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Abstract. We compute the period matrices of the Riemann surfaces given by the equations $w^2 = z^{2g+2} - 1$ (Accola–Maclachlan surfaces) and $w^{2g+2} = z(z - 1)^{g-1}(z + 1)^{g+2}$ (Kulkarni surfaces). Furthermore, we obtain the triples of matrices associated to the real forms for these Riemann surfaces.

1. Introduction

A classical result of Torelli says that an isomorphism between the Jacobians of two compact Riemann surfaces comes from a conformal map, so that essentially the period matrix determines the surface. However, for $g \geq 2$ it is difficult to compute explicitly the period matrix, and results have been obtained for some famous surfaces of Klein, Fermat, Bring or Lefschetz. As a short historical background we may quote the papers by [B], [BT], [KN], [RL], [RR], [Sc1], [Sc2], [Sch], [W]. In [BT], the period matrix of Macbeath’s curve of even genus is computed, whilst in [B] and [KN] the case of genus 2 surfaces is analyzed. The surfaces of genus 3 are studied in [RL] and some of the hyperelliptic ones are treated in [Sc1], [Sc2] and [Sch]. All of them use the method introduced by Rauch which involves the solution of a system of quadratic equations. The difficulty of this method increases when the genus becomes bigger. This is the reason why we need to use a different approach, which originally comes from Siegel [S] and Weyl [W] and was already used in [RR].

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14H, 30F.

The first two authors were partially supported by DGICYT PB 95-0017 and CEE CHRX-CT93-0408, the third by DGICYT PB 950354 and CEE CHRX-CT93-0408 and the fourth by Fondecyt 8970007.
We obtain here the period matrices and represent their automorphism groups for a large and remarkable family of surfaces. Namely, let $X_g$ be the Riemann surface given by the algebraic equation $w^2 = z^{2g+2} - 1$; Accola [A] and Maclachlan [M] proved that its automorphism group has order $8g+8$ and that this is the largest possible order that can be constructed uniformly for every $g \geq 2$. Much later, Kulkarni [K] proved that if $g \neq 3 \pmod{4}$, such surface is unique, whereas for $g = 3 \pmod{4}$ there exists exactly one other surface $Y_g$ of the equation $w^{2g+2} = z(z-1)^{g-1}(z+1)^{g+2}$ with an automorphism group of the same order. A problem still to be solved is to explain those results in terms of linear algebra data, namely, to find all principally polarized Abelian varieties with such groups of automorphisms.

Furthermore, those surfaces admit anti-conformal symmetries, and we proved in [BBCGG] that there are exactly three non-conjugated symmetries admitting fixed points. In other words, there are exactly three dianalytically non-equivalent bordered Klein surfaces whose double coverings are $X_g$ or $Y_g$. We here compute its (real) Jacobians, and, to our knowledge, this is the first time such results have been obtained for Klein surfaces. To do so, in Section 2 we show that the period matrix of a Riemann surface is given by a pair of real matrices $(C, R)$ and that the real Jacobian of a Klein surface is given by a triple $(C, R, S)$, where $S$ is the matrix of the anti-conformal involution. For real algebraic curves, the reader may consult Comesatti [C] from the beginning of this century, [GH], [Si], [SS] in modern language, and [R] for Klein’s triples. As an example, we finally show the equivalence of the different points of view writing the matrices for the three real curves in $g = 3$.

2. Preliminaries

Let $M$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g$ and $B = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{2g}\}$ be an arbitrary basis of $H_1(M, Z)$. We define the intersection matrix $C$ of the above basis with entries

$$(1) \quad c_{ij} = -e_i \cdot e_j,$$

where the dot denotes the number of intersections, counting $+1$ at each intersection point where $e_i$ crosses $e_j$ as the $x$-axis crosses the $y$-axis, and $-1$ otherwise.

A basis $B^* = \{dw_1, \ldots, dw_{2g}\}$ of the real vector space $H^{1,0}(M, C)$ is said to be dual of $B$ if

$$(2) \quad \text{Re} \int_{e_i} dw_j = c_{ij}.$$

From Riemann bilinear relations it follows that the matrix $S$ with entries

$$(3) \quad \text{Im} \int_{e_i} dw_j = s_{ij}$$
is symmetric and positive definite.

The complex structure in $H^{1,0}(M, C)$ is given by a matrix $R$ with respect to the basis $B^*$ and satisfies $R^2 = -I$. By equalities (2) and (3) we have the relation

$$CR = S.$$  

After H. Weyl [W] and C.L. Siegel [S], the matrix $R$ is called the period matrix of $M$ with respect to the basis $B$.

Let $a$ be a holomorphic automorphism of $M$, and $[a]$ denotes the matrix of its action on the homology and cohomology with respect to the above basis. Then,

$$[a]^{-1} R [a] = R, \quad [a]' C [a] = C,$$

where the symbol $[a]'$ denotes the transpose of the matrix $[a]$.

On the other hand, if $s$ is an antiholomorphic involution (a symmetry), then

$$[s]^{-1} R [s] = -R \quad \text{and} \quad [s]' C [s] = -C;$$

(see [R]).

If $\tilde{C}$ is the intersection matrix of a canonical basis $\tilde{B} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g\}$ of $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_g \\ I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we can obtain the ordinary Riemann $(g \times g)$-period matrix $Z$ by the formula

$$Z = \tilde{R}_{11}^{-1} \tilde{R}_{22} - i \tilde{R}_{21}^{-1},$$

where

$$\tilde{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R}_{11} & \tilde{R}_{12} \\ \tilde{R}_{21} & \tilde{R}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

is our period matrix with respect to the dual basis in $H^{1,0}(M, C)$ of $\tilde{B}$.

Notice that if $a$ is a holomorphic automorphism of $M$ whose matrix with respect to $\tilde{B}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$PZ - ZS + ZRZ = Q.$$  

(5')

In our computations it will be essential to deal with the relation between automorphisms and period matrices. For these purposes, the formulae (5) are much better than the analogous (5').

Recall that the pair $(R, C)$ determines the analytic structure of a given Riemann surface in the following sense. Two such pairs, $(R_1, C_1)$ and $(R_2, C_2)$, determine the same structure if and only if there exists an integral matrix $N$ whose determinant is 1 such that

$$N^{-1} R_1 N = R_2; \quad N' C_1 N = C_2.$$
3. Period matrices

Accola and Maclachlan independently found for every genus \( g \geq 2 \) a surface \( X_g \) whose holomorphic automorphism group has order \( 8g + 8 \); precisely,

\[
\text{Aut}^+(X_g) = \langle a, d; a^{2(g+1)}, d^4, (ad)^2, ad^2a^{-1}d^2 \rangle.
\]

The quotient of \( X_g \) under the action of the automorphism \( a \) has genus zero. This fact provides the following equation for \( X_g \):

\[
y^2 = x^{2g+2} - 1.
\]

Later, Kulkarni proved that for large values of \( g \), \( g \not\equiv 3 \mod 4 \), \( X_g \) is the only compact Riemann surface of genus \( g \) whose group of holomorphic automorphisms has order \( 8g + 8 \), whilst for \( g \equiv 3 \mod 4 \) there exists exactly one other surface \( Y_g \) whose holomorphic automorphism group has order \( 8g + 8 \). In fact, this group has the presentation

\[
\text{Aut}^+(Y_g) = \langle a, d; a^{2(g+1)}, d^4, (ad)^2, d^2ad^2a^g \rangle.
\]

Also in this case, the quotient of \( Y_g \) under the action of the automorphism \( a \) is the sphere, and from [BBCGG] its equation is

\[
y^{2g+2} = x(x-1)^{g-1}(x+1)^{g+2}.
\]

In order to compute the period matrices of \( X_g \) and \( Y_g \), we uniformize both surfaces by Fuchsian surface groups.

To simplify the notations in what follows, let \( t = g + 1 \).

The coverings \( X_g \rightarrow X_g/\langle a \rangle \) and \( Y_g \rightarrow Y_g/\langle a \rangle \) have three branched points of orders \( 2t \), \( 2t \) and \( t \). Therefore, we consider the triangular group

\[
N_t = \langle a, b, c; a^{2t}, b^{2t}, c^t, abc \rangle,
\]

whose fundamental region is the union of two hyperbolic isosceles triangles of angles \( \pi/2t \), \( \pi/2t \), \( \pi/t \) and vertices \( O \), \( A \), \( B \), \( C \) (see Figure 1).
We denote by $a$ the rotation at $O$ with angle $\pi/t$, by $b$ the rotation at $A$ with angle $\pi/t$, and by $c$ the rotation at $C$ with angle $2\pi/t$. The monodromy epimorphisms of the coverings $X_g \to X_g/\langle a \rangle$ and $Y_g \to Y_g/\langle a \rangle$ are $\theta_t: N_t \to C_{2t} = \langle \alpha; a^{2t} = 1 \rangle$ and $\eta_t: N_t \to C_{2t}$, respectively, and defined by $\theta_t(a) = \alpha = \theta_t(b)$, $\theta_t(c) = \alpha^{-2}$ and $\eta_t(a) = \alpha$, $\eta_t(b) = \alpha^{t+1}$, $\eta_t(c) = \alpha^{t-2}$. The kernels of $\theta_t$ and $\eta_t$ are Fuchsian groups uniformizing $X_g$ and $Y_g$, respectively, and have as the fundamental region a hyperbolic polygon of $4t$ sides constructed with $2t$ quadrilaterals centered at $O$.

Let us now obtain the identification rules of the sides of the above polygon. The sides are labelled by $1, 2, \ldots, 4t$ counter-clockwise. To obtain the identification of the sides, observe that $a^2c \in \ker \theta_t$ and $a^{t+2}c \in \ker \eta_t$. Hence for $X_g$ we have:

$$ (3.6) \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd: } k \xrightarrow{c} k - 1 \xrightarrow{a^2} k + 3 \mod(4t), $$

and for $Y_g$ we obtain:

$$ (3.7) \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd: } k \xrightarrow{c} k - 1 \xrightarrow{a^{t+2}} 2t + k + 3 \mod(4t). $$

After the identifications of sides, three types of vertices appear: $A$, $B$ and $C$.

We illustrate the case $t = 4$ (the first in which both $X_g$ and $Y_g$ occur). In Figure 2 we have a regular 16-gon whose sides have been labelled with integer numbers $1$ to $16 = 4t$ and the vertices with the letters $A$, $B$ and $C$. The pairwise identifications of sides for $X_g$ and $Y_g$ appear in two columns in Figure 2.

Let us define the following elements in $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$, where $M$ denotes either $X_g$ or $Y_g$:

$$ e_1 = (4t) + (1) $$

and rotate via $a$ to build

$$ e_2 = a(e_1) = (2) + (3), $$

$$ e_3 = a^2(e_1) = (4) + (5), $$

$$ \vdots $$

$$ e_{2t-2} = a^{2t-3}(e_1) = (4t - 6) + (4t - 5), $$

where $(k)$ denotes the side with label $k$.

Using the identification rules (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain in both cases:

$$ (3.9) \quad e_{2t-1} = a^{2t-2}(e_1) = -e_1 - e_3 - \cdots - e_{2t-3}, $$

$$ e_{2t} = a^{2t-1}(e_1) = -e_2 - e_4 - \cdots - e_{2t-2}. $$
Lemma 3.1. With the same notation as above, $B = [e_1, \ldots, e_{2t-2}]$ is a basis of $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ for $M$ equal to $X_g$ or $Y_g$. The matrix of the automorphism $a$ with respect to $B$ is

$$[a] = (a_{ij}) \quad a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } j = 2t - 2, \\
1 & \text{if } i = j + 1, \text{ } 1 \leq j \leq 2t - 3, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma_t$ be the kernel of $\theta_t$ or $\eta_t$. The group $\Gamma_t$ is generated by the hyperbolic elements that provide the side identifications. In the case $M = X_g$, the axis of each of these hyperbolic elements is homotopically equivalent to one of the $e_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 2t$. By (3.9), this shows that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2t-2}\}$ generate $\Gamma_t$, and since $rk H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 2g = 2t - 2$, then $B$ is a basis. In the case $M = Y_g$, we repeat the argument using the fact that the axis of each hyperbolic generator is homotopically equivalent to a sum of some of the $e_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 2t$.

Applying (3.8) and (3.9), we easily obtain the matrix $[a]$.

In the next lemma we shall compute the intersection matrices for the basis above.

Lemma 3.2. Let $C_X = (x_{ij})$ and $C_Y = (y_{ij})$ be the intersection matrices

Figure 2. $X_g$: 1–4, 3–6, 5–8, 7–10, 9–12, 11–14, 13–16, 15–2; $Y_g$: 1–12, 3–14, 5–16, 7–2, 9–4, 11–6, 13–8, 15–10
of $X_g$ and $Y_g$, respectively, with respect to the basis given in Lemma 3.1. Then,

\begin{align*}
x_{ii+1} &= -1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 2t - 3,
\quad 
x_{ij} &= 0, \quad \text{if } i < j \text{ and } j \neq i + 1,
\quad 
x_{ij} &= -x_{ji}, \quad \text{for all } i, j,
\end{align*}

and

\begin{align*}
y_{11} &= y_{12} = y_{1t+1} = 0,
y_{1k} &= \begin{cases} (-1)^k & \text{if } 3 \leq k < t + 1, \\
(-1)^{k+1} & \text{if } t + 1 < k \leq 2t - 2, \end{cases}
y_{ij} &= y_{1j+1-i} \quad \text{if } i < j,
y_{ij} &= -y_{ji} \quad \text{for all } i, j.
\end{align*}

**Proof.** By their very definition, both $C_X$ and $C_Y$ are antisymmetric; so we compute only $x_{ij}$ and $y_{ij}$ with $i < j$.

Notice that the matrix $[a]$ of $a$ with respect to the basis $B$ is the same for both surfaces $X_g$ and $Y_g$.

Since $[a]'C_X[a] = C_X$ and $[a]'C_Y[a] = C_Y$, then

\begin{align*}
x_{i+1,j+1} &= x_{i,j}, \quad y_{i+1,j+1} = y_{i,j}
\end{align*}

for $i < j < 2t - 2$ and so

\begin{align*}
x_{ij} &= x_{1,j-i+1}, \quad y_{ij} = y_{1,j-i+1}
\end{align*}

for all $i < j$. Hence we need only to compute the first row of the matrices $C_X$ and $C_Y$. Let $j$ be an integer such that $1 < j \leq 2t - 2$. The cycle $e_j$ intersects $e_1$ just at the point $A$, so we need to analyze the situation in this vertex.

Figure 3.
We begin with the surface $X_g$. In Figure 3 we represent the images of the polygon of Figure 2 under the action of the group $\ker \theta_t$ uniformizing $X_g$ in a neighborhood of $A$.

The reader is referred to Figures 4 and 5, where we represent the cycles $e_1$ and $e_j$.

It follows that $e_1$ intersects $e_j$ non-trivially only in the case $j = 2$. Then $x_{ij} = 0$ if $j \geq 3$ and $x_{12} = -(e_1.e_2) = -1$ (see Figure 6).

Now we deal with the surfaces $Y_g$. As before, $e_1$ intersects $e_j$ just at the point $A$, and we look at a full neighborhood of $A$ (see Figure 7).

Notice that we have labelled $l_0, l_2, \ldots, l_{2t}$ the pairs of identified sides arriving at $A$. In fact, $l_k$ consists of the sides labelled as $(k-1)2t+2k-1$ and $k(2t+2)+2$.

Let us draw the cycle $e_1$ in Figure 8.

Observe that $e_1 = 1 + 4t$ and the label $l_{t-1}$ corresponds to the side $4t$. This explains the figure.

Since $a$ is a conformal automorphism and $e_j = a^{j-1}(e_1)$, see 3.8, we can represent the cycle $e_j$ as in Figure 9, where $k \equiv 1 + (j-1)(t+1) \mod 2t$, because $a(l_k) = l_{k+(t+1) \mod 2t}$.
In Figure 10 we have represented with distinct drawings the different $e_j$’s having intersection number +1 with $e_1$. 
Using the automorphism $a$, it is easy to compute the values of $j$ such that $e_j$ is one of the above, i.e., $k \equiv 1 + (j - 1)(t + 1) \mod 2t$, where $k = 2, \ldots, t - 1$. The solutions are the odd integers $j$ with $3 \leq j \leq t - 1$, and the even integers $j$ with $t + 2 \leq j \leq 2t - 2$.

In Figure 11 we have represented the drawings of the $e_j$’s having intersection 0. This implies that $y_{11}, y_{12}$ and $y_{1t+1}$ are the only zeros in the first row of $C_Y$, and using the formula (1) we obtain the matrices $C_X$ and $C_Y$ as stated in Lemma 3.2.

Our next step is to compute the period matrix $R$. First we impose the condition $[a]^{-1}R[a] = R$, and we remark that this almost determines the matrix $R$. More precisely:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $\Delta = (\Delta_{ij})$ be the $(2t - 2) \times (2t - 2)$ matrix with entries

$$
\Delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\sin(k(j - 1)\pi/t) & \text{if } i = 2k, \\
\cos(k(j - 1)\pi/t) & \text{if } i = 2k - 1.
\end{cases}
$$

Let $[\hat{a}]$ and $\hat{R}$ be the following matrices:

$$
[\hat{a}] = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t} \begin{pmatrix} 
\cos(k\pi/t) & -\sin(k\pi/t) \\
\sin(k\pi/t) & \cos(k\pi/t)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad 
\hat{R} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t} \varepsilon_k \begin{pmatrix} 
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where $\varepsilon_k \in \{-1, 1\}$. Then

$$(3.10) \quad [a] = \Delta^{-1}[\hat{a}]\Delta$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad R = \Delta^{-1}\hat{R}\Delta.$$

**Proof.** It is straightforward linear algebra.
Now we must decide among the $2^t$ possible choices of the $\varepsilon_k$’s, which makes $CR$ positive definite, or, equivalently, $\hat{C}\hat{R}$ positive definite, where

\[(3.12) \quad C = \Delta'\hat{C}\Delta.\]

This is the content of the next lemma, which is also elementary:

**Lemma 3.4.** The matrix $\hat{R}$ has the form

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{t-1} \varepsilon_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\varepsilon_k = -1$ for all $k$ for the surface $X_g$ and

$$\varepsilon_k = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd and } k > \frac{1}{2}t, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for the surface $Y_g$.

**Remark 3.5.** In order to compute $\hat{R}$, we have only used the monodromy epimorphisms $\theta_t$ and $\eta_t$ on the cyclic groups. Since the resulting period matrix $\hat{R}$ is unique, then we can conclude that the monodromy completely determines these surfaces. This result has been previously obtained using combinatorial methods by Conder and the first author in [BC].

From a direct change of basis we get the following description of $R$.

**Theorem 3.6.** The period matrix $R$ of the Accola–Maclachlan surface $X_g$ and the Kulkarni surface $Y_g$ with respect to the basis $[e_1, \ldots, e_{2t-2}]$ is

$$R = \Delta^{-1}\hat{R}\Delta,$$

where $\Delta$ and $\hat{R}$ are described in 3.3 and 3.4, respectively.

To finish this section, we shall illustrate the claim announced at the beginning of the paper: we compute from $R$ the Riemann matrix $Z$ for the Accola–Maclachlan surface of genus 2.

**Example 3.7.** It is easily seen that the basis $\tilde{B} = [a_1 = e_1, a_2 = e_4, b_1 = e_2, b_2 = -e_1 - e_3]$ is canonical. Let

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
be the matrix of the change of basis. Following Theorem 3.6, we obtain the matrix
\[
R = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} \\
-\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 & \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} \\
-\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 & -\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

With respect to \(\tilde{B}\), the period matrix is
\[
\tilde{R} = P^{-1}RP = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} \\
-\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} \\
0 & -\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} & \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

This provides us with the matrices
\[
\tilde{R}_{21} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\tilde{R}_{22} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} \\
\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and by the formula (8):
\[
Z = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{3} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{3} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

This matrix coincides with the matrix obtained by Kuusalo and Näätänen in [KN, Case F, p. 411]).

4. Klein surfaces

Klein surfaces are the quotients of Riemann surfaces under the action of antiholomorphic involutions (symmetries). The Accola–Maclachlan and Kulkarni surfaces admit exactly three anticonformal involutions with fixed points producing dianalytically non-equivalent bordered Klein surfaces (i.e., three nonbirationally equivalent real curves whose complexification is the given Riemann surface; see [BBCGG]).

Let \(M/s\) be a Klein surface defined as the quotient of the Riemann surface \(M\) under the action of the symmetry \(s\). In [R], the fourth author associated to \(M/s\) a triple \((R, C, [s])\), where \((R, C)\) has the meaning of the preceding sections and \([s]\) is the matrix in homology of the symmetry \(s\), and he proved that two such triples \((R_1, C_1, [s_1])\) and \((R_2, C_2, [s_2])\) are associated to dianalytically equivalent Klein surfaces if and only if there exists an integer matrix \(N\) of determinant \(\pm 1\) such that
\[
N^{-1}R_1N = R_2; \quad N'C_1N = C_2; \quad N^{-1}[s_1]N = [s_2].
\]
In this section we shall compute the matrices of the three symmetries, \( s_1, s_2 \) and \( s_3 \), with respect to the basis \([e_1, \ldots, e_{2t-2}]\) introduced in (3.8).

Let \( M \) denote either the Accola–Maclachlan or the Kulkarni surface. Let \( \text{Aut}^\pm(M) \) be the group of automorphisms of \( M \) including the anticonformal ones. In [BBCGG], we proved that the quotient \( M/\text{Aut}^\pm(M) \) can be uniformized by a hyperbolic Coxeter group \((2, 4, 2t)\), i.e., generated by three reflections whose axes form a hyperbolic triangle with angles \( \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \pi/2t \).

The reflections with axes on the lines \( OA \) and \( OC \) in Figure 1 are symmetries of the polygon in Figure 2. Even more, they preserve the pairwise identifications (3.6) and (3.7) corresponding to the two types of surfaces.

Let us construct a hyperbolic triangle \( ODE \) (see Figure 12) with angles \( \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \pi/2t \), with the vertex \( E \) on the line \( OA \) and \( D \) on \( OC \).

The reflections with axes on \( DE \) and \( OE \) generate a dihedral group \( D_4 \). The action of \( D_4 \) on the triangle \( ODE \) produces a triangulation of the quadrilateral \( OCAB \) of Figure 1. The action of the rotation of order \( 2t \) with center \( O \) provides us with a triangulation with hyperbolic triangles of type \( \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \pi/2t \). The above triangulation corresponds to the lifting of the triangle uniformizing \( M/\text{Aut}^\pm(M) \) by the covering \( M \to M/\text{Aut}^\pm(M) \) (see Figure 13 in the case \( g = 3 \)).

Let us choose as \( s_1 \) the lifting of the reflection with axis \( OA \) such that \( s_1 \) has as the fixed point set the line joining in the polygon of Figure 1 the center \( O \) with the vertex \( A \) where the sides labelled \( 2t - 1 \) and \( 2t \) intersect.

It follows directly from Figure 2 that \([s_1] \) acts on the basis given in (3.8) by the following formulae:

\[
[s_1](e_1) = \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} e_{2k}, \quad [s_1](e_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} e_{2k-1}, \quad [s_1](e_k) = -e_{2t-k+1}, \quad 3 \leq k \leq 2t - 2.
\]

Analogously, let us choose as \( s_2 \) the symmetry whose fixed point set is the line joining \( O \) with the vertex \( B \), where the sides \( 2t \) and \( 1 \) intersect. From Figure 2
we have:

\[
[s_2](e_1) = -e_1, \quad [s_2](e_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} e_{2k}, \quad [s_2](e_3) = \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} e_{2k-1},
\]

\[
[s_2](e_k) = -e_{2t-k+2}, \quad 4 \leq k \leq 2t - 2.
\]

To analyze the third symmetry we must separately lift the reflection with axis $DE$ to the Accola–Maclachlan and the Kulkarni surfaces. Let us begin with the first case. Since the fixed point set of $s_3$ is the union of the orthogonal lines joining $k$ with $k + 3 \text{ mod } (4t)$ for all $k \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 4$, it follows that

\[
[s_3](e_i) = (-1)^{i+1} e_i \quad \text{for all } i.
\]

To finish with, we study the action of $[s_3]$ for the surface $Y_g$. In this case, the fixed point set of $s_3$ consists of the orthogonal lines joining $k$ with $k + 3 \text{ mod } (4t)$ for all $k \equiv 7 \text{ mod } 8$.

Let us compute $[s_3](e_{2l})$, for odd $l$. By 3.8, $e_{2l} = 2(2l - 1) + (4l - 1)$, and $4l - 2$ is identified with $2t + 4l - 5$; see 3.7. Since, again by 3.8, $e_{t+2l-2} = (2t + 4l - 6) + (2t + 4l - 5)$, we conclude that

\[
[s_3](e_{2l}) = e_{t+2l-2}.
\]

On the other hand, if $l$ is even, let $k = \frac{1}{2}(2l + 2 - t)$, which is odd. Hence $[s_3](e_{2k}) = e_{t+2k-2} = e_{2l}$, and since $s_3$ is an involution,

\[
[s_3](e_{2l}) = e_{2t+2-2t}.
\]
Now we compute \([s_3](e_k)\) for odd \(k\), and we begin with the case \(k = 4l + 1\). Note that \(e_{4l+1} = (8l) + (8l + 1)\), and so

\[
[s_3](e_{4l+1}) = (8l - 1) + e_{4l+1} + (8l + 2).
\]

But using the identifications in (3.1), we get

\[
(8l - 1) + (8l + 2) = \sum_{j=0}^{(t/4)-1} (e_{2+4l+4j} + e_{4l-4j}),
\]

and so

\[
[s_3](e_{4l+1}) = e_{4l+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{(t/4)-1} (e_{2+4l+4j} + e_{4l-4j}).
\]

In a similar way we obtain

\[
[s_3](e_{4l+3}) = e_{4l+3+2t} + \sum_{j=0}^{(t/4)-1} [e_{2+4l+4j+2t} + e_{4l-4j+2t}].
\]

In all computations above, whenever either \(e_{2t-1}\) or \(e_{2t}\) appears, the reader should change them by their values given in (3.9). For example,

\[
[s_3](e_{(t/2)+1}) = e_{2t} = -(e_2 + e_4 + \cdots + e_{2t-2}).
\]

This finishes the computation of the matrices of the actions in homology of the symmetries \(s_1, s_2\) and \(s_3\) in both surfaces \(X_g\) and \(Y_g\).

This way, the triples \((R, C, [s_i])\), \(i = 1, 2, 3\), where \(R\) is given in (3.11), \(C\) was calculated in Lemma 3.2 and the matrices \([s_i]\) are the ones just obtained, are the representants of the three non-dianalytically equivalent Klein surfaces having \(X_g\) and \(Y_g\) as double covers.

Comessatti [C], Gross–Harris [GH] and Shimura [S] proved that for every compact Riemann surface \(M\) of genus \(g \geq 2\), and every symmetry \(\tau\) on \(M\), there exists a symplectic basis \([a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g]\) of \(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})\) such that the Riemann period matrix \(Z\) of \(M\) with respect to this basis has the form

\[
Z = \frac{1}{2}H + iY
\]

with \(H \in M_g(\mathbb{Z}), Y \in M_g(\mathbb{R})\), and the matrix \([\tau]\) with respect to this basis is

\[
[\tau] = \begin{pmatrix} I_g & H \\ 0 & -I_g \end{pmatrix}.
\]

It is proved in [GH] that the Klein surfaces corresponding to \((Z_1, \tau_1)\) and \((Z_2, \tau_2)\) are dianalytically distinct if and only if the matrices \(Z_1\) and \(Z_2\) are linearly different. This classification agrees with the one given at the beginning of this section; see [R]. We now illustrate how to obtain the period Riemann matrices \(Z_i\), once we know the triples \((R, C, [s_i])\) for \(X_g\) and \(Y_g\) in the case \(g = 3\). For \(g = 3\), the surface \(Y_3\), as it was proved by Watson [Wa], has only two non-conjugated symmetries with fixed points, \(s_1\) and \(s_2\).
**Example.** We begin with the surface $X_3$ and its symmetry $s_1$. The matrix of the change of basis, whose columns express the vectors $[a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3]$ in terms of our previous basis $[e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6]$, is

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

With respect to this new basis,

$$[s_1] = \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & H_1 \\ 0 & -I_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Following the same procedure as in Example 3.7, one gets

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(1 + \sqrt{2})i & -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}i \\ -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}i & -\frac{1}{4}(1 + \sqrt{2})i & -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(1 - \sqrt{2})i \end{pmatrix}. $$

Note that $2\Re Z_1 = H_1$.

For the other symmetries on $X_3$ with similar changes of basis, we have:

$$Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{2})i & -1 & -\frac{1}{2}i \\ -1 & \frac{1}{4}(1 + \sqrt{2})i & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}i & -\frac{1}{4}(1 - \sqrt{2})i & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Z_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{2})i & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}i & -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{2})i \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}i & \frac{1}{4}(1 - \sqrt{2})i & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{2})i & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}i & \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{2})i \end{pmatrix}. $$

There are only two non-conjugated symmetries, $s_1$ and $s_2$, with fixed points (see [Wa]), and the matrices are:

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}i & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} - i \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} + i & 1 - \frac{1}{2}i \\ \frac{1}{2} - i & 1 - \frac{1}{2}i & -\frac{1}{2} + i \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 + \frac{3}{2}i & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} - i \\ -1 + \frac{1}{2}i & -\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -1 - \frac{1}{2}i & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. $$
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