clear that the lastor final bifurcation here occurs at a value \( e = e_f \), at which \( x^M = a_- \) (and clearly also \( x^M = a_+ \)), that is

\[
-\frac{2(1 + de)}{3} \sqrt{1 + de/3df} = a_-(e) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2(1 + de)}{3} \sqrt{1 + de/3df} = a_+(e) \tag{3.6}
\]

In Appendix A we show that \( x^M(e) \) tends to infinity faster than \( a_+(e) \) so that a finite value of \( e \) exists, say \( e_f \), leading to the final bifurcation (3.6). As for the logistic map, after this final bifurcation the generic trajectory is divergent (and thus the model is no longer meaningful). However, an invariant chaotic set inside interval \( [x^m, x^M] \) still exists for any larger value of \( e \): a so-called chaotic repellor, which represents the only surviving bounded invariant set. Summarizing, we have proven the following.

**Proposition 3.1.** The bimodal map in (3.2) is symmetric with respect to the origin, with a local maximum point at \( x^m_1 = +\sqrt{(1 + de)/3df} \) and local maximum value \( x^M_0 = (2(1 + de))/3\sqrt{(1 + de)/3df} \). An unstable fixed point in the origin always exists. A positive fixed point \( \bar{x}_+ = e/f \) is locally asymptotically stable for \( de < 1 \). A flip bifurcation of \( \bar{x}_+ \) occurs at \( de = 1 \). The attracting set on the half-line \( x > 0 \) is included in the absorbing interval \( I^+ = [x^M, x^m] \) for \( 0 < e < (3\sqrt{3}/2 - 1)(1/d) \), disjoint from the symmetric one, on the half-line \( x < 0 \), and the basins of the two disjoint invariant sets consist of infinitely many intervals, having the unstable 2-cycle with periodic points \( (a_-, a_+) \) as limit set. At \( e = (3\sqrt{3}/2 - 1)(1/d) \) the homoclinic bifurcation of the origin occurs, and for \( (3\sqrt{3}/2 - 1)(1/d) < e < e_f \) the dynamics are bounded in the interval \( [x^m, x^M] \). For \( e > e_f \) the generic trajectory is divergent.

From an economic point of view it is interesting to note that already the one-dimensional nonlinear map for the foreign exchange market is able to generate endogenous dynamics (i.e., excess volatility) and bubbles and crashes. For a more detailed economic interpretation of this scenario see the related setup of Day and Huang [1]. An interesting question is whether this kind of dynamic behavior may survive in a higher dimensional context; for example, when the foreign exchange market is coupled with a stock market. A first answer is provided in the following section.
4. The 2D Case

In this section we analyze the case in which stock market traders from $H$ are not allowed to trade in $A$; that is, $c^A = 0$, while stock market traders from $A$ are allowed to trade in $H$, $c^H > 0$. In this case, stock market $A$ decouples from the other two markets and is driven by an independent linear equation $P_{t+1}^A = G^A(P_t^A)$ (whose dynamical properties were briefly discussed in the previous section). We thus have an independent two-dimensional system with the following structure:

$$P_{t+1}^H = G^H(P_t^H, S_t),$$
$$S_{t+1} = G^S(P_t^H, S_t).$$

System (4.1) expressed in deviations (although we work with deviations, in all the following numerical experiments we have checked that original prices never become negative) from fundamental values, $x = (P^H - F^H)$ and $y = (S - F^S)$, is driven by the map $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ defined as follows:

$$T : \begin{cases} 
  x_{t+1} = x_t - a^H \left[ (b^H + c^H)x_t + c^H y_t \right], \\
  y_{t+1} = y_t - d \left[ c^H (x_t + F^H)(x_t + y_t) - e y_t + f y_t^3 \right].
\end{cases}$$

4.1. Steady States and Multistability

With regard to system (4.2), the equilibrium conditions for the stock price in country $H$ and the exchange rate are given, respectively, by

$$x \left[ \frac{f}{(q^H)^3} x^2 + b^H x + b^H F^H - \frac{e}{q^H} \right] = 0,$$
$$y = - \frac{x}{q^H},$$

where $q^H := c^H y^H / (b^H + c^H)$. Apart from the fundamental steady state, say $O$, represented by $x = 0$ and $y = 0$, two further equilibria (denoted as $P_1$ and $P_2$) may exist, provided that

$$e > e_{SN} := \frac{-(b^H)^2 (q^H)^4}{4f} + b^H F^H q^H.$$

For $e = e_{SN}$, the unique additional solution to (4.3) is given by $x = (-b^H (q^H)^3)/2f < 0$, which means that when $e$ increases beyond the bifurcation value $e_{SN}$, the newborn non-fundamental steady states are initially characterized by $x < 0$ (equilibrium price $H$ below fundamental) and $y > 0$ (equilibrium exchange rate above fundamental).

Three steady states therefore coexist when the reaction parameter $e$ (which measures chartists’ belief in the persistence of bull and bear markets) is large enough. Although this scenario of multistability in the 2D model of interconnected markets is similar to that of the
foreign exchange market in the 1D case, it should be remarked that a region of the parameter space now exists such that the system admits a unique stable steady state. A similar result has also emerged from the related model studied in Dieci and Westerhoff [22]. It was interpreted there in terms of a possible stabilizing effect of market interactions when speculative trading is not too strong.

In order to understand better which kind of bifurcations occur, Appendix B analyzes the Jacobian matrix of system (4.2) evaluated at the fundamental steady state, and proves that its eigenvalues are always real. Moreover, under the simplifying assumption that the price adjustment parameters are not too large, one of the eigenvalues is smaller than one in modulus, while the other becomes larger than 1 if the following condition is fulfilled:

\[ e > e_{CS} := b^H F^H q^H, \]  

so that \( e_{CS} \) represents the value of parameter \( e \) at which a change of stability occurs for the fundamental steady state. Given that \( f > 0 \), it follows that \( e_{CS} > e_{SN} \), and we can then fully explain the bifurcation sequence leading to multiple steady states. By increasing parameter \( e \), at \( e = e_{SN} \) a saddle-node bifurcation occurs and two new equilibria appear, \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) (a saddle and an attracting node, resp.). We have thus proven the following

**Proposition 4.1.** The two dimensional map in (4.2) always has an equilibrium in the origin, which is locally stable for \( e < e_{CS} := b^H F^H q^H \). A pair of further equilibria appears via a saddle-node bifurcation at \( e = e_{SN} := (-b^H)^2 q^H / 4f + b^H F^H q^H \) and, therefore, for \( e_{SN} < e < e_{CS} \) there is coexistence of two stable equilibria. At \( e = e_{CS} \) a transcritical bifurcation takes place.

As cannot have the explicit expressions of the new pair of equilibria, cannot perform analytically their local stability analysis. Thus in the following we describe the results via numerical simulations. Note that we keep parameters \( d \) and \( f \) fixed at the same values used for the simulation in the one-dimensional case. For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the same set of parameter values in the entire paper. With regard to this, it is worth mentioning...
that for alternative parameter settings we have observed the same kind of dynamics and bifurcations as described in what follows.

Of the two new equilibria, the stable one, which we call $P_2$, is the one further from the fundamental equilibrium. For values of parameter $e$ in the range $e_{SN} < e < e_{CS}$ were we have coexistence of two stable equilibria, the fundamental $O$ coexists with the equilibrium point $P_2$. The points of the phase plane either converge to $O$ or to $P_2$, and the two basins of attraction are separated by the stable set of the saddle equilibrium point $P_1$. An example is shown in Figure 7(a), where we use the following parameter setting: $a^H = 0.41, b^H = 0.11, c^H = 0.83, y^H = 0.3, F^H = 4.279, F^s = 6.07, d = 0.35$, and $f = 0.7$.

At $e = e_{CS}$ the fixed point $P_1$ merges with the fundamental one and then crosses it, and the stability properties of the two steady states changes too (transcritical bifurcation). It is worth noting that the range of values ($e_{SN}, e_{CS}$) of parameter $e$ between the saddle-node bifurcation and the transcritical bifurcation becomes increasingly smaller as $f$ increases (compare equations (4.5) and (4.6)). For values of parameter $e > e_{CS}$ and close to the bifurcation, the fundamental equilibrium $O$ is unstable while the two equilibria $P_1$ and $P_2$ are both stable. The stable set $W^S_O$ of the saddle $O$ is the separator between the two basins of attraction, $B_1$ and $B_2$, respectively, while the two branches of the unstable set $W^u_O$ have opposite behavior: one tends to attractor $P_1$ while the other tends to attractor $P_2$. An example is shown in Figure 7(b).

As parameter $e$ is further increased, both equilibria $P_1$ and $P_2$ become unstable via a flip (or period doubling) bifurcation. Moreover, a cascade of flip bifurcations, leading to chaos, will take place for both of them. However, unlike the results in the 1D model, the two sequences of flip bifurcations are not synchronized, due to the asymmetry of the 2D map. An example is shown in the bifurcation diagram of Figure 8. By fixing all parameters, except for $e$, we can see that equilibrium $P_1$ first undergoes a flip bifurcation at $e = e_1$ and then $P_2$ at $e = e_2 > e_1$. In the narrow range $e_1 < e < e_2$ the points of the phase plane either converge to the stable equilibrium $P_2$ or to a stable 2-cycle born from the flip bifurcation of $P_1$ and close to it. The two basins $B_1$, and $B_2$ are always separated by the stable set $W^S_O$ of the saddle fundamental equilibrium $O$, while the two branches of the unstable set $W^u_O$ of the fundamental equilibrium behave in an opposite manner: one tends to equilibrium $P_2$ and the other to the attractor born from $P_1$. As parameter $e$ increases, we observe several flip

**Figure 8:** Bifurcation diagrams (b.d. for short). In blue the b.d. corresponding to an initial condition close to $P_1$, whereas the b.d. in red is obtained with an initial condition close to $P_2$. Panel (b) is a magnification of a portion of the b.d. in (a), which emphasizes the values of parameter $e$ at which the steady states lose stability.
bifurcations associated with the two attractors, say $A_1$ and $A_2$, while their basins $B_1$ and $B_2$ are always separated by the stable set of $O$. The two branches of the unstable set of $O$ still converge to the two different attractors until certain global bifurcations occur, as we will describe below. Also the structure of the attractors and that of the two basins undergo global bifurcations.

Although the two attractors $A_1$ and $A_2$ are not steady states, the long-run dynamics of the system still takes place in the same regions as that represented in Figure 7(b). In fact, the asymptotic states are either in region $y < 0$, $x > 0$, denoted as the bear region (when orbits converge to $A_1$) or in region $y > 0$, $x < 0$, denoted as the bull region (when orbits converge to $A_2$). In the bear (bull) region, the exchange rate is below (above) its fundamental value, whereas stock price $H$ is above (below) the fundamental value. An example is shown in Figure 9(a), where two 4-cycles coexist, while in Figure 9(b) two chaotic attractors coexist, both formed by two separate chaotic areas. However, the structure of the basins of attraction $B_1$ and $B_2$ becomes much more complicated. They are disconnected, which is a consequence of the noninvertibility of the map. More precisely, for noninvertible maps the phase plane may be subdivided into regions of points having the same number of rank-1 preimages. These regions are separated by the critical curve $LC$, also shown in Figure 9 together with the locus $LC_{-1}$, where $LC = T(LC_{-1})$ (see Appendix C). When the parameter $e$ changes, a portion of a basin of attraction may cross some arc of curve $LC$, thus entering inside a region with a higher number of preimages. This contact bifurcation causes the appearance of disconnected portions of the basin of attraction. An example is given by portion $H$ of basin $B_1$ of attractor $A_1$ (located near $P_1$), which is shown to exist in Figure 9(b) but not yet in Figure 9(a). The creation of this disconnected region is due to the small portion $H'$ of basin $B_1$ which has moved in Figure 9(b) to the left of $LC$ (see arrow in Figure 9(b)), thus entering a region of the phase space whose points have a higher number of preimages. Two new rank-1 preimages of $H'$, appearing on opposite sides of $LC_{-1}$, create the disconnected portion of basin labelled $H$.

4.2. Global Bifurcations

The previous subsection has shown how, under increasing values of parameter $e$, the two attractors (first equilibria $P_1$ and $P_2$ then $A_1$ and $A_2$) undergo a sequence of flip bifurcations which is not synchronized, leading the system to chaotic dynamics. The sequence of flip bifurcations can also be observed in Figure 10. From Figure 10 the existence of different intervals for parameter $e$ can be noted, such that the dynamics in the phase plane are qualitatively the same within each range. Such intervals are denoted as A, B, C, D, and E. The borders between two adjacent intervals are associated with homoclinic bifurcations involving one or two of the three equilibria, and will be described in the present subsection.

4.2.1. First Homoclinic Bifurcation of $P_1$ and $P_2$

As stated above, for a wide interval of values of $e$, we observe two coexisting attractors $A_i$, $i = 1,2$, each consisting of two parts. The dynamics on each attractor alternately jumps from one to the other side of the stable set $W^S_{P_i}$ of the saddle $P_i$. The first global bifurcation occurring to the chaotic area is caused by the contact between the two parts constituting the chaotic attractor $A_i$ and the stable manifold $W^S_{P_i}$, leading to a one-piece chaotic area $A_i$. This corresponds to the first homoclinic bifurcation of the saddle equilibria $P_i$. This bifurcation is the two-dimensional analogue of that occurring in the 1D case, described in Section 3,
Figure 9: Basins of attraction. Basin $B_1$ of the attractor located around $P_1$ is in pink, whereas basin $B_2$, whose points lead to the attractor around $P_2$, is in orange. In (a), for $e = 3.43$, attractors $A_1$ and $A_2$ are two coexisting 4-cycles. In (b), for $e = 3.56$, the attractors are two coexisting two-piece chaotic attractors.

Figure 10: Bifurcation diagrams. The b.d. in (a) corresponds to an initial condition close to $P_1$, whereas the b.d. in (b) assumes an initial condition close to $P_2$. The green portion of the diagrams is the same for any initial condition (except for those leading to divergent trajectories).

Figure 4. The latter was due to a contact between a critical point on the boundary of the chaotic interval and the unstable steady state. Here we have a contact between arcs of critical curves, which constitute the boundary of the chaotic attractor (see Mira et al. [25]), and the stable set of the saddle. From Figure 10 we can see that such global bifurcations also occur in an asynchronous manner: at $e = e^1_{(AB)}$ we first observe it for $P_1$, and it then occurs for $P_2$ at $e = e^2_{(AB)} > e^1_{(AB)}$. In Figure 11(a), which shows the homoclinic bifurcation of $P_1$, the value of $e$ is approximately $e^1_{(AB)} \approx 3.6$. Just after this global bifurcation, for $e > e^1_{(AB)}$, but still close to the bifurcation value, attractor $\mathcal{A}_1$ is a one-piece chaotic area. An interesting feature related to this homoclinic bifurcation is that the boundary of the chaotic attractor is no longer made up of only segments of critical curves, but includes both portions of critical curves and portions of the unstable manifold $W^u_{P_1}$ of saddle point $P_1$ (a so-called mixed-type boundary, as described in Mira et al. [25]). This is highlighted in Figure 11(b). Clearly, the same kind of bifurcation
occurs at $e = e^2_{(AB)'}$, involving the stable set $W^S_{P_2}$ of saddle equilibrium point $P_2$ and leading to a one-piece chaotic area $A_2$.

4.2.2. Second Homoclinic Bifurcation of $P_1$ and $P_2$, and Homoclinic Bifurcation of $O$

For $e > e^2_{(AB)'}$, the two chaotic areas include the saddle equilibria $P_i$ on their border. These saddle points only have homoclinic points on one branch of their stable set: the one which is inside the chaotic area. A second homoclinic bifurcation of the equilibria $P_i$ will occur at higher values of $e$, involving the other side of the stable set of saddles $P_i$ and leading to two other global bifurcations, whose effects are even more dramatic with respect to the first one. As expected, the two bifurcations do not occur simultaneously. Instead, as we shall see, each of these secondary homoclinic bifurcations of saddles $P_i$ is simultaneous to a homoclinic bifurcation of the saddle equilibrium $O$, involving one and then the other side of its unstable set, respectively. First the homoclinic bifurcation of $P_1$ occurs, at $e = e^1_{(BC)'}$, leading to the “disappearance” of the chaotic attractor $A_1$ (and leaving $A_2$ as the unique attracting set). Then the homoclinic bifurcation of $P_2$ occurs, at $e = e^2_{(CD)'} > e^1_{(BC)'}$, leading to the “explosion” of the chaotic attractor $A_2$. Let us describe this sequence in our example.

By increasing parameter $e$, for $e > e^2_{(AB)}$, the chaotic attractors become increasingly larger, until one of them has a contact with the frontier between its basin of attraction and that of the coexisting attractor. The first contact occurs at $e = e^1_{(BC)}$ ($\approx 4.198$), involving equilibrium point $P_1$, which is shown in Figure 12(a). We can see that tongues of basin $B_2$ have reached the boundary of chaotic area $A_1$, and are accumulating along the branch of stable set $W^S_{P_1}$. This means that the unstable set $W^u_{P_1}$ (on the frontier of the chaotic area $A_1$) and the stable set $W^S_{P_1}$ (whose points are accumulating on the frontier of basin $B_1$) are at the second homoclinic tangency of $P_1$ (which will be followed by transverse crossing). In the meantime, we can see that tongues of chaotic area $A_1$ (whose boundary consists of limit points of the unstable set $W^u_{O}$ of the fundamental equilibrium) have reached the boundary of the basin and have contacts with the stable set of the origin, $W^S_{O}$. We are therefore at the first homoclinic tangency

Figure 11: First homoclinic bifurcation of $P_1$. (a) shows the contact between the two pieces of attractor $A_1$ and the stable set $W^S_{P_1}$, at the bifurcation value $e = e^1_{(AB)} = 3.6$. (b) portrays the one-piece chaotic area $A_1$ after the bifurcation, at $e = 3.65$, whose boundary is made up of pieces of both critical lines (denoted as $LC$) and unstable manifold ($W^u_{P_1}$).
of $O$ (which will be followed by transverse crossing). This is not a surprising situation but the standard mechanism, due to the fact that homoclinic points involve the whole stable set $W^s_{P_1}$ external to the chaotic area, and this branch is related to the frontier. This means that, besides the two homoclinic bifurcations occurring simultaneously at $e = e^{1}_{BC}$, heteroclinic connections and heteroclinic loops between the two equilibria $P_1$ and $O$ also occur. The effect of this bifurcation is “catastrophic:” the chaotic attractor $\mathcal{A}_1$ disappears, becoming a chaotic repellor. For $e = e^{1}_{BC}$, the unique attractor $\mathcal{A}_2$ is left (see Figure 12(b)). For values of $e$ not far from this bifurcation, convergence to the unique attractor may be very slow. This is due to the existence of the chaotic repellor (in the same region previously covered by chaotic area $\mathcal{A}_1$) and before convergence the system may exhibit a kind of chaotic behavior along the “ghost” of the old chaotic attractor $\mathcal{A}_1$ (sometimes it takes about 100 000 time periods before convergence to the new chaotic area $\mathcal{A}_2$ can be observed). We remark that, starting from initial conditions close to $P_2$, converging to $\mathcal{A}_2$, we cannot detect any differences in the dynamic behavior before and after this bifurcation, because the latter involves only the other attractor $\mathcal{A}_1$. This is clearly a global bifurcation of basin of attraction $\mathcal{B}_2$. In fact, at this bifurcation, the previous two basins merge into a unique one (see Figure 12(b)); that is, basin $\mathcal{B}_2$ becomes much wider and its frontier separates the points of the phase plane having bounded trajectories from those generating divergent trajectories ($\text{basin } \mathcal{B}_\infty$). However, it is worth noting that the numerically obtained picture of basin $\mathcal{B}_2$ also includes all of the repelling cycles existing in the chaotic repellor, as well as its stable set. Namely, the colored area representing $\mathcal{B}_2$ in Figure 12(b) also contains the unstable equilibria $O$ and $P_1$ with their stable sets, as well as infinitely many other cycles, all belonging to an invariant set characterized by chaotic dynamics which, however, has measure zero in the phase plane, so that it is not detectable in practice from the iterated points. The existence of a strange repellor, besides affecting the chaotic transient, as observed above, also causes another remarkable homoclinic bifurcation involving the chaotic area $\mathcal{A}_2$. In fact, as $e$ increases, we approach the second homoclinic bifurcation of saddle $P_2$, which is located on the boundary of the chaotic attractor. This bifurcation involves the branch of the stable set external to the chaotic area, and at the same time it also represents the second homoclinic bifurcation of the fundamental equilibrium $O$. The parameter bifurcation value is $e = e^{2}_{CD}$, at which chaotic area $\mathcal{A}_2$ becomes tangent to the left-hand side of the stable set $W^s_{O}$ of the fundamental equilibrium $O$ (as can be
argued from Figure 13(a), at a value of $e$ just after the bifurcation. Again, though not visible from the figure, this occurs simultaneously to the homoclinic bifurcation involving the stable set $W^s_{P_2}$ and the unstable set $W^u_{P_2}$, and is also related to the heteroclinic connections between the fixed point $P_2$ and the fundamental steady state $O$. The appearance of such homoclinic orbits is revealed from the dynamic effect occurring at the bifurcation. This results in a sudden increase of the chaotic area, which now also covers that of the chaotic repellor (which is included in the wider chaotic area).

The asymmetry of the map implies that the contacts between the chaotic attractors and the stable manifold of $O$ do not occur at the same time. In our example, for values of $e$ such that $e^1_{BC} < e < e^2_{CDY}$, the asymptotic dynamics of the exchange rate usually take place above the fundamental value, while the asymptotic values of stock price $H$ are lower than the fundamental price. This dynamic behavior changes drastically at the global bifurcation occurring at $e = e^2_{CDY}$, leading to an explosion of the chaotic area. In general, for $e < e^2_{CDY}$, the asymptotic behavior is approximately on one side of the fundamental. Apart from initial conditions taken in $B_\infty$, the asymptotic dynamics occur (approximately) either in the bear region (the second quadrant, $x > 0, y < 0$) or in the bull region (the fourth quadrant $x < 0, y > 0$) (there may indeed be some points of the attractors located in the first or third quadrants). In contrast, for values of parameter $e$ larger than $e^2_{CDY}$, the asymptotic dynamics take place across both quadrants, and switches from one to the other at unpredictable points.
in time. After the bifurcation, but for $e$ close enough to the bifurcation value, almost all realizations will be on the fourth quadrant (see Figure 13(a)), and only rare transitions to the area previously occupied by the chaotic repellor are observed. When $e$ is sufficiently large, the number of iterations on each region and the number of switches becomes more frequent and totally unpredictable, so that the density of points in the two regions is the same on average (see Figure 13(b)). But differently, both regions become relevant to the dynamics in the time domain. Figures 13(c), 13(d) represent time paths of state variables $x$ and $y$, obtained with a value of parameter $e$ larger than its second homoclinic bifurcation value $e_{(CD)}^2$.

We remark that in the interval of values of $e$ where a unique attractor exists (that is, for $e > e_{(CD)}^2$), before the last homoclinic bifurcation (final bifurcation) described in what follows, several other periodic windows may arise, each related to a local bifurcation causing the appearance, in pairs, of a cycle saddle and a node, followed by a cascade of local and global bifurcations similar to those described earlier for the fixed points. Two periodic windows related to cycles of period 3 are clearly visible in the bifurcation diagram of Figure 10. However, as $e$ increases, the dominant dynamics is chaotic behavior across the whole area.

From an economic perspective our findings imply that the famous bull and bear market dynamics first described by Day and Huang [1]—and also observed in the previous section—may indeed survive an extension to a higher dimensional context. However, price fluctuations may become even more intricate since now there is an additional (irregular) feedback from the stock market to the foreign exchange market. In addition, we see that an otherwise stable stock market may display complex endogenous dynamics when coupled with an unstable foreign exchange market.

4.2.3. Final Bifurcation

So far, we have observed several homoclinic bifurcations involving the chaotic area. It is worth noting that the homoclinic bifurcations occurring at $e = e_{(AB)}^1$ and $e = e_{(AB)}^2$ are also called interior crises in Grebogi et al. [26]. The reason for this is clearly related to their dynamic effect, while the bifurcations occurring at $e = e_{(BC)}^1$ and $e = e_{(CD)}^2$ are also called exterior crises, again in relation to their dynamic effect. Now let us describe the so-called final bifurcation,
which is clearly an external crisis in the earlier characterization, as it leads to the destruction of the chaotic area. As seen above (see Figure 13(b)), at high values of $e$ the one piece chaotic attractor comes very close to the boundary of its basin of attraction, and a contact with that boundary can easily be predicted. So far, the bifurcations have never involved the frontier of basin $B_\infty$, which also includes a 2-cycle saddle, $\{C_1, C_2\}$, whose stable set gives the boundary of the region of divergent trajectories. This cycle is shown in Figure 14(a). The same Figure also shows that the frontier $\partial B_\infty$ is approaching the unstable set of equilibrium point $P_1$ (see arrow in Figure 14(a)). The contacts between the frontier and the chaotic area occur at a value of $e = e_{\text{DE}}$, very close to that used in Figure 14(a), and we can see from the same Figure that the contact points will appear both close to equilibrium $P_1$ and to the 2-cycle $\{C_1, C_2\}$. Thus, at $e = e_{\text{DE}}$, the first homoclinic bifurcation of the 2-cycle $\{C_1, C_2\}$ occurs and at the same time it is also heteroclinic bifurcation (or better, an heteroclinic connection) between $P_1$ and the 2-cycle $\{C_1, C_2\}$. After that, for $e > e_{\text{DE}}$, the stable and unstable sets of the 2-cycle $\{C_1, C_2\}$ intersect, and interactions between the unstable set $W^u_{P_1}$ and the stable set $W^S_{C_1, C_2}$ also exist, and vice versa, between the stable set $W^S_{P_1}$ and the unstable set $W^u_{C_1, C_2}$.

It follows that almost all initial conditions inside the previous basin $B$ will generate divergent trajectories, that is, the chaotic attractor turns into a chaotic repellor. This means that for $e > e_{\text{DE}}$ the initial conditions which generate bounded trajectories are confined to a set of zero Lebesgue measure, and for values of $e$ close to the bifurcation we also have long chaotic transients on the "ghost" of the old attractor before observing divergent behavior. An example of such a chaotic transient is shown in Figure 14(b).

5. Analysis of the 3D Model

In this section we deal with the complete three-dimensional model. Our analysis (mainly via numerical simulations) will show that the dynamic phenomena highlighted in the previous lower dimensional models also persist in the full model. In particular, we shall see that (as
for the model in the previous section), the origin is always an equilibrium, and two more equilibria appear as parameter $e$ increases, leading to bistability. A sequence of local and global bifurcations then determine the transition between different dynamic regimes, namely, to more complex coexisting attractors, up to a global bifurcation which brings about a regime of *bull and bear* market fluctuations, as we have seen both in the 1D and 2D model. Such regime is characterized by apparently random switches of prices across different regions of the phase space (even more details on this setting may be found in Tramontana et al. [27]).

In the full model, stock market traders from countries $A$ and $H$ are allowed to trade in both markets; that is, $c^H > 0$ and $c^A > 0$. In this case, the two stock prices and the exchange rate are all interdependent, and the model has the complete structure expressed by equations (2.1), (2.4), (2.7). The system, formulated in deviations (although we work with deviations, in all the following numerical experiments we have checked that original prices never become negative) from fundamental values, $x = (P^H - F^H)$, $y = (S - F^S)$ and $z = (P^A - F^A)$, is expressed by the map $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ in the following form:

$$
T : \begin{cases}
    x_{t+1} = x_t - a^H [(b^H + c^H)x_t + c^H y_t y_t] \\
y_{t+1} = y_t - d [c^H (x_t + F^H)(x_t + y_t)] \\
z_{t+1} = z_t - a^A [(b^A + c^A)z_t - c^A y_t (y_t + F^S)(y_t + F^S)]
\end{cases}
$$

(5.1)

The model is analytically not tractable. Apart from the *fundamental* fixed point, say $O = (0,0,0)$, whose existence can be immediately checked, we cannot solve explicitly for the coordinates of further possible nonfundamental equilibria, nor can we obtain easily interpretable analytical conditions for their existence. A brief discussion of the steady states is provided as follows.

By imposing the fixed point condition to (5.1), we obtain the following system of equations:

$$
(b^H + c^H)x + c^H y y = 0, 
$$

(5.2)

$$
c^H (x + F^H)(x + y y) + c^A z + F^A \left[ y + F^S \left( y + F^S \right) \right] - e y + f y^3 = 0, 
$$

(5.3)

$$
(b^A + c^A)z - c^A y^A \left[ y + F^S \left( y + F^S \right) \right] = 0. 
$$

(5.4)

We observe from (5.2) and (5.4) that any steady state must belong to both the sets of equations:

$$
y = - \frac{x}{q^H}, \quad z = q^A \frac{y}{(y + F^S)}, \quad (5.5)
$$
where

\[ q^H := \frac{c^H y^H}{b^H + c^H}, \quad q^A := \frac{c^A y^A}{(b^A + c^A) F^S} \] (5.6)

This implies that when the steady state exchange rate is above the fundamental value \((y > 0)\), steady state price \(A\) is then above the fundamental value \((z > 0)\), whereas steady state price \(H\) is below the fundamental value \((x < 0)\), and vice versa. From now on, we will label the region \(y > 0, z > 0, x < 0\) the bull region and region \(y < 0, z < 0, x > 0\) the bear region.

By substituting (5.5) into (5.3), we can express condition (5.3) in terms of the steady state deviation of price \(H\) only, as follows:

\[ x \left[ \frac{f}{(q^H)^3} x^2 + b^H x + \left( b^H F^H - \frac{e}{q^H} \right) + M(x) \right] = 0, \] (5.7)

where

\[ M(x) := b^A q^H q^A \frac{q^H F^SF^A - x(q^A + F^A)}{(q^H F^S - x)^3}. \] (5.8)

Therefore, besides the fundamental solution \(x = 0\), further possible solutions are the roots of the expression in square brackets in (5.7). When \(c^A > 0\) it becomes impossible to solve equation (5.7) analytically (as it was for \(c^A = 0\)). When \(c^A\) is small enough, we may expect a steady state structure qualitatively similar to that of the two-dimensional subcase \(c^A = 0\), with two further steady states appearing simultaneously in the bull region, via saddle-node bifurcation, and this will be confirmed by the numerical example given below.

We remark that the analytical investigation of the local stability properties of the fundamental fixed point \(O = (0,0,0)\) is also a difficult task. The Jacobian matrix evaluated at \(O\) is given by

\[ J(O) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 - a^H (b^H + c^H) & -a^H c^H y^H & 0 \\
-dc^H F^H & 1 - d \left[ c^H F^H y^H + \frac{c^A y^A}{(F^S)^3} - e \right] & \frac{dc^A F^A}{F^S} \\
0 & \frac{a^A c^A y^A}{(F^S)^2} & 1 - a^A (b^A + c^A)
\end{bmatrix}, \] (5.9)

and its eigenvalues cannot be found explicitly, nor can we write down tractable analytical conditions for the eigenvalues to be smaller than one in modulus.

We will now study the local and global bifurcations via numerical investigation, supported by our knowledge of the model behavior in the simplified, two-dimensional case. Our base parameter selection is the following: \(a^H = 0.41, b^H = 0.11, c^H = 0.83, F^H = 4.279, y^H = 0.3, d = 0.35, f = 0.7, F^S = 6.07\) (which are the same parameters used in the previous section, enabling a direct comparison), \(a^A = 0.43, b^A = 0.21, c^A = 0.2, y^A = 0.36,\) and \(F^A = 1.1\). In order to compare the dynamics we have chosen a value of
Bifurcations similar to those described below are observed also with other parameter constellations. The numerical analysis performed in Appendix D shows that $O$ loses stability when one eigenvalue becomes equal to 1 at $e_p \approx 0.122$. This probably corresponds to a pitchfork bifurcation, because we observe the simultaneous appearance of two further equilibria, which we denote as $P_1$ (in the bear region) and $P_2$ (in the bull region). In Figure 15 we show the bifurcation diagrams of the state variable $x$ as a function of the parameter $e$. As we can see in Figure 15 the effect of the local bifurcation of the fundamental steady state is qualitatively the same as for the two-dimensional subcase. That is, there exist two nonfundamental equilibria, and depending on the initial conditions, bounded trajectories are convergent either to one or to the other (whereas initial conditions far from the equilibria here also give divergent trajectories, as expected). As the parameter $e$ increases we observe bifurcation sequences involving the two coexisting equilibria, and then the two coexisting attractors. The structure of the observed bifurcations looks similar to those observed in the two-dimensional model, albeit involving stable and unstable manifolds in higher dimensions. Thus we confirm and strengthen almost all of the results of the two-dimensional case, although via numerical simulations only. From Figure 15 we see that the sequence of bifurcations are asynchronous, first a flip bifurcation of the equilibrium point $P_1$ (in the bear region, Figure 15(a)) occurs, at $e_{f1} \approx 2.348$, while that of the equilibrium point $P_2$ (Figure 15(b)) occurs, at $e_{f2} \approx 2.457$. Then sequences of local and global bifurcations give rise to two coexisting chaotic sets, with basins which are separated by the stable set of the unstable fundamental equilibrium in the origin. The equilibrium point $P_1$ becomes homoclinic at $e_{h1} \approx 3.565$, while the first homoclinic bifurcation of $P_2$ occurs, at $e_{h2} \approx 3.6$. A global bifurcation causes the disappearance of the chaotic attractor associated with $P_1$ at $e_{g1} \approx 4.215$, after which we shall have a unique attractor. At first this unique attractor is associated with the bull region around $P_2$, and after a global bifurcation at $e_{g2} \approx 4.323$ we have the explosion to a wide chaotic set, which covers the two regions previously disjoint, and the dynamics become totally unpredictable. The states will jump in a chaotic way from one region to the other, up to a final bifurcation (probably due to a contact with the basin of divergent trajectories, which is now difficult to detect), at $e_f \approx 5.06$.

Note that the emergence of endogenous bull and bear market dynamics implies that the unstable foreign exchange market destabilizes both the home and the foreign stock market. With respect to the two stock markets our model thus implies that financial market liberalization in the sense of free capital movements may deteriorate market efficiency. In both stock markets we observe an increase in price volatility and persistent deviations between stock prices and their fundamental values. Of course, other effects related to financial market liberalization may counter this result. In addition, we have seen that for some parameter combinations the fixed point of the exchange rate may become stabilized in the presence of market interactions.

6. Conclusion

We have considered a three-dimensional discrete-time dynamic model of internationally connected financial markets, where two stock markets, populated by national and foreign fundamental traders, interact with each other via the foreign exchange market. In the latter, heterogeneous speculators are active, and their nonlinear trading rules are at the origin of complicated endogenous fluctuations across all three markets, similar to the well-known bull and bear market dynamics first observed by Day and Huang [1] in a stylized one-dimensional model.
The possibility to reduce the dimension of the dynamical system, via restrictions imposed on the activity of foreign traders, results in simplified one- and two-dimensional setups, whose analysis is simpler and helped in the understanding of those dynamic phenomena occurring in the complete three-dimensional model. While the one-dimensional case has the same qualitative dynamics of the Day and Huang \[1\] model, the two-dimensional model represents a generalization of such dynamics to the case of two interacting markets, which can be studied by properly extending the methods and concepts used in the one-dimensional analysis. These include, in particular, the properties of noninvertible maps and the theory of homoclinic bifurcations. The numerical and graphical analysis becomes essential when switching from the one- to the two-dimensional case. Nevertheless, a suitable mix of analytical and numerical techniques allows us to detect a sequence of homoclinic bifurcations—analogous to those occurring in the one-dimensional case—through which the model switches across increasingly complex scenarios, as a crucial parameter is varied: from coexistence of two attractors in two distinct bull and bear areas, to the sudden disappearance of one of them, up to chaotic behavior on a unique attractor, with stock prices and exchange rates unpredictably switching among different regions of the phase space. Then we have seen that also in the three-dimensional model the local and global bifurcations, when considered as a function of the same parameter, follow a path strictly related to those of the two-dimensional model. From the coexistence of two attractors in two distinct bull and bear areas we see a transition to a wide chaotic set in which the jumps between the two regions become unpredictable.

Appendices

A.

In this appendix we compare the behavior, as the parameter \( e \) increases, of the maximum value \( x_0^M(e) \) and that of the point \( \alpha_4(e) \) of the 2-cycle which bounds the basin of divergent trajectories, showing that \( x_0^M(e) \) increases faster than the point \( \alpha_4(e) \) so that the two values become equal at a finite value of the parameter \( e \) (called \( e_f \)).

From (3.2) let us consider

\[
x_{t+1} = \phi(x_t) = x_t \left[ A - Bx_t^2 \right], \quad A = (1 + de), \quad B = df.
\]  

(A.1)

The second iterate of the map is given as

\[
x_{t+2} = x_t \left( A - Bx_t^2 \right) \left[ A - Bx_t^2 \left( A^2 + (Bx_t^2)^2 - 2ABx_t^2 \right) \right]
\]  

(A.2)

Let us now look at the solutions of the equation \( x_{t+2} = x_t \), among which are the periodic points of period-2 of the map \( \phi \). By defining \( \xi := Bx_t^2 \) we get a fourth-degree algebraic equation in \( \xi \) :

\[
\xi^4 - 3A\xi^3 + 3A^2\xi^2 - \left( A + A^3 \right)\xi + \left( A^2 - 1 \right) = 0
\]  

(A.3)

whose roots are associated with the coordinates of the 2-cycle existing for \( x > 0 \), say \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \), with the fixed point in the positive half-line (for which we know that the root is \( \xi^* = A - 1 \))
and to the point $\xi_+ := B(x_s)^2$. From the relations between the coefficients of the polynomial and its roots we have

$$\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi^*_+ = \left( A^2 - 1 \right) \quad (A.4)$$

so that

$$\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi^*_+ = A + 1. \quad (A.5)$$

We can thus conclude that the product $\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi^*$, tends to $\infty$ as fast as $e$, whereas we have $x_0^M(e) = 2(1 + de)/3\sqrt{(1 + de)/3df}$, which tends to $\infty$ as fast as $e^{3/2}$.

**B.**

In this appendix we provide an analytical study of the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix evaluated at the fundamental steady state.

The Jacobian matrix of system (4.2) is the following:

$$J(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a^H (b^H + c^H) & -a^H c^H y^H \\ -d e^H (2x + y^H y + F^H) & 1 - d [c^H y^H (x + F^H) - e + 3f y^2] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (B.1)$$

which, at the fundamental steady state $O$, becomes

$$J = J(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a^H (b^H + c^H) & -a^H c^H y^H \\ -d c^H F^H & 1 - d (c^H y^H F^H - e) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (B.2)$$

The eigenvalues are the roots of the characteristic polynomial $\mathcal{P}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - tr(J)\lambda + \det(J)$, where $tr(J)$ and $\det(J)$ are the trace and determinant of $J$, respectively. Simple computations allow us to check that $[tr(J)]^2 - 4\det(J) > 0$, which rules out the possibility of complex eigenvalues. In order to localize the (real) eigenvalues with respect to the interval $[-1, 1]$, it is convenient in this case to rewrite the characteristic equation in terms of the variable $\mu = 1 - \lambda$, as follows:

$$\mu^2 - a\mu + \beta = 0, \quad (B.3)$$

where

$$a = 2 - tr(J) = \left( b^H + c^H \right) \left( a^H + dq^H F^H \right) - de, \quad (B.4)$$

$$\beta = \det(J) - tr(J) + 1 = da^H \left( b^H + c^H \right) \left( b^H q^H F^H - e \right), \quad (B.5)$$
so that stability requires that both solutions of (B.3), say
\[
\mu_1 := \frac{\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2}, \quad \mu_2 := \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2},
\]
lie between 0 and 2. We simplify the analysis by introducing the additional requirement (which is largely fulfilled in our numerical examples) that parameters \(d\) and \(a^H\) are not too large, namely,
\[
\left( b^H + c^H \right) \left( a^H + dq^H F^H \right) < 2.
\]
Note that this implies \(\alpha < 2\) for any \(d, e > 0\), as can be checked. Let us now consider the effect of increasing parameter \(e\). It is clear that for \(e < b^H q^H F^H := e_{CS}\) both \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are also strictly positive. Therefore, \(0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < 2\); that is, \(-1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < 1\), where \(\lambda_1 := 1 - \mu_1, \lambda_2 := 1 - \mu_2\). In particular, for \(e = b^H q^H F^H\), we obtain \(\beta = 0\) and therefore \(0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 < 2\). This means that \(\lambda_1 = 1\), while \(\lambda_2\) remains smaller than one in modulus. This corresponds to the loss of stability of the fundamental steady state, through a transcritical bifurcation, as can be argued from the numerical analysis performed in Section 4.

C.

In this appendix we provide the equation of the critical curve \(LC_{-1}\) of map \(T\) defined in (4.2). Starting from the Jacobian matrix (B.1), we can obtain \(LC_{-1}\), which is defined as the set of points satisfying \(\det(f(x, y)) = 0\). This equation can be reduced to the following form:
\[
x = Ay^2 + By + C,
\]
where
\[
A = \frac{-3f \left[ 1 - a^H \left( b^H + c^H \right) \right]}{c^H y^H \left[ 1 - a^H \left( b^H + c^H \right) + 2a^H c^H \right]},
\]
\[
B = \frac{-a^H y^H c^H}{1 - a^H \left( b^H + c^H \right) + 2a^H c^H},
\]
\[
C = \frac{\left[ 1 - a^H \left( b^H + c^H \right) \right] \left[ 1 + d \left( e - c^H y^H F^H \right) \right]}{dc^H y^H \left[ 1 - a^H \left( b^H + c^H \right) + 2a^H c^H \right]} - \frac{a^H c^H F^H}{1 - a^H \left( b^H + c^H \right) + 2a^H c^H}.
\]
The image \(LC = T(LC_{-1})\) is a curve which separates the plane in regions whose points have a different number of rank-1 preimages. Here we have the case that any point has at least one rank-1 preimage, while those on one side of curve \(LC\) have three rank-1 preimages. The points belonging to curve \(LC\) have two merging rank-1 preimages in a point belonging to \(LC_{-1}\) and one more preimage (called extra preimage in Mira et al. [25]). An example of curves \(LC_{-1}\) and \(LC\) is shown in Figure 9. As briefly explained in the text, such curves are responsible for the global bifurcations occurring to the structure of the basins of attractions. Disconnected
portions of the basins can only emerge in the case of noninvertible maps, and are associated with contacts of the basin boundary with curve LC (interested readers are invited to consult Mira et al. [25]).

D.

Given the parameters selection used in this work (that is, $a^H = 0.41$, $b^H = 0.11$, $c^H = 0.83$, $F^H = 4.279$, $y^H = 0.3$, $d = 0.35$, $f = 0.7$, $F^S = 6.07$, $a^A = 0.43$, $b^A = 0.21$, $c^A = 0.2$, $y^A = 0.36$, $F^A = 1.1$), from (5.9) the Jacobian matrix of the three dimensional map evaluated at the fixed point $O = (0, 0, 0)$ becomes

$$J(O) = \begin{bmatrix}
0.6146 & -0.10209 & 0 \\
-1.2430495 & 0.626961205 + 0.35e & 0.012685 \\
0 & 0.00084 & 0.08237
\end{bmatrix} \quad (D.1)$$

so that we look for the necessary and sufficient conditions for $O$ to have all the eigenvalues less than one in modulus, roots of the characteristic polynomial:

$$\lambda^3 + A_1\lambda^2 + A_2\lambda + A_3 = 0, \quad (D.2)$$

where

$$A_1 = -2.0653 - 0.35e$$
$$A_2 = 1.2811 + 0.503405e$$
$$A_3 = -0.2129 - 0.1772e. \quad (D.3)$$

Following Farebrother [28] the eigenvalues of the polynomial given earlier have to satisfy the following conditions (equivalent conditions can be found in Gandolfo [29], Yury’s condition in Elaydi [30] and Okuguchi and Irie [31]):

(i) $1 + A_1 + A_2 + A_3 > 0,$
(ii) $1 - A_1 + A_2 - A_3 > 0,$
(iii) $1 - A_2 + A_1A_3 - (A_3)^2 > 0,$
(iv) $A_2 < 3.$

In our case the condition (i) is satisfied if $e < 0.122$ (approximate value). Condition (ii) becomes $4.5593 + 1.0306e > 0$ and is obviously satisfied for positive values of $e$. Condition (iii) is satisfied for $e < 1.0738$ and $e > 3.445$. The last condition is fulfilled for $e < 3.4145$. Starting from values of the parameter $e$ positive and close to 0 and increasing the value, the first condition which is violated is (i), so $e = 0.122$ is the bifurcation value at which the fixed point loses stability, and at this value one eigenvalue is equal to 1.
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