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1. Introduction

The magnetic Schrödinger operator (MSO) with constant magnetic fields \( H_b \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is of the form

\[
H_b = - \left( \nabla + \frac{ibz}{2} \right)^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]

where \( B \) is a real antisymmetric matrix. If \( B \) is degenerated (this requires \( n = 2d + l \) to be odd, and \( 2d \) is the rank of the matrix \( B \)), then its eigenvalues have the form \( \pm ib_j \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, d \). In proper chosen coordinates \( z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) = (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \ldots, x_d, y_d, z_{2d+1}, \ldots, z_{2d+l}) \), the operator becomes

\[
H_b = -\sum_{j=1}^{d} \left( \partial x_j + \frac{b_j}{2} y_j \right)^2 + \left( \partial y_j + \frac{b_j}{2} x_j \right) - \Delta_l,
\]

where \( \Delta_l = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \partial^2 / \partial z_{2d+j}^2 \) is the Laplacian in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The spectrum of \( H_b \) is in the semiaxis starting from the point \( c = \sum b_i \), and its spectral expansion is continuous (see [1]).

Let \( b_j \)'s be positive. Denote by \( E_\lambda \) the spectral function of \( H_b \). It is an integral operator with a kernel \( e_\lambda(z, z') \), which is skew-translation invariant; that is, \( e_\lambda(z + \omega, z' + \omega) = e_\lambda(z, z') \exp i(B(z - z'), \omega) \).

For \( \beta > 0 \), set the Riesz means of order \( \beta \) as

\[
S_\lambda^\beta f(x) = \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - \frac{t}{\lambda} \right)^\beta_+ dE_t f(x) = \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - \frac{t}{\lambda} \right)^\beta_+ dE_t f(x),
\]

(3)

and the kernel of \( S_\lambda^\beta \) as

\[
s_\lambda^\beta(z, z') = s_\lambda^\beta(\lambda, z, z') = \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - \frac{t}{\lambda} \right)^\beta_+ dE_t(z, z'),
\]

(4)

with the same skew-translation invariance. We will denote by \( S_\lambda^\beta * f \) the corresponding maximal operator; that is,

\[
S_\lambda^\beta * f(x) = \sup_{0 < \lambda < \infty} \left| S_\lambda^\beta f(x) \right|.
\]

As an indispensable part in harmonic analysis, many people investigate the convergence of Bochner-Riesz means for Fourier transform in norm and almost everywhere, which is defined as

\[
(T_\beta f)^\wedge(\xi) = \left( 1 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{R^2} \right)_+^{\beta_+} \hat{f}(\xi).
\]

(6)

Since the convergence of \( T_\beta \) in \( L^p \)-norm is equivalent to the boundedness of \( T_1^\beta \) in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \), persons look for the \( L^p \)
boundedness of it. For $2n/((n + 1 + 2\beta) < p < 2n/(n − 1 − 2\beta)$ and $0 ≤ \beta ≤ (n − 1)/2(n + 1)$ (see [2–4]). When $n > 2$, it is only proven for $\beta ≥ (n − 1)/2(n + 1)$ (see [4, 5]). Returning the problem about almost everywhere convergence of $\gamma_R^\beta$, Carbery has finished it for $\beta > 0$ and $2n/(n + 1 + 2\beta) < p < 2n/(n − 1 − 2\beta)$ in two dimensions in 1983 (see [6]). For higher dimensions, it is completed by Christ only for $\beta ≥ (n − 1)/2(n + 1)$ (see [7]). Not until 1988 was it solved by Carbery et al. for $0 < \beta < 1/2$ (see [9]).

In [1], Rozenblum and Tashchiyan investigated the $L^p$-norm convergence for Riesz means for Schrödinger operator with constant magnetic fields. They showed that under the restriction theorem similar to one for Fourier transform in [5], it is of the same results as Bochner-Riesz means in $\mathbb{R}^n$. However, very few results are considered for almost everywhere convergence of Riesz means for Schrödinger operator with constant magnetic fields. In the paper, we are interested in it. Through researching the boundedness of the maximal operator in $L(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha dx)$, we get the desired result.

### 2. Main Results

**Theorem 1.** Set $\beta > 0$ and $n ≥ 2$. Write $p_\beta = 2n/(n − 1 − 2\beta)$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $2 ≤ p < p_\beta$, then $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} S_\lambda f(x) = f(x)$ almost everywhere.

Usually, we replace the almost everywhere convergence of Riesz means with $L^p$ estimates for the maximal operator. However, we only need to think about weighted $L^2$ estimates for the maximal operator $S_\lambda^\alpha$, as follows. In fact, based on the idea in [9], for $2 ≤ p < p_\beta$, there exists a number $\alpha$ with $0 ≤ \alpha < 1 + 2\beta$ such that $L^p \subseteq L^2(|x|^\alpha)$. We have gotten $L^2$ boundedness of the maximal operator in another paper.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that $\beta > 0$ and $0 ≤ \alpha < 1 + 2\beta ≤ n$. Then,

$$
\int |S_\lambda^\beta f(x)|^2 |x|^{-\alpha} dx ≤ C_{\alpha, \beta} \int |f(x)|^2 |x|^{-\alpha} dx.
$$

In order to prove the theorem, we introduce the following lemmas, which are the essential tools. In the following lemmas, we reduce the maximal operator to the one generated by a multiplier with compact support. Since it is easy to see that the boundedness of the maximal operator generated by the multipliers is independent of the index $\beta$, the dimension $n = 2d + 1$ will not play an important role in the following estimates.

**Lemma 3** (see [9]). If $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$, then

$$
\int_{|x| < 1/\epsilon} |f(x)|^2 dx ≤ C_\epsilon B_\alpha(\epsilon) \int |f(x)|^2 |x|^\alpha dx,
$$

where

$$
B_\alpha(\epsilon) = \begin{cases}
\epsilon^\alpha, & 0 ≤ \alpha < 1, \\
\epsilon |\log \epsilon|, & \alpha = 1, \\
\epsilon, & 1 < \alpha < n.
\end{cases}
$$

For a small $\delta > 0$, let $m^\delta(t)$ be a $C^\infty$ function supported in $[1 − \delta, 1]$ and satisfy

$$
0 ≤ m^\delta(t) ≤ 1, \quad |D^k m^\delta(t)| ≤ C_\delta^{-k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Define

$$
K^\delta_f(x) = \int m^\delta(\lambda t) dE_f(x),
$$

$$
K^\delta_f(x) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} |K^\lambda_f(x)|.
$$

Let $\phi(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $[-1, 2]$ and $\phi(t) = 1$ for $0 ≤ t ≤ 1$. Set

$$
h_l(t) = \begin{cases}
\phi(t), & l = 0, \\
\phi(2^{-l}t) - \phi(2^{1−l}t), & l ≥ 1.
\end{cases}
$$

Denoting by $\chi_E$ the character function of the set $E$, write

$$
H_j(x, y) = \begin{cases}
\chi_{[0, 1]}(|x − y|), & j = 0, \\
\chi_{[2^{−j−1}, 2^j]}(|x − y|), & j ≥ 1.
\end{cases}
$$

Let $k^\delta(t)$ be a function with Fourier transform as

$$
k^\delta(t)(x) = m^\delta(\lambda t).
$$

Set

$$
k^\delta(x) = \int k^\delta(t) dE_f(x),
$$

$$
E^j f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e_t(x, y) H_j(x, y) f(y) dy.
$$

Accordingly, define the operator $K^\delta_j$ as

$$
K^\delta_j f(x) = \int k^\delta_j(t) dE^j f(x),
$$

where $k^\delta_j$ is the Fourier transform of $k^\delta_j$. Apparently, since

$$
K^\delta_j f(x) = \int k^\delta_j(t) dE^j f(x),
$$

we decompose

$$
K^\delta_j f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} K^\delta_j(t) dE^j f(x).
$$
Lemma 4. For $\lambda > 0$, one has
\begin{equation}
\left\| K_\lambda^{A,l,j} f (x) \right\|_2^2 \leq C 2^{-2M(j+l)} \delta^{2M} \left\| f \right\|_2^2,
\end{equation}
where the constant $C$ is independent of $\lambda$ and $\delta$.

Proof. With the method similar to the proof of Lemma 4 in [9], we write $h(t) = \phi(t) - \phi(2t)$ and expand $m^\delta$ into a Taylor series around $\lambda t$. Then,
\begin{align}
\tilde{k}_\lambda^{\delta,l,j} (t) &= \int m^\delta \left( \lambda \left( t - \frac{2^{-(j+l)} \delta^2 r}{\lambda} \right) \right) \tilde{h} (r) \, dr \\
&= \int m^\delta \left( \lambda t - \frac{2^{-(j+l)} \delta^2 r}{\lambda} \right) \tilde{h} (r) \, dr \\
&= \int R_M(t, r) \tilde{h} (r) \, dr,
\end{align}
where the remainder $R_M$ satisfies
\begin{align}
|R_M(t, r)| &\leq |D^M m^\delta| 2^{-2M} |r| \leq 2^{-M(j+l)} \delta |r|^M.
\end{align}

But $\tilde{h}$ is a Schwartz function and can be integrated against $|r|^M$. Hence,
\begin{equation}
\left\| K_\lambda^{A,l,j} f (x) \right\|_2^2 \leq C_2 2^{-M(j+l)} \delta^{2M}.
\end{equation}

Since $E_R$ is a resolution of the identity, we see
\begin{equation}
E_R f (x) = \int_0^\infty dE_t f (x) = f (x).
\end{equation}

Denote by $e_R(x, y)$ the kernel of $E_R$. For almost all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we let $S = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : e_R(x_0, y) f(y) > 0 \}$. Decompose
\begin{align}
f (x) &= f (x) \chi_S (x) + f (x) \chi_{R^c \setminus S} (x) \\
&= f_1 (x) + f_2 (x).
\end{align}

We have
\begin{align}
\left\| E_R^j f (x_0) \right\| &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e_R(x_0, y) H_j (x_0, y) f (y) \, dy \right\| \\
&= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e_R(x_0, y) H_j (x_0, y) f_1 (y) \, dy \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e_R(x_0, y) H_j (x_0, y) f_1 (y) \, dy \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e_R(x_0, y) H_j (x_0, y) f_2 (y) \, dy \right\| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| e_R(x_0, y) f_1 (y) \right\| \, dy \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\| e_R(x_0, y) f_2 (y) \right\| \, dy \\
&\leq \left\| f_1 (x_0) \right\| + \left\| f_2 (x_0) \right\| \\
&\leq C \left\| f (x_0) \right\|.
\end{align}

It is easy to show
\begin{align}
\left\| K_\lambda^{A,l,j} f (x) \right\|_2^2 &= \left\| \int K_\lambda^{\delta,l,j} (t) \, dE_t f (x) \right\|_2^2 \\
&= \left\| \int dE_t \left( K_\lambda^{\delta,l,j} (H_b) f (x) \right) \right\|_2^2 \\
&= \left\langle E_R \left( K_\lambda^{\delta,l,j} (H_b) f (x) \right), E_R \left( K_\lambda^{\delta,l,j} (H_b) f (x) \right) \right\rangle \\
&\leq \left\| \int dE_t \left( K_\lambda^{\delta,l,j} (H_b) f (x) \right) \right\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \left\| K_\lambda^{\delta,l,j} (t) \right\|^2 \, d \left( E_t f, f \right) \\
&\leq C_2 2^{-M(j+l)} \delta^{2M} \int_0^\infty d \left( E_t f, f \right) \\
&\leq C_2 2^{-M(j+l)} \delta^{2M} \left\| f \right\|_2^2.
\end{align}
Lemma 5. If $0 < \alpha < n$ and $\lambda > 0$, then
\begin{equation}
\left\| \int k_{\lambda}^{\delta}(t) dE_f(x) \right\|^2 dx \leq C_\alpha \delta \int |f(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^n},
\end{equation}
where $C_\alpha$ is independent of $\delta$ and $\lambda$.

Proof. Suppose that $f$ is supported in $|x| \leq C2^j$. Write $f = x_0 \chi_{[0,1]}(x) f(x) + \chi_{[C \leq |x| \leq C2]}(x) f(x) + \chi_{[C \leq |x| \leq C2]}(x) f(x) = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$. If $C \leq 1/4$, then $f_3 = 0$. Since
\begin{align}
\left( \int |K_{\lambda}^\delta f(x)\right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^n} \right)^{1/2} & = \left( \int \left| K_{\lambda}^\delta (f_1 + f_2 + f_3)(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^n} \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq \left( \int \left| K_{\lambda}^\delta f_1 \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^n} \right)^{1/2} + \left( \int \left| K_{\lambda}^\delta f_2 \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^n} \right)^{1/2} + \left( \int \left| K_{\lambda}^\delta f_3 \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^n} \right)^{1/2},
\end{align}
we only need to prove that
\begin{equation}
\left\| \int \tilde{k}_{\lambda}^\delta(t) dE_f(x) \right\|^2 dx \leq C_\alpha \delta \int |f(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^n}, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).
\end{equation}

For the case of $i = 2$, with Lemma 4, it follows that
\begin{align}
\int \left| K_{\lambda}^{\delta,j} f_2(x) \right|^2 dx & \leq C 2^{-j} 2^{-jM} \delta^M \|f_2\|_2^2 \\
& \leq C 2^{-j} 2^{-jM} \delta^M \int_{|x| \leq C2} |f(x)|^2 dx \\
& \leq C 2^{-j} 2^{-jM} \delta^M \int_{|x| \leq C2} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \int_{C2^k < |x| \leq C2^{k+1}} |f(x)|^2 |x|^n dx.
\end{align}

It is easy to see that
\begin{align}
\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \int_{C2^k < |x| \leq C2^{k+1}} |f(x)|^2 |x|^n dx & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} 2^{-k\alpha} \int_{C2^k < |x| \leq C2^{k+1}} |f(x)|^2 |x|^n dx \\
& \leq C \int |f(x)|^2 |x|^n dx.
\end{align}
Thus, we have
\begin{align}
\int \left| K_{\lambda}^{\delta,j} f_2(x) \right|^2 dx & \leq C 2^{-j} 2^{-jM} \delta^M \int |f(x)|^2 |x|^n dx.
\end{align}
Choosing $M > 1$, we get
\begin{align}
\int \left| K_{\lambda}^{\delta,j} f_2(x) \right|^2 dx & \leq C 2^{-j} 2^{-jM} \delta \int |f(x)|^2 |x|^n dx.
\end{align}

On the other hand, $E_i$ is self-adjoint. So,
\begin{align}
\langle E_i f, g \rangle & = \int \int e_i(x, y) f(y) dy g(x) dx \\
& = \int f(y) \int e_i(x, y) g(x) dx dy \\
& = \langle f, E_i g \rangle \\
& = \int f(y) \int e_i(y, x) g(x) dx dy.
\end{align}
Hence,
\begin{align}
e_i(x, y) & = e_i(y, x) \\
E_i f, f \rangle & = \langle f, E_i f \rangle.
\end{align}

With
\begin{align}
H_j(x, y) & = H_j(y, x),
\end{align}
we get
\begin{align}
e_i^j(x, y) & = e_i(y, x) H_j(x, y) \\
& = e_i(y, x) H_j(y, x) = e_i^j(y, x),
\end{align}
and it implies that $K_{\lambda}^{\delta,j}$ is also self-adjoint; that is,
\begin{align}
\langle E_i^j f, g \rangle & = \langle f, E_i^j g \rangle.
\end{align}

Therefore, by duality,
\begin{align}
\int \left| K_{\lambda}^{\delta,i} f_2(x) \right|^2 dx & \leq C 2^{-j} 2^{-jM} \delta^M \int |f(x)|^2 dx \\
& \leq C 2^{-j} 2^{-jM} \delta^M \int |f(x)|^2 dx.
\end{align}

Taking $M > \alpha + 1$, we can establish the inequality
\begin{align}
\int \left| K_{\lambda}^{\delta,j} f_2(x) \right|^2 dx & \leq C 2^{-j} 2^{-j} \delta \int |f(x)|^2 dx \\
& \leq C 2^{-j} 2^{-j} \delta \int |f(x)|^2 dx.
\end{align}

Nextly, we consider $i = 1$. By the definition of $K_{\lambda}^{\delta,j}$ and $f_1$, we see that the kernel of $K_{\lambda}^{\delta,j}$ is supported in
\begin{align}
\{(x, y) : 2^{-j} \leq |x - y| \leq 2^j\}
\end{align}
and \( f(y) \) is supported in
\[
0 \leq |y| \leq \frac{1}{4}. \tag{42}
\]
So, the support of \( K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f(x) \) is contained in
\[
\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^j + \frac{1}{4} \geq |x| \geq 2^{j-1} - \frac{1}{4} \geq 2^{j-1} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \right\}. \tag{43}
\]
With Lemma 4, we have
\[
\int \left| K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f_1(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C \int \left| K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f_1(x) \right|^2 dx \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \left\| f_1 \right\|_2^2 \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \left\| f_1 \right\|_2^2 \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \left( 2^j \frac{1}{4} \right)^\alpha \int |f(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-j}\delta \int |f(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha},
\]
where \( M > \alpha + 1 \).

At last, we turn to the case of \( i = 3 \). Similar to the aforementioned, we have
\[
\int \left| K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f_3(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \left\| f_3 \right\|_2^2 \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \int_{1/4 \leq |x| \leq C} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \left( 4 \frac{1}{4} \right)^\alpha \int_{1/4 \leq |x| \leq C} |f(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \int \left| f(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}. \tag{45}
\]
By duality again, we see
\[
\int \left| K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f_3(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \int \left| f(x) \right|^2 dx \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \left( 2^j \frac{1}{4} \right)^\alpha \int \left| f(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-jM}\delta^M \int \left| f(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}. \tag{46}
\]
Through we choose \( M > \alpha + 1 \), it is not difficult to get
\[
\int \left| K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f_3(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-j}\delta \int \left| f(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}. \tag{47}
\]
Combining (28), (40), (44) with (47), when \( f \) is supported in \(|x| \leq C2^l\), we come to the conclusion
\[
\int \left| K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-j}\delta \int \left| f(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}. \tag{48}
\]
Now, we hope to establish (48) for all \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Decompose \( f = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi_{Q_l} f = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f_i \), where \( \{Q_i\} \) are disjoint cubes of common side \( 10 \cdot 2^l \) with \( Q_0 \) centered at 0. Since \( \{K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f_i(x)\} \) have essentially disjoint supports, it suffices to prove (48) for every \( f_i \). When \( i = 0 \), we have proved it. If \( i > 1 \), then
\[
0 < \left( 1 \right) 10 \cdot 2^j \tag{49}
\]
and
\[
| | x | | - \alpha < \left( 1 \right) 10 \cdot 2^l \tag{50}
\]
Therefore, we only need to confirm
\[
\int \left| K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f(x) \right|^2 dx \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-j}\delta \int \left| f(x) \right|^2 dx. \tag{51}
\]
In fact, it follows from Lemma 4 that
\[
\int \left| K_\lambda^{\delta,j}f(x) \right|^2 dx \leq C_2^{-1}2^{-j}\delta \int \left| f(x) \right|^2 dx.
\]
At present, we complete the proof of Lemma 5. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.** For \( \delta > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \) and \( 0 \leq \alpha < n, \) one gets
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| K_\lambda^{\delta}f(x) \right|^2 dx \leq C_\alpha \delta \int \left| f(x) \right|^2 dx. \tag{52}
\]
Proof. Applying Minkowski and Cauchy-Schwartz's inequalities into the left hand side of (52), we get

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} K_\lambda^\delta f (x) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \right|^2 |x|^{-\alpha} dx
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\lambda^\delta f (x)|^2 |x|^{-\alpha} dx \right)^{1/2} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^2
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \left( \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2} \right)^2
\]

\[
\leq C \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\lambda^\delta f (x)|^2 |x|^{-\alpha} dx \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.
\]

Now, it suffices to prove that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\lambda^\delta f (x)|^2 |x|^{-\alpha} dx
\]

\[
\leq C_\alpha \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f (x)|^2 |x|^{-\alpha} dx,
\]

where \(C_\alpha \delta\) is uniform in \(2^{k-1} \leq \lambda \leq 2^k\). For \(0 \leq \alpha < n\), it is equivalent to

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\lambda^\delta g (x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g (x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}.
\]

It is just as the result of Lemma 5. \(\square\)

Now, we come back to the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. As in [3], we can decompose

\[
1 - \frac{t}{\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \frac{m^{2^{-k} \lambda}}{2^{2^{-k} \lambda}} \left( \frac{t}{\lambda} \right).
\]

Thus,

\[
S_\lambda^\delta f (x) \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-k \alpha} K_\lambda^\delta f (x).
\]

Consequently, we consider

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\lambda^\delta f (x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f (x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}.
\]

Let

\[
G^\delta f (x) = \left( \int_0^\infty \left| K_\lambda^\delta f (x) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \right)^{1/2}
\]

and \(\overline{G}^\delta\) be defined in the same way but using instead of \(m^\delta\) the function

\[
\overline{m}^\delta (\lambda) = \delta \lambda \frac{dm^\delta (\lambda)}{\lambda},
\]

which satisfies the same estimates as \(m^\delta\). Then, by the fundamental theorem in calculus and Hölder’s inequality, we have

\[
|K_\lambda^\delta f (x)|^2 \leq \int_0^\infty \left| K_\lambda^\delta f (x) \frac{dK_\lambda^\delta f (x)}{d\lambda} \right| \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}
\]

\[
\leq 2\delta^{-1} \int_0^\infty \left| K_\lambda^\delta f (x) \frac{dK_\lambda^\delta f (x)}{d\lambda} \right| \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{1/2}}
\]

\[
\leq 2\delta^{-1} \left( \int_0^\infty \left| K_\lambda^\delta f (x) \frac{2 d\lambda}{\lambda} \right| \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= 2\delta^{-1} G^\delta f (x) \overline{G} f (x).
\]

Take a Schwartz function \(\psi\) such that \(\psi (0) = 0\) and \(\psi (t) = 1\) if \(1/2 \leq t \leq 2\) and \(\psi (t) = \psi (2^t)\). Then, when \(2^{k-1} \leq \lambda \leq 2^k\), we have

\[
K_\lambda^\delta f (x) = \int m^\delta (\lambda t) \psi_k (t) dE_t f (x).
\]

Using Lemma 6, we get

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} |K_\lambda^\delta f (x)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \left| \int \psi_k (t) dE_t f (x) \right|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \right)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} \left| \int \psi_k (H_k) f (x) \right|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \right)
\]

\[
\leq C_\alpha \delta \int \left| \int \psi_k (H_k) f (x) \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}.
\]

From Theorem 3.1 in page 411 of [10] and the density of \(L^\infty_c\) in \(L^p (w)\), we induce that

\[
\left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \left| \int \psi_k (t) dE_t f (x) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]
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is bounded in $L^2(dx/|x|^\alpha)$. As a result,

$$
\int \left( G^\delta f(x) \right)^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} = \int \int_{0}^{\infty} \left| K^\delta_{\lambda} f(x) \right|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \left| K^\delta_{\lambda} f(x) \right|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} C_\alpha \delta \int \left| \int \psi_k(t) \frac{dE_i f(x)}{|x|^\alpha} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}
$$

$$
\leq C_\alpha \delta \int \sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int \psi_k(t) \frac{dE_i f(x)}{|x|^\alpha} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}
$$

$$
\leq C_\alpha \delta \int |f(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}. \quad (65)
$$

At last, with (61) and H"older’s inequality, we come to the result that

$$
\int \left( K^\delta_{\lambda} f(x) \right)^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha \int |f(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|^\alpha}. \quad (66)
$$

\[\square\]
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