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We determine the conditions for some matrix transformations from \( n(\phi) \), where the sequence space \( n(\phi) \), which is related to the \( \ell_p \) spaces, was introduced by Sargent (1960). We also obtain estimates for the norms of the bounded linear operators defined by these matrix transformations and find conditions to obtain the corresponding subclasses of compact matrix operators by using the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

We shall write \( w \) for the set of all complex sequences \( x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \). Let \( \varphi, \ell_{\infty}, c, \) and \( c_0 \) denote the sets of all finite, bounded, convergent, and null sequences, respectively. We write \( \ell_p := \{ x \in w : \sum_{k=0}^\infty |x_k|^p < \infty \} \) for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). By \( e \) and \( e_n \), we denote the sequences such that \( e_k = 1 \) for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \) and \( e_n = 1 \) and \( e_k = 0 \) \( (k \neq n) \). For any sequence \( x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \), let \( x^{[n]} = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{(k)} \) be its \( n \)-section. Moreover, we write \( b_s \) and \( c_s \) for the sets of sequences with bounded and convergent partial sums, respectively.

A sequence \( (b^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty \) in a linear metric space \( X \) is called Schauder basis if for every \( x \in X \), there is a unique sequence \( (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^\infty \) of scalars such that \( x = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda_n b^{(n)} \). A sequence space \( X \) with a linear topology is called a K-space if each of the maps \( p_i : X \to C \) defined by \( p_i(x) = x_i \) is continuous for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). A K-space is called an FK-space if \( X \) is complete linear metric space; a BK-space is a normed FK-space. An FK-space \( X \supset \phi \) is said to have AK if every sequence \( x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \in X \) has a unique representation \( x = \sum_{k=0}^\infty x_k e^{(k)} \), that is, \( x^{[n]} = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{(k)} \to x \) as \( n \to \infty \).
The spaces \( c_0, c \) and \( \ell_p (1 \leq p < \infty) \) all have Schauder bases but the space \( \ell_\infty \) has no Schauder basis. Among the other classical sequence spaces, the spaces \( c_0 \) and \( \ell_p (1 \leq p < \infty) \) have AK.

Let \( (X, \| \cdot \|) \) be a normed space. Then the unit sphere and closed unit ball in \( X \) are denoted by \( S_X := \{ x \in X : \| x \| = 1 \} \) and \( \overline{B}_X := \{ x \in X : \| x \| \leq 1 \} \). If \( X \ni \varphi \) is a BK-space and \( a = (a_n) \in \omega \), then we define

\[
\| a \|_X^\ast = \sup_{x \in S_X} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x_k \right|
\]

provided the expression on the right-hand side exists and is finite.

The \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \)-duals of a subset \( X \) of \( \omega \) are, respectively, defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
X^\alpha &= \{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : ax = (a_k x_k) \in \ell_1 \ \forall \ x = (x_k) \in X \}, \\
X^\beta &= \{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : ax = (a_k x_k) \in cs \ \forall \ x = (x_k) \in X \}, \\
X^\gamma &= \{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : ax = (a_k x_k) \in bs \ \forall \ x = (x_k) \in X \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Throughout this paper, the matrices are infinite matrices of complex numbers. If \( A \) is an infinite matrix with complex entries \( a_{nk} (n, k \in \mathbb{N}) \), then we write \( A = (a_{nk}) \) instead of \( A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=0}^{\infty} \). Also, we write \( A_n \) for the sequence in the \( n \)th row of \( A \), that is, \( A_n = (a_{nk})_{n,k=0}^{\infty} \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). In addition, if \( x = (x_k) \in \omega \), then we define the \( A \)-transform of \( x \) as the sequence \( Ax = (A_n(x))_{n=0}^{\infty} \), where

\[
A_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k; \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})
\]

provided the series on the right converges for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be subsets of \( \omega \) and \( A = (a_{nk}) \) an infinite matrix. Then, we say that \( A \) defines a matrix mapping from \( X \) into \( Y \), and we denote it by writing \( A : X \rightarrow Y \) if \( Ax \) exists and is in \( Y \) for all \( x \in X \). By \( (X,Y) \), we denote the class of all infinite matrices that map \( X \) into \( Y \). Thus \( A \in (X,Y) \) if and only if \( A_n \in X^\beta \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( Ax \in Y \) for all \( x \in X \).

**Lemma 1.1** (see[1]). Let \( \dagger \) denote any of the symbols \( \alpha, \beta, \text{ or } \gamma \). Then, we have \( c_0^\dagger = c^\dagger = \ell_1^\dagger = \ell_\infty^\dagger = \ell_q^\dagger \), \( \ell_1^\dagger = \ell_\infty \) and \( \ell_q^\dagger = \ell_q \), where \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( q = p/(p-1) \).

**Lemma 1.2** (see[1, 2]). Let \( X \) be any of the spaces \( c_0, c, \ell_\infty, \text{ or } \ell_p (1 \leq p < \infty) \). Then, we have \( \| \cdot \|_X^\ast = \| \cdot \|_{X^\beta} \) on \( X^\beta \), where \( \| \cdot \|_{X^\beta} \) denotes the natural norm on the dual space \( X^\beta \).

**Lemma 1.3** (see[1, 2]). Let \( X \ni \varphi \) and \( Y \) be BK-spaces. Then, we have

(a) \( (X,Y) \subset B(X,Y), \) that is, every matrix \( A \in (X,Y) \) defines an operator \( L_A \in B(X,Y) \) by \( L_A(x) = Ax \) for all \( x \in X \);

(b) if \( X \) has AK, then \( B(X,Y) \subset (X,Y) \), that is, for every operator \( L \in B(X,Y) \) there exists a matrix \( A \in (X,Y) \) such that \( L(x) = Ax \) for all \( x \in X \).

Furthermore, we have the following results on the operator norms.
Lemma 1.4 (see [2]). Let $X \supset \varphi$ be a BK-space and $Y$ any of the spaces $c_0$, $c$, or $\ell_\infty$. If $A \in (X,Y)$, then

$$\|L_A\| = \|A\|_{(X,\ell_\infty)} = \sup_n \|A_n\|_X < \infty,$$

where $\|A\|_{(X,\ell_\infty)}$ denotes the operator norm for the matrix $A \in (X,\ell_\infty)$.

Sargent [3] defined the following sequence spaces.

Let $C$ denote the space whose elements are finite sets of distinct positive integers. Given any element $\sigma$ of $C$, we denote by $c(\sigma)$ the sequence $\{c_n(\sigma)\}$ such that $c_n(\sigma) = 1$ for $n \in \sigma$ and $c_n(\sigma) = 0$ otherwise. Further

$$C_s = \left\{ \sigma \in C : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(\sigma) \leq s \right\},$$

that is, $C_s$ is the set of those $\sigma$ whose support has cardinality at most $s$, and we get

$$\Phi = \{ \varphi = (\varphi_k) \in \omega : 0 < \varphi_1 \leq \varphi_n \leq \varphi_{n+1} \text{ and } (n+1)\varphi_n \geq n\varphi_{n+1} \}. \quad (1.6)$$

For $\varphi \in \Phi$, the following sequence spaces were introduced by Sargent [3] and further studied in [4]

$$m(\varphi) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_{m(\varphi)} = \sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in C_s} \left( \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k| \right) < \infty \right\},$$

$$n(\varphi) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \|x\|_{n(\varphi)} = \sup_{u \in S(x)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_k| \Delta \varphi_k \right) < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $\Delta \varphi_k = \varphi_k - \varphi_{k-1}$ and $S(x)$ denotes the set of all sequences that are rearrangements of $x$.

Remark 1.5 ([3]). (i) The spaces $m(\varphi)$ and $n(\varphi)$ are BK spaces with their respective norms. (ii) If $\varphi_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $m(\varphi) = \ell_1$, $n(\varphi) = \ell_\infty$; if $\varphi_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $m(\varphi) = \ell_\infty$, $n(\varphi) = \ell_1$. (iii) $\ell_1 \subseteq m(\varphi) \subseteq \ell_\infty$ $\subseteq n(\varphi) \subseteq \ell_1$] for all $\varphi$ of $\Phi$. (iv) $(m(\varphi))^\dagger = n(\varphi)$ and $(n(\varphi))^\dagger = m(\varphi)$, where $\dagger$ is any of the symbols $\alpha, \beta$, or $\gamma$.

Recently, Makowsky and Mursaleen [5] have characterized the classes of compact operators on some BK-spaces, namely, $C(m(\varphi), \ell_p)$ $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$, $C(n(\varphi), \ell_p)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$, $C(\ell_p, m(\varphi))(1 < p \leq \infty)$, and $C(\ell_p, n(\varphi))((1 < p \leq \infty)$. In this paper, we determine the conditions for the classes of matrix transformations $(n(\varphi), c_0)$, $(n(\varphi), c)$, and $(n(\varphi), \ell_\infty)$, and establish estimates for the norms of the bounded linear operators defined by these matrix transformations. Further, we obtain the necessary and sufficient (or only sufficient) conditions for the corresponding subclasses of compact matrix operators $C(n(\varphi), c_0)$, $C(n(\varphi), c)$, and $C(n(\varphi), \ell_\infty)$ by using the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.
2. The Hausdorff Measure of Noncompactness

Let $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space. Then the unit sphere and closed unit ball in $X$ are denoted by $S_X := \{ x \in X : \|x\| = 1 \}$ and $B_X := \{ x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1 \}$. If $X$ and $Y$ are Banach spaces then $B(X,Y)$ is the set of all bounded linear operators $L : X \to Y$. $B(X,Y)$ is a Banach space with the operator norm given by $\|L\| = \sup_{x \in S_X} \|L(x)\|$ for all $L \in B(X,Y)$. A linear operator $L : X \to Y$ is said to be compact if the domain of $L$ is all of $X$, and for every bounded sequence $(x_n)$ in $X$, the sequence $(L(x_n))$ has a subsequence which converges in $Y$. We denote the class of all compact operators in $B(X,Y)$ by $C(X,Y)$. An operator $L \in B(X,Y)$ is said to be of finite rank if $\dim R(L) < \infty$, where $R(L)$ is the range space of $L$. An operator of finite rank is clearly compact. In particular, if $Y = \mathbb{C}$ then we write $X^*$ for the set of all continuous linear functionals on $X$ with the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in S_X} |f(x)|$.

The Hausdorff measure of noncompactness was defined by Goldenštein et al. in 1957 [6].

Let $S$ and $M$ be subsets of a metric space $(X,d)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, $S$ is called an $\varepsilon$-net of $M$ in $X$ if for every $x \in M$ there exists $s \in S$ such that $d(x,s) < \varepsilon$. Further, if the set $S$ is finite, then the $\varepsilon$-net $S$ of $M$ is called a finite $\varepsilon$-net of $M$, and we say that $M$ has a finite $\varepsilon$-net in $X$. A subset of a metric space is said to be totally bounded if it has a finite $\varepsilon$-net for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

By $\mathcal{M}_X$, we denote the collection of all bounded subsets of a metric space $(X,d)$. If $Q \in \mathcal{M}_X$, then the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of the set $Q$, denoted by $\chi(Q)$, is defined by

$$\chi(Q) := \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : Q \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B(x_i, r_i), x_i \in X, r_i < \varepsilon (i = 1, 2, \ldots), n \in \mathbb{N} \}. \quad (2.1)$$

The function $\chi : \mathcal{M}_X \to [0, \infty)$ is called the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

The basic properties of the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness can be found in [2, 7–9] and for recent developments, see [10–18]. If $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are bounded subsets of a metric space $(X,d)$, then

$$\chi(Q_1 + Q_2) \leq \chi(Q_1) + \chi(Q_2),$$

$$\chi(\alpha Q) = |\alpha| \chi(Q) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.3)$$

Further, if $X$ is a normed space, then the function $\chi$ has some additional properties connected with the linear structure, for example,

Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces and $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ the Hausdorff measures of noncompactness on $X$ and $Y$, respectively. An operator $L : X \to Y$ is said to be of finite rank if $L(Q) \in \mathcal{M}_Y$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{M}_X$ and there exist a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $\chi_2(L(Q)) \leq C \chi_1(Q)$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{M}_X$. If an operator $L$ is of finite rank, then the number $\|L\|_{(\chi_1,\chi_2)} := \inf \{ C \geq 0 : \chi_2(L(Q)) \leq C \chi_1(Q) \text{ for all } Q \in \mathcal{M}_X \}$ is called the $(\chi_1, \chi_2)$-measure of noncompactness of $L$. If $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi$, then we write $\|L\|_{(\chi_1,\chi_2)} = \|L\|_\chi$. 

Abstract and Applied Analysis
The most effective way in the characterization of compact operators between the Banach spaces is by applying the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness. This can be achieved as follows: Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces and $L \in B(X,Y)$. Then, the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of $L$, denoted by $\|L\|_X$, can be determined by

$$\|L\|_X = \chi(L(S_X)), \quad (2.4)$$

and we have that $L$ is compact if and only if

$$\|L\|_X = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Now, the following result gives an estimate for the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness in Banach spaces with Schauder bases. It is known that if $(b_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a Schauder basis for a Banach space $X$, then every element $x \in X$ has a unique representation $x = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \alpha_k(x) b_k$, where $\alpha_k (k \in \mathbb{N})$ are called the basis functionals. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator $P_n : X \to X$ defined by $P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k(x) b_k(x \in X)$ is called the projector onto the linear span of $\{b_0,b_1,\ldots,b_n\}$. Besides, all operators $P_n$ and $I - P_n$ are equibounded, where $I$ denotes the identity operator on $X$.

**Theorem 2.1** (see[7]). Let $X$ be a Banach space with a Schauder basis $(b_k)_{k=0}^\infty$, $Q \in \mathcal{M}_X$, and $P_n : X \to X (n \in \mathbb{N})$ the projector onto the linear span of $\{b_0,b_1,\ldots,b_n\}$. Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{a} \cdot \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in Q} \| (I - P_n)(x) \| \right) \leq \chi(Q) \leq \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in Q} \| (I - P_n)(x) \| \right), \quad (2.6)$$

where $a = \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \| I - P_n \|$.

In particular, the following result shows how to compute the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness in the spaces $c_0$ and $\ell_p(1 \leq p < \infty)$, which are $BK$-spaces with $AK$.

**Theorem 2.2** (see[7]). Let $Q$ be a bounded subset of the normed space $X$, where $X$ is $\ell_p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ or $c_0$. If $P_n : X \to X (n \in \mathbb{N})$ is the operator defined by $P_n(x) = x[n] = (x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_n,0,0,\ldots)$ for all $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \in X$, then we have

$$\chi(Q) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in Q} \| (I - P_n)(x) \| \right). \quad (2.7)$$

The Hausdorff measure of noncompactness for $n(\phi)$ has recently been determined in [19] as follows.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $Q$ be a bounded subset of $n(\phi)$. Then

$$\chi(Q) = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in S(x)} \left( \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |u_n| \Delta \phi_n \right) \right). \quad (2.8)$$
3. Main Results

First we prove the following basic lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** If \( A \in (n(\phi), c) \), then the following hold

\[
\alpha_k = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{nk} \text{ exists for every } k \in \mathbb{N},
\]

\[
\alpha = (\alpha_k) \in m(\phi),
\]

\[
\sup_n \left\| A_n - \alpha \right\|_{n(\phi)}^* < \infty,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k \quad \forall x = (x_k) \in n(\phi).
\]

**Proof.** We write \( \left\| x \right\| = \left\| x \right\|_{n(\phi)}, \) for short. Since \( A \in (n(\phi), c) \), we have

\[
\left\| L_A \right\| = \sup_n \left\| A_n \right\|_{n(\phi)} < \infty.
\]

Further, since \( e^{(k)} \in n(\phi) \) and hence \( Ae^{(k)} \in c \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Consequently, the limits \( \alpha_k \) in (3.1) exist for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

Now, let \( x \in n(\phi) \) be given. Then there is a positive constant \( K \) such that \( \left\| x^{[p]} \right\| \leq K \left\| x \right\| \) for all \( p \in \mathbb{N} \). Thus we have

\[
\left| \sum_{k=0}^{p} a_{nk} x_k \right| = \left| A_n(x^{[p]}) \right| \leq \left\| A x^{[p]} \right\|_{L_\infty} = \left\| L_A(x^{[p]}) \right\|_{L_\infty} \leq K \left\| L_A \right\| \left\| x \right\|
\]

for all \( p, n \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence, we obtain from (3.1) that

\[
\left| \sum_{k=0}^{p} a_{nk} x_k \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{p} a_{nk} x_k \right| \leq K \left\| L_A \right\| \left\| x \right\|; \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}).
\]

This implies that \( \alpha x = (\alpha_k x_k) \in bs \), and since \( x \in X \) was arbitrary, we deduce that \( \alpha \in n(\phi)^T \). But \( n(\phi)^T = n(\phi)^\beta \) and hence (3.2) holds. Moreover, since \( n(\phi) \supset \phi \) is a BK space, (3.2) implies \( \left\| \alpha \right\|_{n(\phi)}^* < \infty \) by (Wilansky [19, Theorem 7.2.9]). Therefore, we get (3.3) from (15) by using (1.3).

Now, define the matrix \( B = (b_{nk}) \) by \( b_{nk} = a_{nk} - \alpha_k \) for all \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, it is obvious that \( B_n \in n(\phi)^\beta = m(\phi) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Also, it follows by (3.3) that

\[
\sup_n \left\| B_n \right\|_{n(\phi)}^* = \sup_n \left\| A_n - \alpha \right\|_{n(\phi)}^* < \infty.
\]

Furthermore, we have from (3.1) that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n(e^{(k)}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} b_{nk} = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),
\]
that is, \( B^{(k)} \in c_0 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). This leads us to the consequence that \( B \in (n(\phi), c_0) \) by (Malkowsky-Rakocevic [2, Theorem 1.23(c)]). Hence, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in n(\phi) \), which yields (3.4).

This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Theorem 3.2.** (a) If \( A \in (n(\phi), c_0) \), then

\[
\| L_A \|_X = \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{n \geq r} \| A_n \|_{n(\phi)}^* \right)
\]

(b) If \( A \in (n(\phi), c) \), then

\[
\frac{1}{2} \cdot \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{n \geq r} \| A_n - \alpha \|_{n(\phi)}^* \right) \leq \| L_A \|_X \leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{n \geq r} \| A_n - \alpha \|_{n(\phi)}^* \right),
\]

where \( \alpha = (\alpha_k) \) with \( \alpha_k = \lim a_{nk} \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

(c) If \( A \in (n(\phi), c_\infty) \), then

\[
0 \leq \| L_A \|_X \leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{n \geq r} \| A_n \|_{n(\phi)}^* \right).
\]

**Proof.** We write \( S = S_{\{n(\phi)\}} \), for short. Then, we have by (2.4) and Lemma 1.3 (a) that

\[
\| L_A \|_X = \chi(AS).
\]

For (a), we have \( AS \in \mathcal{M}_{c_0} \). Thus, it follows by Theorem 2.2 that

\[
\| L_A \|_X = \chi(AS) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in S} \| (I - P_r)(Ax) \|_{c_\infty} \right),
\]

where \( P_r : c_0 \to c_0 \) (\( r \in \mathbb{N} \)) is the operator defined by \( P_r(x) = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_r, 0, 0, \ldots) \) for all \( x = (x_k) \in c_0 \). This yields that \( \| (I - P_r)(Ax) \|_{c_\infty} = \sup_{n \geq r} |A_n(x)| \) for all \( x \in n(\phi) \) and every \( r \in \mathbb{N} \). Thus, by combining (1.1) and (1.3), we have for every \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) that

\[
\sup_{x \in S} \| (I - P_r)(Ax) \|_{c_\infty} = \sup_{n \geq r} \| A_n \|_{n(\phi)}^*.
\]

Hence, by (3.13) we get (3.9).

To prove (b), we have \( AS \in \mathcal{M}_c \). Thus, we are going to apply Theorem 2.1 to get an estimate for the value of \( \chi(AS) \) in (3.12). For this, we know that every \( z = (z_n) \in c \) has a unique representation \( z = z_0 + \sum_{n=0}^\infty (z_n - z) e^{(n)} \), where \( z_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n \). Thus, we define the
proectors $P_r : c \to c (r \in \mathbb{N})$ by $P_0(z) = \Xi e$ and $P_r(z) = \Xi e + \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} (z_n - \Xi) e^{(n)}$ for $r \geq 1$. Then, we have for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ that $(I - P_r)(z) = \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} (z_n - \Xi)e^{(n)}$ and hence

$$\|(I - P_r)(z)\|_{\ell_\infty} = \sup_{n>r}|z_n - \Xi|$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

for all $z \in c$ and every $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously $\|(I - P_r)(z)\|_{\ell_\infty} \leq 2\|z\|_{\ell_\infty}$, hence $\|I - P_r\| \leq 2$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the sequence $z^{(r)} = (z_n^{(r)}) \in c$ by $z_r^{(r)} = -1$ and $z_n^{(r)} = 1$ for $n \neq r$. Then $\|z^{(r)}\|_{\ell_\infty} = 1$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n^{(r)} = 1$. Therefore, $\|I - P_r\| \geq \|(I - P_r)(z^{(r)})\|_{\ell_\infty} = 2$ by (3.15). Consequently, we have $\|I - P_r\| = 2$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, from (3.12) we obtain by applying Theorem 2.1 that

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu(A) \leq \|L_A\|_{X} \leq \mu(A),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.16)

where

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup \left( \sup_{x \in S} \|P_r(Ax)\|_{\ell_\infty} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.17)

Now, it is given that $A \in (n(\phi), c)$. Thus, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that the limits $\alpha_k = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{nk}$ exist for all $k, a = (\alpha_k) \in n(\phi)^\beta = m(\phi)$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

for all $x = (x_k) \in n(\phi)$. Therefore, we derive from (3.15) that

$$\|(I - P_r)(Ax)\|_{\ell_\infty} = \sup_{n \geq r}|A_n(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k|$$

$$= \sup_{n \geq r} |\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{nk} - \alpha_k) x_k|$$

for all $x = (x_k) \in n(\phi)$ and every $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, we obtain by (1.3) that

$$\sup_{x \in S} \|(I - P_r)(Ax)\|_{\ell_\infty} = \sup_{n \geq r} \|A_n - a\|_{n(\phi)}^* (r \in \mathbb{N}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

Hence, we get (3.10) from (3.16).

Finally, to prove (c) we define $P_r : \ell_\infty \to \ell_\infty (r \in \mathbb{N})$ as in the proof of part (a) for all $x = (x_k) \in \ell_\infty$.

Then, it is clear that

$$AS \subset P_r(AS) + (I - P_r)(AS) \hspace{1cm} (r \in \mathbb{N}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)
Thus, it follows by the elementary properties of the function $\chi$ that

\[ 0 \leq \chi(AS) \leq \chi(P_r(AS)) + \chi((I - P_r)(AS)) = \chi((I - P_r)(AS)) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \|(I - P_r)(Ax)\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq \sup_{n>r} \|A_n\|_{n(\phi)}^{*} \]

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. This and (3.12) together imply (3.11). This completes the proof of the theorem. \(\square\)

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 and (2.5), we have the following.

**Corollary 3.3.** (a) If $A \in (n(\phi), c_0)$, then

\[ L_A \text{ is compact iff } \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{n>r} \|A_n\|_{n(\phi)}^{*} \right) = 0. \]  

(b) If $A \in (n(\phi), c)$, then

\[ L_A \text{ is compact iff } \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{n \geq r} \|A_n - \alpha\|_{n(\phi)}^{*} \right) = 0, \]

where $\alpha = (\alpha_k)$ with $\alpha_k = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{nk}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(c) If $A \in (n(\phi), \ell_\infty)$, then

\[ L_A \text{ is compact if } \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{n>r} \|A_n\|_{n(\phi)}^{*} \right) = 0. \]

**Remark 3.4.** It is worth mentioning that the condition in (3.25) is only a sufficient condition for the operator $L_A$ to be compact, where $A \in (n(\phi), \ell_\infty)$. In the following example, we show that it is possible for $L_A$ to be compact while $\lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{n>r} \|A_n\|_{n(\phi)}^{*} \right) \neq 0$.

Choose a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x'_m \neq 0$ for some $x' = (x'_k) \in S_{n(\phi)}$. Now, we define the matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ by $a_{nm} = 1$ and $a_{nk} = 0$ for all $k \neq m$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then, we have $Ax = x_m e$ for all $x = (x_k) \in \mathcal{W}$, hence $A \in (\mathcal{W}, \ell_\infty) \subset (n(\phi), \ell_\infty)$. Also, since $L_A$ is of finite rank, $L_A$ is compact. On the other hand, we have $A_n = e^{(m)}$ and hence $\|A_n\|_{n(\phi)}^{*} = \sup_{x \in S_{n(\phi)}} |x_m|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by (1.3). This implies that

\[ \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \sup_{n>r} \|A_n\|_{n(\phi)}^{*} \right) = \sup_{x \in S_{n(\phi)}} |x_m| \geq |x'_m| > 0. \]
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